

Forest Summary Report

Forest: Umpqua

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Fee Type: Campground									
Dumont Creek	\$2,373	\$1,400	\$400	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	No public comments were received from posting at the campground. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District.
Fee Increase									
East Lemolo	\$3,638	\$4,200	\$729	\$1,660	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Wolf Creek	\$3,100	\$3,500	\$1,148	\$8,523	\$10.00	\$15.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Poole Creek Group	\$2,784	\$6,375	\$975	\$0	\$72.00	\$85.00			Propose to raise the fee to \$100 in two years if the economy improves. From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
South Umpqua Falls	\$7,288	\$5,320	\$1,520	\$6,000	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	No public comments were received from posting at the campground. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District.
Fee Increase									
Kelsay Forest Camp	\$1,745	\$400	\$150	\$100	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Poole Creek	\$28,479	\$27,775	\$7,406	\$3,000	\$11.00	\$15.00	\$14.00 Family Site. \$5.00 each additional vehicle	\$20.00 Family Site \$5.00 each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Broken Arrow	\$55,428	\$65,000	\$8,848	\$126,560	\$11.00	\$15.00	\$14.00 Family Site. \$5.00 each additional vehicle	\$20.00 Family Site \$5.00 additional vehicles (two vehicles in standard site, 4 vehicles in family site). Propose increasing fees to \$20 for a single site and \$25 for a multiple site in two years provided the economy improves.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$11 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Clearwater Falls	\$5,317	\$2,000	\$588	\$31,328	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campground, there have been questions from the public but no opposition about raising fees at this site. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Inlet	\$2,911	\$2,300	\$568	\$36,262	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Toketee Lake	\$9,895	\$14,800	\$4,466	\$5,656	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Kelsay Valley Horse Camp	\$3,154	\$2,300	\$684	\$0	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds. One person from Oregon Equestrian Trails, Roseburg Chapter, requested information on the fee increase and what was collected at the campground, but no comments or concerns were received.
Fee Increase									
Diamond Lake	\$130,801	\$351,141	\$87,785	\$620,821	\$12.00	\$16.00	\$18.00 Family Site. \$24.00 Family / Lakeside site \$6.00 each additional vehicle	\$21.00 Family Site \$27.00 Lakeside / Family site \$5.00 additional vehicles Propose raising fees in two years if economy improves to: \$20 Single, \$25 Family, \$30 Lakeside	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$12 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Devil's Flat	\$1,600	\$560	\$160	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	No public comments were received from posting at the campground. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District.
Fee Increase									
Camp Comfort	\$2,200	\$2,100	\$600	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	No public comments were received from posting at the campground. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District.
Fee Increase									
Cover	\$3,761	\$2,520	\$720	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	No public comments were received from posting at the campground. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District.
Fee Increase									
Boulder Creek	\$4,238	\$2,800	\$800	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	One public comment was received from posting at the campground, " Please raise fees the minimum - recreational users should get some benefit from the selling of timber on their forest. Also if you raise rates too high you'll force people to disperse camp!" Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District. No negative comments were received based on posting signs at the campground.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Three C Rock	\$1,700	\$3,000	\$3,000	\$0	\$0.00	\$10.00		\$5.00 per additional vehicle	One public comment to the Federal Register notice, did not want us to charge fees at campgrounds. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District. No negative comments were received based on posting signs at the campground.
New Fee									
Rujada	\$6,207	\$6,300	\$2,100	\$17,000	\$8.00	\$12.00	\$3.00 per extra vehicle fee	\$5.00 per extra vehicle fee	From posting notice in the campground, there were 8 written responses (approximately 5200 people camp at this campground annually). Given a choice of whether the fees were too high, too low, or just right. All chose just right. One made a comment not to raise fees. Oral comments from several campers indicated the fees were low in comparison with other campgrounds and expected an increase along with everything else.
Fee Increase									
Lund Park	\$4,760	\$2,680	\$670	\$4,645	\$6.00	\$8.00	\$3.00 per extra vehicle fee	\$5.00 per extra vehicle fee	No written comments in response. Oral comments from several campers indicated the fees were low in comparison with other campgrounds and expected an increase along with everything else.
Fee Increase									
Cedar Creek	\$2,650	\$4,265	\$1,065	\$2,000	\$6.00	\$8.00	\$3.00 per extra vehicle fee	\$5.00 per extra vehicle fee	Received no written public comments. Several campers did comment these fees were low in comparison with other campgrounds. One couple stated they desired no increase in fees.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Ash Flat	\$1,700	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0	\$0.00	\$10.00		\$5.00 per additional vehicle	One public comment to the Federal Register notice, did not want us to charge fees at campgrounds. Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District. No negative comments were received based on posting signs at the campground.
New Fee									
Threehorn	\$250	\$880	\$250	\$0	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 per additional vehicle	\$5.00 per additional vehicle	Received one comment to the news release who was opposed to raising fees at the campgrounds on Tiller Ranger District. No negative comments were received based on posting signs at the campground.
Fee Increase									
Bunker Hill	\$1,749	\$2,200	\$584	\$15,000	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Hemlock Lake	\$9,831	\$6,300	\$1,247	\$6,440	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Wolf Creek Group	\$5,085	\$4,070	\$555	\$14,205	\$95.00	\$110.00		Propose to charge \$120 in two years if the economy improves.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
White Creek	\$2,666	\$2,080	\$832	\$3,220	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Boulder Flat	\$8,404	\$3,000	\$250	\$900	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Horseshoe Bend Group (Deer Flat)	\$3,084	\$4,200	\$630	\$500	\$85.00	\$100.00			Propose to raise the fee to \$120 in two years if the economy has improved. From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Lake in the Woods	\$10,644	\$3,300	\$648	\$15,000	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Island	\$6,333	\$5,200	\$988	\$2,100	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results	
Hemlock Meadows	\$2,873	\$4,800	\$500	\$5,856	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Canton Creek	\$3,768	\$2,000	\$448	\$1,105	\$8.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campground, there have been questions from the public but no opposition about raising fees at this site. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Steamboat Ball Field (Group)	\$3,574	\$2,000	\$515	\$500	\$55.00	\$65.00	Propose increasing fee to \$75 in two years if economy improves.		From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Apple Creek	\$5,028	\$1,800	\$377	\$3,363	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Whitehorse Falls	\$2,487	\$1,700	\$514	\$2,394	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$3.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Toketee Lake Group	\$677	\$400	\$100	\$0	\$18.00	\$25.00		\$25 Group fee 30 or less \$50 Group fee 31 or more	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Broken Arrow Group - N Loop	\$3,315	\$4,000	\$1,000	\$7,410	\$54.00	\$70.00		Propose increasing fees to \$85 in two years if economy improves.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$11 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Broken Arrow Group - J Loop	\$5,950	\$7,000	\$1,640	\$13,300	\$132.00	\$165.00		Propose to raise fees to \$200 after two years if economy improves.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$11 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Broken Arrow Group - M Loop	\$4,420	\$5,300	\$1,300	\$9,880	\$78.00	\$95.00			Propose increasing fee to \$115.00 in two years if economy improves. From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$11 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Steamboat Falls	\$3,574	\$3,200	\$975	\$1,370	\$7.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Thielsen View	\$18,237	\$62,000	\$16,487	\$8,906	\$11.00	\$15.00	\$14.00 Family Site. \$5.00 each additional vehicle	\$20.00 Family Site \$5.00 each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Bogus Creek	\$9,686	\$9,700	\$1,753	\$4,350	\$11.00	\$15.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Eagle Rock	\$13,024	\$7,250	\$110	\$13,615	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									
Coolwater	\$4,247	\$2,700	\$1,082	\$3,700	\$6.00	\$10.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campground, there have been questions from the public but no opposition about raising fees at this site. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Horseshoe Bend	\$47,050	\$24,600	\$4,914	\$7,770	\$12.00	\$15.00	\$4.00 each additional vehicle.	\$5.00 for each additional vehicle	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.

Fee Increase

Broken Arrow Group - K Loop	\$3,315	\$4,000	\$1,000	\$7,410	\$60.00	\$70.00		Propose going to \$80 after two years if economy improves.	From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. Did receive some comments that going from \$11 to \$20 was too much of an increase at one time, and as a result, we propose to phase in the increase. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds.
------------------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	--	--	--

Fee Increase

Fee Type: Expanded Other

Rujada Group Picnic Area	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$0	\$0.00	\$25.00		\$25 for one group site \$50 for both group sites	There was one public comment to the Federal Register notice. They did not want fees charged at any new sites. No comments from the local area were received concerning charging fees at the picnic area.
---------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-----	--------	---------	--	--	--

Fee Increase

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Musick Guard Station	\$3,030	\$5,313	\$1,063	\$17,000	\$40.00	\$50.00			Received no written or verbal comments.
Fee Increase									
Fairview Peak Lookout	\$1,650	\$3,050	\$610	\$15,000	\$40.00	\$50.00		Propose to raise fee to \$65.00 in two years provided economy improves.	Received no written or verbal comments.
Fee Increase									
Pickett Butte Lookout	\$2,100	\$6,000	\$1,200	\$15,000	\$40.00	\$50.00			No public comments were received concerning this site.
Fee Increase									
Acker Rock Lookout	\$2,500	\$6,600	\$6,600	\$20,000	\$40.00	\$50.00			No public comments were received concerning this site.
Fee Increase									
Whisky Camp	\$1,800	\$12,125	\$2,425	\$10,000	\$40.00	\$50.00			No public comments were received concerning this site.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
Butler Butte	\$2,750	\$8,500	\$1,700	\$15,000	\$40.00	\$50.00			No public comments were received about this site.

Fee Increase

Fee Type: Highly Developed Boat Launch

Thielsen View	\$2,065	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0	\$0.00	\$5.00			From the posting at the campgrounds, people wanted to know how much the new fee would be, and most people expressed understanding the reason the FS was increasing fees. From the news release, we received 8 comments from people who did not want fees raised, 2 people agreed with the fee increase if it went back to the campgrounds. One public comment to the Federal Register notice, did not want us to charge fees at any new sites.
----------------------	---------	---------	---------	-----	--------	--------	--	--	--

New Fee

Fee Type: Standard Amenity

South Umpqua Falls	\$3,597	\$4,000	\$4,000	\$0	\$0.00	\$5.00			There was one public comment to the Federal Register notice. They did not want fees charged at any new sites. No comments from the local area were received concerning charging fees at this site, but it is likely that some who use the site currently will not like to pay the fees.
---------------------------	---------	---------	---------	-----	--------	--------	--	--	---

New Fee

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
East Brice Creek - Champion Trailhead	\$750	\$500	\$500	\$0	\$0.00	\$5.00		There was one public comment to the Federal Register notice. They did not want fees charged at any new sites. No comments from the local area were received concerning charging fees at the trailhead, but it is likely that some who use the trailhead currently will not like to pay the fees.

New Fee