From: Michelle Burnett
To: comments-southern-francismarion-sumter@FSNOTES
Subject: Fw: Comments on Upcoming Decision re: Upper Chattooga River Project
Date: 08/11/2008 12:10 PM

<><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><><>

Michelle Burnett
Public Affairs Officer
Francis Marion & Sumter
National Forests (South Carolina)
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212-3530

Phone: (803) 561-4091
Fax: (803) 561-4004
Cell: (803) 920-6167
E-mail: michelleburnett@fs.fed.us
Website: http://www.fs.fed.us/r8/fms

----- Forwarded by Michelle Burnett/R8/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 12:10 PM -----

Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS

08/11/2008 09:42 AM

To: Michelle Burnett/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES
cc:
Subject: Fw: Comments on Upcoming Decision re: Upper Chattooga River Project

==========

Jeanne S. LeBoeuf
Staff Assistant to Forest Supervisor
Francis Marion & Sumter NFs in SC
Phone 803-561-4081
Fax 803-561-4004
Confidential Fax 803-561-4082
Email: jleboeuf@fs.fed.us

==========

----- Forwarded by Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 09:42 AM -----

Rosanne Rowe/WO/USDAFS

To: Jerome Thomas/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES, Jeanne LeBoeuf/R8/USDAFS@FSNOTES

08/11/2008 07:57 AM

cc Chris N Brown/WO/USDAFS@FSNOTES

Subject Fw: Comments on Upcoming Decision re: Upper Chattooga River Project

Rosanne Rowe
Executive Assistant to the Chief
WO, Office of the Chief, Yates 4NW
Office: 202-205-8439
Cell: 202-384-7412
Fax: 202-358-4063
Email: rosannerowe@fs.fed.us

----- Forwarded by Rosanne Rowe/WO/USDAFS on 08/11/2008 07:57 AM -----

"G.L. Ellerman" <gingerellerman@yahoo.com>

08/09/2008 01:38 PM

Please respond to gingerellerman@yahoo.com

To akimbell@fs.fed.us

cc

Subject Comments on Upcoming Decision re: Upper Chattooga River Project

U.S. Forest Service
Chattooga River Project
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, SC 29212.

August 9, 2008

RE: Chattooga River Project Comments

Dear Ms. Kimbell,

Hello. I'm writing as a concerned citizen and a U.S. taxpayer to provide public input regarding the upcoming decision on the Upper Chattooga River Project.

I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) regarding the recreational management of the Chattooga River. I disagree with your analysis and your proposal. Both of these treat me and my community of river enthusiasts unfairly and your proposal reflects neither my nor my community's best interests. Please consider the following concerns I have regarding this issue:

-The EA and preferred alternative are not equitable or protective of the river because they consider boating to be the only management variable, while other larger more impactful uses are not seriously considered for limits.

-The USFS preferred alternative includes a total ban on 2/3 of the upper river, a ban on tributary boating, and allows only 0-6 days of limited boating on the remaining reach – while allowing all other wilderness conforming existing uses in unlimited numbers.. This is neither equitable nor acceptable!

-The EA offers no basis for the boating bans and limits

-The EA lacks a full range of alternatives

-The 450 CFS average daily flow trigger in the preferred alternative is a flawed measure that should be eliminated from any considerations. There is no way a paddler can know this number and it will be an administrative burden for the agency.

-Paddlers prefer an alternative similar to Alternative 8 that 1) fully allows boating on the entire Chattooga River below Grimshawes Bridge, 2) allows paddling on tributaries, 3) includes encounter standards based on a real user capacity analysis, 4) will equitably limit total use only when encounter standards are consistently exceeded, and 5) will do so using all available indirect measures first.

-The public should have the right to float on public Wild and Scenic Rivers regardless of who owns the land along the river.

-All aspects of the “Outstanding Remarkable Values” of Wild and Scenic Rivers should be protected on the entire river, not just in some areas.

Please consider conducting a real user capacity analysis and allowing boating in the same numbers, places, and seasons that you allow existing users. Paddling should be allowed in a similar manner to your alternative number 8, except on the entire Upper Chattooga River and its tributaries.

The USFS has spent thirteen years searching for a reason to limit paddling on the Chattooga and has found none. It is time to open the river to boating.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Sincerely,

G.L. Ellerman
P.O. Box 7771
Columbus GA 31908