


# 2009 Aerial Insect and Disease Survey Walsenburg, Colorado USGS 100K TOPO!: 37104-E1




1:100,000

## Legend

**Causal Agent(s)** **Not Flown**

Use of the Number System  
 Example: 5-25 = The first number before the dash is the causal agent code. The number after the dash is the number of dead "ladder" trees in the polygon or point. When recent dead trees are not counted, an intensity code of L-light, M-moderate, and H-high may be used after the causal agent code. Periodically, trees per acreage estimates are used after the causal agent code instead of number of dead "ladder" trees (or an intensity code). For example: 5-V2A = The first number before the dash is the causal agent code. The number after the dash is an estimation of the number of dead "ladder" trees in the polygon per acre. In this case it would be an estimation that, on the average, one tree per every two acres would be a dead "ladder" tree. In another example: 5-3A = that on the average, an estimated three trees per acre are dead "ladder" trees. A "/" is used as a separator when a point polygon has more than one causal agent code.

Code	Causal Agent	Primary Host	Code	Causal Agent	Primary Host
01	Arthropod	Lodgepole Pine	108	fox squirrel flagging	Cottonwood/Poplar
02	Engelmann Spruce Beetle	Engelmann Spruce	107	fall weevorn	Softwoods
03	Mountain pine beetle	Ponderosa Pine	106	road salt	Softwoods
04	Mountain pine beetle	Lodgepole Pine	105	oak wilt	Softwoods
05	Western pine beetle	Ponderosa Pine	104	oak wilt	Softwoods
06	White Fir	White Fir	103	oak wilt	Softwoods
07	White Fir	White Fir	102	oak wilt	Softwoods
08	White Fir	White Fir	101	oak wilt	Softwoods
09	White Fir	White Fir	100	oak wilt	Softwoods
10	Douglas-fir engraver beetle	Subsone Fir	09	Chemical damage	All Tree Species
11	Western balsam bark beetle	Subsone Fir	08	Lophodermium pinastri	Softwoods
12	Unidentified bark beetle	Softwoods	07	Rhabdocline pseudotsugae	Douglas-fir
13	Pine engraver	Lodgepole Pine	06	Lophodermium arcolata	Softwoods
14	Pine engraver	Ponderosa Pine	05	Lycophotia acicola	Softwoods
15	Ponderosa pine needle miner	Lodgepole Pine	04	Lophodermium concolor	Softwoods
16	Lodgepole pine needle miner	Ponderosa Pine	03	Diplolepis sp.	Softwoods
17	Jack pine budworm	Jack Pine	02	Needle cast (Hypodermataceae)	Softwoods
18	Spruce budworm, light defol.	Douglas-fir	01	Rust Rot	All Tree Species
19	Spruce budworm, medium defol.	Douglas-fir	00	Unidentified disease	Softwoods
20	Spruce budworm, heavy defol.	Douglas-fir	99	Winter damage light	All Tree Species
21	Douglas-fir tussock moth	Douglas-fir	98	Winter damage medium	All Tree Species
22	Pine butterfly	Ponderosa Pine	97	Winter damage heavy	All Tree Species
23	Pine looper	Ponderosa Pine	96	Diplolepis	Softwoods
24	Leaf beetles	Ponderosa Pine	95	Pinyon bark stain	Common Pinyon
25	Oak leaf roller	Hardwoods	94	Fire	All Tree Species
26	Pine needle-sheath miner	Ponderosa Pine	93	Peronospora	All Tree Species
27	Pine sawflies	Ponderosa Pine	92	Windthrow	All Tree Species
28	Pine tussock moth	Ponderosa Pine	91	High water damage	All Tree Species
29	Variable oak leaf caterpillar	Hardwoods	90	Avulsache	All Tree Species
30	Unidentified defoliator	All Tree Species	89	Aspen decline-multiple agents)	Quaking Aspen
31	Heterobasidion annosum (Pines annosus)	Softwoods	88	Juniper mortality	Common Pinyon
32	Amelaria rotigera (Amelaria melae)	Softwoods	87	Juniper mortality-unknown agents)	Juniper
33	Polyporus schweinitzii	Softwoods	86	Canby oak decline-unknown agents)	Quaked Oak
34	Phymastix	All Tree Species	85	Lumber pine decline-multiple agents)	Lumber Pine
35	Cytospora	All Tree Species	84	Hail damage	All Tree Species
36	Western gall rust	Unknown	83	Unknown pathogen	Common Pinyon
37	Concordia rust	Unknown	82	old pinon mortality	Lodgepole Pine
38	Stackpole rust	Lodgepole Pine	81	High water damage	All Tree Species
39			80	dutch elm disease	Elm
40			79	diplolepis blight	Softwoods
41			78	lign. heart	Softwoods
42			77	Spurce, White Spruce	Hardwoods
43			76	drought killed narrow leaf cottonwood	Narrowleaf Cottonwood
44			75		
45			74		
46			73		
47			72		
48			71		
49			70		
50			69		
51			68		
52			67		
53			66		
54			65		
55			64		
56			63		
57			62		
58			61		
59			60		
60			59		
61			58		
62			57		
63			56		
64			55		
65			54		
66			53		
67			52		
68			51		
69			50		
70			49		
71			48		
72			47		
73			46		
74			45		
75			44		
76			43		
77			42		
78			41		
79			40		
80			39		
81			38		
82			37		
83			36		
84			35		
85			34		
86			33		
87			32		
88			31		
89			30		
90			29		
91			28		
92			27		
93			26		
94			25		
95			24		
96			23		
97			22		
98			21		
99			20		
100			19		
101			18		
102			17		
103			16		
104			15		
105			14		
106			13		
107			12		
108			11		
109			10		
110			9		
111			8		
112			7		
113			6		
114			5		
115			4		
116			3		
117			2		
118			1		
119			0		



### How Aerial Surveys Are Conducted

Data represented on this map are based on aerial observations manually recorded onto a map. This procedure is considered both an art form and a form of scientific data collection, and is highly subjective. An observer only has a few seconds to recognize the color difference between healthy and damaged trees of different species; diagnose causal agents correctly; estimate intensity; delineate the extent of damage; and precisely record this information on a georeferenced map. Air turbulence, cloud shadows, distance from aircraft, haze, smoke, and observer experience can all affect the quality of the survey. These data summaries provide an estimate of conditions on the ground and may differ from estimates derived by other methods.

Aerial surveys provide information on the current status for many causal agents, and are important when examining insect activity trends by comparing historical and current survey data over large areas.

Overview surveys are a "snap shot" in time and therefore may not be timed to accurately capture the true extent or severity of a particular disturbance activity. Aerial surveys can be thought of as the first stage in a multi-stage sampling design. Other remote sensing approaches, including aerial photography, electro-optical sensors, and specially designed aerial surveys with modified flight patterns, can be used to more accurately delineate the extent and severity of a particular disturbance agent. The preceding methods are often more costly than overview surveys, and are generally reserved to address situations of sufficient environmental, economic, or political importance.

Map Created December 11 2009  
 Projection: UTM NAD83 Zone 13  
 Author: J. Ross, USDA Forest Service

A data dictionary and digital copies of this map and the insect and disease data are available at: <http://www.fs.fed.us/r2/resources/fhm/aerialsurvey/>

### DIRECT ALL INQUIRIES TO:

**Colorado State Forest Service**  
**Colorado State University**  
**Fort Collins, Colorado 80523**

**USDA Forest Service, Region 2**  
**Renewable Resources**  
**Forest Health Management**  
**PO Box 25127**  
**Lakewood, Colorado 80225**

\*\*\*\*\*DISCLAIMER\*\*\*\*\*  
 Forest Health Protection (FHP) and its partners strive to maintain an accurate Aerial Detection Survey (ADS) Dataset, but due to the conditions under which the data are collected, FHP and its partners shall not be held responsible for missing or inaccurate data. ADS are not intended to replace more specific information. An accuracy assessment has not been done for this dataset; however, ground checks are completed in accordance with local and national guidelines (<http://www.fs.fed.us/foresthealth/monitoring/qualityassurance.shtml>). Maps and data may be updated without notice. Please cite "USDA Forest Service, Forest Health Protection and its partners" as the source of this data in maps and publications.

Due to the nature of aerial surveys, the data on this map will only provide rough estimates of location, intensity and the resulting trend information for agents detectable from the air. Many of the most destructive diseases are not represented on this map because these agents are not detectable from aerial surveys. The data presented on this map should only be used as a partial indicator of insect and disease activity, and should be validated on the ground for actual location and causal agent. Shaded areas show locations where tree mortality or defoliation were apparent from the air. Intensity of damage is variable and not all trees in shaded areas are dead or defoliated.

The insect and disease data represented on this map are available digitally from the USDA Forest Service, Region Two Forest Health Management group. The cooperators reserve the right to correct, update, modify or replace GIS products. Using this map for purposes other than those for which it was intended may yield inaccurate or misleading results.