

Site name: Infra ID: Region: Forest:
 District:



Site type:

Trash cans <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tent site <input type="checkbox"/>	Reasonable visitor protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fire ring <input type="checkbox"/>	Drinking water <input type="checkbox"/>	Access roads <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fee collection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Toilet facilities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Picnic tables <input type="checkbox"/>

Amenities- Must have 5 of 9

REA fee type:

Change Summary	Current Situation	Financial Information	Planned Accomplishments
<p>Action requested:</p> <input type="text" value="New Fee"/> <p>Proposed fee: <input type="text" value="\$5.00"/></p> <p>Start date: <input type="text" value="5/15/2010"/></p> <p>Expected annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/></p> <p>Additional fee structure:</p> <input type="text" value="\$5.00 per extra vehicle (does not include towed vehicles or trailer)"/>	<p>Date of last fee increase: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Current fee: <input type="text" value="\$0.00"/></p> <p>Current annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/></p> <p>Additional fee structure:</p> <input type="text" value="None"/>	<p>Annual operations and maintenance (O&M): <input type="text" value="\$2,500"/></p> <p>Deferred maintenance backlog: <input type="text" value="\$80"/></p> <p>Start-up costs: <input type="text" value="\$500"/></p> <p>Current revenue: <input type="text" value="\$0"/></p> <p>Predicted revenue: <input type="text" value="\$3,600"/></p> <p>Difference in revenue associated with fee change: <input type="text" value="\$3,600"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase hours of operation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve facilities/services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reduce deferred maintenance</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain site to standard</p> <p>Planned accomplishments and methods for informing the public:</p> <input type="text" value="Signing of fee schedule at the site and installation of payment fee tubes in the spring of 2010. Increased site patrol during periods of high use to ensure safe and sanitary conditions and educate users about the new fee schedule. Increased maintenance and repair of existing facilities, with adjustments made to ensure the continued protection of local natural and cultural resources. Visitors would be informed of upcoming repair and maintenance projects through scoping, field postings, and/or field contacts."/>

Reason for Changing Fee

A CXT toilet and traffic control devices were installed in the late 1990s to address sanitation and resource protection issues. Garbage service is currently not provided, but most dispersed campers leave their refuse at nearby fee campgrounds upon their departure. The cost of garbage removal has increased at nearby fee sites as a result, and employees must backhaul garbage from overflowing dumpsters on busy weekends. Despite heavy use, this site remains a lower priority for compliance and cleaning than nearby fee sites because funds are limited and fee sites are expected to be maintained to higher standards. Most users of this site do not want to see it improved with designated parking, picnic tables or fire rings but instead prefer that it remain primitive. Charging a fee will allow us to conduct more frequent patrols and better meet safe and sanitary standards, provide on-site or centrally located garbage disposal for visitors, enhance visitor security, help pay for routine maintenance costs already associated with the site (e.g., toilet pumping, site cleaning, repair of traffic controls, garbage removal), and allow us to ensure natural resource conditions do not degrade to unacceptable standards. The Forest RFA identified this as a site where a fee should be charged with a target date of 2008.

- Align fee to local market
- Inflationary increase
- Outcome of RFA process
- Increase / improve service
- Add amenity

Results of Not Implementing Fee Change

Existing amenities and natural resource conditions at the site will continue to deteriorate over time through lack of maintenance. The frequency of patrol levels will remain marginal for meeting safe and sanitary standards. Over the long term, natural and cultural resource conditions at the site are likely to degrade to unacceptable conditions. Decommissioning and closure of the site may need to be considered in order to protect forest resources, with facilities removed/relocated to other existing fee areas on the District. No garbage service will be provided, and existing waste/litter issues in the drainage will persist.

- Reduce season or service
- Eliminate season or service
- Decommission site
- Close site

Public Participation

Have the following tasks been accomplished? Yes

New Fee Minimum Tasks

- Six months advance notice in Federal Register
- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)
- Give people an opportunity to review project plans (if completed) (from Interagency Federal Register Notice)

Fee Change Minimum Tasks

- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)

Other public participation tasks:

The potential for implementing a new fee at the site was first introduced to the public during the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment in 2003-2004: more than 670 scoping letters were mailed to potentially interested individuals, agencies and organizations; public workshops were held with over 40 participants; and a public field meeting was held at Long Meadow with over 60 participants. A field posting inviting public comment about the specific proposed new fee was placed at the site and in the District lobby in June 2009. A press release was posted on the Forest web site and distributed to all local media (newspapers and radio stations) in late June, and a letter mailed to fourteen elected officials in July. An announcement about the new fee was included on the District's low watt radio recording for the fourth of July holiday period, and a posting appeared in the Federal Register on July 10, 2009.

Public participation results:

Public input received as part of the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment process indicated that charging a nominal fee at dispersed sites with facilities had general public support if the revenue were used to maintain the facilities or for increased law enforcement in the drainage. A total of fifteen individuals responded to the 2009 scoping effort through written letters, phone calls or emails. Nine of the respondents support a fee and six are against it. Two individuals not in support of the fee are hunters who use the sites for extended periods in the autumn. Their concern is addressed because a fee will only be imposed at the site during the peak summer recreating season (late May to early September). The majority of respondents, both for and against the fee, expressed a desire to see the sites remain primitive (no picnic tables, fire rings or garbage service). Respondents in favor of the fee want to see the collected money used for toilet and trail maintenance, and one suggested that this be made a Northwest Forest Pass site.

Brief description of project area

This is a primitive camp area in the Little Naches Drainage that is very popular, especially among motorized trail riding enthusiasts. On holiday and busy weekends, there are up to 6 different group camps in the area (30+ people) and roughly half this number on more typical summer weekends. Heavy, uncontrolled use of the area over the years has resulted in impacts to critical fish habitat and site vegetation. Watershed restoration funding was secured in the late 1990s to complete planning and implementation of improvements to the site to protect forest resources while maintaining public opportunity to camp at the site. A concrete vault toilet was installed to address sanitation, vehicle controls were installed to protect sensitive meadows, stream edges and other resources, appropriate user trails were defined, and the primary access road was graveled. There is no funding dedicated to maintenance of the installed facilities or restoration work, so routine maintenance and cleaning levels are marginal. As a result, vehicle controls and rehabilitated areas have deteriorated to some degree.

Site name: Infra ID: Region: Forest:
 District:



Site type: Trash cans Tent site Reasonable visitor protection
 Amenities- Must have 5 of 9 Fire ring Drinking water Access roads
 Fee collection Toilet facilities Picnic tables

REA fee type:

Change Summary	Current Situation	Financial Information	Planned Accomplishments
Action requested: <input type="text" value="New Fee"/> Proposed fee: <input type="text" value="\$5.00"/> Start date: <input type="text" value="5/15/2010"/> Expected annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/> Additional fee structure: <input type="text" value="\$5.00 per extra vehicle (does not include towed vehicles or trailers)"/>	Date of last fee increase: <input type="text"/> Current fee: <input type="text" value="\$0.00"/> Current annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/> Additional fee structure: <input type="text" value="None"/>	Annual operations and maintenance (O&M): <input type="text" value="\$2,500"/> Deferred maintenance backlog: <input type="text" value="\$80"/> Start-up costs: <input type="text" value="\$500"/> Current revenue: <input type="text" value="\$0"/> Predicted revenue: <input type="text" value="\$720"/> Difference in revenue associated with fee change: <input type="text" value="\$720"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase hours of operation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve facilities/services <input type="checkbox"/> Reduce deferred maintenance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain site to standard Planned accomplishments and methods for informing the public: <input type="text" value="Signing of fee schedule at the site and installation of payment fee tubes in the spring of 2010. Increased site patrol during periods of high use to ensure safe and sanitary conditions and educate users about the new fee schedule. Increased maintenance and repair of existing facilities, with adjustments made to ensure the continued protection of local natural resources. Visitors would be informed of upcoming repair and maintenance projects through scoping, field postings, and/or field contacts."/>

Reason for Changing Fee

Align fee to local market
 Inflationary increase
 Outcome of RFA process
 Increase / improve service
 Add amenity

A CXT toilet and traffic control devices were installed in the late 1990s to address sanitation and resource protection issues. Garbage service is currently not provided, but most dispersed campers leave their refuse at nearby fee campgrounds upon their departure. The cost of garbage removal has increased at nearby fee sites as a result, and employees must backhaul garbage from overflowing dumpsters on busy weekends. Despite heavy use, this site remains a lower priority for compliance and cleaning than nearby fee sites because funds are limited and fee sites are expected to be maintained to higher standards. Most users of this site do not want to see it improved with designated parking, picnic tables or fire rings but instead prefer that it remain primitive. Charging a fee will allow us to conduct more frequent patrols and better meet safe and sanitary standards, provide on-site or centrally located garbage disposal for visitors, enhance visitor security, help pay for routine maintenance costs already associated with the site (e.g., toilet pumping, site cleaning, repair of traffic controls, garbage removal), and allow us to ensure natural resource conditions do not degrade to unacceptable standards. The Forest RFA identified this as a site where a fee should be charged with a target date of 2008.

Results of Not Implementing Fee Change

Existing amenities and natural resource conditions at the site will continue to deteriorate over time through lack of maintenance. The frequency of patrol levels will remain marginal for meeting safe and sanitary standards. Over the long term, natural resource conditions at the site are likely to degrade to unacceptable conditions. Decommissioning and closure of the site may need to be considered in order to protect forest resources, with facilities removed/relocated to other existing fee areas on the District. No garbage service will be provided, and existing waste/litter issues in the drainage will persist.

- Reduce season or service
- Eliminate season or service
- Decommission site
- Close site

Public Participation

Have the following tasks been accomplished? Yes

New Fee Minimum Tasks

- Six months advance notice in Federal Register
- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)
- Give people an opportunity to review project plans (if completed) (from Interagency Federal Register Notice)

Fee Change Minimum Tasks

- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)

Other public participation tasks:

The potential for implementing a new fee at the site was first introduced to the public during the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment in 2003-2004: more than 670 scoping letters were mailed to potentially interested individuals, agencies and organizations; public workshops were held with over 40 participants; and a public field meeting was held at Long Meadow with over 60 participants. A field posting inviting public comment about the specific proposed new fee was placed at the site and in the District lobby in June 2009. A press release was posted on the Forest web site and distributed to all local media (newspapers and radio stations) in late June, and a letter mailed to fourteen elected officials in July. An announcement about the new fee was included on the District's low watt radio recording for the fourth of July holiday period, and a posting appeared in the Federal Register on July 10, 2009.

Public participation results:

Public input received as part of the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment process indicated that charging a nominal fee at dispersed sites with facilities had general public support if the revenue were used to maintain the facilities or for increased law enforcement in the drainage. A total of fifteen individuals responded to the 2009 scoping effort through written letters, phone calls or emails. Nine of the respondents support a fee and six are against it. Two individuals not in support of the fee are hunters who use the sites for extended periods in the autumn. Their concern is addressed because a fee will only be imposed at the site during the peak summer recreating season (late May to early September). The majority of respondents, both for and against the fee, expressed a desire to see the sites remain primitive (no picnic tables, fire rings or garbage service). Respondents in favor of the fee want to see the collected money used for toilet and trail maintenance, and one suggested that this be made a Northwest Forest Pass site.

Brief description of project area

This is a primitive camp area in the Little Naches Drainage that is very popular, especially among motorized trail riding enthusiasts. On holiday and busy weekends, there are up to 40 different group camps in the area (200+ people) and roughly half this number on more typical summer weekends. Heavy, uncontrolled use of the area over the years had resulted in impacts to critical fish habitat, site vegetation and cultural resources. Watershed restoration funding was secured in the late 1990s to complete planning and implementation of improvements to the site to protect forest resources while maintaining public opportunity to camp at the site. A concrete vault toilet was installed to address sanitation, vehicle controls were installed to protect sensitive meadows, stream edges and other resources, and appropriate camping sites and access roads were defined. There is no funding dedicated to maintenance of the installed facilities or restoration work, so routine maintenance and cleaning levels are marginal. As a result, vehicles controls and rehabilitated areas have deteriorated to some degree.

Site name: Infra ID: Region: Forest:
 District:



Site type:

Trash cans <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Tent site <input type="checkbox"/>	Reasonable visitor protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fire ring <input type="checkbox"/>	Drinking water <input type="checkbox"/>	Access roads <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fee collection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Toilet facilities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Picnic tables <input type="checkbox"/>

Amenities- Must have 5 of 9

REA fee type:

Change Summary	Current Situation	Financial Information	Planned Accomplishments
<p>Action requested:</p> <input type="text" value="New Fee"/> <p>Proposed fee: <input type="text" value="\$5.00"/></p> <p>Start date: <input type="text" value="5/15/2010"/></p> <p>Expected annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/></p> <p>Additional fee structure:</p> <input type="text" value="\$5.00 per extra vehicle (does not include towed vehicles or trailers)"/>	<p>Date of last fee increase: <input type="text"/></p> <p>Current fee: <input type="text" value="\$0.00"/></p> <p>Current annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/></p> <p>Additional fee structure:</p> <input type="text" value="None"/>	<p>Annual operations and maintenance (O&M): <input type="text" value="\$5,000"/></p> <p>Deferred maintenance backlog: <input type="text" value="\$80"/></p> <p>Start-up costs: <input type="text" value="\$500"/></p> <p>Current revenue: <input type="text" value="\$0"/></p> <p>Predicted revenue: <input type="text" value="\$3,600"/></p> <p>Difference in revenue associated with fee change: <input type="text" value="\$3,600"/></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Increase hours of operation</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve facilities/services</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Reduce deferred maintenance</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain site to standard</p> <p>Planned accomplishments and methods for informing the public:</p> <input type="text" value="Signing of fee schedule at the site and installation of payment fee tubes in the spring of 2010. Increased site patrol during periods of high use to ensure safe and sanitary conditions and educate users about the new fee schedule. Increased maintenance and repair of existing facilities, with adjustments made to ensure the continued protection of local natural and cultural resources. Visitors would be informed of upcoming repair and maintenance projects through scoping, field postings, and/or field contacts."/>

Reason for Changing Fee

Two CXT toilets and traffic control devices were installed in the late 1990s to address sanitation and resource protection issues. Garbage service is currently not provided, but most dispersed campers leave their refuse at nearby fee campgrounds upon their departure. The cost of garbage removal has increased at nearby fee sites as a result, and employees must backhaul garbage from overflowing dumpsters on busy weekends. Despite heavy use, this site remains a lower priority for compliance and cleaning than nearby fee sites because funds are limited and fee sites are expected to be maintained to higher standards. Most users of this site do not want to see it improved with designated parking, picnic tables or fire rings but instead prefer that it remain primitive. Charging a fee will allow us to conduct more frequent patrols and better meet safe and sanitary standards, provide on-site or centrally located garbage disposal for visitors, enhance visitor security, help pay for routine maintenance costs already associated with the site (e.g., toilet pumping, site cleaning, repair of traffic controls, garbage removal), and allow us to ensure natural resource conditions do not degrade to unacceptable standards. The Forest RFA identified this as a site where a fee should be charged with a target date of 2008.

- Align fee to local market
- Inflationary increase
- Outcome of RFA process
- Increase / improve service
- Add amenity

Results of Not Implementing Fee Change

Existing amenities and natural resource conditions at the site will continue to deteriorate over time through lack of maintenance. The frequency of patrol levels will remain marginal for meeting safe and sanitary standards. Over the long term, natural and cultural resource conditions at the site are likely to degrade to unacceptable conditions. Decommissioning and closure of the site may need to be considered in order to protect forest resources, with facilities removed/relocated to other existing fee areas on the District. No garbage service will be provided, and existing waste/litter issues in the drainage will persist.

- Reduce season or service
- Eliminate season or service
- Decommission site
- Close site

Public Participation

Have the following tasks been accomplished? Yes

New Fee Minimum Tasks

- Six months advance notice in Federal Register
- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)
- Give people an opportunity to review project plans (if completed) (from Interagency Federal Register Notice)

Fee Change Minimum Tasks

- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)

Other public participation tasks:

The potential for implementing a new fee at the site was first introduced to the public during the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment in 2003-2004: more than 670 scoping letters were mailed to potentially interested individuals, agencies and organizations; public workshops were held with over 40 participants; and a public field meeting was held at Long Meadow with over 60 participants. A field posting inviting public comment about the specific proposed new fee was placed at the site and in the District lobby in June 2009. A press release was posted on the Forest web site and distributed to all local media (newspapers and radio stations) in late June, and a letter mailed to fourteen elected officials in July. An announcement about the new fee was included on the District's low watt radio recording for the fourth of July holiday period, and a posting appeared in the Federal Register on July 10, 2009.

Public participation results:

Public input received as part of the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment process indicated that charging a nominal fee at dispersed sites with facilities had general public support if the revenue were used to maintain the facilities or for increased law enforcement in the drainage. A total of fifteen individuals responded to the 2009 scoping effort through written letters, phone calls or emails. Nine of the respondents support a fee and six are against it. Two individuals not in support of the fee are hunters who use the sites for extended periods in the autumn. Their concern is addressed because a fee will only be imposed at the site during the peak summer recreating season (late May to early September). The majority of respondents, both for and against the fee, expressed a desire to see the sites remain primitive (no picnic tables, fire rings or garbage service). Respondents in favor of the fee want to see the collected money used for toilet and trail maintenance, and one suggested that this be made a Northwest Forest Pass site.

Brief description of project area

This is a primitive camp area in the Little Naches Drainage that is very popular, especially among motorized trail riding enthusiasts. On holiday and busy weekends, there are up to 30 different group camps in the area (200+ people) and roughly half this number on more typical summer weekends. Heavy, uncontrolled use of the area over the years has resulted in impacts to critical fish habitat, site vegetation and cultural resources. Watershed restoration funding was secured in the late 1990s to complete planning and implementation of improvements to the site to protect forest resources while maintaining public opportunity to camp at the site. Two concrete vault toilets were installed to address sanitation, vehicle controls were installed to protect critical streamside habitat, attempts were made to define appropriate user trails, and some access roads were graveled. There is no funding dedicated to maintenance of the installed facilities or restoration work, so routine maintenance and cleaning levels are marginal. As a result, vehicle controls and rehabilitated areas have deteriorated to some degree.

Site name: Infra ID: Region: Forest:
 District:



Site type: Trash cans Tent site Reasonable visitor protection
 Amenities- Must have 5 of 9 Fire ring Drinking water Access roads
 Fee collection Toilet facilities Picnic tables

REA fee type:

Change Summary	Current Situation	Financial Information	Planned Accomplishments
Action requested: <input type="text" value="New Fee"/> Proposed fee: <input type="text" value="\$5.00"/> Start date: <input type="text" value="5/15/2010"/> Expected annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/> Additional fee structure: <input type="text" value="\$5.00 per extra vehicle (does not include towed vehicles or trailers)"/>	Date of last fee increase: <input type="text"/> Current fee: <input type="text" value="\$0.00"/> Current annual occupancy (%): <input type="text" value="40"/> Additional fee structure: <input type="text" value="None"/>	Annual operations and maintenance (O&M): <input type="text" value="\$2,500"/> Deferred maintenance backlog: <input type="text" value="\$80"/> Start-up costs: <input type="text" value="\$500"/> Current revenue: <input type="text" value="\$0"/> Predicted revenue: <input type="text" value="\$1,800"/> Difference in revenue associated with fee change: <input type="text" value="\$1,800"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Increase hours of operation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improve facilities/services <input type="checkbox"/> Reduce deferred maintenance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Maintain site to standard Planned accomplishments and methods for informing the public: <input type="text" value="Signing of fee schedule at the site and installation of payment fee tubes in the spring of 2010. Increased site patrol during periods of high use to ensure safe and sanitary conditions and educate users about the new fee schedule. Increased maintenance and repair of existing facilities, with adjustments made to ensure the continued protection of local natural and cultural resources. Visitors would be informed of upcoming repair and maintenance projects through scoping, field postings, and/or field contacts."/>

Reason for Changing Fee

Align fee to local market
 Inflationary increase
 Outcome of RFA process
 Increase / improve service
 Add amenity

A CXT toilet and traffic control devices were installed in the late 1990s to address sanitation and resource protection issues. Garbage service is currently not provided, but most dispersed campers leave their refuse at nearby fee campgrounds upon their departure. The cost of garbage removal has increased at nearby fee sites as a result, and employees must backhaul garbage from overflowing dumpsters on busy weekends. Despite heavy use, this site remains a lower priority for compliance and cleaning than nearby fee sites because funds are limited and fee sites are expected to be maintained to higher standards. Most users of this site do not want to see it improved with designated parking, picnic tables or fire rings but instead prefer that it remain primitive. Charging a fee will allow us to conduct more frequent patrols and better meet safe and sanitary standards, provide on-site or centrally located garbage disposal for visitors, enhance visitor security, help pay for routine maintenance costs already associated with the site (e.g., toilet pumping, site cleaning, repair of traffic controls, garbage removal), and allow us to ensure natural resource conditions do not degrade to unacceptable standards. The Forest RFA identified this as a site where a fee should be charged with a target date of 2008.

Results of Not Implementing Fee Change

Existing amenities and natural resource conditions at the site will continue to deteriorate over time through lack of maintenance. The frequency of patrol levels will remain marginal for meeting safe and sanitary standards. Over the long term, natural and cultural resource conditions at the site are likely to degrade to unacceptable conditions. Decommissioning and closure of the site may need to be considered in order to protect forest resources, with facilities removed/relocated to other existing fee areas on the District. No garbage service will be provided, and existing waste/litter issues in the drainage will persist.

- Reduce season or service
- Eliminate season or service
- Decommission site
- Close site

Public Participation

Have the following tasks been accomplished? Yes

New Fee Minimum Tasks

- Six months advance notice in Federal Register
- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)
- Give people an opportunity to review project plans (if completed) (from Interagency Federal Register Notice)

Fee Change Minimum Tasks

- Announcement in local media
- Announcements near or in site where fee will be implemented
- Briefing with federal legislators (per WO direction)

Other public participation tasks:

The potential for implementing a new fee at the site was first introduced to the public during the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment in 2003-2004: more than 670 scoping letters were mailed to potentially interested individuals, agencies and organizations; public workshops were held with over 40 participants; and a public field meeting was held at Long Meadow with over 60 participants. A field posting inviting public comment about the specific proposed new fee was placed at the site and in the District lobby in June 2009. A press release was posted on the Forest web site and distributed to all local media (newspapers and radio stations) in late June, and a letter mailed to fourteen elected officials in July. An announcement about the new fee was included on the District's low watt radio recording for the fourth of July holiday period, and a posting appeared in the Federal Register on July 10, 2009.

Public participation results:

Public input received as part of the Little Naches Recreation Plan Environmental Assessment process indicated that charging a nominal fee at dispersed sites with facilities had general public support if the revenue were used to maintain the facilities or for increased law enforcement in the drainage. A total of fifteen individuals responded to the 2009 scoping effort through written letters, phone calls or emails. Nine of the respondents support a fee and six are against it. Two individuals not in support of the fee are hunters who use the sites for extended periods in the autumn. Their concern is addressed because a fee will only be imposed at the site during the peak summer recreating season (late May to early September). The majority of respondents, both for and against the fee, expressed a desire to see the sites remain primitive (no picnic tables, fire rings or garbage service). Respondents in favor of the fee want to see the collected money used for toilet and trail maintenance, and one suggested that this be made a Northwest Forest Pass site.

Brief description of project area

This is a primitive camp area in the Little Naches Drainage that is very popular, especially among motorized trail riding enthusiasts. On holiday and busy weekends, there are up 8-10 different group camps in the area (50-60 people) and roughly half this number on more typical summer weekends. Heavy, uncontrolled use of the area over the years had resulted in impacts to critical fish habitat, site vegetation and cultural resources. Watershed restoration funding was secured in the late 1990s to complete planning and implementation of improvements to the site to protect forest resources while maintaining public opportunity to camp at the site. A concrete vault toilet was installed to address sanitation, vehicle controls were installed to protect sensitive meadows, stream edges and other resources, and redundant user trails were closed and rehabilitated. There is no funding dedicated to maintenance of the installed facilities or restoration work, so routine maintenance and cleaning levels are marginal. As a result, vehicles controls and rehabilitated areas have deteriorated to some degree.