# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R1CAGR	California Grassland						
General Information							
Contributors (additio	nal contributors may be listed under "I	Model Evolution and Comme	nts")				
Modelers		<b>Reviewers</b>	<u>leviewers</u>				
Peter Hujik	phujik@tnc.org	James Bartolome	jwbart@nature.berkeley.edu				
Dave Schirokauer	dave_schirokauer@nps.gov	Jon Keeley	jon_keeley@usgs.gov				
Alison Forrestel	alison_forrestel@nps.gov	Aimee Betts	aimee_betts@blm.gov				
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid Assess	mentModel Zones				
Grassland	✓Literature □Local Data	✔ California □ Great Basin	Pacific Northwest South Central				
Dominant Species*	✓ Expert Estimate	Great Lakes	Southeast				
NAPU DACA POSE FERU2	LANDFIRE Mapping Zo 3 6 4 5	nes Northeast Northern Pla					

### **Geographic Range**

Central Valley and coastal prairies from sea level to 3600' including the following subregions described by Miles and Goudy (1997): Central California Coast (261A), Southern California Coast (261B), Central Valley (262A), Northern California Coast (263A), Klamath Mountains (M261A), Northern California Coast (263A), Klamath Mountains (M261A), Northern California Coast Ranges (M261B), Northern California Interior Coastal Ranges (M261C), Sierra Nevada Foothills (M261F), Central California Coast Ranges (M262A), Southern California Mountains and Valleys (M262B) Baja California (Sawyer & Wolf in prep). Deleted , Mojave Desert (322A)from earlier version based on Bartolome comments.

# **Biophysical Site Description**

Includes a variety of soil types, but these grasslands are edaphically constrained. Along the coast, these grasslands may occur on serpentine soils. Important finer resolution biophysical systems (serpentine, vernal pool, etc) are not distinguished here, yet may play a significant role in constraining fire behavior and effects. The importance of climate variation to vegetation composition and structure relative to grazing and fire dynamics is not captured in this model version.

#### **Vegetation Description**

Includes a diversity of dominant cover types composed of annual and perennial grass and forb species (Holstein 2001). The California grassland is extremely spatially and temporally variable - this model may not capture the full variation across the state, and thus may have low predictive reliability. The nature of the pre-Euro-American settlement grassland and fire effects are poorly known.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Includes aboriginal burning that occurred as frequently as 1-3 years. In absence of aboriginal influence, fire return intervals were 10-30 years. (Frost 1998, Greenlee & Langenheim 1990, Sugihara, N. et al 2005), but can be much longer, particularly where natural and human-caused ignitions were rare.

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

#### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

These grasslands often grade into areas which may have a shrubland or woodland component at some point during succession. They may also border wetlands or riparian areas. Along the coast, these grasslands are often found in conjunction with the coastal scrub type. California grasslands have been significantly altered through invasion of exotic species, livestock grazing, clearing, and seeding. Stands vary greatly in composition (Sawyer & Wolf, in prep). At least 95% are considered uncharacteristic of historic conditions.

#### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Historically, fire size probably varied widely from very small fires (10s of hectares) to very large fires (1000s of hectares). (Sugihara 2005)

#### **Issues/Problems**

This model is meant to apply only to edaphically limited systems that would NOT succeed to shrubland. Amount of fire frequency data is poor.

#### **Model Evolution and Comments**

One reviewer suggested that model needs more states, yet there is a general consensus that reference conditions by state are unknown with any reliability. In particular, there is a high level of uncertainty in the degree of perennial dominance during the reference period. Hence, the model stands as a 2-box model for Rapid Assessment purposes. There is also great uncertainty in the restorability of California annual grasslands to perennial dominance.

# Succession Classes\*\*

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 20 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Early1 Open	POSE		Min	Max		
Description	LACA7 ESCA2	Cover	0%	40 %		
		Height	no data	no data		
Post-stand replacement dominated	BLNA	Tree Size	Class no data			
by annual grasses and forbs. This would be created by a rare extreme fire event in the mid-seral closed state that would completely kill most perennial grasses as well as many annual seeds. This state is maintained by replacement fire.		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class B 80 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (for upper layer l	lifeform)		
		Structure	Data (for upper layer l Min	lifeform) Max		
Early2 Closed	Canopy Position	<u>Structure</u> Cover				
Early2 Closed Description	<u>Canopy Position</u> NAPU4	Cover Height	Min 40 % no data	Max		
Early2 Closed	<u>Canopy Position</u> NAPU4 DACA3	Cover	Min 40 % no data	<i>Max</i> 100 %		

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class C	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	er lifeform)			
	• / •	<u>Canopy Position</u>	Min		Min	Max	
Mid1 Open Description			Cover	over 0%		%	
			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size Class no data				
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data		form differs fr er of dominan	om dominant lifeform. t lifeform are:		
Class D	0% Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)					
					Min	Max	
Late1 Open Description			Cover		0%	%	
			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data			m dominant lifeform. lifeform are:		
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	- Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed Description					Min	Max	
			Cover		0%	%	
			Height		no data	no data	
			Tree Size	e Class	no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Fuel Model no data					
		Disturban	ces				

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire □ Insects/Disease □ Wind/Weather/Stress ✓ Native Grazing □ Competition	<b>Fire Regime Group:</b> 2 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of					
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
Sources of Fire Regime Data		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of the negime Data	Replacement	2	1	3	0.5	100
✓ Literature	Mixed					
Local Data	Surface					
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires 2 0.50002					
References						

Frost, C.C. 1998. Presettlement fire frequency regimes of the United States: a first approximation. Pages 70-81 in T.L. Pruden and L.A. Brennan (eds). Fire in ecosystem management: shifting the paradigm from suppression to prescription. Tall Timbers Fire Ecology Conference Proceedings, No. 20 Tall Timbers Research Station, Tallahassee, FL.

Greenlee, J.M. and J.H. Langenheim. 1990. Historic fire regimes and their relation to vegetation patterns in the Monterey Bay Area of California. American Midland Naturalist 124:239-253.

Holstein, G. 2001. Pre-agricultural grassland in central California. Madrono 48(4): 253-264.

Keeley JE. 2002. Native American impacts on fire regimes of the California coastal ranges. Journal of Biogeography 29, 303-320.

Keeley, J.E. Fire in the South Coast region. 2005. In J. Fites-Kaufman, N. Sugihara and J. van Wangtendonk (eds), Fire Ecology of California Ecosystems. University of California Press. In press.

Miles, S.R. and C.B. Goudy. 1997. Ecological Subregions of California. USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region R5-EM-TP-005.

Sawyer, J.O. and T.K. Wolf. In preparation. Manual of California Vegetation, revised. California Native Plant Society.

Sugihara, N.G., J.W. Van Wagtendonk, J. Fites-Kaufman, K.E. Shaffer, A.E. Thode, editors. 2005. Fire in California Ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, California. In press.