

# Forest Summary Report

## Forest: Willamette

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
<b>Fee Type: Campground</b>								
<b>Lost Lake Campground</b>	\$5,414	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$0.00	\$8.00	\$4 extra vehicle/night	Public involvement resulted in three written comments specific to Lost Lake. One commenter was in favor of new fees and improvements that contribute to a better organized camping experience and minimize crowding and dispersed camping impacts. One comment was received from the local concessionaire stating proposed fees are artificially low. One commenter said although they are unlikely to use this site he feels fees prices out low income individuals, and privatizes recreation resources that taxes should pay.
New Fee								
<b>Piety Island Campground</b>	\$4,730	\$7,920	\$7,920	\$16,233	\$0.00	\$10.00	\$20 multiple campsites/night	No public comments specific to this site was received. Detroit Lake Federal Lake Committee support was favorable.
New Fee								

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
<b>Fee Type: Expanded Other</b>								
<b>Indian Ridge Lookout</b>	\$7,091	\$3,795	\$1,035	\$11,449	\$40.00	\$55.00		One repeat visitor submitted a written comment. He feels increasing fees should be a last resort and does not provide access to low income, and suggested we use past renters and others to volunteer to help with routine upkeep. While partners can be sought for some level of maintenance work, some of the work and/or costs associated with large projects are over and above what we can - or should - expect volunteers to contribute.
Fee Increase								
<b>Fish Lake Commissary Cabin</b>	\$6,372	\$1,875	\$875	\$29,491	\$40.00	\$75.00		No public comments were received. Partners including Forest Service retirees who adopted Fish Lake; Sand Mountain Society, individual volunteers are supportive of restoration efforts and fees that help maintain these historic sites.
Fee Increase								
<b>Box Canyon Guard Station</b>	\$4,874	\$2,470	\$630	\$16,591	\$40.00	\$60.00		No comments were received.
Fee Increase								
<b>Fish Lake Hall House</b>	\$6,813	\$2,480	\$620	\$14,179	\$60.00	\$80.00		No public comments were received. Partners including Forest Service retirees who adopted Fish Lake; Sand Mountain Society, individual volunteers are supportive of restoration efforts and fees that help maintain these historic sites.
Fee Increase								

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M	Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
<b>Timpanogas Shelter</b>	\$2,911	\$3,600	\$860	\$6,828	\$40.00	\$50.00			No comments were received.
Fee Increase									
<b>Warner Mountain Lookout</b>	\$4,374	\$7,020	\$2,260	\$10,136	\$40.00	\$65.00			One repeat visitor submitted a written comment and followed up with a phone call. He feels increasing fees should be a last resort, and suggested we use past renters and others to volunteer to help with routine upkeep. However, our primary costs are not associated with upkeep which can be completed by citizen volunteers. Funding is needed to buy materials and supply propane to the structure. Volunteers are solicited to make occasional visits in the winter. In addition, Forest Service personnel are not funded to make routine site visits during rental period. Upkeep is dependent upon the care and responsibility of renters.
Fee Increase									

Site Name and Action Requested	Annual O&M Predicted Annual Revenue	Difference in Revenue	Deferred Maintenance	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Current Additional Fee Structure	Proposed Additional Fee Structure	Public Participation Results
<b>Fee Type: Standard Amenity</b>								
<b>Three Pools Day Use Area</b>	\$6,052	\$17,280	\$17,280	\$0	\$0.00	\$5.00	All Recreation Passes would be Honored Self-service \$5 pay station	Three comments were received. One commenter stated that she supports the fee if it would help deter excess use of the site and manage the alcohol-related problems. Another comment was submitted in response to the EA in which the commenter felt a fee was fair for the improvements being made. The Opal Creek SRA Advisory Council (FACA chartered committee) unanimously supports the proposed fee at Three Pools and recommended to the Secretary of Agriculture that the site be added to the fee program. One commenter said although they are unlikely to use this site he feels fees prices out low income individuals, and privatizes recreation resources that taxes should pay.

New Fee