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Friday, April 13, 2018-9:35 a.m. SWAN VALLEY MANAGEMENT AREA 6c MR. WEBER: Good morning, everyone. I'm Chip Weber. I'm the forest supervisor on the Flathead. I'm the deciding official on the plan. To my left is Leanne Marten. She's the reviewing official for these objections.

Welcome. Welcome to the Flathead National Forest, welcome to Kalispell. We actually got a little sunshine today. So I wondered what that strange orb in the sky was. Glad to have you here. I'm going to just talk to you briefly. Ask that if you have your cell phones, if you could put them on stun, please, so we can have our conversation be uninterpreted. And a little bit about safety. If there's something like a fire or an emergency, if you'd exit out that door behind you and meet in the parking lot, get as far away from the building as you can safely but don't get into the traffic or anything. So meet out there.

We're on the third day of these meetings, and I'm saying this each day because we tend to have a new set of folks show up. But the way I'm going into these meetings is really with an attitude of curiosity, learning. We're at a point where we have another chance to make the analysis or the plan decision better. And
that's the goal of the objections process is really for us to listen and find ways to do that when we can. So I appreciate all the hard work that you've done to date. A lot of you have had your ores in the water on this since we started some time ago. And I appreciate how much effort that takes and the time you took to come here and help us today.

I'm going to start off by introducing my team and -- or having them introduce themselves. And we'll start with Joe Krueger.

MR. KRUEGER: Morning. Thank you all for coming. Joe Krueger, Flathead National Forest Plan division team leader.

MS. MOORE: Good morning. I'm Marsha Moore, recreation wilderness planner on the team.

MS. TRECHSEL: Hello. I'm Heidi Trechsel the vegetation specialist on the team.

MS. KUENNEN: Reed Kuennen, wildlife biologist on the team.

MR. SMITH: Raymond Smith, regional coordinator for fields objections and litigation down in Missoula, Montana, and up here for the week.

MS. RUSHO: Nancy Rusho. I do objections and appeals in the Washington office.

MS. AKE: Kathy Ake, I'm the GIS specialist
on the team.
MR. WEBER: Thank you. I did --
MS. TRIBE: We have a couple people over here.

MS. ALLEN: I'm Stacy Allen. I'm the writer/editor for the team.

MS. PEEL: Timory Peel, regional planner.
MR. WEBER: Sorry about that. And Rob in the back.

MR. CARLIN: Rob Carlin, staff officer of the Flathead natural resources planning and fire.

MS. TURK: Janette Turk, public affairs office for Flathead.

MR. WEBER: Well, thank you. I did forget to mention one other thing. There's a comfort station out the doors to your left. Just go just barely across a threshold and it will be off on your left.

MS. TRIBE: Is that like a restroom?
MR. WEBER: And now to really class myself, I'm going to turn this over to Leanne.

MS. MARTEN: Thanks, Chip.
Good morning, everyone. I'm Leanne Marten.
I'm the regional forester here in the northern region. And for this process I'm the reviewing officer of Chip's draft decision and the draft revision for the forest plan
here in the Flathead. Glad to see everyone here this morning and welcome back to people who were here earlier in the week. It's great to see and meet some new people here this morning as well.

Before I go over the overview and how I'm looking at this and my intentions, I thought we'd do introductions. So I'm going to start with folks on the phone. Do we have any objectors or interested parties for the Swan Valley Management topic joining us via phone this morning? And if so, could you please introduce yourselves? So I didn't hear anybody else as an objector/interested party, unless you were still on mute. If so, please speak up as we go around here.

I'll start the room if you've joined us at the table. If you could introduce who you are and just who you represent. So Edd, can we start with you?

MR. BLACKLER: Edd Blackler. I'm just here to observe and speak for myself.

MS. BURDEN: Sheri Burden. Same thing, I'm here to speak for myself and the Swan Valley.

MS. LAMAR: Sharon Lamar. I'm from the
Swan Valley, and I'm a citizen.
MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl from Swan Valley as a citizen.

MR. WOLFE: Gary Wolfe, part-time resident
of the Swan Valley and speaking as an individual citizen. MR. MCKENZIE: Paul McKenzie with F. H.

Stoltze Land and Lumber Company as interested party on this issue.

MS. MARTEN: Wonderful, thank you. And we have just a couple people observing in the audience. If you guys don't mind just introducing yourself so folks get a feel for who's here just observing.

MS. FOX: Marla Fox. I'm with WildEarth Guardians observing this morning.

MS. EISEN: Hilary Eisen. I'm with Winter Wildlands observing this morning.

FROM THE FLOOR: Chas/Chad (inaudible), Forest Service.

MR. LARSEN: John Larsen, just representing myself.

MR. SEVERS: Corey Severs, Forest Service, observing.

MS. MARTEN: Wonderful. Thank you very much, everyone, and welcome.

As Chip mentioned, we're on our third day of meetings, and I'm really looking forward to the dialogue here this morning. And for some of you, this will be a repeat. But for folks that I haven't had the opportunity to visit with yet, I thought I'd share just a little bit
of how I'm viewing this and what $I$ hope to get out of it and also just emphasize this isn't about what $I$ need out of this. This is about us together. So we want to make sure we're meeting your needs as well. The last couple days and today will be no different. One of my basic philosophies is $I$ kind of go with the flow. So if we need to change things or tweak things in our process, we'll do that as we need to within the sidelines. This is a structured process, so there's some sidelines, obviously, that I have to stay in adherence to. But we can make sure it works for all of us together.

The one thing we will do is we will stay on time for the topics, because we have folks that have been coming and going for the three days and we want to make sure we honor their time and commitment. We have an hour scheduled for this topic. If we get done early, we will take a longer break. But we will end at the end of the hour so we can move on to the next topic, which is Krause Basin on the agenda.

A couple things, and I think this morning's topic is definitely one of many through the week we've talked about where there's just a lot of values out there. And every one of us as individuals and organizations have different values. And the one thing that I've been trying to emphasize throughout the week is
my intent is never to ask any of you to change your values. I respect all the values that are coming forward through this process through the last several years and definitely throughout this week. And I want to just honor those, respect them. And what I'm really trying to focus in on and the intent is to learn to make sure that I'm understanding your views from your perspectives. I've read them. I have a good feel for what came in writing.

But what I'm learning through the last couple days is through the dialogue amongst yourselves, as well as just some curiosity and inquiry from me, I'm seeing things and hearing it a little differently and have some real clarity on some of the things that $I$ thought I understood that you submitted in writing that I didn't quite have the full understanding on and to use that information after today to really formulate my decision on the objections and the response to you as the objectors that you'll get in writing for all of your issues as well as my response to Chip as the deciding official from that.

I'm not making any decisions this week.
Because some of this I'm also have to process, as you can imagine. Ginny mentioned that we have Bambi here who's recording this, court reporter. So those transcripts,
when we get them back from Bambi, will help refresh and have a good record for all of us on the discussions that have taken place. I also have several folks with the team that are taking notes on my behalf. And so to stay active and really present, you won't see me taking a bunch of notes. But it's not because I'm not paying attention by any means. I have a lot other folks helping me with that and to try and capture things in addition to Bambi's transcript that we'll be receiving from that standpoint.

The other thing is the whole intent of this, and you folks have been engaged for years on this process, so $I$ just want to echo Chip, thank you and thank you for staying engaged and being here today and continuing with this. We all value these public lands. I have the privilege and honor of helping manage them on your behalf. They are not my public lands, they're our public lands. And I truly take that to heart in everything that $I$ do. And this is just one aspect of it with the Flathead plan revision that on how we're going to move forward into the future for ourselves and future generations.

When I come down to the briefing papers and we get into that part of it, the briefing papers -- and it says it on the top but I always like to re-emphasize
it -- these are just a starting point. You're not going to see every aspect of the issues or your objections reflected here. And due to time and the vast amount -- we had over 74 objectors -- the vast amount of issues we received, we're not able to have a dialogue and have a meeting on every single issue. What you will see in my resolution letters back to you is a response to all the issues. They will not be itemized and verbatim. Many of them are similar so you'll see some grouping in my response. But you will get a response to all the issues that were brought forward, even if they weren't part of the agenda over the last couple of days including today.

As time allows, we have also been -- we want to make sure all the interested parties and objectors do have a say and then the observers in the audience if we have time. Ginny's been helping us just to make sure we have some voices in the room, if there's something else that folks want to make sure that we're aware of or that I hear from them personally today.

So with that I'm going to pause and ask, if there are any questions on what $I$ may have said or the process or just how we're moving forward?

And Chip just reminded me, I had asked for objectors or interested parties who may be on phone. Do
we have any anybody on the phone or any folks on the phone that are observers today? And if so, would you mind introducing yourselves? (No response.)

MS. SUTTON: Jodie Sutton from the Washington office administrative review.

MS. MARTEN: Good morning, Jodie. And I think that may be it for this morning, so thank you.

So Ginny, I'll turn it over to you for some facilitation and we'll move forward.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you. This has been an interesting two days so far and now third day. And we were talking this morning with all the hullabaloo that goes on in the world, it's refreshing to be talking about things that really matter so, again, thank you for coming.

The way this is set up, according to the regulations, is that the people who have filed objections or who have filed as an interested party are those with standing. So it doesn't mean we don't respect the people in the audience who have come to observing, but those are the official kinds of discussions we'll have today. I would ask you -- I don't know if you saw Bambi raise her hand when Ray slowly said what he did, and that's because she's trying to capture it.

And you look pretty cheerful today, Bambi.

THE REPORTER: It's early.
MS. TRIBE: So if you wouldn't mind when you speak -- Sheri, you already know you've done this before -- say your name -- Edd, you did it before, Paul you did -- say your name, use the mic if we need it. I don't know if we will this morning with the fewer number of people, but use the mic if you need it. But each time, even though it's tedious, say Gary wolfe and then make your comment, if that's okay.

My role here is to sort of make sure we stay on time, keep it focused, help us not go into the weeds. And I think we've done pretty well. We've tried to sort of tease out some things sometimes, but if it's all right, if you'll give me permission, I'm going to try to poke a little bit here and there when it seems like we need a little more discussion.

The session is not a consensus-building session. It's not to come to agreement on something. It's not a decision-making session as Leanne said, and it's not a session for you to restate your objection and read your rationale. We already know that.

That's -- these folks have looked at it over and over again. It's to promote dialogue with the Forest Service but also among yourselves. Because when they can hear -- for example, yesterday, Edd, when you spoke and
then someone else spoke or Paul spoke, they get a better picture of the issue when they see different opinions or sides related to an issue. So if it feels like they're not always in the conversation, it's because they also want to hear your conversation among yourselves.

Does that work okay? Again, as Chip said, please turn off any electronic -- anything that might ring. And if you need to take a call, please go out in the hall to do it. Or rather than having a side conversation with the person next to you, feel free to go out in the hall and have that. If you don't, I might help you with that.

The last thing that $I$ would encourage, and we've had just wonderful conversation, is to remember that when people are civil, they're more likely to have good conversation. We're not looking for agreement. We're looking for good conversation. So does that work for you? You all right?

Paul, did you get any sleep? We don't know if Paul stayed over in the chairs because he's been here every day.

And Edd, thanks for coming back.
For those of you that are brand new, here we go.

And we have two minutes until the agenda says
we would start the discussion so I think we're okay. If anybody comes in, we'll bring them to the table. Here you go.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much, Ginny. So the way I thought I would start, and I've done this on the other topics through the week, is I'm not going to read the briefing paper. You guys have that in front of you. But I'm going to paraphrase on my understanding of at least a portion of the issue and the objection regarding the Swan Valley management. We have some proposed remedies. And then I've got a couple questions to maybe just get the dialogue and start the dialogue here this morning from that standpoint.

And I will tell you that on Monday I met up with many of the staff in here from the Forest to go out to Swan Valley, specifically to get some kind of feel for on the ground and a visual of the Elk Creek area. Now, with the weather and the snow, we didn't quite get back in there as far as you guys live there so you know what's still out there on the ground. But it was a beautiful day, and I think I could get out in the field, which is always a wonderful day, and also get feel for the country and the visuals and the community and just refresh my memory on the beauty of the Swan Valley. And then I also spent some time over on the Krause Basin for the topic
that's coming up next. And that helped me just understand, I think, a little bit more on what $I$ was reading and what was being shown on maps from that standpoint.

When I read through the objections and was working with folks, you know, there's a lot of history in the Swan Valley and there's a lot of passion for folks that live there as well as many others that enjoy part of our beautiful Flathead National Forest. The things I read and the paraphrasing that $I$ would say are some of the main objectives are regarding the forest plan and Chip's draft decision and the allocation of the $6 \mathrm{~b}, \mathbf{6 c}$ management areas, which is your moderate to low intensity versus your intention on vegetation management.

And I know Sharon happened to be here the other day and some of this came up indirectly on other topics on what it meant to have intense management and how you folks are viewing that could or could not result on activities on the ground from that. And then specifically there were some sections up in Elk Creek, and I have to get my glasses and make sure I have the right numbers, sections $3,4,5,9$, and part of 10 were very specific ones that I think, Mr. Wolfe, you had in your objections and a few of the others on some real I'll just say place-based site concerns based on the history
of those sections through Legacy lands, previous agreements, previous understanding for how those lands would be managed, both how they were managed in the past by previous owners and then, when they came into National Forest system, the Forest Service's ownership through Legacy programs on that.

I'm going to pause there. Again, that was my paraphrasing. I know there's a lot of variables with that. But in a general sense, is that an accurate understanding on my part on the basic of some of the concerns and interest for this objection and this topic? MS. LAMAR: Basically.

MS. MARTEN: I'm getting nods, Jodie, if you're on the phone.

MR. WOLFE: This is Gary Wolfe speaking. I would say that $I$ read through the briefing paper, and I thought it did a very good job of capturing the essence of my specific objection to this. And I think you have articulated that quite well. And you know, there are a couple things that weren't captured here, but I'll bring those up when we're discussing it.

MS. MARTEN: Wonderful, thank you.
Go ahead, Anne.
MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl. I don't know if we may be opening this up again, but you mentioned that
there were a lot of previous understandings among the agencies about how lands would be managed. But that was all foundational from a lot of community consensus building work. And I want to make sure that gets included.

MS. MARTEN: Absolutely, yeah. It definitely was not just amongst agencies. It was with the community and I'll just say collaborative effort as things were going through various processes through the years. Thank you. Appreciate that clarification.

So I thought a good place to start, and it's outlined in the briefing paper, but there were a couple remedies that, Mr. Wolfe, I think you brought forward and others have talked about, specific to those sections up in the Elk Creek area. And if you guys are okay, I'll just refer to the Elk Creek area versus the numerical sessions? Is that -- okay, that works.

And for those looking at the briefing paper, there's a little map on there of those sections as well as some other designations regarding Elk Creek and that portion of Elk Creek which in the proposed Forest Plan and Chip's draft decision is wild and scenic river -- eligibility for wild and scenic river in some of the corridors and some other things there that we put in there because one of the things that visually was helping
me not only on the ground but on the map but what other management things overlay on top of the vegetation management of 6b or 6c designation. For instance, when you have an eligible wild and scenic river, you have a quarter mile on each side of the river that have specific forest fine components regarding management that would or would not be allowed within that corridor, those type of other activities. So that's what that map was showing up there, just $I$ had asked a couple questions and was trying to get little bit of a visual from that standpoint.

So the proposed remedy -- one proposed remedy that was out there that I'd like to discuss was to have, for those sections in that Elk Creek area, have the designation of 6c, which is high-intensity vegetation management intensity, have that actually change to 6b which is moderate-intensity for vegetation management on that.

And one of the questions I'd like to explore is can you help me understand how you see the distinct difference between if it went from a 6c to 6B for those sections, how that, in your view, is different on the ground on what could potentially occur, vegetation management, and what the result would look like or be in your view on the ground at the 6 c level versus the 6 b . I'm trying to get a better feel on how you view the
difference between those two, an actually on-the-ground difference for those sections, what that means to you.

MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl again. It's hard to know exactly what is meant by those, you know, intensive or moderate or low-intensity management. But I've seen in the Swan what I think has been called moderate-intensity management where much of the ecological value remains. And these projects have been something to be proud of.

When I see what Plum Creek did, which is certainly intense-management, you know, we can't go back to that ever. And there are areas probably in the Elk Creek watershed because of its rich diversity of wildlife and water quality could use the low-intensity management instead of moderate.

MR. WOLFE: Gary Wolfe speaking. And from my perspective, I'm a wildlife biologist by training and have worked in the wildlife conservation profession for forty-plus years. And I look at it very much from a wildlife habitat perspective. And just very subjectively, I think that moderate-intensity vegetation management is more consistent with protecting the ecological integrity of the landscape, from a wildlife perspective, than high intensity. And again, as a citizen when $I$ hear high-intensity vegetation management,

I think about a focus on logging, timber harvest, high intensity as opposed to a moderate-intensity vegetation management plan that has broader objectives, objectives such as fish and wildlife habitat.

And the sections that we're talking about in this particular area, the Elk Creek sections, have long been documented as having extremely high fish and wildlife values. So I think those really be the driving factor for the management of that area as opposed to some sort of a high-intensity vegetation management which, from a public perception, is often associated with high-intensity timber harvest. So I think wildlife should really be the driving factor here.

And I like the fact that we're talking going from high intensity to moderate versus from high to low. Because as is pointed out in the plan, these sections do border private land. And we do have to be cognizant of the fact of the urban-wildland interface. And as is acknowledged in the Forest Service information here, the moderate intensity does provide the flexibility for timber management in that urban-wildland interface to lower the fire danger. So I think those perspectives, the human aspect of it in terms of protecting the adjacent private lands from fires, could be accomplished by 6b. And I think that that also then lets people know
that this is really focused more on finding that balance between fish and wildlife and human values as I would interpret a high-intensity vegetation management. So I'm sorry for the long-winded response there.

MS. TRIBE: We have an hour.
MR. WOLFE: And again, I think we're responding from the standpoint of citizens as opposed to the technical perspective of how a professional forester would interpret high-intensity versus moderate-intensity. But to me, moderate-intensity recognizes other values more than a high-intensity designation would.

MS. MARTEN: Very helpful, thank you. So if I could ask a follow-up question with that. And Anne, you brought up just a little bit, but can you help me understand, without going into all the details, but help me understand the history. I know we had some things between the agencies' agreements and, of course, that went into Legacy. But Anne, you mentioned specifically making sure understanding the community and understanding on the community's part as we went through some of the history and the change of ownership. So can you help me just understand from the community perspective what the thought process was and what the understanding for these lands were going into and through some of those Legacy proposals and some of that?

MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl. I'll start but Sharon can take over. Both Sharon and I were both involved with the Elk Creek conservation project, generally speaking, since about 1990. And that's when a group called the Swan Ecosystem Center ad hoc committee began working with Plum Creek and the Flathead forest on that land exchange in the upper reaches of --two-and-a-half sections in the upper reaches of Elk Creek were exchanged for land on the other side of the valley. So that was the beginning of the process.

And I don't want to say what I said in my objection letter. But from then on, because of the high values, wildlife and fish values, and the fact that it's a fabulous timber growing area, that is a very important watershed in the Swan Valley -- several, but probably could be thought of maybe as the most important in a lot of ways. We went on to, before the Montana Legacy Project begin, finding ways to acquire or protect those lands in a process that went on from the late 1990s until, really, 2011 when I think section 3 finally became Forest Service. That was a citizen-braced process all that time. And it resulted in the Elk Creek Conservation Area, the collaborative work with the tribes. I talk too much. Let's let Sharon.

MS. LAMAR: Sharon Lamar. I think I'm
dating myself here, but in 1994 in an EIS statement, the biologist spoke way better than I could about the value. And let's see if $I$ can find it in my notes. He said "Elk Creek is the single most important bull trout spawning stream on the Swan River drainage." And you know, the local folks were very aware of that. And we worked with the late, great Bud Moore. And I sure wish he were around today to speak for this, because he worked tirelessly, as did a lot of other citizens with the ad hoc committee.

And it was a time of what were called the timber wars where the environmentalists and the loggers kind of, you know, butted heads. And that little group in Swan Valley got the loggers and the environmentalists to sit down at a table together and come to consensus. And we had a facilitator who helped us with that. And the consensus was we need to protect these Elk Creek sections. And we met with Plum Creek and we asked Could we buy -- this was way before they got into real estate -- Could we buy -- Is there some way we can fundraise and buy these sections? Absolutely not. That was the message we received from them after meeting with them. And so then we went to the next step. How about a land exchange? And we did, we worked with the Forest Service and achieved that. We exchanged land in the

Squeezer Creek area. And that was controversial in itself. But we think we achieved what we had hoped to in preserving those lands around Elk Creek. And so yes, we do -- we cherish those lands, as we do all of our lands in the Swan Valley.

MS. MARTEN: Sure.
MS. LAMAR: And you had asked about the difference between intensive and moderate. And I spoke to that on Wednesday. But when I hear "intensive," a red flag is raised. Because the property that borders my land that I own has been intensively logged by Plum Creek. So I think I have a picture of that. So it's hard to put into words but -- and again I might be dating myself. But you know, the word "clear-cut" comes into mind when $I$ speak of -- think of intensive logging. And when I think of moderate to low-intensity logging, I think of what we had talked about as stewardship logging. Which I like that term "stewardship logging," being good stewards of the land. And that is what Bud Moore would say. We need to be good stewards of the land.

And these lands around Elk Creek are so diverse and rich, not only for bull trout but many other species of wildlife. And they're worth having a discussion about and doing the best we can to manage those lands. That's basically what $I$ wanted to say. I hope I covered it.

MS. TRIBE: So Leanne, you asked a minute ago about to help you understand how people saw the difference between moderate and intensive. And Anne spoke to it.

And one of the things you said, Anne, was there have been projects done under the moderate designation that we could really be proud of and they protected ecological values. I wondered if you might be able to describe one of those, either actually or hypothetically, so that you had some -- that would help these guys have some picture of what is viewed on the ground as moderate versus intense. And is that asking -- would that be hard to do?

MS. DAHL: I'll try. I wish I could remember some of the names of the timber sales that actually went through, made it through the litigation process. Some in the Meadow Smith area, which is on the east side of the Valley. And I wish Kvande Anderson were here, our local logger, because he worked on some of those projects. And when you walk through there now, you see a forest. There's a diverse forest. There's a lot of larger timber still there that still shade covers and that you can see that it's got lots of different habitat types in localized areas where there are many species of wildlife, and it's also opened up the canopy in a lot of
places enough that a fire would likely be able to burn through at a lower intensity than if it were a dense forest canopy. So there's another one on the west side of the Valley that was called Hemlock Elk -- it's right in my neighborhood -- where there still are large, huge large trees still standing. And in fact, in that case, $I$ would call that high-moderate logging because it looks like a fire had gone through and taken everything but these big trees. And I wonder if that's pushing the edge of moderate towards intensive. But you still have that forest overstory there. And I know it will be a lot safer for my neighborhood if a fire were to run through that particular area.

MS. TRIBE: Does that help kind of -- a view of what it would look like in the eyes of, as you guys said, we're here as lay people.

MS. MARTEN: Yes, extremely helpful.
And if I could, Paul, I was curious if you wouldn't mind, from your perspective when you think intensity and moderate, the difference you see there and how you view that on the ground, or what that may or may not do should the proposed recommended go from 6c to 6b. What are your thoughts on that?

MR. MCKENZIE: Sure, I'd love to be able to give people a little perspective here. First and
foremost, I think there's two different objections or two proposed remedies that $I$ think are very different. One mentions changing all land use designations within the Swan Valley from 6c to 6b. And the second is talking about these five sections that are right here. And I think if you had the time and effort to do a place-based negotiation you might find a resolution that makes sense for everybody.

I just wanted to bring a little perspective to the definition of high-intensity management as applied by the Forest Service. So if you look at the forest plan right now at 440,000 acres of suitable timber base or so that are being proposed and the annual timber harvest that you have proposed, if you look at it from a productivity standpoint, that equates to 18 board feet per acre per year is the productivity level that those lands, the suitable timber base, has to be managed in order to meet your goal of timber harvest; okay?

In comparison, we have a lot of School Trust lands in the Swan Valley. They have a sustained yield management program not dissimilar to the State. Their goal is for managing their lands, productivity wise, sustained yield wise as roughly 150 to 170 board feet per acre per year. So that's ten times. And I would think that a lot of the School Trust land management that goes
on you have a lot of community involvement in that. And I think a lot of that would probably be characterized as the moderate to high-level of intensity. Speaking for you, that's the way I would look at it. And then in comparison, let's look at the industrial timber lands.

If Stoltze Land and Lumber owned those lands in the Valley, looking at productivity of those lands, we'd be managing for an annual productivity in that 250 to 300 board rate. So that would be probably considered a high-intensity management even though our management shoots to balance all times. So $I$ just wanted to give you a perspective of where you're at at 18 board feet per acre versus the State at nearly ten times that versus -you know.

And so that just gives you -- so from my perspective, high-intensity management on Forest Service lands is not the same at high-intensity management land on what people might consider, even the previous owners of those lands.

That being said, the difference between $6 b$ and 6 c that I see is that under 6c it's the only land use designation that the Forest has that says that fiber production to meet the needs of society should be one of the considerations that are taken into account when we manage these lands. All the other ones don't have that
in there. 6b is within the suitable timber base, but it's not one of the considerations as far as why we manage those lands; okay? I think that's important. When you look at your plan, you're down to 257,000 acres out of 2.5 million that are managed with at least one of the objectives being fiber for the needs of society. Two of these sections were purchased through the Forest Legacy program. One of the key components of the Forest Legacy program is that those stands need to stay in management for multiple-use management but also to meet the needs of society for the production of fiber.

So I think you look at the map and you look at the amount of riparian management zones that are in this, when you start talking about projects, I think you're going to find that the level of management of these areas is going to be relatively low, low to moderate, when you add all the other constraints that you're going to have to consider.

From my perspective, you know, I have to defer to some of the local place-based folks on some of the issues on those four particular segments there. I guess my feeling is is that your concerns would be certainly addressed through the project level analysis, if there were ever a project proposed in there. This is a 10,000-foot planning level document. From my
perspective, keeping it in 6c keeps the door open for the range of management activities that your professionals might need to consider in context of all the other concerns, if you were to put a project on the ground in this area.

Like I say, certainly, these four or five acres are one issue. But if you were to try to expand this to looking at the entire Swan Valley, I think it's extremely important that the majority of the acreage that you have designated as 6c in the Swan Valley stay as 6c from a planning designation, knowing that at the project level, all the other considerations, all the other constraints that you're going to have in land management will apply. And the actual level of management that gets done on the ground might be less.

So it's always easier to manage less
intensively than what your forest management plan says. But it's very difficult and, quite honestly, prohibitive to try to manage up from there. So even if you have a small area, 15 acres within this larger area that needed a high level of treatment to meet a resource objective which might be even a wildlife or a fuels management objective, you might be limited by your Forest Plan if you do it at a lower level. So that's our perception. It's a consideration we hope you would think about as you
make your decision.
MS. MARTEN: Wonderful; thank you. So go ahead, Gary.

MR. WOLFE: Just a quick follow-up on that. And actually, you did an excellent job of framing what $I$ was trying to say earlier, in terms of my perception. The 6c, the high intensity, to me, talks about fiber production being an important objective. Whereas 6b, the moderate fiber production is not a primary objective there and management to achieve other natural resource values and recreational values are really the driving force there. So that was a good way to help me better articulate my impression of it.

And I guess what $I$ would say is that looking at the history and just building upon what was said earlier, I got involved as a professional on this project back in 2005 when Bud Moore placed a conservation easement on his 80-acre Coyote forest. And at the time, I was executive director of the Vital Ground Foundation, which is a land trust that is focused on wildlife habitat. So we worked with Bud Moore on a conservation easement on his Coyote forest which lies in section, I believe, 26 and borders section 35. So again, in that Elk Creek area, his property bordered Elk Creek just a little farther downstream. So that was my first professional
involvement.
Anne Dahl was executive director of the Swan Ecosystem Center at the time. And there was a group called the Swan Lands Coordinating Council that brought together the timber industry, the agencies and the conservation organizations, again, to collectively talk about management of Swan Valley lands. And out of these earlier efforts in the 1990 s, with Bud's involvement with conservation and ecosystem management on his property, this whole concept of the Elk Creek Conservation Area arose. And that was a very specific initiative to acquire and manage for its fishery and wildlife values sections 3, 9 and 35. And this was prior to the Tribe and the Swan Ecosystem Center actually acquiring section 35. I mean, this whole concept of an initiative was born out of a community-based effort that involved community folks and conservation organizations and agencies saying Hey, these three sections are special. They have extremely high fish and wildlife values.

So Swan Ecosystem Center had the lead on that. They produced a brochure called a Elk Creek Conservation Area. I submitted an electronic copy of that with my objection. I meant to give you a hard copy to give you and I walked out and left it next to my empty coffee mug. So that shows that this is a long history of looking at
those three sections there in the special values. Vital Ground at the time said Boy, we're working on conservation habitat, conservation easements in the Swan Valley. We bought into the Elk Creek Conservation Area. We became a fundraising partner with the Swan Ecosystem Center and helped to raise, literally, tens of thousands of dollars for this through private fundraising efforts. In fact, I remember one grant specifically was a hundred thousand dollar grant we brought into that project that went into the Swan Ecosystem Center for that. So there is a long, long history of a community-based effort to recognize these unique values of the Elk Creek Conservation Area, again, 3,5 , and 35.

And I think it's just really important in the Revised Forest Plan for that Forest Plan to recognize all the community based effort that has gone on for years and years and incorporate that into the forest plan. Because there's been hundreds of hours of work going into this with a lot of consensus that these are important special sections.

And when we look at the map that you've included here showing the overlay of the designation of Elk Creek as eligible for wild and scenic river designation, $I$ mean, there's not an awful lot of area
left in those to focus on fiber production. The one thing that wasn't captured in the summary that was in my specific objection was the south half of section 4. The north half of section 4 is private land. The south half of section 4 is national forest. That south roughly one-third has one of the most incredible stands of old-growth larch that I've seen in the Swan. And I know in my initial comments to the forest plan and in my objection, $I$ think it's important to recognize the value of those old-growth stands and manage them for old growth, again, as opposed to managing them for fiber production. Because it is a pretty unique area.

Anne, you're familiar with it. You're familiar with it. So it's a special place there.

So that was why I included section 4 in my objection as well, the old-growth component there again borders Elk Creek.

MS. MARTEN: So one of the questions I have and, Paul, you brought it up and I'm curious what folks's thoughts are -- and let me back up a minute. So Paul, I think you're separating out there's a couple different issues or objections just in the bigger picture and one was definitely the Elk Creek area. And then another one is definitely there were some objectors and some comments
regarding the Swan Valley and 6c designation and some of the other wildland-urban interface areas of the Swan Valley versus 6b. So I'd like to tease that out a little bit. Understanding the place base in the Elk Creek, I'm wondering is the concern at least from the folks here, is the concern with the 6c, 6b, again, the level of intensity? Part of what $I$ hear is for several folks when they hear intense management, they're thinking industrial lands which is, you know, they have a different objective and as, Paul, you very nicely outlaid some of the differences when it comes to industrial versus State trust lands versus how we're using all the same term meaning something different on the objective and outcome on the ground.

Is that the concern is that intense would be clear-cut, nothing left, on those other lands in the Swan Valley, or is there something more there that I just need to have a better understanding on that bigger picture?

MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl again. I recognize the fact that even with moderate intense logging you might have a 20-acre clear-cut in a collapsing lodgepole stand. But when I think of intense management, I look, as I often do, at Google Earth and I can see that there are large swaths of land where wildlife can't use in the winter because of the -- especially this winter. There's
just not enough cover. There's no cover to prevent so much snow and thermal cover that's needed. So I -- I think of moderate management as taking into account all of the uses of a region of land and making sure that you don't focus on one value only.

MS. MARTEN: So let me tease that out just a little bit. So if it's under 6c, which is your intense -- and I know there's more words that go with that but i'll just summarize with intense -- do you see where as it's written and as we have described it that it's the fiber component and we aren't taking into account anything else? And then I'm going to swap that. If it's under 6b -- and I don't know, Paul, if this is to you or to others or Edd -- if it's 6b that we're taking into account only the wildlife and aquatics and we aren't thinking about the fiber? Is it either or? Is that how it's reading and how some of the interpretation?

MS. DAHL: I'll go ahead, Anne Dahl, and just say if we describe from the, as you say, the $10,000-$ foot level that the primary goal is fiber, then I'm afraid in the future people may not see the whole. And I'll let others speak. I'd like to hear what Paul and others have to say.

MS. LAMAR: Sharon again. Anne's comment about ground cover for wildlife is key when you're
talking about -- in my mind, when you're talking about intensive versus moderate or low intensity. If something has been intensively managed, I picture no ground cover for wildlife protections. And that's, again, a layperson's viewpoint. I'm not a forester. And considerations for erosion. If something's been intensively managed, I picture erosion possibly happening and that there's been a lot of restoration going on in the Swan because of past practices. When I think of moderate, $I$ think of something that has been acceptable to most people in the public, both sides probably.

MS. MARTEN: Very helpful; thank you.
MS. TRIBE: Edd, you had your hand up.
MR. BLACKLER: I would just make a couple of observations in regards to the level of intensity of management. In my mind, the more higher intensity invasion of the area, in my mind, translates to a larger impact on the wildlife and it also incorporates a followup on the recreational uses. And when there's high intensive management which, to me, translates to more roads, more access, more possibility of erosion which has a negative effect on the wildlife, it also -- the after-effect draws more motorized uses. Which that, again, has a negative effect on the entire area and the wildlife that are there.

So the fact that this particular area and all along the Swan Front is such an incredible habitat for wildlife and for the opportunity for limited recreation which in, my mind, is hiking, solitude, leading through to the Bob Marshall and so forth, those opportunities that are -- we're so lucky to have in our state, that the rest of the nation that also owns the national forest has an opportunity to come and be here and utilize it. And they will come. And they are coming in greater, greater numbers. So it would behoove us to do everything we can to make sure that we are protecting that aspect of our area of the national forest.

So I am strongly supportive of what we're hearing here, if the minimum intensity to utilize in those national forests to create a protective area. And you've already seen the excellent work of the community to work toward that goal to conserve what we have. So it would be my hope that the Forest Service would tie into that concept and in your designation of what you want to do there, keep it minimal.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you, Edd.
Go ahead, Anne, then we'll go to Gary.
MS. DAHL: I would just like to add onto that is one of the things I'm a little concerned about is if we delist the grizzly bear, which I see coming in the
future, it may mean a lot more road building in the Swan. What has curtailed or held the road building in check is the grizzly bear. So once that protection for the grizzly bear, whatever, is missing, if we call it intensive-management area designation, then that may translate into more road building, which does always translate into erosion into streams, more people accessing with four-wheelers and so forth.

MS. TRIBE: So you're talking about the protections that come with the endangered or threatened species, when that goes away.

MS. DAHL: Thank you for saying it better. MS. TRIBE: I just wanted to be sure we were clear. Gary.

MR. WOLFE: And I just wanted to call your attention, again, to the map that's on the briefing document and speak specifically to the adjoining private lands. Because I know the Forest Service is very cognizant of the adjoining private lands and the impact of the forest plan on those. And I would like to point out that in section 4, one of the sections we're talking about, 360 of those acres are private acres, half of those have been protected by conservation easements. Up in section 34 , which borders section 3 , 160 acres have
been protected with conservation easements and there's another 160 acres that is very close in terms of negotiations with the private land trusts that's going under conservation easement. In section 35 , which is part of the community forest there, has a timber management plan that specifies that it's a pretty moderate harvest on that timber management plan, again, recognizing the fish and wildlife values.

And the comments $I$ was going to make on the conservation easements in section 4 and section 34, those two, the timber management plans on those lands that are protective conservation easements, again, are moderate intention plans with the goal of managing the Forest for the fish and wildlife values. So again, $I$ believe that a 6c (sic) designation for the area that we're talking about here would be very compatible with the adjoining private lands and the Forest management practices of those private landowners. So I don't think there would be a push back from those private landowners with that type of an approach.

And Anne, you were engaged in and very involved in the development of the management plan in section 35. I don't know whether you wanted to comment on that in any more depth, but I know there was a lot of discussion about how to manage the timber on section 35 .

MS. DAHL: It was a long and difficult conversation, especially working with the tribes. They're very wonderful to work with, I don't mean to put it that way. But they were insistent that we go through a checklist, kind of a flow chart, question-answer. Will wildlife benefit? Will fish benefit? And you have to work your way down. And if you get to the point where we're going to harm anything, then we won't act. So to make a management decision, you start with this process of thinking about the wildlife, thinking about the whole forest and working your way down and then saying Yes, we can't harvest this area because it won't harm anything and it may even benefit. It was a hard collaborative head-butting discussion that went on for quite a while.

MS. TRIBE: Gary.
MR. WOLFE: And what may be my last comment, Gary Wolfe again, I haven't mentioned it specifically, but Elk Creek is probably the single-most important bull trout spawning tributary in the Swan drainage, which you're very aware of.

MS. MARTEN: Uh-huh.
MR. WOLFE: I also spent some time on the fish and wildlife commission. And what $I$ came to realize there is the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks places such high value on the Elk Creek drainage
that it is closed to any type of fishing year round. That's how sensitive they believe Elk Creek is from a bull trout perspective. So again, when we've got an area that Montana Fish, Wildlife and Parks feels is so important that they do not allow any fishing at all and in the forest plan that has been identified as being eligible for a consideration for wild and scenic river designation, $I$ personally don't think high intensity vegetation management is consistent with either one of those. And again, another reason why I recommended the moderate intensity.

MS. MARTEN: And Paul, you've been squirming a little bit over there, so I'm presuming that means you're ready to jump in with something.

MS. TRIBE: And you didn't move over at all.

MR. MCKENZIE: Once again, my comments are really geared towards the larger picture and not specifically the Elk Creek drainage. It occurs to me that once clarification under 6c fiber production is not the primary or only driving management consideration. It's just the only one that has it as a management consideration; okay? Big difference there. All the other conditions and requirements of the forest plan apply to those acres just like they do all other acres in
a project. That's why you're at 18 board feet per acre and not 170 or 300 .

A lot of those acres in Swan Valley are checkerboard lands that were previously under industrial ownership that have received heavy management in the past. That has some folks might bear some negative impacts, but there also are some beneficial impacts as well there.

There are infrastructure in place. The roads that are there are there. There's been good, even-aged management that's been started in some of those areas that makes sense to continue, under a different management scheme than maybe previously. But why not utilize the infrastructure that's already there to continue to manage those lands for fiber production as one of their objectives? Knowing that all the other -the wildlife habitat, all that kind of stuff, is going to be considered at the project level. Quite honestly, by doing that, you're going to allow a lower level management on other acres; all right?

This is the trade-off. You can manage more acres less intensively or you can manage fewer acres more intensively. So that's one of the challenges that all land managers have to deal with. I would argue that we're already at 90 percent of the acres on the Flathead

National Forest are managed with other resources, first and foremost. And then that leaves us with ten percent or less, just gross acres, that are managed with some consideration for the Forest Service's responsibility to meet the demands of society for fiber. It's just that simple.

The Swan lands are highly productive in many ways, for wildlife, for clean water, for habitat, but they also grow trees very well. And you can do both, as has been demonstrated multiple times on private lands. I have 10,000 of my lands under a conservation easement. And guess what? It's managed for grizzly bear, it's managed for timber production, managed for clean water including the water supply for the City of Whitefish. The productivity of my land is way higher than 1,800 board feet and I'm still meeting these other objectives.

So I just really encourage you to not back away from keeping the door open for your opportunities. It's not going to necessarily drive the on-the-ground management of every acre. But if you put a land use designation on these lands that's too restrictive to start, you've taken a whole bunch of options off the plate in consideration for your professional managers to consider at a professional level. So keep your door open. It doesn't mean that just because it's 6c that
you're going to be growing trees and nothing else there. But it gives you the opportunity to use all the tools in your toolbox.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you.
I know that we're just about out of time so I want to make sure, Sheri, you haven't had an opportunity to put a voice in the room. Is there anything else you'd like to add or say?

MS. BURDEN: I appreciate all the views. I don't come with the background that some of the other folks here have. We moved to the Swan in 1980. We moved here because here's clean air and there's clear water and wildlife, and we just appreciate what we have. I have seen the logging in the past. I don't know enough about in the high intensity but, to me, that's a red flag just because of what I've seen. I think this area of Elk Creek, you know, we went for a ski there this winter. It's just beautiful. It needs this protection of a lower intensity.

MS. TRIBE: Gosh, that was only thirty-eight years ago. You've only been there thirty-eight years, a newcomer.

MS. MARTEN: Well, thank you. And I really appreciate all of your voices in the room. It's always fascinating to me how one word can mean so many different
things to so many different people. And I am thinking of the word "intensity" versus "moderate" versus "low." And it's just all the different perspectives and lenses you can see that through on that. So it's very helpful for me just to hear your perspectives, your voice in the room, the different views specific to Elk Creek area and that very special area as well as the special areas throughout the Swan Valley which are one of many across the Flathead and our public lands. So thank you for your time and for continuing with that dialogue. And I know you guys have been at the table together on many things throughout the years and you'll continue to do that, so just thank you very much for that as well.

With that, $I$ think what I'll do is turn it over to Ginny because we'll have a little bit of break and then the Krause Basin is the topic on the agenda. And $I$ know we have some other players that will be coming to the table and some of you may be at the table for that one as well. But again, thank you very much. Appreciate it. Appreciate your interest and your continued involvement.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you. One of the things I wanted to make sure that $I$ think everybody in the room would know is that this was an interesting discussion that brought not opposing, necessarily, points of view.

We heard a lot of information about real site specific areas, but also the comments that -- I'm not trying to create commonality here -- I just think that the comments that Paul brought in thinking about a large landscape and what happens when you do things sort of under a particular umbrella. I thought those were -- that's probably the first time we've really had that kind of discussion in these three days about looking at it from two perspectives, not opposing, but both of them very interesting points. So -- and Edd, thank you again for coming back today.

So we're going to break for ten minutes. Will the people who are at the table leave the table, get a fresh glass -- a clean glass, and then the people who are here for Krause Creek who are either objectors with standing or interested parties with standing come to the table at 11:00; thank you.
(Proceedings in recess from 10:49 a.m. to
10:59 a.m.)

Friday, April 13, 2018-10:59 a.m.
KRAUSE BASIN
MR. WEBER: Welcome. My name's Chip Weber.
I'm the Forest supervisor on the Flathead National Forest and deciding official for the forest plan revision. To my left is Leanne Marten who is the regional forester and the reviewing officer for this.

Really glad that you came here, got here safely. Talk about a couple things real quickly for safety. If there's any kind of an incident, fire or anything, please exit out those doors and gather in the parking lot but get away from the building, per se, and gather where you can safely in the parking lot and we'll tie in with you there.

The comfort station, aka restroom, aka a whole lot of other things, is if you go out the door -MS. TRIBE: I didn't know what that was. MR. WEBER: -- and make a left, then it's just down a hundred feet or so, you'll make another left and you'll see it right there.

This has been a good week. And I went into this week thinking about how $I$ was going to make the most of this time. And I guess the description $I$ used this morning, some of you heard, is to go into it with curiosity. And for me it's about listening and learning.

You'll see me taking some notes. Those are really to prompt me to remember the tones, the conversation or the things that $I$ really want to consider, but I'll also rely on the verbatim notes that we're getting from Bambi here.

So I do appreciate all the work that it took to get to this point and all the work that each of you have done to look at the proposed decision and draft decision and be thoughtful about it. And that's my intent as well.

I do want to introduce our team or have them introduce themselves. And Joe's in the back, so I'll start with Marsha.

MS. MOORE: Good morning. I'm Marsha Moore, recreation wilderness planner on the team.

MS. TRECHSEL: Hello. I'm Heidi Trechsel the vegetation specialist on the team.

MS. KUENNEN: Reed Kuennen, wildlife biologist on the team.

MR. SMITH: Raymond Smith, regional coordinator for fields objections and litigation down in Missoula, Montana, and up here for the week.

MS. RUSHO: Nancy Rusho. I do objections and appeals in the Washington office.

MS. AKE: Kathy Ake, I'm the GIS specialist on the team.

MR. KRUEGER: Joe Krueger. I've been the interdisciplinary team leader for this fabulous team here.

MR. WEBER: In the back.
MS. TURK: Janette Turk, public affairs officer for the Flathead.

MR. CARLIN: Good morning. Rob Carlin. I'm the staff officer in the Flathead forest for the natural resources planning and fire program.

MS. PEEL: Timory Peel, regional planner, Missoula.

MS. ALLEN: Stacy Allen, I'm the writer/editor for the team.

MR. WEBER: Also, if you have not done so already, if you could turn your cell phone down or to stun or whatever you call it so we can have an uninterrupted conversation, I'd appreciate that.

With that I'll turn it over to Leanne.
MS. MARTEN: Thanks, Chip, and welcome
everyone. It's great to see you here this morning. Before we jump right into the topic for Krause Basin, I thought it might help you to get just a feel for how I'm approaching this and what I'm hoping we can get out of it and maybe a little bit of what I'm not asking from you at this time.

So as Chip mentioned, my name's Leanne Marten, and I'm the regional forester for the northern region. For this process I'm the reviewing officer. So I'm reviewing all the objections that came in on the proposed forest plan and Chip's draft decision. We had over 74 objectors and, as you can imagine, a whole spectrum of issues and objections that came up. And we've spent the last two days and then today on various topics and issues that came up from those objections. Not all of them by any means. However, all of them will be addressed in my letters back to you all as objectors on how your issues were reviewed and any resolution or any potential instructions to Chip on how to address those objections. You will not see them all verbatim because there are many similar ones, so they may be grouped when they come in the letters.

One of the things and the topic we just ended with and definitely the one today, this morning, that you're at the table on, all of the objections and all the issues that came up are very much tied into people's values. And one of the things I am not asking any of you or your organizations, and never would, is to change your values from that standpoint. I respect everybody's values. They all definitely play into the management of our public lands. I have the privilege and honor of
managing these public lands on your behalf. They're not mine, they're ours. So I just thank you for that and just the ability to respect everybody's values as we move forward and we discuss this topic. Because I know there's a lot of passion. And I love to see that passion from everyone. But the passions may not be the same or for the same reasons from that perspective.

So the intent today is to really help me get a view through dialogue amongst us and amongst yourselves on, really, what $I$ think $I$ understood $I$ read in the written objections. I'm going to focus it in a little bit with a couple key points and a couple proposed remedies and really just need to make sure I'm not missing something or if I'm misconstruing or misrepresenting something that may be a part of your objection, by all means, please correct me on that. I want to make sure everybody has a voice at the table. So we'll do a quick round of introductions here for folks here at the table. We may have a couple that joined us via phone that are objectors or interested parties as well. And Ginny will help facilitate to make sure we have all the voices in the room.

You won't hear a decision from me today. I haven't been making any decisions all week, however, you will receive in the near future my resolution in my
letters to help you out in that. And there's a couple reasons for that. Part of that is I just need time to continue processing. I don't want to short side or not really have an opportunity to think about things that the dialogue has maybe brought forth and then that bigger picture. But it's also because many of these tie into so many things that are part of the objections, I want to make sure that I'm taking the time needed to understand that bigger picture while valuing your input and your thoughts and really give it the due diligence.

As you see in the room here I've had a great team of folks helping me. One of the process things that I've had a couple people ask me about is the folks that put a lot of staff work and review the objections and help keep me briefed on them were not all the same people who worked on the plan. They were definitely part of it, but I actually asked for some folks that had not been involved within the region or on the forces to come in. So I had some folks from outside and other regions come in and help review the objections received and really take a look at the plan and Chip's drafted decision and really have some fresh eyes on it. Because we're all human. There's things as we get going that we see it one way and it's hard to pull back. So that's also part of the assistance that I've asked for on that. So it's been
a real comprehensive review process and this is an integral part today in the dialogue that I look forward to having here over the next hour.

We will keep to the time frame from that because, just like you folks, we've had people coming and going over the last three days and we want to honor that so we can stick to the schedule and the agenda time start per topic because we have one more this afternoon on winter travel and travel management that $I$ want to honor those folks's time as well.

So any questions just on that or the process or the intent today? Not hearing any.

So let me do a quick round. Let me ask for folks on the phone. Is there anybody that joined us via phone that's either an objector or interested party for the Krause Basin topic here this morning? And if so, would you please introduce yourself? It does not sound like anybody's on the phone. If you were introducing yourself, we didn't hear you. So you may have been on mute and, if so, please speak up.

Otherwise, I'm going to start around the table. Edd, would you mind introducing yourself? And if you guys do this, say your name and then who you're representing, if you're representing an organization or yourself as a citizen.

MR. BLACKLER: I'm Edd Blackler, and I'm here as an observer and representing myself basically.

MS. MCCABE: Anna McCabe, and I'm representing myself. And I live adjacent to Krause Basin.

MR. MCCABE: Bill McCabe. I'm representing myself and I live next to Krause Basin and use it daily throughout the year.

MR. LARSON: I'm John Larson. I live very near Krause Basin. You can probably throw a rock into Krause Creek from my property. And I'm representing myself.

MR. GOTSCHALK: Chris Gotschalk, representing myself and live in Ferndale.

MS. LINSKY: I'm Norma Linsky, and I'm representing myself and I recreate at Krause Basin. I live in Kalispell.

MR. PECK: Brian Peck. I'm representing myself and Keith Hammer from Swan View Coalition.

MS. MARTEN: If we do have anybody joining via phone, Bambi is a court reporter. So Chip mentioned earlier but we will get transcripts of the last three days and when they're available they'll be posted so you folks will get that. I have a lot of folks around me who are taking great notes. So if you're wondering why I'm
taking no notes, it's because it really helps me to be present and be part of the dialogue and have really active listening, not writing things down. It doesn't mean I'm just going to have it go in one ear and out the other. I've got other folks helping capture and I'll be relying on Bambi's transcript to help spark memories and get some of the specifics down on that part of it.

So the other thing I'd mentioned is as we go around, if you could please, for Bambi's sake and for the record, just state your name when you begin to talk that will just help and trigger it. I know it can be a little awkward as Ginny will say, but it does help us just capture as we go through.

So Ginny, did I forget anything.
MS. TRIBE: We might want to see who's in the audience.

MS. MARTEN: If we could, just real quick, see who's here observing in the audience.

And Marla, can I start with you over against the wall there?

MS. FOX: Marla Fox, WildEarth Guardians, observing.

MS. EISEN: Hilary Eisen, Winter Wildlands Alliance, observing.

MS. MARTEN: I know these folks are just at
the table. If you could just introduce so we know. Sheri, can we start with you?

MS. BURDEN: Sheri Burden, just observing, Swan Valley.

MS. DAHL: Anne Dahl, observing from Swan Valley.

MS. LAMAR: Sharon Lamar, observing.
MR. WOLFE: Gary Wolfe from Swan Valley, observing.

MR. SCEVERS: Corey Scevers, Forest Service, observing.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much. So let me jump in and see if I can start us off here. So everyone has received the briefing paper in front of you. And let me explain what the intent of those have been throughout the week. It's just as a starting point and to just start the dialogue. It is not and was not intended to be all encompassing of everything that you guys shared through your objections or your interests. It's a couple things to try and just help narrow down and a starting point for me to summarize what $I$ think I understand some of the key objections are, what I am hearing as potential remedies and, again, is just a starting point for dialogue. So if you're not seeing something there that you want to make sure is out in the
room, by all means, please voice it.
Please don't feel a need to repeat everything you have in your objections you wrote in, though, because I do have those and I do have those that have been summarized and I've read them and I'll have those to go back to. If it's really important, by all means, make sure you work that in, but don't feel a need to repeat everything you wrote in because $I$ do have all that and have been reading through those as well.

So with Krause Basin I will say I was, Monday, earlier this week before we started the meetings, I did spend a day out in the field with folks from the Forest. And one of the areas I asked that I'd like to at least go get a feel for was Krause Basin because I'd never been there. Now, with the weather I didn't get clear up into the Basin and do all that. But I did at least go see the proximity to the trailheads and the community and just get a better visual for myself on what many of you were describing, you live right next to it, you recreate in there, the trails and trying to get a better feel for that. And I look forward to spending more time in the Swan Valley and Krause Basin area in the future. But it's one of the things where $I$ did that just to help me. Now, like I said, that just helped me get a little bit of visual. So as you're talking, I may have a few questions
to help me get oriented and a better feel for how you may be seeing things. I truly want to see things through your lens not through just my lens. That's not the point of this. I need to see it from your perspective as best I can on that.

So with that in mind and when I read the objections, there's several things with the Basin. And it has a long history there in previous decisions that have been made, previous agreements working with the community and different organizations and a very valued place on the Flathead National Forest. I'll paraphrase a couple key things that $I$ could use some help with from you folks today, at least as a starting point.

A couple of the key objections are we definitely have some different views and values on even the use of the Basin for motorized recreation. And I have folks that would prefer not to have any motorized recreation there for several different reasons. It could be for the grizzly bear, their own preference on the type of recreation, noise. There are a lot of different reasons for that. But I'll just paraphrase a desire not to have motorized recreation at all in the Basin.

Through the objections, there's also if we have motorized, there's the 13 miles of trail, there's some history there on previous understanding of agreements for
the 13 miles of trail and whether or not those are allowed to stay motorized and, if they are, whether or not they're signed or not signed on the type of use and then, of course, you work into that some of the seasons of use. And then there are concerns from the standpoint, and I think, John, you handed me a map right before we started here that I'll have as part of the record, of there's the 13 miles of trail, the core trails I'll call them from previous decisions and activities, and then there's a whole bunch of other trails that have been created out there by various uses over the years that are not part of what some of the previous decisions have referred to as those 13 miles on that. And that's all really general big picture from that standpoint.

So with just that in mind, what would help me would be to have a little bit of dialogue on where you folks are, what you see as potential remedies, and just making sure I'm not totally missing, knowing there's a lot more behind even what general objections I just summarized, what I may be missing from that. And I'm going to start out with a couple questions and see if it might tease out and some of the dialogue.

I'm going to start out with the assumption, and it doesn't mean this is going one way or the other, these are for dialogue purposes. Say the 13 miles stayed the

13 miles with the use as it currently is right now, just as a starting point. And the reason I need that just as a starting point is I'm really having a hard time when it comes to the signage part of the objections that came up and signing, not signing.

I think in my mind, and this may be totally wrong, it's all tied into whether or not you would even like to have motorized use in that area. And then Well, if there's even some, if you sign it, you're going to have even more people come is one perspective. And then on the flip side, if you sign it, you may keep the user-made other trails that are not desirable out there from occurring. So I got both sides there.

So I know that's a lot there, but I'm hoping can you folks just help me on where you're coming from with that and just help me understand a better perspective, a little bit of the history there and where you're at today with even signage and then also how that ties into how you see the use in there.

MS. TRIBE: John, you want to start?
MR. LARSON: Sure, I'll start out. John
Larson. And the map that $I$ showed you is my most accurate representation of what's actually there. You have kind of this mythical 13 miles. You can't find most of them. But this is basically what's out there on the
ground.
Concerning signage, well, my concern is that we don't have motorized use in that area, period. But given that, if you have signage, you know, that would help direct people to different parts of the trail. If they're not using it for motorized use, it could be, I think, a suitable kind of focused recreation area.

But if you're coming in and you're looking at developing something akin to Big Mountain ski area or some of these big developed campsites along Hungry Horse Reservoir, that's not going to fit in that area. You don't have enough room. For one, you'd have to dramatically improve that parking area. You'd have to put some toilet facilities in and so forth as well as signage.

Given that, you know, it could be suitable for horses. I'm seeing more horses back in there. A few years ago $I$ saw some mountain bikes, but they've kind of disappeared. The signs that I do see in there, even those areas that the trails that are mapped there, there's not a lot of ATV or motorcycle use there, yet. I mean, you do see occasionally some signs where they've been there usually in inappropriate seasons and inappropriate areas. There's a whole network of trails that are developed closer to the road between the
trailhead and the entrance to some of these trails that you see on the map where it adjoins the road. And so that would be good to eliminate. But like I say, I'm in favor of -- you know, you could sign it, but just don't allow motorized use.

MS. MARTEN: So John, let me tease out just one thing. When you used the -- if it went to something like the Big Sky (sic) area, when you read in Chip's draft decision in the proposed plan this area being part of a focused recreation area, is that what you envision that means, that it means even more use and more development? Is that how you interpret and read what was described in Chip's decision?

MR. LARSON: Clearly. The idea of a focused recreation area, to me, indicates that a lot of people are going to be using that and recreating in the area. And I guess I don't have a problem with folks using that area. I mean, it's a marvelous area. I'd like to keep it to myself, but that's not the best thing. But you know, $I$ just think it's a mistake and it doesn't fit with the resource base in that area.

MS. MARTEN: Very helpful, thank you. So other thoughts.

Go ahead, Bill.
MR. MCCABE: I'll just say my biggest
concern is the motorized use. And I'm not against motorized use at all. I actually own two snowmobiles. But I use my snowmobiles to go up Strawberry Lake Road and Peters Ridge Road where they're allowed to access in the back country skiing in the area and then we park the snowmobiles and hike from there.

I guess my point that $I$ just want to bring up, I think it's July 1st through September, the motorized use is currently allowed. And it's just my experience we hike, bike, use the trails every day in there. And the motorized use, it's just a real free-for-all approach in there. I've lived there since 2015. And I mean, the motorized use, as soon as the snow is gone, the trails are as fresh as can be, just real muddy, and they're in there many times a week with total disregard to the privilege they're given to be in there two months, which I think they're very lucky to have that, because it's a really neat area.

The trails are getting damaged just because they're in there at the wrong time of year when the trails are too wet. And they just have -- it's just a real free-for-all approach. They're going off the trails. They're just ruining the trails. They leave trenches right up the existing trails, then it rains and then it erodes. And again, it's not an attack on
motorized use. It's just a disrespect of the area and the rules. And I live right next door to Krause Basin and I hear it out of season. I knew when I brought my property that they had those two months and I was good with that. But now $I$ can hear it in early as April, May, June. It happens daily there's motorized use.

MS. MARTEN: So let me ask you this, Bill, and I'm not sure if this is a question you can necessarily answer. But if the usage stayed as is and people were using it and respecting the trails or there was some help with I'll just say signs for just one tool to help with that, would that maybe not meet a hundred percent of your desire there but would that help eliminate some of the concerns that you're seeing on the ground as well as the use outside of those seasons and some of that?

MR. MCCABE: There's a sign right there were you pull off Foothill Road right to the main trailhead. I mean, it's right there and it doesn't do anything.

MS. MARTEN: Doesn't work.
MR. MCCABE: The last ones, the DNRC put up many signs, and it was probably a week and they were all shot up and torn down. So as far as signage, I think signage could help but $I$ just don't think they're going
to last very long in that area, just in the past from what I've seen.

MS. MARTEN: So part of what I hear as the underlying concern you have is What can we do to have the confidence that even if we allow some continued usage, that it would be implemented and effective? And how could we enforce or ensure that you don't continue to have some of the folks that are doing it outside the seasons are doing it in a way that, from your perspective, is irresponsible for the resources. Is that fair?

MR. MCCABE: Yeah. It needs to be enforced. And we've tried to do it since 2015, but it's just not getting done.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you, very helpful; appreciate that.

Norma, thank you. We know Norma needs the mic.
MS. TRIBE: You know, Bambi gets really crabby.

MS. LINSKY: My children will tell you that I do not have a soft voice.

THE REPORTER: Good thing I'm not your child.

MS. LINSKY: Well said. You know, I did owe the remarks that I've heard so far. I personally am
not an ATV owner. I don't have any motorized use in the back country. And I feel sometimes like I've been run over. I agree about the trails. You know, this year, July the 1st may not have snow free, just bog mud trails. So that's going to be a real problem.

But I'm concerned about the watershed. There are three forks of Krause Creek that start up high, and there have been a lot of studies about the off-trail use. And it only comes from trail use with motorized vehicles. And I think one ATV is like 400 soldiers marching through an area. They have really run havoc with the creeks that come through there. And then what happens is the landowners downstream, when it's not forest land, and what that's done to the plain and what it's done going into Echo Lake. So you can't just look at what happens in the Forest. It's got -- you have to consider what happens downstream from the Forest.

I agree that the signage is not going to stop anyone from doing whatever they want, especially on a wheeled vehicle. I'm not going to be able to stop them. I have to just get out of the way. I understand that the Forest Service does not have the policing to change anything in the Forest. There just aren't enough personnel to go in there.

And I know -- I hike with Keith Hammer, and I
know that he has taken pictures and sent them time after time after time for the abuse of what happens with motorized vehicles. I have no problem with both motorized vehicles or snowmobiles going up Peters Ridge Road or Strawberry Lake Road to get to the back country. I think that's great. I drive up there to the trailheads in the summer. In the winter I start at the bottom.

But I just want the watershed to be considered as well; thank you.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you, Norma. Go ahead, others.

MR. GOTSCHALK: Chris Gotschalk, concerned Citizen. I ditto what Bill and Norma and John have said regarding motorized vehicles.

I guess I come at it as the perspective of being sort of vehemently opposed to motorized recreation in just about every form. I consider vehicles to be just sort of a conveyance to get you to a point where you can start to recreate human powered and quietly. This is not my typical role. I'm usually behind the scenes voter, donate, you know. But $I$ can see now how important it is to get involved.

And it's my understanding that the Forest Service did actually sort of promise to restrict motorized vehicle use in the Krause Basin area years ago.

I would like to see that upheld. I'd also like to see snowmobiles and any sort of snow conveyances also included in that.

I do agree with Bill. I think that it's appropriate on Peters Ridge Road and Strawberry Lake Road, as a compromise, just to get people to trailheads. That seems like a reasonable use. But yeah, just going crazy off in Krause Basin is really not the right use. If you spend any time at all in that area, you realize it is a beautiful really spectacular spot and is only appreciated on foot going slowly, looking around.

A couple of just final points. In this briefing paper, I notice that some words that were a little concerning and that was that decisions are based on demand. And I would suggest that that sort of idea has to change in time. I think that we may have already -- we've got this growth-based economy. We have to accommodate as people grow. More demand, more accommodation. I think there needs to be restrictions on that, and I think it's already almost too late for that. We can't grow forever. And I think now or yesterday would have been a good time to put some limits on that.

Motorized vehicles just cannot continue to encroach on everywhere that they may want to go or everywhere that is convenient.

And then $I$ guess -- well, $I$ guess that's essentially my feelings about it. I just find that particular area to be really spectacular and I think should be protected for quiet recreation.

MS. MARTEN: So Chris, if I could ask a question, and it could be for you or anyone else, Brian and Edd and others. So one of the things the decision on demand, and I thank you for your perspective on just how those words are and just a quick reminder the briefing papers are just to start dialogue on that part, and it worked; right? So here's a question that I'm struggling with and one of the things I'm just curious what anybody's thoughts are.

You know, we have over two million acres on the Flathead National Forest. And across the region, I'm honored to manage over 25 million acres of your public lands. And one of our biggest challenges, and you folks know this, is you enjoy -- you want to have areas you can go ride your snowmobiles or go hike or go ski or the various uses out there and how you -- I'll use the term "balance" but not meant that it's going to be equal balance everywhere.

So looking at that bigger picture and beyond the Krause Basin, do you just have thoughts on that on how the Krause Basin and whatever management for
recreation enjoyment is allowed there versus allowing some other places for folks that enjoy seeing the land riding a snowmobile versus hiking or may not be able to hike and use -- need a motorized. I'm just kind of curious what your thoughts are, how you see that as a bigger level or if you have a perspective as a bigger level beyond Krause Basin. And if not, that's okay. I'm just curious.

MR. GOTSCHALK: No, no, I just kind of feel like we are -- you've heard this expression before. We are kind of at a tipping point. I think the general feeling that people have about what is appropriate to do should change. I really -- I don't think that you should be burning fuel for fun. I think you should be burning fuel to get to fun but not for fun.

MS. MARTEN: Okay; thank you. Appreciate it.

MS. TRIBE: Norma, you were ready to speak too. And then if it's okay, let's just see if we can catch everybody on this one. And you'll end up last here, Brian. So we'll go this way.

MS. LINSKY: Norma Linsky again. Your question about, you know, the entire forest or the ecosystem, you know there are a lot of old logging roads that are no longer in use. They're not in wilderness
areas. They haven't got the historical value that Krause Basin has. Five hundred and twenty miles of old logging roads, I think, have been inventoried in the Forest. I may be incorrect about the amount. Why not take out the culverts and fix the slumping roadbeds to preserve the fisheries and bring that -- bring those roads available to recreationists, whether they're mountain bikers or some places could be motorized vehicle use. So there are places in this forest that exist now which could be developed for other uses besides quiet recreation. That's one of my thoughts. And I can't remember what else I was going to say.

MS. TRIBE: It will come to you. We're not finished yet.

MS. LINSKY: Thank you.
MS. TRIBE: Do you want to pass it on to John, please.

MR. LARSON: John Larson. You mentioned the balance, and there's a lot of places as was pointed out, that you can accommodate, I think, motorized recreation. I too would support the idea of the two roads, the Strawberry Lake Road and the Peters Ridge Road remaining open early, seasonally, when there's no resource damage.

There was a point made also about the resource
damage. You go up there and a lot of these trails -- I actually own an ATV. I formerly owned a motorbike, a trail bike. And from my experience, there's areas that are just too steep in this area where the roads exist, the existing trails. They're too steep. They have stream crossings that are going to cause resource damage. You can't get up there in a vehicle without causing resource damage.

The other main concern is wildlife
displacement. You know, there's a small elk herd there. There are grizzly bears in there. There are wolves in there. There are wolverines in there. As Chris pointed out, it's just a marvelous place. And if you allow more intensive motorized recreation, you're just going to displace all those wildlife populations and basically eliminate that habitat from their potential to occupy it. There is one error in the briefing paper. It says there's no stands in the area dominated by western hemlock. I beg to differ. There is at least one really nice area. It is adjacent to State land. It extends up from the bottom of Krause Creek in two directions. It is dominated by western hemlock. It's a beautiful little grove in there.

MS. MARTEN: Okay.
MR. LARSON: I have more but I'll defer.

MS. TRIBE: I would appreciate that, John, then that way other people -- we're heading up to 20 minutes left.

So Bill, did you want to...
MR. MCCABE: The only other thing I was going to add is in motorized use, there's currently a weed problem in the area, too, and the motorized use definitely stirs that up.

MS. MARTEN: The noxious weeds and the issue there. Thank you, Bill.

Anna, please.
MS. MCCABE: Anna McCabe. I have a question for every single person in this room, if that's okay. And just a raise of the hand would be fine. Who in this room would like to see motorized use in the Krause Basin area? Just raise your hand if you would like to see motorized use in the Krause Basin area.

MS. TRIBE: So Anna, I'm going to give people permission not to have to make that indication unless they want to.

MS . MCCABE: Sure.
MS. TRIBE: No one expected to have to come here and vote on a particular thing. I don't want to offend you, but I think it's very hard to ask people to. MS. MCCABE: Sure. I'm just curious if
anyone is, and may or may not, but that's what I'm confused about.

MS. MARTEN: Well, here if I could, Anna, just real quick, and I'm not sure, but even if people in here would have raised their hands if they had chosen to. I can tell you I received objections, I received written, folks that are not here today that do have a different perspective that would like to continue to see some motorized use in the Krause Basin.

MS. MCCABE: That's what I'm curious about. Out of your 74 objectors, are there a lot of people that are wanting to see motorized use?

MS. MARTEN: I don't know numbers, because that isn't how $I$ view it from that standpoint.

MS. MCCABE: Sure.
MS. MARTEN: Honestly, in some ways my job would be easier if it was a vote because things would be a lot more straightforward black and white, and it's not. So I don't know the numbers, but $I$ can tell you that throughout history and definitely on this plan and throughout the plan revision, that has been a strong voice as well as not wanting to have motorized use and things in between.

MS. MCCABE: Well, I live adjacent to
Krause Basin and I recreate there every single day of the
year. There's six inches of fresh snow. I was out there this morning. Two weeks ago I was recreating in Krause Basin and saw fresh wolverine tracks. It's a very special place. There's grizzly bears. There's wolverines. There's definitely a hemlock grove that is very precious to our forest. And I would like to see it stay -- remain that way.

I am definitely not against motorized use for certain people. Everybody likes certain things. We're all different, and that's what makes this planet so wonderful.

A bigger level for motorized use like your question stated was my thought is above Lakeside below Blacktail Mountain, that, to me, would be a better forest recreation area for the motorized use because there are a lot of logging roads, it ties all the way to Herron Park and you have Blacktail and you have cross-country skiing. Krause Basin is a very tiny space. To try and put your resources there for a forest recreation area seems pointless. There's definitely not 13 miles of trails. I know the trails like the palm of my hand. So I think you would have a difficult time with that.

I also am curious, the Forest Service, in general, is on a tight budget and has lack of money and resources. And so $I$ find it difficult to see them make a
presence there when they currently -- I will contact the Forest about some illegal use and nothing gets done. So I have a hard time seeing that this would be now a forest recreation area and we're going to sign and we're going to enforce when there's no one there now, even when we're calling upon them.

I don't want my property value to go down with the noise of the motorized use. That's a big concern for me. As my husband mentioned, the weeds are really, really bad, and so I'm battling a whole row, several acres long, of weeds that are trying to come onto my property because they're so bad on the public land. And I don't want the noxious weeds on my property or on the public land.

As far as signs, I'm very much against the signs marked on the ground. I do not want to see that. Unfortunately, people in our community have destroyed all of the signs that have been put up in the area by the DNRC. I am happy to show you photos of this. And many of the Forest Service signs that you have put up on the alpine trail and at trailheads, they're brand-new ones last year, they're beautiful by the way, have been destroyed. So I think marking the trails would be a big mistake. It would be a waste of time and money.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you. Very helpful;
thank you.
MS. TRIBE: Thank you, Anna.
Edd, do you want a little exercise? You can either walk it to Brian or you can pass it down.

MS. MARTEN: Go ahead, Edd.
MR. BLACKLER: Well, I participated in the Noisy Face decision at the time when we worked together with the community of people to try and determine what would be the best, most practical use of certain areas along the entire face there. And it was an unfortunate compromise, I think, that actually allowed the indication that there might be 13 miles that could be used by motorized at a certain time of year. That probably should never have happened. But it was an unfortunate compromise.

I think that right now, the challenge that you have as Forest Service management is to take a look at the escalation of users and the kinds of uses that are out there and take a serious look at -- the first thing, safety issues, and conservation issues. What kind of use contributes to the degradation of the area? And we recognize the value of this area, in particular, because of what we see there; all of the things that you've heard, the wildlife, the stream flow. And then we need to make -- we can't be nice to everybody, like I told you
the other day. You've got to separate. You've got to say Okay, we're not taking away some use from somebody by saying this is only for this. But everyone has to accept the fact that it has to get divided up in a sensible and logical way.

You can't have motorized vehicles and horse riders and hikers utilizing the same area. That's just not safe. It's crazy. And it's nothing against motorized people. If they get their excitement going down the trail looking at the front wheel, that's fine. But there should be a certain area where that can happen. And by allowing motorized use, per se, it's, in fact, declining any other use. Once -- I know as a horseback rider, when $I$ know that a certain area is going to be contaminated by motorized people, I'm not going there. That just cut me out right away. So those are the kinds of decisions you have to look at. And for Krause Basin, that is an area that needs to be nonmotorized.

And I don't know what you're going to do about the signs, but you have to upgrade the enforcement to establish that and make some fines and say You guys can't be here. Thanks.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you, Edd. I didn't want to cut you off, but I wanted to make sure that Brian had an opportunity to speak.

MR. PECK: Yeah, I've only got about an hour.

MS. TRIBE: Well, we'll manage your comments.

MR. PECK: Okay. Brian Peck. I noticed in the briefing paper that it says "Forest plan grizzly bear direction no longer requires the closure of roads or trails to public motorized vehicle use." This is the issue I brought up yesterday where I think the forest plan has gotten ahead of its skis a bit. That's only true if the Conservation Strategy has been passed, and it hasn't. That's only true if grizzlies have been delisted, and they haven't been. That's only true if lynx have been delisted, and they haven't. So I'm concerned that the Forest not continue to do that. We'd all love to obey the laws we would want to obey and just look the other way on the others, but you've got to work with the laws you've got, not the ones you wish were there.

So given the fact that grizzlies are still listed, the 1988 Noisy Face agreement, which said No signs in this area, that's still in effect. That's not discretionary; it's not up to me. That's an agreement. That was a binding agreement as part of a jeopardy decision of the US Fish and Wildlife Service. So signs
aren't supposed to be there.
Amendment 19 to the forest plan is still in
effect. It hasn't gone anywhere, probably won't for some time. And that says that all of the motorized trails in Krause Basin, legally have to be closed. It's not discretionary. I would agree that if folks want to keep motorized on the two roads, the Peters Road and the Strawberry Road, I haven't heard a lot of objections to that, snowmobiles, in the winter, but in terms of motorized use in there, it's not supposed to be occurring. And marking of trails is not supposed to be occurring.

And the only other thing I would say, because I know you've got Keith's resolution paper, is that way back in 1970, the Forest Service themselves for Krause Basin said This is a special area. There's not a lot of hemlock left. And they mentioned that it's because of our logging in other areas. And they said We should be protecting this. We should be taking care of it. We can't have roads everywhere, and the implication was And we can't be logging harvesting everywhere either. So I would suggest, along with the no motorized in there, the no signing in there, this is an area probably where the chainsaws need to take a rest. This is an area where there shouldn't be logging, there shouldn't be harvest,
there shouldn't be precommercial. It's not really needed in these hemlock stands. I'll leave it at that.

MS. TRIBE: Brian, thank you very much. MR. PECK: I'm sorry I didn't talk for an hour.

MS. TRIBE: So we've got about eight, nine minutes left.

Leanne, did you have any other things that people could help you with or you want to hear about? MS. MARTEN: Well, first of all, thank you everyone. Very helpful and appreciate just hearing it in your own words and I'll just say the passion that's evident. It's evident in writing but even more so when I'm able to interact face-to-face. So really appreciate that.

The only other thing that I'm wondering, and this is just a curiosity to folks that live, and I'm looking at Bill and Anna and maybe those that live close to Krause Basin and all of you enjoy it. From the standpoint of I'll just say user -- my terminology, folks, is going to be bad -- I'll just say user education or when you're visiting with other folks and you're out in Krause Basin and you see something that you know is not supposed to be occurring there. And you guys are very passionate and interact with people on a regular
basis. Has that been very successful in the past when visiting with folks and having them understand what is or isn't allowed or maybe a trail that's there that actually isn't supposed to be there because it was made by somebody using it inappropriately? Any luck from the citizens there or any efforts there in partnerships with others in trying to help on just the awareness of that area and your passion there? I'm just curious, has the community had anything or what your thoughts are there. MS. MCCABE: Well, I'm sure you've read my notes. I have a whole computer file of photos and GPS coordinates -- I only gave you a small portion of them -- of motorized use in the Krause Basin area that was out of season. So it wasn't July and August. As a woman, I'm now in the back country, so to speak, front country, with usually it's kind of a scarier dude. And they're doing something on an ATV or a motorized something and $I$ run into them back there. And so a lot of times they have a gun. And you know, it's kind of a fine line what you say to them.

MS. MARTEN: Oh, absolutely, absolutely.
MS. MCCABE: But I do voice my opinion and say -- or not opinion but tell them they're in -- it's illegal for them to be where they are right now. And I try and get their license plate. I obviously take a GPS
coordinate. And if $I$ can, $I$ snap a photo. But it's not putting me in a good situation. And I want to work with the Forest, but at the same time I've got to think of my safety.

MS. MARTEN: Yes, and I'm not asking you to put yourself in any kind of situation. I was just curious as a community.

MS. MCCABE: I think as a community we're all just doing that if we're out there. The ATVers I don't really -- from the talk I have with them in the field, I get the feeling they're just like Eh, nothing's going to happen to me. It might be a little slap on the wrist, so they just go on, and then $I$ just go on.

MR. MCCABE: What's happened is for years and years and years when we've come across these situations, Anna has everything documented, which is great, but we always contact the Forest Service --

MS. MARTEN: Thank you for doing that.
MR. MCCABE: But the thing is it's very
frustrating, because $I$ do it by phone and then that leads into emails, and it just never goes anywhere. They say they're going to come out. I offer to go in late to work to schedule a time with them, and they just never follow through with anything. And it's very frustrating when the tracks are fresh, the new trails, the brush is
freshly cut and just littered everywhere. It's been -- I'm kind of over it, to be honest with you. It's not working. It's almost, I feel, like a waste of my time to contact them because nothing is getting done.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you. And the reason I ask that question is -- and just hearing your answer, it again gives me a different perspective on even your starting point on when you wrote your objections and the history there, the lens you're seeing it through, the experiences you've had that obviously has led to some frustration. So it just puts a bit more context on the written to help me out there. So if folks are wondering why am $I$ asking that when we're talking forest planning, again, I'm trying to hear it through your lens and your experiences as much as $I$ humanly can to make sure I'm not missing something when we're looking at your objections.

MS. MCCABE: And I would love to -- I know you're busy. But if you ever did want to come back to Krause Basin so we could show you some of the damage, you'll be able to see it even now with the snow and just see the area so you can understand more of where we're coming from, too, I think that would help.

MS. MARTEN: Appreciate that. Thank you very much on that part.

Go ahead, Bill, and then I'll make sure Edd has
a chance before we honor folks's time.
MR. MCCABE: One quick thing Anna and I discuss all the time, like the motorized community, we both feel they're very lucky to have the privilege to be in there and they abuse it. They go out of season. Rules are rules. They definitely abuse their privilege and, therefore, they've done it for too long where that privilege in a way, in my opinion, I think it should be taken away. Because they're just not respecting the area and the rules of the area.

MS. MARTEN: Appreciate that. And one of the things $I$ will say, and that's not to say that you're wrong or your perspective is wrong so please don't interpret it that way, is one of my challenges and Chip's and others, is sometimes that may only be only one or two people.

The majority of our folks, regardless of how they're using the Forest, are out there trying to do it in a very stewardship manner on that. And I know sometimes we oftentimes have to really make sure that we're representing everybody's view, understanding not everybody. But as you guys know, sometimes it takes one or two people and that, unfortunately, can put a categorization on a whole group of users on that. And that's just one of the challenges. So I'm not trying to
dispute what you just said by any means or your opinion or values there. I just express that because that is a real challenge that $I$ know I personally have in the position I'm in. And we will continue to work through that part.

So I want to make sure, Edd, you have a chance. Is there something additional?

MR. BLACKLER: I was just going to mention one of the things that $I$ have seen up on Crane Mountain that I've appreciated was when Dale Jorgensen was the trail manager for the Swan, $I$ know he spent quite a lot of time and effort putting his trail people to dropping down actual physical barricades to inhibit the off-trail use that -- illegal use. And sometimes when the signs don't work and get all shot up, at least I was glad to see that he was putting some effort together to discourage the illegal use that was going on. So that's something the Forest Service can consider to do a little more of.

MS. MARTEN: I appreciate that. And I'm unfortunately going to have to wrap this up with time about the time Ginny stood up. But what I do want to say is, as we close here and give folks a chance for lunch for those that may be coming back to the travel management time, once again, thank you very much.

Chris, you mentioned this isn't something you're normally at, sitting at the table. So I just want to say thank you to you and everybody else. Because I know that it takes time. It takes time out of your busy lives and schedules and you're very passionate about this. There were some other voices and perspectives that didn't happen to be here today. But they've been part of the process from that standpoint as well. So just thank you for staying engaged. I don't -- like I mentioned, I'm not having any decisions at this time. But the one thing I will tell you is regardless of how the Plan comes out, working locally with the community, please don't give up on us at the agency working with us and continue to work together even if it hasn't gone the way you would hoped it would go. I just ask bear with us and let us continue to work on those relationships as well locally, aside from any of the planning efforts. Because it's all about how we work together from that perspective. And this is a great dialogue, extremely helpful from my perspective.

MS. TRIBE: Leanne, John had one last thing.

MS. MARTEN: Oh, I'm sorry, John.
MR. LARSON: One last thing, you know, kind of lost in the conversation we have been focusing on

Krause Basin. My recommendation would be to close motorized use for both the Strawberry Lake trail and the Peters Ridge trail. Because there you're fighting the same issue. You're fighting the switchbacks cut, you're fighting the resource damage.

MS. TRIBE: John, thank you for making sure that got said.

Again, take an hour for lunch. I don't know if you're coming back. If you're not an objector or an interested party with standing, you won't be at the table but we'd love to have you observe if you're going to come back. We're going to start at one o'clock.
(Proceedings in recess from 12:00 p.m. to
1:00 p.m.)
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MS. TRIBE: Chip, if you wouldn't mind starting, that would be great.

MR. WEBER: Good afternoon. I'm Chip
Weber. I'm the Forest supervisor on the Flathead and the deciding official on the forest plan. To my left is Leanne Marten, my boss, the regional forester who is the reviewing official for this objection process.

Welcome to Flathead National Forest and welcome to Kalispell. And some of you are hearing this for the multiple times that you've heard it. But since we have some new folks, I say it every time.

Couple things, safety, if we should have a fire or anything like that and have to exit the room, if you go out through those double doors and out into the parking lot and away from the building we'll gather there to check and make sure everybody's okay. Restrooms, also known as comfort stations, are if you go out the door and to the left, they're about a hundred feet down, you'll cross another threshold and they're on your left.

MS. TRIBE: I like to say to him then that means restrooms.

MR. WEBER: Yeah.
So first of all, $I$ want to start by thanking
you. A lot of you have been working on this with us since the beginning. And you know, it takes some effort and it takes some dedication, and I really appreciate it. I think our Plan is better with each of these steps because of the participation that we've seen. And it feels like a long haul and it sort of is, but I'm proud of the work that we've done together.

And then just a little bit about the way I think about this week. And at the beginning of the week I sort of was thinking Okay, how do I go into this from my position as mostly listening and taking notes and stuff. And I think curiosity is the word I've used to characterize it. And it really is, for me, a week of learning, understanding. I can read the paper on these objections, but the people make it real and always add some depth and understanding for me. So that's what I'll be doing. You'll see me taking notes here and those are mostly the notes for myself.

We do have a court reporter here, Bambi, who's taking it word-for-word. And that record will be available to us and you. But I'm taking notes to sort of prompt myself as I'm trying to remember the discussions here. So really appreciate it, again. I would ask, if you haven't done so, that you dim your cell phone or whatever it is you do to make it not ring loud when we're
here. And if you need to take a call, take it outside or something. But really want to maintain the focus in here and appreciate that in advance. So I'll turn it to Leanne -- oh, almost forgot again.

I want to introduce our team, too, or have them introduce themselves.

MR. KRUEGER: Joe Krueger, forest managing team leader.

MS. MOORE: Marsha Moore, recreation wilderness plan.

MR. KUENNEN: Reed Kuennen, wildlife biologist on the planning team.

MR. SMITH: The table's getting thinner. Raymond Smith, appeals, objections and litigation coordinator, Washington.

MS. AKE: Kathy Ake, GIS specialist on the team.

MR. WEBER: In the back.
MS. TURK: Janette Turk, public affairs officer, Flathead National Forest.

MR. CARLIN: Rob Carlin, staff officer, natural resources planning and fire.

MS. PEEL: Timory Peel, regional planner.
MS. ALLEN: Stacy Allen, writer/editor for
the Plan revision team.

MR. WEBER: Thank you.
MS. MARTEN: Thanks, Chip, and good afternoon everyone. While we're doing introductions, we had just two other people that are observing here in the back. So if we could just have them introduce themselves. Corey?

MR. SCEVERS: Corey Scevers, Forest Service observer.

MR. NELSON: I'm Andy Nelson, Hungry Horse Glacier View Ranger District.

MS. MARTEN: Welcome; thank you. And we also have....

FROM THE FLOOR: Chad (inaudible), Forest Service, observing.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you. I'm going to continue with introductions before I talk a little bit about how I see this afternoon and some of what I'd like to get out of it and then what hopefully you get out of it. So I'm going to start with the phone. Do we have any objectors or interested parties on the phone this afternoon for the topic of travel management?

MS. FLINT: Yes. This the Alison Flint from The Wilderness Society.

MS. MARTEN: Good afternoon, Alison.
Anybody else as an objector or interested party on this
topic on the phone?
How about anybody who's on the phone just observing this afternoon?

MS. SUTTON: Leanne, this is Jody Sutton from the Washington office, the administrative review staff.

MS. MARTEN: Thanks, Jody.
MS. WARREN: This is Nancy Warren. I am a contractor that has been helping with the NCDE amendments.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you. Anybody else on the phone? Great.

If we could just start with you, David, we can introduce who's at the table here as well on the topic, your name and who you're representing.

MR. COVILL: My name is David Covill, and I'm with the Flathead Snowmobile Association.

MS. FOX: Hi, Marla Fox with WildEarth Guardians.

MS. EISEN: Hilary Eisen with Winter Wildlands Alliance.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: Sarah Lundstrum with the National Parks Conservation Association and also the Whitefish Range Partnership.

MS. ROBINSON: Amy Robinson with Montana

Wilderness Association.
MS. MARTEN: Wonderful. Thank you, everyone, and, again, thank you for taking time out of your busy schedules to be here with us this afternoon.

As Chip mentioned, I'm Leanne Marten, and I'm the regional forester here in the northern region. And for this process I'm the reviewing officer for Chip's draft decision and for the revision efforts here on the Flathead.

I'll talk a little bit about what I'm hoping to get out of this afternoon, how I'd like to structure the dialogue and then we'll just jump right into it and see how it goes and kind of go with the flow here.

So first of all, one of the things that all topics for the last several days have shown up in over 74 objections filed on the revision. And as you guys can imagine a whole spectrum of issues. Travel management was definitely one of them, but there's a lot of different points to the travel management that you will not see on the agenda today and we probably won't have time to get into in the dialogue. But $I$ just want folks to know that those are being reviewed and they will be part of the response that you'll receive from me all issues that were brought forward from that perspective.

To determine what would be on the agenda was
actually extremely difficult because of the shear number of issues. And the way we did that is we had a lot of work done here on the Forest. I asked for a team of folks to help review all the objections that had not been involved with the revision, so some people outside the region, the Washington office, some others came in to get a third eye and fresh set of eyes on the revision, the objections. And from that we narrowed it down to the last three days on these topics that were ones that I'm hoping to get more dialogue and some more understanding on making sure I'm understanding where you're coming from in the written objections I've reviewed and some context behind that dialogue amongst us and then amongst yourselves. And I can tell you that's been invaluable throughout the last three days on all the topics and has really helped me add some new information as well as some potential remedies that you folks have been bringing up that could be considered.

You're not going to get a decision from me today. I need time to process through some things that are being brought up as well as just put the whole perspective and the whole big picture together. But again, you will receive a written response from me in the near future on that standpoint.

There's a lot of values that are associated
with everything we do on public lands, and many of you have heard me say this the last three days and in other arenas. But $I$ feel like it's very much an honor and privilege that I personally have to manage your public lands and my public lands on your behalf. You know, they're not mine, they're the Forest Service's, but we have the honor of managing on behalf of the public that we serve.

And the public we serve has a whole different range of how they'd like to see that management and the uses occur on their lands. And I would never ask anybody to change their values. All values are highly respected. They're all very important and they're very diverse from that. So I'm really looking forward to the mutual areas that we might be able to keep moving forward and have a supportive decision on how we're moving forward on the Flathead National Forest specifically for the topic today from there.

So as we go into this, the one thing I would ask is as I go through and I'm asking questions and I'm going to start off using the briefing paper that y'all have, it's just a starting point. It's to spark dialogue. I can tell you for those that might have been sitting and observing the last topic, there were a couple things in there that definitely sparked a little bit of
dialogue. There was disagreement on the wording, and that wasn't intentional, but it served its purpose. So please don't think this is meant to be all encompassing or a hundred percent right on with every word. But it was a starting point for me to kind of grasp and get some of what $I$ think I'm hearing as some of the objections, my understanding of those. And if it's incorrect on your view or what you were hoping I would understand from your written objections, I need to know that. Because I don't want to be reading into something or misreading something and being in a totally different spot than what you were intending on what you're trying to bring forward as concerns you may have. And that goes the same for any potential remedies and thought processes on what would help you feel a little more confident or a little bit more comfortable with how we're moving forward. It doesn't mean you agree, but at least there may be some middle ground there.

So before $I$ jump in, $I$ want to see if there are any questions on the process and how we got here.

And then, Ginny, if there's anything I forgot from a facilitation standpoint, I want to make sure to give you an opportunity as well.

MS. TRIBE: Any questions? You okay? I would just remind people that Bambi is doing verbatim
notes for the meeting and so it's really helpful for her if you'd say your first name or name every time you speak. It gets kind of tedious, after a while people just start to say Hilary here, if you speak more than once. So she needs to have you do that in a sort of slow way so she can make the record or keep track of who's doing it.

I remind you, again, about cell phones and that this is not a consensus-building process. This is not a decision-making process. And this is not a session where you restate your objection and your rationale. Leanne and Chip already have that. So truly, this is an opportunity to try to have some discussion between you but also among you. Because when she hears different sides or explanations of an issue, when they hear that discussion among you, it helps them further understand the issue. Not sides, but it's just interesting to hear you talk about the issues as well. So you okay? Sound all right? Okay. And you'll see that if Bambi cannot hear you, she will let you know. So there are mics there in case you need them.

MS. MARTEN: Thanks, Ginny. And Alison on the phone there, we'll try and remember to periodically make sure we're getting your voice in the room as well. And if we forget, please interrupt us so we don't miss
just because you're on the phone here. And thank you for logging in via the phone as well.

So let me just paraphrase, and that's all it is, my understanding of some of the basic issues -- I say "basic" although it's very complex -- just the overall foundation of some of the issues I'm hearing on winter travel management with Chip's draft decision and the forest plan as written. And again, this is not trying to take away from the complexity or a lot of the subpoints that I know you guys have submitted in writing. But there definitely is some core difference of thought and opinion on the use of amendment 24 in the new forest plan and implementing it and whether or not it meets the requirements of the Travel Management Rule, particularly subpart C.

So that is a core issue that was brought up, both sides of it. It does not meet the Travel Management Rule and requirements of subpart $C$ and, therefore, the Forest needs to move forward with implementing and adhering to that Rule across all areas on the Forest for winter motorized travel management.

And then on the other side of it is that people agree that it does and the areas that need to be moved forward for more site-specific implementation are the ones that propose newer areas allowing winter motorized
travel based on some different allocations in the proposed plan and Chip's decision. So you've got the whole Rule part and whether or not how it's being interpreted and applied as one part of the objection. There's some concern and some desire, I will say, between the remedies as well as the issue, to have some kind of timeframe on when the Forest would move forward with any further, be it a whole new set on one hand implementing subpart $C$, however you define what that implementation should be, all areas on the Forest or just the new areas on that.

And then there was also some concern that the six-mile area in the Tuchuck-Whale recommended wilderness area in the North Fork geographic area, that the six-mile area was removed from having any kind of motorized over-snow vehicle use in that area. I'm going to start there. And Joe, correct me if this is wrong, but that's a misunderstanding on the six-mile area, Marsha and Joe; correct? As written, and Chip, your draft decision does not remove that from having winter travel.

MR. WEBER: The six-mile.
MS. MARTEN: The six-mile area on that part of it. And Dave, I think Mark was here the other day, we were trying to clarify that. But $I$ just want to make sure -- I think your organization brought that up as a
concern. And that was some misinformation. Go ahead. MR. COVILL: Dave with Flathead Snowmobile Association. No, my understanding was that the six-mile access and the majority of the six-mile area is still open and accessible, per the current restrictions, as far as dates and things like that. My concern -- and I don't remember exactly how I worded it, but I think I meant an area in the six-mile area. Because that's how you access the Sullivan Creek and can't remember the name of the other creek drainage that is going to be affected, taken out of an area that was open to snowmobiling and going to be added into, $I$ believe, a wilderness designation.

MS. MARTEN: Okay. So that helps clarify what your concern really was. It wasn't the whole six-mile, it's that portion that currently is being used to access some other areas that, as proposed, would no longer allow the over-snow motorized.

MR. COVILL: That is correct.
MS. MARTEN: Okay; very helpful, thank you.
I appreciate that clarification.
So let me start with a couple questions. And I need some help, without getting -- trying not to get too far in the weeds which may be easier said than done on some of this. But I'm trying to get a feel for folks that objected and have a different perspective on the use
of moving forward amendment 24 and your perspective from the Travel Management Rule subpart $C$. And the reason $I$ want to tease it out just a little bit is I'm curious if we -- and I'm going with the hypothetical here just to try and frame the question.

How would you see the analysis being done different if amendment 24 of the analysis used there was not used as a starting point as we move forward with winter travel management in the proposed forest plan? What do you see is missing from analysis that was done previously in decisions previously met? And I'll ask two parts. What do you see missing and what isn't being met, and is it truly something missing, i.e., is there a potential impact you feel wasn't disclosed or -- or -- or and/or is it you disagree with decisions that were made in amendment 24 and you'd like to have that reopened for public engagement and more opportunity from that perspective? And that doesn't mean -- it doesn't have to be either/or, but I'm just trying to get a perspective from that.

So I'll put that out in the room for anybody who'd like to start and just help me -- I'm just trying to see it through your lens.

MS. EISEN: This is Hilary with Winter Wildlands Alliance, and I'll start. So we're one of the
organizations that feels that amendment 24 does not comply with the Travel Management Rule. And part of it is very process based and so the forest plan, I think, lays out very clearly this is a programmatic decision. This is a forest planning decision. It's not site specific. It's not granular. You know, site-specific determinations are made down the road. And the forest plan lays that out very clearly. I couldn't agree more. But then it says Well, amendment 24, though, that's a travel management decision and travel management decisions are site-specific, granular. If you look at the Travel Management Rule and the court cases that have followed from that, you know, it very specifically says that travel management decisions need to be site specific and they need to be granular.

And in writing my objection, I went back and
looked at -- and also when I wrote my comments earlier -- but I went back and looked at the EIS for amendment 24 to double check that I wasn't missing something. And it doesn't talk about being granular and being site specific. It says it's a programmatic decision.

It says a lot of the same things that the forest plan decision says around what the forest plan says as far as like This is why we'll need to do
site-specific planning to finish these decisions, whether it's a change in motorized suitability or whatever. Amendment 24 says those things but frames it as And we're done. So there's sort of an inconsistency there. And I look at that and think that the forest plan is correct that programmatic decisions are not site-specific decisions and travel management requires specific granular decisions but amendment 24 doesn't get there. And I go into all sorts of detail in my comments but I don't want to repeat myself. But that's sort of the basic overarching issue there is that what's missing is site-specific, granular analysis. Amendment 24 identifies suitability but it doesn't get to that designation level.

So as far as the second part of your question is what specifically do we want to change or what do we disagree with, I think parts of the Forest are a little bit more specific. You know the Whitefish Range, those decisions are more granular. Other parts of the Forest it's very broad suitability. I don't personally have like a specific $I$ don't want to see snowmobiles in this area where they're currently allowed. It's more that I want to see the Forest Service go through that process of actually looking at the issue more carefully before just making broad suitability decisions. So I think focus
that has a more local perspective may have specific disagreements, but from sort of our national organization perspective, it's more about the process and less.... MS. MARTEN: Okay; that's very helpful, thanks.

Others?
MS. FOX: Marla Fox with WildEarth
Guardians. To add on to what Hilary was saying, the amendment 24 amended the 1986 forest plan and made those suitability determinations for like much of the Forest. Like Hilary said, the Whitefish Range had some more specifics that were agreed to by parties in collaboration and through settlement. But it designated over 780,000 acres as open to OSV use.

Since that 2006 amendment to the 1986 forest plan, we've had the 2015 Winter Travel Planning Rule which, number one, switches from an
open-unless-designated-closed approach, to a closed-unless-designated-open. So to say that amendment 24 -- it complies with the Travel Management Rule without going back and assessing it here -- so I read the first part of our briefing paper. The first paragraph says amendment 24 is not open to objection. But to the extent that this new revised forest plan is adopting amendment 24 and choosing not to adopt other
amendments, that's a very specific decision to carry those suitability determinations forward. So that's one problem that I see.

And number two, what $I$ see is that it doesn't make designations. It doesn't make the area route and trail designations that demonstrate compliance with minimization criteria that look at new science since 2006 about wildlife and habitat on the Forest and what those needs are. And we went into detail about impacts to early -- or late OSV season use and early grizzly bear den emersion and how that's not really analyzed. And the biological opinions follow this thread, this theme of the forest plan is a programmatic decision. Great. But then it's also trying to tie in Oh, but wait, we're done with subpart $C$ because we have amendment 24. And that just seems to try to have it all when the Forest Service hasn't gone through the process. And in light of a lot of this new information it hasn't analyzed those impacts. So I think it's both a process question but also a very big substance question. And so we have major concerns about both of those.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much. Very helpful to hear how you're viewing this. And I guess, Marla, maybe a question for you because Hilary sparked it, is there something from you or the organization
you're representing, is there any place based concerns? I don't know if that's the right way of wording it, but it sounds like from the process bigger picture and based on what you see as being missing, you don't have the information you feel you need to maybe even answer this question.

MS. FOX: Right. So that wasn't open; right? It was part of the forest plan revision they said Well, we're just going to adopt amendment 24. Or we tried different ways of articulating it, you know, baseline information, having -- okay, where do the OSVers really go? Where is the known grizzly bear denning areas? One area that isn't described in the plan but would be a concern to us is the security core grizzly denning areas.

So that would be an important area for us that would be an area that we want at least seasonal restrictions. We're not trying to eliminate snowmobile use across the Forest. And one thing we see what happened with a site-specific winter travel planning process is you would get -- number one, you would get clarity to the public that you're actually designating winter travel management on the Forest so people who -- if you read the forest plan or the EIS, it was not clear that it's done or that it's being done or that
there might be some changes. It was very -- like I couldn't quite tell what was happening.

And so winter travel planning would, number one, bring out those interests on all sides and give everyone that opportunity to be a part of the process. But number two, it would allow for those site-specific details to come out and demonstrate compliance and minimization criteria and get updated information in the record.

MS. MARTEN: That helps me.
MS. EISEN: This is Hilary again kind of following up on that because Marla's response got me thinking. And as far as sort of specific concerns, place based concerns, without going through winter travel planning, we haven't had an opportunity to look at those issues. The Forest hasn't presented sort of here are the potential impacts or here's -- we've been working on a forest plan on the Flathead here which we're looking at much broader issues than site-specific winter travel planning. So I think without going through that winter travel planning process, it's hard to pinpoint specific issues.

One thing when we work on winter travel planning elsewhere that we often are asking the Forest Service are you -- because the subpart $C$ rule requires a
closed and less designated open framework for winter travel management, we look at that as you have to justify the others that you're opening not justify the areas you're closing. And part of that making sure that you're designating areas that make sense for snowmobile use. That they're places that actually get snow. Are they places that have terrain that's suitable for riding? You know, if it's steep cliffs with really, really thick trees and gets three inches of snow, why are you designating that for snowmobile use because it may very well be that that area has some other value that would be better managed for. So those are the sorts of issues that we'd love to explore on the Flathead but we haven't had the opportunity to do so yet.

MS. TRIBE: You want to check with the phone?

MS. MARTEN: Yes; thank you.
So Alison, I think you were the only one on the phone that's an objector/interested party. So we'll start with you. And if anybody else joined us, please introduce yourself. But any thoughts or anything you want to make sure is in the room?

MS. FLINT: Yeah. You know, Leanne, I don't need to take more time. I think from my perspective we concur with pretty much everything Marla
and Hilary have said; that primarily for us it's a process issue and that $I$ see confusing language about whether, you know, that granular implementation, site-specific planning has been done across the Forest, and we want to make sure that it has been done and that the Forest takes a serious look at where existing decisions that were made to amendment 24 might be adequate and also where they're not. And so until we have that process, I don't think, you know, I'm prepared at this point to say that we disagree with $X$ or $Y$ or $Z$ on the ground, though certainly there may be substance issues that come up. So I don't think I need to take more time. But I agree with everything Hilary and Marla said.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much.
Anybody else joined us on the phone that's an objector or interested party? Thank you.

And so others in the room? Other perspectives, other items you want to make sure.

Go ahead, David.
MS. TRIBE: David, $I$ was going to see if Sarah and Amy have anything to say just because they haven't yet. Not on this? Okay.

MS. ROBINSON: I can pitch in.
MS. TRIBE: You know, I can always count on

Amy .
MS. ROBINSON: I'll come with something here. Let's see, just real briefly here, Leanne, from MWA perspective, of course $I$ wasn't with MWA when the settlement agreement occurred and such. But from our perspective certainly had more site-specific routes and play areas developed in the Whitefish Range really specifically with the snowmobile club. And so I guess what I'm saying is much more specific in the Whitefish Range than the rest of the Forest.

Amendment 24, from my perspective, kind of applies kind of more of a blanket over-the-snow approach in the Swan Range specifically. And in certain areas along the Mission Mountains you inquired about certain site-specific areas. And so yeah, I see a real blanket approach in Swan Range specifically, say on the west flank of the range where it's, as Hilary said, heavily timbered, very steep, and the Forest Service is saying Hey, we should make that over-the-snow. And I'm thinking Well, that doesn't really realistically seem like the best area. So could use more site-specific analysis there.

And then in the Mission Mountains, I'm thinking again about the Sunset Ridge, which we commented on, and there's some over-the-snow open area there which I think
could use further analysis. So just some suggestions there specific examples.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much. And David, you had the mic.

MR. COVILL: David, Flathead Snowmobile
Association. A comment about the change from looking at the Forest as open-unless-closed versus closed-unless-opened. If you're going to do site-specific analysis, then isn't that kind of redundant? If it's closed unless opened and then you have to do site-specific analysis, isn't that like analyzing it again to see if it could be opened or not? It just seems -- it seems -- I'm all for site-specific analysis as long as you can make a recommendation -- as long as somebody can make a suggestion or a recommendation that said Hey, this area would be great for snowmobiling and not have it immediately shot down because Oh, well, it's not on the map, it's closed unless opened. Because conditions on the Forest change.

One perfect example is the McGinnis Creek
Drainage. That used to be heavily timbered, very thick, you could get off the road about ten feet and that was it. Well, there was a fire that went through there. Now, there's hundreds and hundreds of acres of very suitable and snowmobile area that, without some
flexibility to say Hey, this is now could be looked at as suitable snowmobiling area, it's going to remain closed. And in addition to that, areas that we have traditionally had for snowmobiling in the Canyon Creek area, areas that are on the winter travel map as play areas, well, twenty years ago they were play areas. Now they're forests with trees too close together for even snow bikes to go through. So if as conditions on the Forest change we are tied to a rigid rule-making system that doesn't allow for some flexibility, then there's going to be frustration and there's going to be noncompliance with what the regulations are.

One of the things over the last five years that I've been president of the snowmobile association that's been kind of frustrating for me is when $I$ talk to various Forest Service personnel or people that have been involved in the snowmobiling association for twenty-five or thirty years and I say Well, why can't we do this? or Why can't we do that?, they say Oh, that's amendment 24. It's done, can't do it. And Forest Service isn't going to let us do that. Or you talk to the Forest Service and they say Well, we can't do that. It's just a blanket Can't do that. Not that Well, maybe we can look into it. It's like No, can't do that.

You know, things twenty-five years ago were
different than they are today. And things five years from now are going to be different than they are today. And when this process started five years ago, things were different than they are today. So it's kind of like shooting at a moving target. And without the ability to adjust your aim, you're always going to be missing the target.

As far as the process discussion about whether we're amendment 24 or we're Travel Management Rule subpart $C$, that kind of gets into the weeds of let's open our eyes and look at what's out there in the Forest and say This makes sense, This doesn't make sense. And I'm all for having site-specific or area-specific meetings and hearings and approvals or disapprovals for what's going on as far as winter management. I'm a -- I've always thought of myself as a conservationist. I love the outdoors. I love the wildlife. It's not -- I don't think it's an either/or thing.

I think we can utilize the public lands for everybody's enjoyment and the wildlife's livelihood. So the point I'm trying to make is I think we need to have some flexibility and maybe, as far as Forest Service personnel, the idea or the ability to open up dialogue and say Maybe we can change this. And if there was a -- I think a lot of -- this is just my personal
observation.
I think a lot of Forest Service personnel are gun shy of opening up a can of worms, and they don't want to have the hassle. And I get that; I understand that. But by the same token, I think everybody would benefit, not just individual user groups, but $I$ think the community as a whole would benefit from greater flexibility and more access to various parts of the Forest.

MS. MARTEN: Thanks, David.
Sarah, you had your hand up.
MS. LUNDSTRUM: Yeah, Sarah Lundstrum. I wanted to touch on because Dave brought up McGinnis Creek. The Whitefish Range Partnership, thanks to Robbie Holman and the wonderful job he did representing snowmobiling in our partnership, brought up the fact that play areas and routes are closing because trees are growing in or for whatever reason. So through the negotiation of our agreement, we did find places in the North Fork that we recommended that the Forest Service look at as opportunities for increased snowmobiling and actually brand-new areas. And the McGinnis Creek area is one of them.

That being said, we were also very careful when we drew our lines around the Tuchuch-Whale recommended
wilderness that we made sure that we weren't affecting the agreement already made in amendment 24 . So it's sort of the trade-off for the conservation community supporting increased snowmobiling in that area was the recommendation of Tuchuck-Whale as a recommended wilderness and we were very careful to make sure the snowmobilers didn't lose any rideable areas there. So I just wanted to say we did recognize that and we did try to find places like McGinnis Creek that weren't open but could be opened in the future.

MS. EISEN: This is Hilary again. And actually $I$ agree with a lot of what David said as far as things have changed since amendment 24 was put in place. And that's why we believe that there's a need to do winter travel management. And just like trees have grown or trees have burned or whatever, things have changed on the Forest, and snowmobiling opportunities have changed along with that. Wildlife have also changed on the Forest. Grizzly bear populations have grown, species have been listed and delisted and whatever over time.

And we think forest planning is sort of this great first step in thinking about planning on the Forest where you set -- you guys already know this -- but you set the big picture, the 30,000 -foot view of how you're going to manage your forest. And then you go in and your
travel plan should be revised more than every thirty years. I's a more flexible document. It fits within the larger framework of the forest plan, but it's something that you tweak from time to time as conditions change. So we see this as Okay, you do a forest plan, you set suitability for your forest as to what areas, broadly speaking, are suitable for winter travel, and now you can go in and do a winter travel plan where you look at specifically What are we going to designate as open, and maybe ten years from now, trees have changed again and you go and you say Okay, well within the area you said are suitable, we're going to take a second look at what we're going to designate as open and maybe these trees grew or these trees burned and we're going to switch it all around within that larger framework.

So we certainly support being aware and responsive to changing conditions, as long as that's done within the legal framework and the framework of the forest plan.

MS. MARTEN: So let me tease it out a little bit on one of the other points with a lot of that great dialogue. And thank you. It is very helpful to hear different perspectives and some common areas there as well.

When a couple places brought up the scheduling
and teasing out a schedule and wanting to see more of a schedule. And everybody has their own ideas on the ideal schedule and what you'd like to see and how quick or when it would be started. And I'm just curious on what folks's thoughts were on that. What it is that you feel that would meet that is not being met right now as Chip's decision is drafted -- as drafted. It obviously -- I picked up you feel like that's a need that isn't being met. But I'm curious what's underlying some of that and then, also, how that would look in your mind, include a schedule. What does that look like from your perspective?

MS. FOX: Marla Fox. So I think, number one, it would be clarifying in the decision and the analysis that site-specific winter travel planning is still needed. Perhaps not on the Whitefish Range, and you could write it that way, because it sounds like there was site-specific travel planning done there. But to clarify that in this decision would be step one. That would be like the very bottom. Like that is the one thing that we would really want, at least WildEarth Guardians. And I'll let other people speak.

But then, number two, the next very least would be we need to do winter travel planning. And then number three, if you really want to go for the big guns, it
would be some kind of a time objective, like in a plan component objective. It wouldn't have to be standard and guideline, because obviously that sets up the Forest for failure. But if it says, you know, within ten years, within five years, whatever. I think some of the really, really big ones Hilary can get to would be like within one year; right.

MS. EISEN: This is Hilary. Yeah, one year initiating travel planning when you've just kind of maybe like Whoo, we're done with forest planning, maybe give yourself a couple more years. But $I$ think having some sort of timeline is really important $I$ think for all user groups, whether it's me at Winter Wildlands or David over at the snowmobile club. The forest plan sets out different changes in suitability including areas that are not currently suitable but would be suitable in the new plan, and then you have to do site-specific planning to actually open those. Well, if he wants to go riding in these areas before they fill in with trees again, you have to actually do that travel planning so that he can go use them.

MS. TRIBE: You guys are moving right
along.
MS. EISEN: There's other areas that are
currently suitable for over-snow vehicles that the US
forest plan say they would not be once you use them site-specific. And maybe Amy wants to get a wilderness bill through in her lifetime. So there's all sorts of reasons to be timely. And we, I think, have all seen -- and nothing against the Forest Service, but if you don't have a deadline, you don't get things done. And if you can set some deadlines for yourself in the forest plan then we, as a public, feel much better about the plan actually meaning something instead of it just being good intentions.

MS. TRIBE: So might there be some criteria that would trigger a talk about scheduling? You said Well, Sarah's worried about getting some wilderness done -- I mean, Amy's worried about getting some wilderness done before she isn't here anymore. Or you're talking about let these guys have a breather, et cetera. Well, those are sort of -- are there criteria, other triggers that you can think about that would cause you to think scheduling?

MS. EISEN: I don't know if I have like the perfect answer. I don't think there's a trigger, necessarily. I mean, $I$ think in the forest plan, using the example of the areas that are currently not open to snowmobiles but the plan says Actually, we've decided those are suitable areas but we have to do travel
planning before we can open them. You know, that sort of thing. Like people worked really hard over the last however many years in this forest plan revision to get that. And if you don't want the public to lose faith in the agency, I think it's important to follow through on that sort of promise.

MS. TRIBE: So already-determined suitability would be a criteria.

MS. EISEN: Yes. And the forest plan lays out suitability across the entire Forest. So a criteria has already been met. But $I$ think in just human nature of what we consider to generally feel to be acceptable, people have short memories. So if it's like In ten years we'll get to this, conditions have changed so much in ten years and people have moved on or they've stopped paying attention, it may as well be forever.

Maybe one year is too short because maybe you all need a break from planning. But I think less than five years is really important because that's still sort of in that human nature memory time frame of like We're all still thinking about this, we all still know each other, talking about it, the forest plan's not a distant memory.

And also, travel planning doesn't happen overnight. So if you don't start for five years and then
it's a three-year process, it's eight years before Dave can go riding and the trees have grown again. So I'm rambling now.

MR. COVILI: This is Dave again. In eight years I might have a knee replacement and $I$ might not be able to ride anymore. But seriously, one thought that came to mind was to try to keep the planning horizon as short as possible.

One suggestion that $I$ had in a discussion with some of my members was What if we could do like a season experiment? And if there was an area that was currently closed but we would have an experiment to see if, number one, anybody would ride it? Number two, what impacts would it have? Would it have detrimental impacts on parking, on wildlife, on the trees or the vegetation, any of the neighbors, other recreation groups, you know? Because $I$ think a lot of times we look at areas -- we look at the Forest and we say Oh, well, this would be best suitable for this activity, but nobody does that there.

You know, like one of the things that $I$ had to kind of chuckle about was like suitability for timber base. And there was one area that $I$ was talking to a friend of mine about and $I$ said Well, this is suitable for timber. And they said Well, yeah, it will be
suitable for timber in about eighty years because a fire went through there and there's no timber there anymore. Oh, okay. Well, same kind of deal with snowmobiling or any other activity or any other purpose on the Forest is saying it is so does not make it so.

For example, if we opened up an area -- let's say we opened up a creek drainage, we'll just call it ABC Creek and we open that up for snowmobiling, maybe it's found out that nobody wants to go there because it's really not a good area to snowmobile. Well, then, we found that out through experimentation. Or maybe we open it up and it's a great area to snowmobile but the traffic on the road makes it unsafe or the avalanche conditions make it unsafe. Then we would know that. Anyway, that was a thought that if there was some flexibility that we could enter into an experiment, you know, then we could evaluate it in the spring and say Well, this is what we found over the course of the winter.

We found that this was not a good area to snowmobile because there were too many -- too much impact on the wildlife, or this was a great area to snowmobile and let's look at maybe opening it up permanently or while it's still suitable.

MS. MARTEN: Thanks.
Sarah, did you have any thoughts on schedules
or Whitefish Range, some of the work there?
MS. LUNDSTRUM: Well, I just can't wait to do more planning. I was wondering what $I$ was going to do with my time.

MS. MARTEN: I know. I've got a whole team here thinking the same thing.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: You know, job security;
right? No, you know, I mean I don't think I have a definite on a timeline for planning, but I do agree. I mean, like you can't just let it go forever. Five years is a long time to wait to start. I would say it needs to be started before three, honestly. And it's not just over the snow, it's also you've got suitability for mountain biking or not suitability for mountain biking and recommended wilderness. There's some potential areas for potential summer motorized use. So it's not just the snowmobiling community that's waiting. There's a lot of folks that are going to be really interested in this. So yeah, I would say wait no longer than three years because things change, so....

MS. MARTEN: And just out of curiosity with the Whitefish Range Partnership, and I know various people are sitting here from that, was it talked about at all from that perspective? I'm thinking of the areas that were newer on that.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: Yeah. So in our comments we said You need to set a timeline for actually doing this. Because we just said We want you to look at these places as potentially suitable for over-the-snow or summer motorized. That means you have to actually look at them. So yeah, we thought sooner rather than later was better.

MS. MARTEN: That's what I remembered. I thought I might have missed something or there might be something a little bit more specific.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: We did not say Start
January 1st of 2019, but --
MS. MARTEN: Thank you very much.
MS. LUNDSTRUM: -- that wouldn't be too soon.

MS. MARTEN: Other thoughts along those lines or anything that we've brought out so far? Go ahead Amy.

MS. ROBINSON: Thank you; Amy Robinson. I've been sitting through various parts of the last three days, and there's certainly a common thread about this need for a timeline that also filters into, sometimes in many cases, stronger standards and guidelines that we can, as a public, stand on. And so I've just been thinking about that, $I$ guess, as an overarching challenge
in a lot of different ways through this process. What else? I had one other thought.

MS. MARTEN: Have any answers for that challenge?

MS. ROBINSON: Well, I think about, as Sarah noted with the management of recommended wilderness and Mike Anderson and I's desire to say Hey, if you are going to do site-specific management or evaluation, when is that going to happen? Because we need to know and we need to, frankly, be able to count on that, inquire with y'all about that and kind of hold you accountable to it in the ways that we can. So yeah, I think it threads through in a lot of ways.

And then to Hilary's point really quick, I guess, about not waiting too long as well, we kind of know each other. Like $I$ know Dave a bit, and it makes it easier for everyone if we go through travel planning before I decide $I$ can't work on wilderness anymore in eight years or something and he's got to get to know a new person. So those things, those relationships that we've created, certainly do matter.

MS. TRIBE: Thanks, Amy.
MS. MARTEN: So let me ask -- well,
actually, Sarah, you had indicated earlier that -- before we got on a couple of these other topics there were some
other things. So I just want to -- what else do I want to make sure you guys want to make sure gets put out in the room today on this topic? I know there's a lot of other nth details, but $I$ get the feeling there was something specific you wanted to make sure you had an opportunity to bring forward.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: Well, no, just so the other piece of the original question was, was the six-mile area also identified as the Tuchuck-Whale recommended wilderness as not being included in the forest plan. And we actually -- so the Tuchuck-Whale is not part of amendment 24 at all. Getting rid of it will not change that. It's still not part of amendment 24 . I just wanted to clarify, like we really tried as the Whitefish Range Partnership that the recommended wilderness boundary that we drew made sure that we left all available snowmobiling open and that we then found other places that were potentially available sort of as that tradeoff so that the wilderness folks were okay supporting more snowmobiling in the Whitefish Range because they got wilderness in the northern end of the range. And I think that made Robbie more comfortable as a snowmobiling incentive that Yeah, there's recommended wilderness, he doesn't really like it, but he's getting more snowmobiling down here. And so those were sort of
the tradeoffs that made our agreement work.
And as I said yesterday, it's recreation, it's wilderness, it's timber. Those are the three legs of that stool that hold up that agreement. And if we pull one of them out, then the whole thing falls. And $I$ just wanted to make sure that that was very fair.

MS. TRIBE: So using sort of an old term, it's kind of a no net loss, no net gain, whatever you put back in, which is what holds your compromise together.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: Yes.
MS. MARTEN: Thank you.
So one of the underlying things that I seem to be hearing today, which is helping me out tremendously, is if I think about the Travel Management Rule subpart C and Chip's draft decision and the forest plan, one of the things I'm definitely hearing is there's concern from a process standpoint and the opportunity for all of you, in partnership with each other, to be able to continue working forward on the site-specific implementation and what that looks like and the desire to have the opportunity to have those discussions working continue in continued partnership with the Forest and the agency, other agencies, other members of the public, the tribes, the big picture, and do it in a timely manner recognizing timely doesn't mean we're going to start tomorrow but it
also preferably doesn't mean start ten years from now as well for a variety of reasons both on the ground, the resource, relationships, et cetera, from that standpoint.

And Amy, I appreciate you voicing also
that -- because part of it was the position I'm sitting in and definitely the last three days but also, more beyond that, is how things dovetail together. Because forest planning is never the one-time you got it all it's perfect and now life is rosy. There's a lot of other things that go into the implementation side of it as well and how those pieces fit together in that bigger puzzle on that. Understanding there's a lot of other folks that are wanting for us to get started on the next steps on various other perspectives on that.

David, you picked up the mic, so go for it on that part.

MR. COVILL: Yeah. You were saying that there are a lot of other folks that want to be involved and have a say in the process. And I recently had a conversation with I'll just say a business person in the area who's very heavily involved with the tourism industry. And this -- our specific conversation was about how do we promote and encourage more people to come here in the winter so that people can have maybe a full-time year-round job instead of a 80-hour a week

90-days-a-year job? And so we were throwing around some ideas about that. And the topic of the reason why people come to the Flathead is the wilderness aspects of what we have around us and the -- if we have it designated as wilderness with a big $W$, that limits the amount of people that can access it and how far they can access it.

Now, you're limiting to what you can walk or if you can ride a horse, that's as far as you can go in the wilderness. And so we were throwing -- talking about different ways that could be used to promote utilization of the Forest in a good way so that we don't have extreme use in one area and very little use in another area but have it more widespread and enhance everybody's experience.

Nobody wants to come to Montana to have the experience that they have going to a park in southern California. I mean, they don't want to have the same number of people that they can see every day at their home in southern California. They want to come here and they want to get away from people. They want to see the trees. They want to be in the wilderness. And I totally get that. But if we limit where and how those people can have that experience, then we're going to defeat the whole purpose of why they want to come to Montana.

Now, I was involved with the collaborative
planning process all the way from the beginning for the Forest Service here on the Flathead National Forest, and I recall a few times people talking about the economic impacts. But I think it needs to be reemphasized that when decisions are made about how to use or how not to use the Forest or what is suitable for it, they should take more into account what the economic impact of that decision is and what the possible future economic impact would be.

I look at the areas around West Yellowstone. They, specifically with snowmobiling, they groom 400 miles of trail seven days a week during the winter season. And they get thousands and thousands of people to snowmobile on those trails. It makes West Yellowstone basically a twelve-month-a-year economy instead of a three-month-year economy. And we get three million people plus to go to Glacier National Park, but that's like from June 15 th to September 15 th . What about the rest of the year? Yes, we have a couple of ski resorts. Those do a great job of getting people into Whitefish and to the Lakeside area. But the rest of the Valley, I think we have incredible potential. I'm not talking about making it necessarily exactly like West Yellowstone, but we have -- if we can survive with three million people in three months, we could add another
million over the next nine months and we would all do a lot better economically. And I don't think we would have that big of a detriment on the rest of the ecosystem. MS. MARTEN: Thank you, David.

So Allison, $I$ want to just make sure we don't forget you on the phone. Anything you want to bring forward or any other comments?

MS. FLINT: I don't think so, Leanne. I like the way that you summarized things maybe five, ten minutes ago, about the need to continue exploring process going forward and build on needs to do follow-up site-specific planning in a timely manner. So I think that kind of encompasses us as well. So thanks for the conversation.

MS. MARTEN: You bet; thank you.
So as we're kind of pulling things together here, $I$ guess $I$ want to make sure there aren't other things on this stop topic that you want to make sure are put in the room here this afternoon, again aware that I've got your written ones. But is there something that I've missed or something you want to make sure that is just on my mind and fresh or whatever else that I may not have brought forward that you want to have a little bit of dialogue on amongst yourselves as well as with me.

MS. FOX: Marla Fox, WildEarth Guardians.

The one thing that we didn't talk about and I don't think we need to have discussion on now but $I$ wanted to highlight for you, Leanne, is our objection points on compliance with the Endangered Species Act and the OSV's impacts on grizzly bears as they emerge from their dens, especially mothers and cubs, and especially we're allowing winter travel, motorized travel, in the security core. To rely on amendment 24 -- I think that highlights the problem with amendment 24 and some of the outdated information there. And looking at that afresh through winter traveling planning would probably alleviate some of the concerns we have about compliance with the ESA for this forest plan revision. It's just taking such a big bite with a forest plan that one of our other object points was that it just doesn't fit in a forest plan because it is a high-level blueprint as opposed to the site specific.

MS. MARTEN: Thank you. And I do recall during the grizzly bear discussion you had brought that up. So thank you for -- because I said that at that time I know we'd have this today too. So appreciate that. Thank you, Marla.

Others? I'm getting all head shakes and nos. So thank you. This has been extremely helpful for me, as have all the other topics. I know many of you
have been involved, and even those that have been sitting observing these three days, I give you all the credit in the world on that part of it. But you've all been involved from day one on this and throughout several years. So really appreciate your time and your input and the dialogue; extremely helpful for me.

Again, no decisions today, but this definitely will be incorporated in my thought process as I move forward with all the objections, winter travel being one key part of it and how it interlinks with everything else, endangered species, the grizzly, and a lot of the other topics, recommended wilderness, and many of the other things that have been brought up as part of the dialogue over the last three days.

So Ginny, I'll turn it over to you for some facilitation and observations.

MS. TRIBE: Well, what I was going to say is that we're scheduled to go to 2:30 and we're about 20 minutes from that, but then we were going to take a break and then we were going to come back and have the reviewing officer's reflections, which is a spiritual activity, and that you would explain one last time about the documentation of the meeting and the people that work together and that kind of business and what would happen next. And so I'm going to ask the people at the table
and the two people that are in the room, would you be offended if we didn't take a break and --

MS. MARTEN: Just move into that part.
MS. TRIBE: -- would you guys be ready to reflect so that we can honor everybody's time here? And these guys, I know, they've been here. They don't go home at night. They just stay in those chairs.

MR. SMITH: We'll stay here for days if you want us to.

MS. MARTEN: He's my driver, and he's not staying for days, just for the record. He's driving me.

MS. TRIBE: So if you guys don't mind doing that.

MS. MARTEN: That's fine.
MS. TRIBE: So before you do that, the last thing I wanted to see is would the people at the table, would you make any other observations about the process or, in general, both Sarah and Amy were here yesterday.

MS. LUNDSTRUM: And the day before.
MS. TRIBE: Oh, yeah, it has gone since
Wednesday, hasn't it? So we had a few process observations on the board over there. Is there anything you want to say just kind of in summary about the sort of objector process and what we can learn and that kind of stuff?

Amy, please.
MS. ROBINSON: Amy Robinson. I don't have anything huge to say, but $I$ just really appreciated the process and all the hard work that you guys have undergone and the team to put together these briefs and really try to prepare us to be as informed and lead a process that we can participate in as thoroughly as possible and your sincerity in that as well in trying to hear what we're saying. I appreciate that.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you.
Anybody else?
MS. EISEN: Hilary again. Yeah, I just want to say we really appreciate the opportunity to have this sort of conversation and the whole objection process, being able to have another bite at the apple and say We still have these concerns and have conversation around it. It's something we really appreciate it.

MS. TRIBE: So that part of the regs.
That's not the only reason they're doing it, but it really helps.

MR. COVILL: David, Snowmobile Association.
Yeah, I'd just like to say that we're not here necessarily for our own personal agendas. We're here representing sometimes very large numbers of people that -- and I personally am thankful that we have that
opportunity to say something and to have our voices heard. Just the five of us at this table, there's probably a couple of man years tied up in this process, not to mention all of you sitting at the --

MS. TRIBE: I thought you were going to say woman years.

MR. COVILL: Human years. There's been a lot of after-work hours, a lot of weekends, a lot of phone calls. And it's -- it's -- we're all here hopeful that our concerns will have been heard and hopefully a lot of them met with the final decision comes out as. And just want to also say thanks for being patient with all of us because sometimes we can be a little demanding.

MS. TRIBE: Thank you, David.
You know, I don't work for the Forest Service.
I don't live here. Bambi and I have worked together before Going-To-The-Sun highway and a couple things like that that were noncontentious. So I just want to say as a citizen who's sort of independent floating around out there, it's a wonderful thing to see citizens at work like this. And so as a facilitator, thanks for letting me push you around.

Bambi, thanks for your help, it was invaluable. And thanks for inviting me to come.

Go for it.

MS. MARTEN: So I will just -- and there's a lot of people over the last three days that have been in and out. And I'm going to do my best to put this email out to everybody and the objectors as well. But for the folks here and folks on the phone for today, I just want to express my sincere gratitude for the last several days, for your time and energy over the last several years, with Chip and the staff here on the Flathead as well as across the region. And I know you do much more than just the Flathead and you are just involved citizens. And from my perspective and the unique role that $I$ 'm in, it has been extremely helpful. This process helps me tremendously.

And although we have the regulations and it gives you kind of the sideboards, how you design it and how you set it up and try to facilitate the interactions is just that. It's kind of an experiment. And so I appreciate your patience with how we did this. I appreciate any feedback we've gotten through the week but, also, if you think of something after this. Because there's several more of these coming up, at least in the region, that I'll be involved with. So I'm always open to learn what may have been changed or done a little differently or things that -- just like the observations we made over the last couple days from that.

And I would say that, David, you kind of sparked -- everything's been heard. I'm not going to guarantee the decision is going to please everybody or you're going to get everything that everybody wants just because, as you guys know, of the diversity. But I can sincerely say from myself and on behalf of Chip and the staff definitely heard throughout the process and through the objections are very much appreciated.

And before I turn it over to Chip to close this out as the host, $I$ would be remiss if $I$ didn't say a big thank you to Chip and his staff and then the folks in the regional office. Because the work over the last several years, the foundation, just everything has set up for a very successful process and dialogue this week but much more going forward with the management of the public lands on behalf of everyone in this room and everyone who's been involved for now and for future generations. And could not have done it without all of your passion and dedication throughout and your continued passion and dedication. So many thanks to Chip and staff and staff at the regional office and others that $I$ know have been part of this with all of you in partnership as well.

So with that I'm going to let Chip close us out as the host here from the Flathead and we'll go from there.

MR. WEBER: Thanks. And I'll be pretty brief because it's o'beer: 30 or almost.

But I will tell you I started with the word "curiosity" and, literally, I woke up last night at two o'clock in the morning. It wasn't because any golden retriever was nudging me. I don't do that very often. But I was thinking about the take-aways for me from this week. And I think that word that I would close with is probably "gratitude." And I want you to understand, and I thought a lot about this, that I'm certainly grateful with people who agree with what I think and the way I try to solve problems and stuff like that. But that's really not what this week is about. This is about people who still disagree or want something more than what I've done in this decision. And I want you to understand that I'm equally grateful that you are at the table and still rowing hard with your oars in the water to try to make this better. So it's harder, you know, for all of us, I think, to be grateful for the disagreement than it is for the agreement. It's sort of nice to go have beer with people who agree with you. But I'm grateful to those of you who stuck with me even in disagreement. So thank you for that. I hope you have a really wonderful weekend and you keep working with us on all the work to come. Thank you.
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