

EAST FORK OF THE ILLINOIS RIVER

WATERSHED ANALYSIS

MODULE

TABLES OF CONTENTS

TERRRESTRIAL MODULE
AQUATIC MODULE
SOCIAL MODULE
SYNTHESIS
MAPS

US FOREST SERVICE
Illinois Valley Ranger District

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
Grants Pass Resource Area

JULY 2000

Terrestrial Module

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND A BRIEF SUMMARY OF KEY FINDINGS	T - 1
II. TERRESTRIAL CORE (KEY) QUESTIONS	T - 2
III. KEY TERRESTRIAL FINDINGS	T - 3
IV. SUMMARY OF TERRESTRIAL RECOMMENDATIONS	T - 5
V. ANALYSIS OF KEY QUESTIONS	T - 9
A. Key Question T-1: Wildlife and Wildlife Habitats	T - 9
1. Habitat Elements	T - 10
2. Disturbance	T - 10
B. Key Question T-2: Fire and Fire Effects	T - 21
1. Historic Wildfire Effects	T - 21
2. Hazard, Risk and Values at Risk	T - 23
3. Desired Future Condition For Wildfire Effects	T - 25
4. Management Recommendations for fuels and fire	T - 25
C. Key Question T-3: Disturbance Patterns	T - 26
1. Pre-settlement Period	T - 26
2. Settlement Period	T - 27
D. Key Question T-4: Fire Hazard	T - 29
E. Key Question T-5: Timber Harvest	T - 29
1. National Forest Lands	T - 29
2. BLM Lands	T - 30
3. LRMP and RMP Timber Management Recommendations for Timber Management	T - 31
a. National Forest Lands	T - 31
b. BLM Lands	T - 32
F. Key Question T-6: Stand Densities and Pathogens	T - 32
1. Stand Density	T - 32
2. Density Management	T - 34
3. Insects	T - 35
4. White Pine Blister Rust	T - 35
5. Dwarf Mistletoe	T - 36
6. Root Disease	T - 36
a. Recommendations for Port-Orford Cedar Root Disease	T - 38
G. Key Question T-7: Road and Transportation Systems	T - 38
H. Key Question T-8: Maintenance and Restoration Priorities	T - 38

I.	Key Question T-9: Soil Conditions	T - 39
1.	Soil Types	T - 39
a.	Sedimentary, Metasedimentary, and Metavolcanic Derived Soils	T - 39
b.	Ultramafic Soils	T - 40
c.	Granitic Soils	T - 40
d.	Alluvium	T - 40
2.	Data Gap	T - 41
3.	Recommendations	T - 41
J.	Key Question T-10: Botanical Resources	T - 42
1.	Characterization of the Botanical Resources (Step 1)	T - 42
a.	Landscape overview	T - 42
1)	High Species Diversity	T - 42
2)	High Concentration of Rare Plants	T - 42
b.	Sensitive Plants	T - 43
c.	Survey and Manage Species	T - 47
d.	Noxious Weeds and Exotic Plants	T - 48
e.	Watch and Review Species	T - 49
f.	Unique Plants Communities and Key Indicator Species	T - 51
1)	Ultramafic	T - 51
2)	Riparian Habitats	T - 52
3)	Rock Outcrops	T - 52
4)	Forested Habitats: Old-Growth and Mature Forest	T - 52
g.	Recognition of Outstanding Resource Value	T - 52
h.	Special Land Allocations: Botanical and Research Natural Areas	T - 53
i.	Important Processes	T - 53
j.	Species Management Guides/Conservation Plans	T - 54
2.	Identification of Issues and Key Questions (Step 2)	T - 54
a.	Concerns Related to Botany	T - 54
3.	Description of Current and Reference Conditions (Steps 3 & 4)	T - 55
4.	Synthesis and Interpretation (Step 5)	T - 56
5.	Data Gaps	T - 57
6.	Summary of Botany Recommendations (Step 6)	T - 57
K.	Key Question T-11: Potential Conflicts between Recommendations	T - 59
	LITERATURE CITED	T - 62

FIGURES

Figure T-1: Years to Reach Mature or Old Growth Forest Habitat T - 34

TABLES

Table T-1: Recommendations Summary for Terrestrial Ecosystem Components	T - 5
Table T-2: First Approximation of Reference Conditions for Wildlife Habitat Components on Non-Serpentine Areas	T - 12
Table T-3a: Habitat Components on Non-Serpentine areas in East Fork of the Illinois River Watershed on National Forest Lands	T - 13
Table T-3b: Vegetation Condition on Non-Serpentine Areas in East Fork of the Illinois River Watershed on BLM Land	T - 14
Table T-3c: Vegetation Condition on Serpentine Areas in East Fork of the Illinois River Watershed on BLM Land	T - 14
Table T-4a: Habitat Components (Forest Size/Structure) on Non-Serpentine in Riparian Reserves on National Forest Lands	T - 15
Table T-4b: Habitat Components (Forest Size/Structure) on Non-Serpentine in Riparian Reserves on BLM Administered Lands.....	T - 16
Table T-5: Trends for Habitat Components on Non-Serpentine Soils (National Forest and BLM)	T - 16
Table T-6: Key Findings: Comparison of Current and Reference Habitat Components for Non-Serpentine Areas on National Forest Land	T - 18
Table T-7: Management Recommendations for Maintaining and Restoring Wildlife Habitat Components for Federal Lands.....	T - 19
Table T-8: Number of Acres Burned on the Siskiyou National Forest, 1910-1998	T - 21
Table T-9: Average Fire Disturbance Characteristics By Plant Series	T - 23
Table T-10: Fire Hazard	T - 24
Table T-11: Fire Risk	T - 24
Table T-12: Values at Risk	T - 24
Table T-13: Historic Regeneration Harvest in the current Matrix land allocation - National Forest Lands	T - 30
Table T-14: Matrix Acres Available for Timber Harvest - National Forest Lands	T - 30
Table T-15: Pre-1986 Timber Harvest Acres by Township - BLM Lands	T - 31
Table T-16: Post 1986 Timber Harvest Acres and Volume - BLM Lands	T - 31
Table T-17: Estimated Current Timber Volume on BLM Lands	T - 31
Table T-18: Density Treatment Scenarios	T - 35
Table T-19: Soil Types	T - 39
Table T-20: Habitat Requirements for Sensitive Plant Species in the Upper Illinois River Watershed, Oregon and California Lands	T - 43
Table T-21: Survey and Manage Vascular Plants, Lichens, Fungi and Bryophytes Known or Suspected to Occur in the Watershed.	T - 47
Table T-22: Noxious Weeds	T - 48
Table T-23: Watch and Review Species	T - 49
Table T-24: Rare Plants Lists for Illinois Valley Ranger District - California Lands	T - 50

Table T-25: Species Management Guides/Conservation Plans	T - 54
Table T-26: Potential Conflicts Between Recommendations	T - 59
Table T-A-1a: National Forest Lands: Species of Concern Distribution and Abundance (current)	T - 67
Table T-A-1b: BLM Lands: Species of Concern Distribution and Abundance (current)	T - 68
Table T-A-2: Wildlife Species of Concern, Habitat Associations	T - 69
Table T-A-3: Habitat Components for Species Associated with Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest (from the NW Forest Plan)	T - 71
Table T-A-4: Modeling Assumptions	T - 72
Table T-B-1: Wildfire Risk Analysis Summary Ratings	T - 75
Table T-B-2: Forest Service Fire Hazard Categories	T - 76
Table T-B-3: Forest Service Value Criteria	T - 77
Table T-B-4: Fire Behavior Fuel Models, Current Conditions	T - 79
Table T-C-1: Plant Series in the East Fork Illinois Watershed	T - 80

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Wildlife	T - 67
APPENDIX B: Wildland Fire Management	T - 73
APPENDIX C: Plant Series	T - 80

Aquatic Module

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	A - 1
II. GEOLOGY	A - 1
A. Regional Setting	A - 1
B. Land Forms and Erosional Processes	A - 3
III. HYDROLOGY	A - 5
A. Channel Morphology	A - 5
B. Historical and Existing Conditions	A - 6
KEY QUESTION #A-1: Large Wood	A - 8
Recommendation	A - 9
Recommendation	A - 9
KEY QUESTION #A-2: Sediment Delivery	A - 9
Recommendation	A - 10
KEY QUESTION #A - 3: Water Quality	A - 10
a. Stream Temperature	A - 10
Recommendation	A - 12
b. Turbidity	A - 12
c. Water Quantity	A - 13
KEY QUESTION #A-4: Channel Morphology	A - 16
GENERAL QUESTION #A-1: Historic Disturbance Patterns	A - 17
a. Disturbance	A - 17
Recommendation	A - 19
C. Drainage Analysis Areas of the East Fork Illinois River Watershed	A - 22
1. Allen Gulch	A - 22
2. Bybee Gulch	A - 22
Recommendation	A - 22
Recommendation	A - 22
3. Cedar Gulch	A - 22
4. Chapman Creek	A - 23
Recommendation	A - 23
5. Chicago Creek	A - 23
Recommendation	A - 23
6. Dunn Creek	A - 24
Recommendation	A - 24
Recommendation	A - 27
7. East Fork Illinois River below Confluence of Chapman Creek ..	A - 27
8. Lower East Fork Illinois River	A - 28
9. East Fork Illinois River above Scotch Gulch below Dunn Creek ..	A - 29
Recommendation	A - 29
10. East Fork Illinois River above Bybee Gulch below Chicago Creek ..	A - 29

11.	East Fork Illinois River – Upper	A - 29
12.	Elder and Little Elder Creeks	A - 29
	Recommendation	A - 30
13.	Kelly Creek	A - 31
14.	Khoeery Creek	A - 31
15.	Long Gulch	A - 31
	Recommendation	A - 31
16.	Page Creek	A - 32
	Recommendation	A - 32
	Recommendation	A - 32
17.	Sanger Canyon	A - 32
18.	Scotch Gulch	A - 32
19.	Tycer Creek	A - 33
D.	SUMMARY OF HYDROLOGY RECOMMENDATIONS	A - 33
IV.	FISHERIES	A - 36
A.	Introduction	A - 36
B.	Coho Salmon	A - 37
C.	Chinook Salmon	A - 38
D.	Steelhead Trout	A - 38
E.	Resident Cutthroat and Rainbow Trout	A - 38
F.	Non-Native Fish	A - 38
G.	Fish Habitat	A - 39
	KEY QUESTION #A-5: Fish Production	A - 42
	1. Existing Condition	A - 42
	2. Trend of the Habitat Indicators	A - 42
H.	In-Stream Restoration and Enhancement Opportunities	A - 52
I.	Fisheries Recommendations	A - 52
J.	Data Gaps	A - 55
	Literature Cited	A - 56

TABLES

Table A-1: Landslide Activity Level	A - 4
Table A-2: Management Effects on Perennial and Intermittent Riparian Reserves (RR) ..	A - 20
Table A-3: Stream Miles Surveyed on Forest Service Lands	A - 39
Table A-4: Klamath/Siskiyou Mountains Environmental Base Line	A - 43
Table A-5a: Forest Service Acres and % of Riparian Vegetation Seral Stages	A - 49
Table A-5b: BLM Acres and % of Riparian Vegetation Seral Stages for All Streams ...	A - 49
Table A-6: Acres and % of Riparian Vegetation Seral Stages for Intermittent (Class IV) Stream Tributaries	A - 50
Table A-7: Summary of Environmental Factors and Potential Mechanisms of Mortality Affecting Freshwater Habitat Capacity and Related Density-independent Survival (By Life Stage) of Coho Salmon	A - 51

FIGURES

Figure H-1: East Fork Illinois River Stream Profile	A - 5
Figure H-2: 7 Day Average Summer Stream Temperature	A - 11
Figure H-3: East Fork Illinois River Turbidity	A - 12
Figure H-4: Illinois River Summer Low Flows for 1992	A - 13
Figure H-5: Historical Streamflow Daily Values for EF Illinois River near Takilma	A - 14
Figure H-6: Percent of Timber Harvest by Subwatershed on National Forest Lands	A - 18
Figure H-7: Acres Harvested Within Subwatersheds	A - 18
Figure H-8: Dunn Creek Summer Stream Temperature	A - 24
Figure H-9: East Fork Illinois Watershed 7-day Average High Summer Stream Temperatures	A - 28

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: In-stream Habitat Comparison for Dunn Creek, Elder Creek, and the East Fork Illinois River	A - 59
--	--------

Social Module

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. Social Sciences Key Question Summary	S - 1
II. Key Question #S-1: Historical and Current Uses	S - 1
A. Prehistory and Ethnography	S - 1
B. Indian Burning	S - 2
C. Fire in the Landscape: The Potential for Cultural Landscape Restoration ..	S - 3
D. Native American Management of the Anadromous Fish Resource	S - 4
E. Gold Mining	S - 6
1. Environmental Effects of Mining	S - 7
F. Livestock Grazing	S - 7
G. Federal Land Ownership	S - 8
H. Cultural Resources Sites	S - 9
1. Forest Service	S - 9
2. BLM	S - 9
I. Roads	S - 9
1. Forest Service	S - 9
2. BLM	S - 11
J. Economics and Demographics	S - 12
1. Josephine County Rural Enterprise Community	S - 14
2. Forest Service Community Based Partnerships	S - 14
3. Economic Contribution of Timber Harvest	S - 15
4. Economic Contribution of Mining	S - 15
5. Special Forest Products	S - 15
K. Watershed Recreation Use	S - 16
1. Trails and Concentrated Use Areas	S - 16
2. Page Mountain Sno-Park Winter Recreation Area	S - 17
3. Siskiyou Wilderness	S - 18
4. Other Recreation Management Allocations	S - 18
5. Amenity Values	S - 18
a. Assessing Visual Quality	S - 19
1) Forest Service	S - 19
2) BLM	S - 20
b. Assessing Recreation Settings: Forest Service	S - 20
L. Illegal dumping	S - 21
III. Key Question #S-2: Effects of future activities	S - 22
A. Recreation	S - 22
B. Cultural Resources	S - 22
C. Mining	S - 22
D. Surface Uses of a Mining Claim	S - 24

IV.	Data Gaps	S - 24
A.	Cultural Resources	S - 24
B.	Livestock grazing	S - 25
C.	Mining	S - 25
D.	Recreation	S - 25
E.	Roads	S - 25
V.	Findings	S - 26
VI.	Management Recommendations	S - 27
A.	Recreation	S - 27
B.	Cultural Resources	S - 27
C.	Mining	S - 28
D.	Roads	S - 28
	Literature Cited	S - 34

Tables

Table S-1: Forest Service Roads by Maintenance Level	S - 10
Table S-2: Forest Service Road and Stream Crossing Density	S - 11
Table S-3: BLM Roads by Maintenance Level	S - 11
Table S-4: Forest Service Trails and concentrated use areas	S - 17
Table S-5: Forest Service Visual Quality allocations	S - 19
Table A-2: BLM Roads	S - 31

Appendices

APPENDIX A: Roads and Transportation System	S - 29
---	--------

SYNTHESIS MODULE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. PURPOSE	Ss - 1
II. METHODS	Ss - 1
III. VALUE RATINGS	Ss - 1
A. Hazard	Ss - 1
B. Risk	Ss - 2
C. Value Descriptions and Priority Areas	Ss - 2
1. Critical Fish Habitat	Ss - 2
2. Cultural Resources	Ss - 3
3. Fire Hazard	Ss - 4
4. Forest Products	Ss - 4
5. Large Wood Delivery Potential to Streams	Ss - 5
6. Old Growth Forest Habitat	Ss - 5
7. Rare Plants	Ss - 6
8. Recreation	Ss - 7
9. Savannas (pine and deciduous oak) and grass/forb	Ss - 8
10. Water Quality	Ss - 8
D. Maintenance and Restoration Priority Value Ratings	Ss - 10
1. Allen Gulch	Ss - 10
2. Bybee Gulch	Ss - 10
3. Cedar Gulch	Ss - 10
4. Chapman Creek	Ss - 10
5. Chicago Creek	Ss - 11
6. Dunn Creek	Ss - 11
7. East Fork Illinois below Chapman Creek	Ss - 11
8. Lower East Fork Illinois River	Ss - 11
9. East Fork Illinois, Scotch Gulch to Dunn Creek	Ss - 11
10. East Fork Illinois, Bybee Gulch to Chicago Creek	Ss - 11
11. Upper East Fork	Ss - 11
12. Elder Creek	Ss - 11
13. Kelly Creek	Ss - 12
14. Khoeery Creek	Ss - 12
15. Little Elder Creek	Ss - 12
16. Long Gulch	Ss - 12
17. Page Creek	Ss - 12
18. Sanger Creek	Ss - 12
19. Scotch Gulch	Ss - 12
20. Tycer Creek	Ss - 13
LITERATURE CITED	Ss - 14

TABLES

Table S-A-1: Resource Value Maintenance and Restoration Ratings	Ss - 15
Table S-B-1: Drainage Analysis Area Attribute Ranking	Ss - 17

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Resource Value Maintenance and Restoration Ratings	Ss - 15
Appendix B: Drainage Analysis Area Attribute Ranking	Ss - 17

Index of Maps

	<u>Page</u>
Map Directory: Coverage and Reference	M - 1
Map 1: Vicinity Map	M - 3
Map 2 A: Land Ownership	M - 4
Map 2 B: Government Ownership	M - 5
Map 3: Land Use Allocations (BLM)	M - 6
Map 4: Management Areas	M - 7
Map 5: Parent Material and Soil Depth	M - 8
Map 6: Percent Slopes	M - 9
Map 7: Landslide Points	M - 10
Map 8: Mineral Potential (outside of FS land)	M - 11
Map 9 A: Plant Series (outside of FS land)	M - 12
Map 9 B: East Fork Plant Series (FS)	M - 13
Map 10: Dominant Vegetation (outside of FS land)	M - 14
Map 11: Seral Stages on BLM	M - 15
Map 12: Vegetative Condition Class	M - 16
Map 13: Current Interior / Mature and Old Growth with > 40% Canopy Closure (FS)	M - 17
Map 14: Historic Interior, Mature and Old Growth Forest, >40% Canopy Closure (FS)	M - 18
Map 15: Managed Stands (FS)	M - 19
Map 16: Risk of Decline of Forest Health (FS)	M - 20
Map 17: Commercial Thins (FS)	M - 21
Map 18: Port-Orford Cedar Detections and Disease	M - 22
Map 19 A: Fuel Model (FS)	M - 23
Map 19 B: Fuel Models (outside of FS land)	M - 24
Map 20 A: Wildfire Risk Analysis (FS)	M - 25
Map 20 B: Fire Risk Rating (outside of FS land)	M - 26
Map 21 A: Fire Hazard Levels (FS)	M - 27
Map 21 B: Fire Hazard Rating (outside of FS land)	M - 28
Map 22: Fire Value Rating (outside of FS land)	M - 29
Map 23: Fire History (FS)	M - 30
Map 24: Potential High Priority Rating for Hazard Reduction	M - 31
Map 25: Special Wildlife Areas (FS)	M - 32
Map 26: Spotted Owl Habitat Rating (outside of FS land)	M - 33
Map 27: The Best Mature and Old Growth Patches and Their Connections	M - 34
Map 28: Stream Orders (outside of FS land)	M - 35
Map 29: Perennial Streams and Fish Distribution	M - 36
Map 30 A: Critical Fish Habitat Maintenance Value	M - 37
Map 30 B: Critical Fish Habitat Restoration Value	M - 38
Map 31 A: Cultural Resources Sites Present / High Probability Area	M - 39
Map 31 B: Cultural Resources Interpretation Value	M - 40
Map 32: Large Wood Delivery Potential Maintenance Value	M - 41
Map 33 A: Private Property and Public Safety Maintenance Value	M - 42

Map 33 B: Private Property and Public Safety Maintenance Value	M - 43
Map 34 A: Old Growth Maintenance Value	M - 44
Map 34 B: Old Growth Restoration Value	M - 45
Map 35: Rare Plants - Maintenance and Restoration Value	M - 46
Map 36 A: Recreation Use Value	M - 47
Map 36 B: Recreation Increased Management Value	M - 48
Map 37 A: Savannas and Grass / Forb Habitats Maintenance Value	M - 49
Map 37 B: Savannas and Grass / Forb Habitats Restoration Value	M - 50
Map 38: Resource Value Ratings - Aggregate	M - 51