



United States Department of Agriculture

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Four-Forest Restoration Initiative

Volume 2

Coconino and Kaibab National Forests
Coconino County, Arizona



Forest Service

Southwestern Region

MB-R3-04-24

November 2014

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Appendix A – Map Packet	563
Appendix B - FEIS Forest Plan Amendments.....	565
Background.....	565
Alternative B – Coconino National Forest Site-Specific Nonsignificant Forest Plan Amendments	568
Alternative C – Coconino National Forest Site-Specific Nonsignificant Forest Plan Amendments	598
Alternative D – Coconino National Forest Site-Specific Nonsignificant Forest Plan Amendments	634
Appendix C – Design Features, Best Management Practices, and Mitigation	637
Appendix D – Alternative B through E Implementation Plan.....	683
Description of Plan Components	683
Section A – Management Direction, Desired Conditions, and Treatment Design	690
Section B – Decision Matrix.....	731
Section C – Old Tree Implementation Plan	733
Section D – Modified Large Tree Implementation Plan (Alternatives C and E).....	735
Section E – Density Management and the Relationship Between Treatment Intensity, Tree Group Density, and Overall Average Density	744
Appendix E – Four Forests Restoration Initiative Adaptive Management, Biophysical and Socioeconomic, Mexican Spotted Owl and Arizona Bugbane Monitoring Plan	747
Outline of This Plan.....	747
Introduction.....	748
Adaptive Management Process:.....	748
Monitoring	751
Attachment 1. Mexican Spotted Owl Project Monitoring	836
Attachment 2. Arizona Bugbane Administrative Study: Fire effects	840
Arizona bugbane and fire.....	840
Historic and recent Fire.....	842
Study design.....	845
Reporting	850
Attachment 3. Alternatives B through E springs, channel and road adaptive management actions	851
Appendix F – Cumulative Effects.....	857
Authorized Livestock Management.....	857
Timber Harvest	858
Natural Disturbances – Fire	865
Natural Disturbances – Insect and Disease.....	867
Private, State, and Other Agency Activities	869
Summary of Current and Ongoing Projects.....	870
Summary of Reasonably Foreseeable Projects	876
Reasonably Foreseeable Projects With Insufficient Information for Analysis	884
Appendix G – Bridge Habitat for Canopy-Dependent Wildlife	887
Introduction.....	887
Bridge Habitat at the Landscape Scale	887
Ponderosa Pine Treatment Area Scale (507,839 acres)	889

Contents

Bridge Habitat at the Restoration Unit Scale 894
Bridge Habitat at the Mid-Scale..... 897
Conclusions about Bridge Habitat in the 4FRI Project 902
Appendix H – Glossary..... 905
Appendix I - Summary of Response to Comments on the DEIS 919
List of Commenters..... 919
The Hopi Tribe..... 925
Flagstaff Fire Department 930
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 932
USDI National Park Service 936
The State of Arizona Game and Fish Department 937
Arizona State Forestry Division..... 940
Coconino Natural Resource Conservation District..... 944
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 946
U.S. Department of the Interior 947
Eastern Arizona Counties Organization..... 955
Summary of Response to Comments on the DEIS 994
References Cited..... 1023
Index..... 1047

Tables

Table 103. Summary of Coconino NF forest plan amendments by alternative and theme	567
Table 104. Alternative B amendment 1; current and proposed Mexican spotted owl forest plan language (Coconino NF).....	570
Table 105. Alternative B amendment 1 management area acres (Coconino NF).....	581
Table 106. Alternative B Amendment 2 Management of Canopy Cover and Ponderosa Pine with an Open Reference Condition in Goshawk Habitat (Coconino NF)	583
Table 107. Alternative B amendment 2 management area (MA) acres (Coconino NF).....	590
Table 108. Timber suitability calculation for the Coconino NF.....	591
Table 109. Alternative B amendment 3 effect determination for cultural resources (Coconino NF).....	594
Table 110. Alternative C amendment 1 Mexican spotted owl current and proposed forest plan language (Coconino NF).....	600
Table 111. Alternative C Mexican spotted owl amendment 1 management area (MA) acres	616
Table 112. Alternative C amendment 2 management of canopy cover and ponderosa pine with an open reference condition in goshawk habitat (Coconino NF)	619
Table 113. Alternative C amendment 2 management area (MA) acres.....	626
Table 114. Timber suitability calculation for the Coconino NF.....	627
Table 115. Alternative C amendment 3 effect determination for cultural resources	630
Table 116. Alternatives B, C, D, and E design features, best management practices, and mitigation	638
Table 117. Annual implementation checklist	685
Table 118. Planned acres by treatment type and restoration unit (RU)	686
Table 119. NEPA, NFMA, ESA, CFLR Act compliance evaluation	688
Table 120. Supporting documentation checklist	689
Table 121. Mexican spotted owl restricted habitat target/threshold conditions for pine-oak forests	692
Table 122. Restricted other habitat treatment criteria	695
Table 123. Minimum desired conditions for pine-oak forest areas managed for Recovery nesting/roosting habitat (USDI FWS 2012)	699
Table 124. Percent of trees, tree groups, and interspaces by treatment intensity (landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas)	703
Table 125. Landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas wildland-urban interface and uneven-aged treatments stocking guidelines for tree groups	704
Table 126. Interspace percent and width in Landscapes Outside of Goshawk Post-fledging Areas wildland-urban interface (WUI) and uneven-aged (UEA) treatments	705
Table 127. Percent of area occupied by trees, tree groups, and interspace in landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas intermediate thin (IT)	706
Table 128. Stocking guidelines for VSS 4 to 6 tree groups in landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas intermediate thin (IT) treatments	708
Table 129. Percent and width of interspace in landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas intermediate thin (IT) treatments	708
Table 130. Stocking guidelines for tree groups in landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas stand improvement (SI) treatments	709
Table 131. Interspace percent and width landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas stand improvement (SI) treatments	709
Table 132. Stocking guidelines for VSS 4 to VSS 6 tree groups in landscapes outside of goshawk post-fledging areas pine-sage treatments	712
Table 133. Percent of area occupied by individual trees, tree groups, and interspace in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas uneven-aged (UEA) treatments	715
Table 134. Stocking guidelines for tree groups in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas wildland-urban interface and uneven-aged treatments	717
Table 135. Interspace percent and width in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas wildland-urban interface and uneven-aged (UEA) treatments.....	717
Table 136. Percent of area occupied by trees and interspace for dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas intermediate thin (IT).....	719

Contents

Table 137. Dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas intermediate thin (IT) treatments stocking guidelines for VSS 4 – 6 tree groups 720

Table 138. Interspace percent and width in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas intermediate thin (IT)..... 720

Table 139. Percent of area occupied by individual trees, tree groups, and interspaces in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas stand improvement (SI) treatments..... 721

Table 140. Stocking guidelines for tree groups in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas stand improvement (SI) treatments 723

Table 141. Interspace percent and width in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas stand improvement (SI) treatments 723

Table 142. Stocking guidelines for VSS 4–6 tree groups in dispersal post-fledging family areas / post-fledging family areas pine-sage treatments 725

Table 143. Minimum structural attributes in suitable goshawk nest stands*..... 727

Table 144. Section B decision matrix for establishing tree groups, interspace, and regeneration openings..... 731

Table 145. Section E the relationship between treatment intensity, tree group density, and overall average density 744

Table 146. Effectiveness monitoring tiers and prioritization..... 754

Table 147. Scale terms used by different groups and within this document..... 754

Table 148. Implementation monitoring questions and indicators..... 756

Table 149 Suggested Indicators: Forest Service and multiparty monitoring needed for adaptive management 781

Table 150. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems.. 804

Table 151. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems 819

Table 152. Number of ignitions by month over a 25-Year period within the area shown in figure 71 843

Table 153. Arizona bugbane locations and sites in the Upper West Fork PAC 845

Table 154. Selected alternative springs, channels, and roads adaptive management actions 851

Table 155. Summary of past projects that have influenced existing conditions (2000 to 2014)..... 861

Table 156. Summary of past vegetation and prescribed fire project acres (2000 to 2014) adjacent to the project area..... 864

Table 157. Coconino and Kaibab NF documented wildfire acres 1940 to 2014 867

Table 158. Acres affected by insect and disease outbreaks by forest (within project area)..... 868

Table 159. Past treatments on private, State, and other federally managed lands 2000-2013 870

Table 160. Current and ongoing vegetation, prescribed fire, and other ground-disturbing projects..... 870

Table 161. Current and ongoing other projects 875

Table 162. Reasonably foreseeable vegetation and ground-disturbing projects within and adjacent to the project area..... 878

Table 163. Other (non-vegetation) reasonably foreseeable projects within the project area 881

Table 164. Other agency and private lands foreseeable vegetation and prescribed fire projects..... 881

Table 165. Other foreseeable vegetation and prescribed fire projects outside the project area 882

Table 166. Acres of treatment and non-treatment areas within the 4FRI project area..... 888

Table 167. Acres of proposed treatment in terms of post-treatment openness 890

Table 168. Post-treatment contributions to bridge habitat provided by each treatment designation 892

Table 169. Proposed post-treatment openness condition (percent) by restoration unit 895

Table 170. Design features, BMPs, and mitigation measures contributing to bridge habitat 898

Table 171. Excerpt from section D of the 4FRI implementation guidelines 902

Figures

Figure 54. Alternative B amendment 1 Mexican spotted owl PAC treatments	578
Figure 55. Alternative B goshawk habitat subject to canopy cover requirements in VSS 4 and VSS 6 (Coconino NF).....	587
Figure 56. Alternative B general locations of savanna and grassland restoration treatments (Coconino NF and Kaibab NF*)	588
Figure 57. Alternative C amendment 1 proposed activities in Mexican spotted owl PACs in relation to no treatment areas (Coconino NF).....	609
Figure 58. Alternative C amendment 1 prescribed fire within and outside of Mexican spotted owl core areas	610
Figure 59. Alternative C amendment 1 landscape target and threshold analysis	611
Figure 60. Alternative C amendment 1 general locations of Mexican spotted owl target and threshold habitat managed from 110 to 150 basal area (Coconino NF)	612
Figure 61. Alternative C amendment 1 locations of Mexican spotted owl target and threshold treatments	613
Figure 62. Alternative C general location of goshawk habitat subject to canopy cover requirements in VSS 4 to VSS 6 (Coconino NF and Kaibab NF)	623
Figure 63. Alternative C amendment 2 general locations of savanna and grassland restoration treatments (Coconino NF).....	624
Figure 64. Alternative B amendment 1 Mexican spotted owl PAC treatments	635
Figure 65. Old tree characteristics (Thompson 1940)	734
Figure 66. Old age tree characteristics continued (Thompson 1940)	734
Figure 67. Section E density management and stocking guidelines.....	746
Figure 68. 4FRI adaptive management process.....	750
Figure 69. Arizona bugbane plants near the fire line on Fry Fire September 2004.....	841
Figure 70. Arizona bugbane sprouting from roots about a month after the Slide Fire burned though this population	842
Figure 71. Arizona bugbane populations are shown in orange. Lightning fires locations are shown as: Yellow = January through April; Pink = May through September; Blue = October through December. 2) Perimeters of lightning fires that grew to 10 acres or larger are in green.....	844
Figure 72. Map of the treatment areas. Arizona bugbane is shown in black.....	847
Figure 73. Map showing soil units in Arizona bugbane areas to be treated	849
Figure 74. Pre-1996 vegetation and prescribed fire projects within the project area	859
Figure 75. General locations of past projects (post-1996) within the project area	865
Figure 76. General locations of current and ongoing projects within or adjacent to the project area.....	876
Figure 77. General locations of foreseeable projects within or adjacent to the project area	885
Figure 78. Relative, post-treatment forest density across the 4FRI project area, alternative C.....	889
Figure 79. Restoration unit boundaries within the 4FRI treatment area.....	895

List of Acronyms

4FRI	Four-Forest Restoration Initiative	MA	Management area
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	MAUM	Thousand animal unit month
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	MIS	Management indicator species
AGFD	Arizona Game and Fish Department	ML	Maintenance level
AUM	Animal Unit Month	MRNG	Management Recommendations for the Northern Goshawk in the Southwestern United States
BA	Basal area	MSO	Mexican spotted owl
BAER	Burned Area Emergency Response	NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
BCC	Birds of Conservation Concern	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
BCR	Bird Conservation Region	NF	National Forest
BE	Biological evaluation	NFMA	National Forest Management Act
BMP	Best management practice	NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
CCF	Hundred cubic feet	NMED	New Mexico Environment Department
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality	NRV	Natural Range of Variability
CFLR	Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration	PAC	Protected activity center
CFLRP	Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program	PFA	Northern goshawk post-fledging family area
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	PJ	Pinyon-juniper
CHU	Critical habitat unit	PM	Particulate matter
CNF	Coconino National Forest	PNVT	Potential natural vegetation type
CO	Carbon monoxide	ROS	Recreation opportunity spectrum
CWD	Coarse woody debris	ROW	Right-of-way
d.b.h.	Diameter at breast height	RU	Restoration unit
DEIS	Draft environmental impact statement	SDI	Stand density index
dPFA	Dispersal post-fledging area	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
d.r.c.	diameter at root collar	SI	Stand improvement
EIS	Environmental impact statement	SIO	Scenery integrity objectives
EMA	Ecosystem management area	SWCP	Soil and water conservation practice
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency	TAP	Travel analysis process
EIS	Environmental impact statement	TCP	Traditional cultural properties
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement	TES	Threatened, endangered and sensitive
FLEA	Flagstaff/Lake Mary Ecosystem Analysis	TMR	Travel Management Rule
FRCC	Fire regime condition class	TPA	Trees per acre
FSH	Forest Service Handbook	UEA	Uneven-aged
FSM	Forest Service Manual	USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
FVS	Forest Vegetation Simulator	USDI	United States Department of the Interior
FWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service	VMS	Visual Management System
GIS	Geographic information system	VSS	Vegetation structural stages
HUC	Hydrologic unit code	WEPP	Water Erosion Prediction Project
IBA	Important bird area	WFLC	Western Forest Leadership Coalition
IMPLAN	Impact Analysis for Planning	WUI	Wildland-urban interface
IT	Intermediate thin		
KNF	Kaibab National Forest		
LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory		
LOPFA	Landscapes outside post-fledging family area		
LTIP	Large tree implementation plan		
LTRS	Large tree retention strategy		