

**From:** beverley a everson/r3/usdafs;nsf;beverson@fs.fed.us;smtp  
**Sent:** Thu Aug 07 2008 19:38:30 EDT  
**To:** beverley a everson/r3/usdafs@fsnotes  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Fw: Tribal Consult record  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Beverley A. Everson  
Forest Geologist  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress Street, 6th Floor  
Tucson, AZ. 85701

Voice: 520-388-8428  
Fax: 520-388-8305

----- Forwarded by Beverley A Everson/R3/USDAFS on 08/07/2008 04:38 PM -----

"Tom Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
06/16/2008 05:35 PM

To  
"Beverley A Everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>  
CC  
"Melissa Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>  
Subject  
RE: Tribal Consult record

Thanks Bev.

-----Original Message-----

From: Beverley A Everson [mailto:beverson@fs.fed.us]  
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 4:31 PM  
To: Tom Furgason; Mary M Farrell  
Cc: Melissa Reichard; Teresa Ann Ciapusci  
Subject: RE: Tribal Consult record

I agree with Tom's suggestion to discuss these and other requirements with the team as a whole. Also, FYI Tom and Melissa, I was advised that the original "copies" of correspondence to and from the tribes need to go in the admin record; I passed this information on to to Mary last week.

Fw: Tribal Consult record

Beverley A. Everson  
Forest Geologist  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress Street, 6th Floor  
Tucson, AZ. 85701

Voice: 520-388-8428  
Fax: 520-388-8305

"Tom Furgason"

<tfurgason@swca.c

om>

To

"Teresa Ann Ciapusci"

06/16/2008 10:55 <tciapusci@fs.fed.us>, "Melissa

AM Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>

cc

"Beverley A Everson"

<beverson@fs.fed.us>

Subject

RE: Tribal Consult record

In the interest of efficiency, I'd recommend that we meet with the entire ID team and let them know about our requirements and needs for keeping files. I think that we can probably wait a couple of extra weeks until the ID is formed.

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Teresa Ann Ciapusci [mailto:[tciapusci@fs.fed.us](mailto:tciapusci@fs.fed.us)]  
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2008 10:31 AM  
To: Melissa Reichard  
Cc: Beverley A Everson; Tom Furgason  
Subject: RE: Tribal Consult record

Both days are free on my calendar, but my preference would be the 19th. Bev, as team leader, should contact the Arch folks to determine their availability.

Teresa Ann Ciapusci  
Staff Officer  
Ecosystem Management and Planning  
Coronado National Forest  
300 West Congress, FB42  
Tucson, Arizona 85701  
(520) 388-8350 office  
(520) 237-0879 cellular  
(520) 388-8305 fax

"Melissa

Reichard"

<[mreichard@swca.c](mailto:mreichard@swca.c)  
To  
om> "Teresa Ann Ciapusci"

<[tciapusci@fs.fed.us](mailto:tciapusci@fs.fed.us)>

06/13/2008 12:23

CC  
PM "Beverley A Everson"

<[beverson@fs.fed.us](mailto:beverson@fs.fed.us)>, "Tom

Furgason" <[tfurgason@swca.com](mailto:tfurgason@swca.com)>

Subject  
RE: Tribal Consult record

How about the pm of the 19th or early on the 20th? Do you want to contact Mary and Bill?

Melissa

-----Original Message-----

From: Teresa Ann Ciapusci [mailto:tciapusci@fs.fed.us]  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: Melissa Reichard  
Cc: Beverley A Everson; Tom Furgason  
Subject: Re: Tribal Consult record

Sounds like a good idea to me. My only recommendation is that it happen after the meeting you, Bev, Janet, and I already have scheduled for sorting the records we already have in hand. Propose some dates so I can get it into my calendar.

Teresa Ann Ciapusci  
Staff Officer  
Ecosystem Management and Planning  
Coronado National Forest  
300 West Congress, FB42  
Tucson, Arizona 85701  
(520) 388-8350 office  
(520) 237-0879 cellular  
(520) 388-8305 fax

"Melissa

Reichard"

<mreichard@swca.com>  
To  
om> <tciapusci@fs.fed.us>

cc  
06/13/2008 11:22 "Beverley A Everson"

AM <beverson@fs.fed.us>, "Tom

Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>

Subject  
Tribal Consult record

TA-

I was wondering if we could setup a small mtg with Mary, Bill and our arch staff to talk about the Admin Record requirements for the Tribal Consultation. I was thinking that it would be great to set up the expectations and information traffic sooner, rather than later. Interested to hear what you think about this....

Thanks!

Melissa Reichard  
Sr. Administrator- Tucson Office  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
343 West Franklin Street  
Tucson, Arizona 85701  
(520)325-9194, (520)325-2033 fax

Sound Science. Creative Solutions.

**Consideration of Archaeological and Tribal issues in Rosemont Alternatives  
Coronado National Forest  
Heritage Resources Report 2009-05-103**

Mary Farrell, April 14, 2009

First, it's important to reiterate that the Issue Statement developed for Archaeological Resources is very good as a summary of the public comments, but it doesn't include specific issues identified by tribes. The first round of tours of the project area for tribes is scheduled to be completed May 1. Some tribes have indicated that they will need to conduct additional field work in the summer in order to evaluate plant resources in the project area, but the Forest Service plans to request official comments on the proposal soon after the initial tours are completed. At that time we'll ask tribes to identify issues and potential mitigation measures by a specified date (probably June 15, 2009).

In general, most comments made during field trips or meetings have unequivocally opposed the RCM as a whole: the project area is within the Tribes' traditional territory, and the land in its current state provides a connection to their cultures, histories, and identities. However, several specific concerns have been identified, some of which overlap with the issues identified by the public:

1. Destruction of ancestors' graves and cemeteries (three sites with known graves or cemeteries would be under the waste dump, three are adjacent to the tailings dump, and two are just east of the project area)
2. Desecration of sacred springs (at least three have been identified within the project area)
3. Destruction of ancestral villages and campsites (over 50 sites, most of which would be buried by waste or tailings)
3. Loss of plant collecting areas
4. Damage to clay and paint collecting areas
5. Alterations to the natural landscape
6. Potential water table depletion
7. Potential water and air contamination

Potential mitigations that have been mentioned (note, these haven't been officially endorsed by tribal governments, and some representatives have stated flat out that they hesitate to suggest mitigations because it would be seen as endorsing the mine):

1. Avoid sites with known human remains (see below)
2. If sites are going to be impacted, insure all human remains are removed and repatriated (we have also heard the opposite suggestion, that human remains might be better off left in place, provided that drainage from the mine doesn't contain pollutants that would harm the burials)

3. Restore the landscape as much as possible; e.g., plants trees as well as grass on the waste dump and tailings; contour the waste dump and tailings to as close to natural contours as possible; consider re-filling the mine pit with the waste materials or even use it to dump other materials (future waste from other mining, or trash from Tucson).
4. Provide training or scholarships in various fields (wildlife biology, archaeology, hydrology, air quality) so that tribal members can serve as monitors of the mine during its operation.

**Note on human remains:**

In the current Plan of Operation, three of the sites where human remains were found during the previous ANAMAX excavations would be covered by the waste rock or tailings (outlined in red on attached map):

(b) (3) (A)

Three other sites in Barrel Canyon are close to the proposed waste rock/tailings deposit but with careful design and monitoring, could be avoided by project activities (highlighted in yellow):

(b) (3) (A)

Two additional sites are outside the 2008 archaeological survey area, but close enough to the proposed project area that any changes in the dump footprint could impact them (these also highlighted in yellow):

(b) (3) (A)

Alternatives or mitigation measures that improve the visual quality of the mine and dumps, restore natural vegetation, and protect the quantity and quality of water are likely to mitigate some, but not all, of the tribes' concerns. It's difficult to evaluate whether the alternatives that would move waste rock and tailings to Sycamore and Fig Tree Canyons or to the west side of the ridge would substantially reduce or mitigate effects on resources and places important to tribes, because we haven't done as much field work in those areas. However, given the steepness of the terrain, it seems likely that there would be fewer ancestral villages in those locations. If so, the costs of recovering and repatriating human remains in those alternatives could be much lower than in the current project area.

**From:** mary m farrell/r3/usdafs;nsf;mfarrell@fs.fed.us;smtp  
**Sent:** Tue Apr 14 2009 15:08:41 EDT  
**To:** beverley a everson/r3/usdafs  
**CC:** sgriset@swca.com  
**Subject:** rosemont homework  
**Attachments:** Consideration of Archaeological and Tribal issues in Rosemont Alternatives.doc

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Hi, Bev!

I'll bring you a hard copy of this, too, which will have the map attached.

Mary M. Farrell  
Heritage Program Leader and Tribal Liaison  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 388-8391  
(520) 388-8305 (fax)

**From:** "tom furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
**Sent:** Fri Jun 19 2009 18:31:47 EDT  
**To:** "reta laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>;"beverley a everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>;"mary m farrell" <mfarrell@fs.fed.us>  
**CC:** "melinda d roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>;"charles coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>;"suzanne grisette" <sgrisset@swca.com>;"jerome hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>;"melissa reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>  
**Subject:** Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Reta,

I was wondering what the timeframe is for the CoronadoNF to send the Cultural Resources report to the tribes and agencies for review. As you know, we'll have a 30-day review period (possibly longer if tribes/agencies request and are granted additional review time) before we can expect to put any more work into the Chapter 3 portion on tribal consultation. Is there anything that SWCA can do to assist with transmitting the reports to the interested tribes and agencies? Feel free to let Suzanne Grisette or me know what we can do to assist.

Tom Furgason

Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants

343 West Franklin Street

Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110

(520) 820-5178 mobile

(520) 325-2033 fax

**From:** mary m farrell/r3/usdafs;nsf;mfarrell@fs.fed.us;smtp  
**Sent:** Fri Jun 19 2009 19:26:34 EDT  
**To:** "tom furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
"beverley a everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>;"charles coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>;"jerome hesse"  
<jhesse@swca.com>;"melissa reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>;"melinda d roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>;"reta  
**CC:** laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>;"suzanne grisette" <sgrisset@swca.com>;karina montez/r3/usdafs@fsnotes;william b  
gillespie/r3/usdafs@fsnotes  
**Subject:** Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Tom,

Reta finished her review and clarifications this morning, and the letters to tribes and agencies are being processed and are expected to be mailed on Monday. Would electronic or hard copies (or both) be best for the project record SWCA is keeping?

Thank you for your kind offer of additional assistance, but I think we are set. Please extend to Suzanne and Jerome our thanks for their help expediting the review and editing of our first drafts, and for supplying the necessary CD copies.

Mary

Mary M. Farrell  
Heritage Program Leader and Tribal Liaison  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 388-8391  
(520) 388-8305 (fax)

"Tom Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>

06/19/2009 03:31 PM

To  
"Reta Laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>, "Beverley A Everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>, "Mary M Farrell"  
<mfarrell@fs.fed.us>  
cc  
"Melinda D Roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>, "Charles Coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>, "Suzanne Grisette"  
<sgrisset@swca.com>, "Jerome Hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>, "Melissa Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>  
Subject  
Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Reta,

I was wondering what the timeframe is for the Coronado NF to send the Cultural Resources report to the tribes and agencies for review. As you know, we'll have a 30-day review period (possibly longer if tribes/agencies request and are granted additional review time) before we can expect to put any more work into the Chapter 3 portion on tribal consultation. Is there anything that SWCA can do to assist with transmitting the reports to the interested tribes and agencies? Feel free to let Suzanne Griset or me know what we can do to assist.

Tom Furgason  
Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
343 West Franklin Street  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110  
(520) 820-5178 mobile  
(520) 325-2033 fax

RE: Mary, please confirm status -Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

**From:** "tom furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
**Sent:** Fri Jun 19 2009 19:11:16 EDT  
**To:** "reta laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>  
**CC:** "beverley a everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>; "mary m farrell" <mfarrell@fs.fed.us>  
**Subject:** RE: Mary, please confirm status -Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Thanks Reta!

From: Reta Laford[mailto:rlaford@fs.fed.us]  
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 4:05 PM  
To: Tom Furgason; Mary M Farrell  
Cc: Beverley A Everson; Charles Coyle; Jerome Hesse; Melissa Reichard; Melinda D Roth; Suzanne Griset  
Subject: Mary, please confirm status -Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Mary - I defer to you in getting back to Tom. My review is done and I believe the letters were going out today and Monday.

Reta Laford, DeputyForestSupervisor

USDA Forest Service, Coronado National Forest  
300 W Congress Street, Tucson, AZ85701

Phone: 520-388-8307 (office), 505-452-7557 (cell)  
Fax: 520-388-8305  
Email: rlaford@fs.fed.us

---

"Tom Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>

06/19/2009 03:32 PM

To

"Reta Laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>, "Beverley A Everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>, "Mary M Farrell"

<mfarrell@fs.fed.us>

cc

"Melinda D Roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>, "Charles Coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>, "Suzanne Griset" <sgriset@swca.com>, "Jerome Hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>, "Melissa Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>

Subject

Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Reta,

I was wondering what the timeframe is for the CoronadoNF to send the Cultural Resources report to the tribes and agencies for review. As you know, we'll have a 30-day review period (possibly longer if tribes/agencies request and are granted additional review time) before we can expect to put any more work into the Chapter 3 portion on tribal consultation. Is there anything that SWCA can do to assist with transmitting the reports to the interested tribes and agencies? Feel free to let Suzanne Griset or me know what we can do to assist.

Tom Furgason  
Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
343 West Franklin Street  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110  
(520) 820-5178 mobile  
(520) 325-2033 fax

**From:** "tom furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
**Sent:** Mon Jun 22 2009 19:48:29 EDT  
**To:** "mary m farrell" <mfarrell@fs.fed.us>  
**CC:** "reta laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>; "beverley a everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>; "suzanne grisette" <sgrisset@swca.com>; "jerome hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Thanks Mary!

From: Mary M Farrell [mailto:mfarrell@fs.fed.us]  
Sent: Friday, June 19, 2009 4:27 PM  
To: Tom Furgason  
Cc: Beverley A Everson; Charles Coyle; Jerome Hesse; Melissa Reichard; Melinda D Roth; Reta Laford; Suzanne Griset; Karina Montez; William B Gillespie  
Subject: Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Tom,

Reta finished her review and clarifications this morning, and the letters to tribes and agencies are being processed and are expected to be mailed on Monday. Would electronic or hard copies (or both) be best for the project record SWCA is keeping?

Thank you for your kind offer of additional assistance, but I think we are set. Please extend to Suzanne and Jerome our thanks for their help expediting the review and editing of our first drafts, and for supplying the necessary CD copies.

Mary

Mary M. Farrell  
Heritage Program Leader and Tribal Liaison  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 388-8391  
(520) 388-8305 (fax)

"Tom Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>

06/19/2009 03:31 PM

To

"Reta Laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>, "Beverley A Everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>, "Mary M Farrell" <mf Farrell@fs.fed.us>

cc

"Melinda D Roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>, "Charles Coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>, "Suzanne Griset" <sgriset@swca.com>, "Jerome Hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>, "Melissa Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>

Subject

Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Reta,

I was wondering what the timeframe is for the CoronadoNF to send the Cultural Resources report to the tribes and agencies for review. As you know, we'll have a 30-day review period (possibly longer if tribes/agencies request and are granted additional review time) before we can expect to put any more work into the Chapter 3 portion on tribal consultation. Is there anything that SWCA can do to assist with transmitting the reports to the interested tribes and agencies? Feel free to let Suzanne Griset or me know what we can do to assist.

Tom Furgason  
Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
343 West Franklin Street  
Tucson, AZ85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110

RE: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

(520) 820-5178 mobile

(520) 325-2033 fax

**From:** "tom furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>  
**Sent:** Mon Jun 29 2009 14:09:15 EDT  
**To:** "mary m farrell" <mfarrell@fs.fed.us>  
"suzanne griset" <sgriset@swca.com>;"jerome hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>;"melissa reichard"  
**CC:** <mreichard@swca.com>;"reta laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>;"deborah k sebesta" <dsebesta@fs.fed.us>;"beverley a  
everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>;<jdmacivor@frontiernet.com>;"charles coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>;"ken kertell"  
<kkertell@swca.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.  
**Attachments:**

**Importance:** Low  
**Priority:** Normal  
**Sensitivity:** None

Mary,

We'll be happy to send out a hard copy of the draft archaeological report to the Tohono O'odham Nation.

I have reservations about sending any of the biological reports at this time. I suspect that the tribe is really looking for information on culturally important plants, but we have not received any formal information on this topic from either the tribe or Rosemont. The following biological reports have been submitted to the CNF:

Final Migratory Bird Treaty Act(SWCA). Accepted by D. Sebesta.

Draft Biological Assessment(SWCA). Under review by CNF.

Pima Pineapple Cactus Survey of the Proposed Rosemont Project Waterline Alignment.  
(WestlandResources)

Agave Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity. (WestlandResources)

Lesser Long-nosed Bat Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity. (WestlandResources)

Ranid Survey of the Rosemont Holdings and Vicinity. (WestlandResources)

It is probably inappropriate to release the BA at this time because:

The CNF has not reviewed and accepted the document at time,

The CNF has yet to establish any consultation with USFWS

Allowing an outside party to review the BA might allow for the USFWS to receive questions on a document that they have not had an opportunity to review. This could be embarrassing to both agencies.

We are still finalizing the bounds of analysis for biological resources. SWCA has proposed to include the DavidsonCanyonand a portion of the Cienega watersheds. If the CNF and USFWS agree, then we'll have to submit a substantially revised BA. Again, we need both agencies to agree to the Action Area (basically the

APE in 106 terms).

As for the Westlandreports, SWCA has submitted a critique of the reports and raised several concerns to the CNF. I'm reluctant to send the Westlandreports out until the CNF decides whether to accept these reports or request revised reports from Rosemont. The agave report, in particular, has some inconsistencies that probably should be corrected before it is made public. However, I'll leave this up to the CNF to decide.

Feel free to call me if you have any questions. Thanks for your consideration.

Tom Furgason

Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants

343 West Franklin Street

Tucson, AZ85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110

(520) 820-5178 mobile

(520) 325-2033 fax

From: Mary M Farrell [mailto:mfarrell@fs.fed.us]

Sent: Friday, June 26, 2009 12:05 PM

To: Tom Furgason

Cc: Suzanne Griset; Jerome Hesse; Melissa Reichard; Reta Laford; psteere@toua.net; Deborah K Sebesta; jtjoaquin@hotmail.com

Subject: Re: Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Tom,

Representing the Tohono O'odham Nation in the Nation's role as a consulting party under NHPA, Peter Steere has requested the following:

1. a printed hard copy of the draft archaeological survey report, in addition to the CD we are sending;
2. two copies of the biology report (one for his department, one for Karen Howe of Natural Resources, so

just one if Karen has already received this)

Could SWCA please send these documents directly to Peter at:

Peter Steere  
Cultural Affairs Program Manager  
Tohono O'odham Nation  
P.O. Box 837  
Sells, AZ 85634

Thank you. Please let me know if you need any additional documentation of this request for your records.

Mary

Mary M. Farrell  
Heritage Program Leader and Tribal Liaison  
Coronado National Forest  
300 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 388-8391  
(520) 388-8305 (fax)

"Tom Furgason" <tfurgason@swca.com>

06/19/2009 03:31 PM

To

"Reta Laford" <rlaford@fs.fed.us>, "Beverley A Everson" <beverson@fs.fed.us>, "Mary M Farrell" <mfarrell@fs.fed.us>

cc

"Melinda D Roth" <mroth@fs.fed.us>, "Charles Coyle" <ccoyle@swca.com>, "Suzanne Griset" <sgriset@swca.com>, "Jerome Hesse" <jhesse@swca.com>, "Melissa Reichard" <mreichard@swca.com>

Subject

Cultural Resources Report & Affected Env.

Reta,

I was wondering what the timeframe is for the CoronadoNF to send the Cultural Resources report to the tribes and agencies for review. As you know, we'll have a 30-day review period (possibly longer if tribes/agencies request and are granted additional review time) before we can expect to put any more work into the Chapter 3 portion on tribal consultation. Is there anything that SWCA can do to assist with transmitting the reports to the interested tribes and agencies? Feel free to let Suzanne Griset or me know what we can do to assist.

Tom Furgason  
Program Director  
SWCA Environmental Consultants  
343 West Franklin Street  
Tucson, AZ 85701  
(520) 325-9194 ext. 110  
(520) 820-5178 mobile  
(520) 325-2033 fax