From: Michelle Burnett
To: comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@FSNOTES
Subject: Fw: Chattooga River Project Comments
Date: 08/11/2008 11:36 AM

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>

Michelle Burnett
Public Affairs Officer
Francis Marion & Sumter
National Forests (South Carolina)
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212-3530

Phone: (803) 561-4091
Fax: (803) 561-4004
Cell: (803) 920-6167
E-mail: michelleburnett@fs.fed.us
Website: http://www.fs.fed.us/r8/fms

----- Forwarded by Michelle Burnett/R8/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 11:36 AM -----

Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS

08/11/2008 08:21 AM

To Michelle Burnett/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES
cc
Subject Fw: Chattooga River Project Comments

==========

Jeanne S. LeBoeuf
Staff Assistant to Forest Supervisor
Francis Marion & Sumter NFs in SC
Phone 803-561-4081
Fax 803-561-4004
Confidential Fax 803-561-4082
Email: jleboeuf@fs.fed.us

==========

----- Forwarded by Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 08:21 AM -----

Rosanne Rowe/WO/USDAFS

To Jerome Thomas/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES, Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES

08/11/2008 07:59 AM

cc Chris N Brown/WO/USDAFS@FSNOTES
Subject Fw: Chattooga River Project Comments

Rosanne Rowe
Executive Assistant to the Chief
WO, Office of the Chief, Yates 4NW
Office: 202-205-8439
Cell: 202-384-7412
Fax: 202-358-4063
Email: rosannerowe@fs.fed.us

----- Forwarded by Rosanne Rowe/WO/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 07:59 AM -----

"Trevor Haagenson" <trevorhaagenson@gmail.com>

08/08/2008 04:42 PM

To comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@fs.fed.us
cc akimbell@fs.fed.us
Subject Chattooga River Project Comments

U.S. Forest Service
Chattooga River Project
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212.

8 August, 2008

RE: Chattooga River Project Comments

Dear Sumter National Forest,

My name is Trevor Haagenson. I am a whitewater kayaker and raft guide from Fresno, CA. I regularly travel across the country and internationally to pursue kayaking adventures. I am a member of American Whitewater who has worked on several FERC licensing processes as

an advocate for fair access to rivers for hand powered navigation. I understand that river management is a complex issue that involves protecting the natural resource as well as balancing the needs of many interest groups. During the FERC process I have worked to bring all interested parties together and though dialog we have been successful at assuring that the rights of all user groups are protected.

I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment regarding the recreational management of the Chattooga River. I disagree with your analysis and your proposal. Both treat me and my community of river enthusiasts unfairly and your proposal would not meet my interests. Please consider the following concerns I have regarding this issue:

- The USFS has spent thirteen years searching for a reason to limit paddling on the Chattooga and has found *none*. It is time to open the river to boating.
- The EA is not a user capacity analysis and does not reference one. The AW appeal decision required a user capacity analysis. Where is it?
- No alternative is acceptable because they all include boating bans on the upper Chattooga Cliffs reach and on tributaries – without any justification.
- The EA and preferred alternative are not equitable or protective of the river because they considers boating to be the only management variable, while other larger more impactful uses are not seriously considered for limits.
- **The USFS preferred alternative includes a total ban on 2/3 of the upper river, a ban on tributary boating, and allows only 0-6 days of limited boating on the remaining reach – <u>while allowing all other wilderness conforming existing uses in unlimited numbers</u>.. This is not equitable and not acceptable!**
- The EA offers no basis for the boating bans and limits.
- The EA is no better or different than the last one, is at least a year late and has wasted millions in tax payer money
- **The USFS hired qualified consultants and then ignored their input.**
- The 450 CFS average daily flow trigger in the preferred alternative is a flawed measure that should be eliminated from any considerations. There is no way a paddler can know this number and will be an administrative burden for the agency.
- **Paddlers prefer an alternative similar to Alternative 8 that 1) fully allows boating on the entire Chattooga River below Grimshawes Bridge, 2) allows paddling on tributaries, 3) includes encounter standards based on a real user capacity analysis, 4) will *equitably* limit *total* use only when encounter standards are consistently exceeded, and 5) will do so using all available indirect measures first.**
- **The public should have the right to float on public Wild and Scenic Rivers regardless of who owns the land along the river.**
- All aspects of the "Outstanding Remarkable Values" of Wild and Scenic Rivers should be protected on the entire river, not just in some areas.

Thank you for considering these comments. Please consider conducting a real user capacity analysis and immediately allowing boating in the same numbers, places, and seasons that you allow existing users. Paddling should be allowed in a similar manner to your alternative number 8, except on the *entire* Upper Chattooga River and its tributaries. Whitewater boating has no higher impact than any other wilderness conforming use that you currently allow. You rules are unfair and must be changed.

Thank you for considering these comments,

Sincerely

Trevor Haagenson
440 W. Gettysburg #234
Clovis, CA 93612
trevorhaagenson@gmail.com