

Appendix K

**Summary of Public Scoping Comments**

---

APPENDIX K

# Summary of Public Scoping Comments

Responder	Organization	Date Rec'd	Summary of Comments
1) Marx Hintze		12/26/01 e-mail	Desires to be involved as a supplier of goats for controlled grazing strategy.
2) Allan Purcell		12/26/01	Employ least expensive control methods possible. Would like to see sheep used to control weeds.
3) Roger Warner	ID Department of Water	12/26/01	IDWR permit required for in-stream alteration work.
4) Gene Kantack		12/26/01 card	Concern with knapweed on forest encroaching onto his private ground.
5) Win Turner		12/26/01 card	Private property along Hwy 93 is a knapweed source.
6) James Lukens	IDFG	12/28/01	Incorporate information from Lemhi CWMA. Define/delineate big game winter and critical sage grouse habitat (will help with maps). Consult with IDFG prior to treatment in these areas. Incorporate Idaho Sage Grouse Management Plan in the review process.
7) Esther Keppner		12/28/01	No need to control except possibly in some places. Many animals depend on them for food. The land will take care of itself.
8) Glen Secrist	ID State Department of Agriculture	12/28/01 via e-mail	Full support of full complement of control strategies.
9) Douglas Brewer		12/28/01 card	Opposed to any road or trail closing.
10) George Nichols		1/4/02 via e-mail	Concern is this action will result in another excuse to close roads or limit access.
11) Ryan Shaffer	Alliance for the Wild Rockies	1/7/02	In support of chemical use with extreme care of application. Strengthen preventive measures to eliminate known causes of weed spread. Requests an alternative that takes a hard look at human-caused weed spread actions.

<b>Responder</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Date Rec'd</b>	<b>Summary of Comments</b>
12) Seth Beal	Butte County Commissioner	1/8/02 Arco public meeting form	Supports full complement of control strategies. Cooperation and coordination are key.
13) Jim Hawkins	Custer County Extension Agent CCCWWA Coordinator	1/9/02 Challis public meeting form	Include mechanism to allow new chemicals not specifically addressed in EIS. Prevention and education needs to be an integral part of any alternative. Need to maintain funding levels. Containment zones need to consider other factors besides size. Need mechanism to treat areas not site-specifically identified or analyzed. Need to extend project area beyond the forest boundary (include Upper Salmon River WS into SNRA).
14) Craig Newman		1/9/02 Challis public meeting form	Include mechanism to allow new chemicals not specifically addressed in EIS. Need mechanism to treat areas not site-specifically identified or analyzed. EPA has set restrictions and guidelines—there should be no need to establish additional rules and regulations.
15) Rick Philps		1/9/02 Challis public meeting form	Include mechanism to allow new chemicals not specifically addressed in EIS. Don't set goals with limiting factors (# acres, amount of chemical). Opposes No Treatment Alternative. Use local knowledge in identifying weed locations.
16) E.C. Anderson		1/10/02 card	Supports full complement of control strategies. Include mechanism to add additional species not specifically addressed in EIS.
17) Rodger Sorenson		1/15/02 e-mail	Supports full complement of control strategies. Opposes closing or further limiting access.

Responder	Organization	Date Rec'd	Summary of Comments
18) Joe Tonsmeire	Wilderness River Outfitters	1/15/02	<p>Early action is more cost effective than later.</p> <p>Roads are highest priority.</p> <p>Roads not infested should be closed in late summer through fall.</p> <p>Close jeep trails and ATV use.</p> <p>Bio control most effective long-term treat.</p> <p>Expand/strengthen prevention (weed-free hay, education).</p>
19) Gerald Robinson		1/22/02 mailed comment form from 1/9/02 Challis meeting	<p>There are no areas 'weed free'.</p> <p>Supports full complement of control strategies.</p> <p>Include mechanism to allow new chemicals not specifically addressed in EIS.</p> <p>Closely analyze impacts to resources by weeds.</p> <p>Highest priority should be roads and trails.</p> <p>Treat from outside-in to reduce expansion.</p>
20) Chuck Wells	ID Department of Parks and Recreation	1/28/02	<p>Opposes road/trail closures or restrictions.</p> <p>Expand/strengthen prevention (weed-free hay, education).</p>
21) Marge Welch	Property Rights Congress of America (PRC)	1/30/02 e-mail	<p>No adverse impacts to ongoing multiple uses (timber, grazing, mining, recreation).</p> <p>Opposes road or trail closures.</p> <p>No adverse economic, cultural, or social impacts to forest users.</p> <p>No impact to private property or rights.</p> <p>Do not expand target species to all non-native species.</p> <p>Include the Frank Church Wilderness in project area.</p>

Responder	Organization	Date Rec'd	Summary of Comments
22) Katie Fite	Committee for Idaho's High Desert (CIHD)	1/31/02 e-mail 2/4/02 letter version	<p>Eliminate livestock as being the primary vector of seed disbursal and site disturbance.</p> <p>Include livestock grazing's role as direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of weeds to all stated issues.</p> <p>Oppose chemical except as last resort.</p> <p>Focus on prevention by elimination of human-caused infestation sources.</p> <p>Favors road and trail closures.</p> <p>Oppose prescribed burning.</p> <p>Oppose vegetation manipulations (tree thinning).</p> <p>All alternatives identify "at risk" areas and areas infested and eliminate sources of weed infestation (grazing, roads, trails, thinning, burning) throughout.</p>
23) Jim Hawkins	Custer County Commissioner	1/31/02	Need to extend project area beyond the forest boundary (include Upper Salmon River WS into SNRA).