Alternative E
Management Areas

Final Environmental Impact Statement

Rio Grande National Forest
Land and Resource Management Plan

1996 (Alternative D on reverse)



1.11 Wilderness, Pristine	3.1 Special Interest Areas Emphasis on Use or Interpretation	5.13 Forest Production
1.12 Wilderness, Primitive	* 3.21 Limited Use Areas	* 5.21 Water Yield
1.13 Wilderness, Semi-primitive	* 3.22 Limited Use Areas, Restoration	5.41 Deer and Elk Winter Range
1.2 Recommended for Wilderness	3.31 Backcountry Recreation, Year Round Motorized	5.42 Bighorn Sheep
1.31 Backcountry Recreation, Year Round Non-motorized	3.4 National River System, Scenic Rivers Designated and Eligible	6.1 Grassland Resource Production
* 1.32 Backcountry Recreation, Non-motorized with Limited Motorized Use in Winter	* 3.55 Corridors Connecting Core Areas	8.22 Ski-based Resorts, Existing and Potential
* 1.41 Core Areas	* 3.56 Aspen Maintenance and Enhancement, Limited Management	Land Not Covered by this Plan
* 1.42 Core Restoration Areas	4.21 Scenic Byways or Railroads	1.5 Inclusion National River System, Wild Rivers Designated and Eligible
1.5 National River System, Wild Rivers Designated and Eligible	4.3 Dispersed Recreation	2.2 Inclusion Research Natural Areas
2.1 Special Interest Areas, Limited Use and Interpretation	4.4 National River System, Recreation Rivers Designated and Eligible	3.4 Inclusion National River System, Scenic Rivers Designated and Eligible
2.2 Research Natural Areas	5.11 General Forest and Rangelands, Forest Vegetation Emphasis	4.4 Inclusion National River System, Recreation Rivers Designated and Eligible

* Management area not present in this alternative.

NOTE: Management Areas 4.21, 4.3, 5.11, 5.13, 5.21 and 5.41 contain lands suitable for timber harvest. However, not all acres within these management areas are necessarily suitable for harvest.

NOTE: The management area boundaries on this map were computer generated. These lines and their locations are only approximate at this scale. Since the base map was not computer generated, there may be some distortion between the base map and the computer generated management area boundaries.

NOTE: The management areas on this map are further explained in Chapter 4 of the Land and Resource Management Plan. The differences between alternatives may be better understood if the reader compares alternative maps as the accompanying Enviromental Impact Statement is being reviewed.