



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest
Service

Region 1
200 East Broadway
P.O. Box 7669
Missoula, MT 59807

Region 4
324 25th Street
Ogden, UT 84401

File Code: 1570-1 (215)
#00-01-00-0004
#00-04-00-0006

Date: November 23, 1999

Mr. Gary Macfarlane
Friends of the Clearwater
P.O. Box 9241
Moscow, ID 83843

**CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN
RECEIPT REQUESTED**

Dear Mr. Macfarlane:

This is my decision on disposition of the appeal you filed on behalf of Friends of the Clearwater, Wilderness Watch, The Ecology Center, and Friends of the Bitterroot protesting the Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness Noxious Weed Treatments Record of Decision (ROD) signed by the Salmon and Challis, Payette, Bitterroot, and Nez Perce National Forests' Supervisors.

FINDINGS

Following is my evaluation of the objections raised in your appeal and your requested changes.

Scope of Decision

Decisions made in Forest Plans are subject to administrative review under 36 CFR 217 and are not subject to review in project or activity decisions [36 CFR 215.8(a)(1)]. These decisions are considered to be beyond the scope of the project-level decision, and the opportunity to challenge these decisions has been exhausted.

Similarly, Appellants may not request review of activities that are not "connected" to the project decision being challenged or ask that additional decisions be made that are not "ripe" for decision. Under NEPA, the Responsible Official has the discretion to propose actions and determine which actions warrant a decision and which do not. I have determined your objections are within the scope of the decision.

APPEAL REVIEWING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

The Appeal Reviewing Officer has considered your arguments, the appeal record, and the transmittal letter and recommends the Forest Supervisors' decision be affirmed and your requested relief be denied.



DECISION

My review of your appeal was conducted pursuant to, and in accordance with, 36 CFR 215.17 to ensure the analysis and decision are in compliance with applicable laws, regulations, policy, and orders. I have thoroughly reviewed the appeal record, your arguments, Interested Party Comments, the information referenced in the Forest Supervisors' transmittal letter and the Appeal Reviewing Officer's analysis and recommendation (copy enclosed). The transmittal letter provides specific page references to discussions in the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the ROD and Project File which bear upon your objections. I specifically incorporate in this decision the appeal record, the references and citations contained in the transmittal letter, and the Appeal Reviewing Officer's analysis and recommendation.

Based upon a review of the references and citations provided by the Forest Supervisors, I find the objections were adequately considered in the EIS/ROD. I agree with the Appeal Reviewing Officer's analysis and conclusions in regard to your appeal objections. I find the Forest Supervisors have made a reasoned decision and have complied with all laws, regulation, and policy.

After careful consideration of the above factors, I affirm the Forest Supervisors' decision to implement the Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness Noxious Weed Treatments . Your requested relief is denied.

My decision constitutes the final administrative determination of the U.S. Department of Agriculture [36 CFR 215.18(c)].

Sincerely,

/s/ Jack G. Troyer

JACK G. TROYER
Appeal Deciding Officer
Deputy Regional Forester

Enclosure