



Elks Lodge, Lakeview, Oregon

June 22, 2005 Prioritization meeting for the Fremont-Winema RAC

Present : Jane O'Keeffe, Gary Johnson, Anita Ward, Craig Beinz, Don Gentry, Melvin Dick, Amy Gowan (Designated Federal Official), Karen Shimamoto (Fremont-Winema Forest Supervisor), Bill Duke (Chair), Lani Hickey, Mary Baker, Rick Brown, Pete Talbott, Sherm Radtke, Henry Mroczkowski, and Lucinda Nolan (RAC Coordinator)

Guests: Carolyn Wisdom (Silver Lake/Paisley Ranger) and Kristen Coons (OSU intern)

Visitors throughout the day: Terry Sodorff (Lakeview/Bly Ranger), Kent Russell (Klamath Ranger), Rick Ragan (Chiloquin Ranger), Ray Ramero (Chemult Ranger), Dannette Watson, John Merwin, Jim Walls, Faye Weekley, Mike Love, Lori Theros, John Taylor, Marci Schrader and Mark Jeffery.

Meeting was called to order @ 10:30 am By Chair Bill Duke

Housekeeping: Cindi (Lucinda Nolan) discussed a few changes to the summary spread sheet. Amy Gowan the DFO (Designated Federal Official) gave general housekeeping.

Introductions of all present

Agenda approval:

Hank made a motion to move the acceptance of the minute until after the lunch hour.

Mary Baker Second

Motion carried

Bill Duke announced that Chuck Wells would resign from the RAC for health reasons. The RAC showed genuine concern but accepted the resignation and instructed the DFO to start the process of replacing the vacant (replacement) positions. Craig Beinz as replacement member in group B will now move into a voting position to replace Chuck Wells. Anita recommends the committee recognize Chuck's good work (Plaque? Letter?)

The DFO made a request to the RAC as to how they would like to get the word out. It was decided that we would send out a press release, public notice and that I would pass this on as an e-mail to the members to assist them in recruiting prospective members.

Project Updates:

The members would like to ask the Rangers present to speak about the status on some of the past projects.

Lani Hickey would like the District Ranger from Chiloquin, Rick Ragan to bring us up to date on the Plant Collection Project. Rick was not present at this time.

Sherme Radtke would like to know how the Toolbox Planting Project was doing with partial funding. Carolyn Wisdom, Ranger for the Silver Lake/Paisley districts, was present and reported that the acres for this project were accomplished and that the work was completed under budget by \$18,000. The \$18,000 has been returned.

Bill Duke stopped the review to introduce Karen Shimamoto, The Fremont-Winema Forest Supervisor.

Karen informed the RAC of her recent trip to Washington D.C., where the Fremont-Winema RAC was highlighted as one of the finest in the nation. She spoke of the amazing work being done to our watersheds, on fuel reduction and the boost to the economic development to our counties because of the Title II dollars and the RAC's exemplary decisions on how to use them.

Karen introduced Amy Gowan as the replacement for the former DFO, Bill Aney, who left to take a position in Umatilla.

Election of the new Chair:

Hank Mroczkowski nominated Don Gentry, Don respectively declined.

Don Gentry nominated Lani Hickey, declined

Lani nominated Craig Beinz, who declined in favor of electing someone with more time served on the RAC.

Sherm Radtke nominated Hank Mroczkowski

The RAC closed nominations and voted.

Hank was unanimously elected new chair with the request that Bill Duke finish out his term to the noon break.

Guest Jim Walls gave the committee an update on the proposed Biomass project for Lake County Resource Initiative (LCRI).

Old Business: Status review of previously funded projects.

Pete Talbott would like to see the budget for the previous year's project.

Introduction of the Rangers present.

Amy Gowan (DFO), asked the Rangers to speak on their respective projects that the RAC has requested status information.

Anita Ward would like Ranger Rick Ragan from Chiloquin to give a report on the *Plant Material Collection* project. Rick informed the RAC that \$30,000 went to Reach Inc. for collecting and \$30,000 has been designated to IFA nurseries in Klamath Falls for growing. Cindi added that the last report she received stated that 57,000 seedlings have been collected and 48,500 are planned for planting.

Lani wanted to know how many acres were planted. *Rick said he would get that information from Tom Gorman and or Mark Nigh.*

W-2-018 PCT plantations Rick Ragan stated that the FY02 part of this was completed and that the FY04 proposal was never funded. The funds did not stretch out that far.

F-01-008 Barnes Valley Terry Sodorff reported to the RAC the E.A. and decision notice are complete and they are just waiting for the decision to be signed. This is still a viable project. He was then asked about the Juniper Control and *he said he would check on that and get the information back to the RAC.*

Wk-11-404 Jack Creek Ray Ramero reported that this project is complete.

Cindi said the X was placed in the wrong column and accepted the responsibility for the error.

F-3-006 Native Plant Collection This project was assigned to Mike Nevill originally but now is with Norm Michaels and Donna Stubbs.

4 species of native grass are now being grown at the Desert Springs Orchard. They would like to use some of the seed that has been collected to revegetate the toolbox fire area.

Brad St.Clair is doing genetic research on the collected species.

E-K-403 Copperfield Draw and E-K-404 Denny Creek both external project on the Klamath side (Cindi, to investigate the status of these two).

FL-01-403 Hwy. 140 aspen release Withdrawn by Ranger Terry Sodorff, monies to be returned.

Discussion on how this money would be used...

Pete Talbott made a motion that any previously approved project that is not completed or withdrawn and the monies returned, that said monies would be used in the current year's fund.

Anita Ward Seconded the motion.

Jane O'Keeffe suggested that they omit the words not completed and just say withdrawn projects.

Discussion

Pete Talbott made the motion to assign the dollars returned from WITHDRAWN, previously funded projects to the current year's funding.

Anita second

Carried unanimously

1:05 Chair Hank Mroczkowski opened the meeting

Sherm Radtke made a motion to accept the minuets from the June 10, 11, 2004 with a change to the members present, addition of Sherm's name to the list.

Rick Brown seconded the motion.

Motion carried

The chair invited the public with proposals to speak on their respective projects.

Change Agenda to let the external Project Proposal's advocates to speak.

E-K-604, the Jackson Cr. Project, Dannette Watson

E-K-605 Weed Eradication

Anita, could you get a FS map to see where these lands lie.

E-L-503, Chewaucan Paisley Weir, John Merwin - Update...Ahead of schedule (some grants they have not received. They have the pipe and the Rock is there. They have all the permits and the NEPA is done. Cement work is out to bid.

Fish stream- Workman and sons

They won't know about the match in funding from the fish and Wildlife until August. They are seeking \$50,000 from ODFW and another \$50,000 from the RAC, this would

change their request to \$50,000 from the \$100,000 listed on their proposal. The \$100,000 from FY05 will be used for the metal for the fish stream.

So far this project has put 20 local people to work doing various pieces of this project.

E-L-602, Bullard Canyon Jim Walls: A review was done on Bullard Canyon and found it is a mess. It has become a giant mud hole from 4 wheelers etc. The Town of Lakeview put together a plan with the help of a group of concerned kids. They will have a designated shooting range. This project is asking for juniper removal and weed eradication. During the survey they inventoried the lands and will do the NEPA on their own. They are asking the RAC for \$9,500.

Jane O’Keeffe stated her conflict of interest as she is a property owner.

Dept of corrections will have workers and the trap club members will be assisting in some of the work.

E-L-305, Chewaucan Monitoring Jim Walls: returned \$13,000 this year. Volume of data from this web page is huge. We had to buy a server to handle the volume of information. This group will be doing a general publics data sheet. They plan in July to take a team from the FS and the BLM to help them use the information that is being gathered. Clair has used this information to help his students receive over 80 thousand in scholarships from using this data. The GIS is not up to par but are busy trying to get a grant to bring that up to date. Anita any certain sites that data is done on? Jim said yes

Referred to the subsoiling report from last year as an example of how this data was used. Old growth report was done with this data.

Gary: Do you monitor how many hits on this website?

Jim: we should probably do this.

Gary: we would like to see this next year.

Sherm: do you see an end to this?

Jim says no not really as this information is useful.

The RAC would like to see more of how the \$114,000 in funding each year is used??

E-K-602, Sprague River Channel, Mike Love

The plan is to Plug two of the channels and release 3 species of fish.

Jane: Are you going to continue grazing?

No, I have removed all the fences. I want to return this land to it natural state.

Anita is impressed by the matching funds.

Lani: The Sprague River is going to flood. What is going to prohibit this river from going back to its original path? And since this is not a woody debris area why would you use woody debris. I have been told by experts this will work. I have built three bermes and this year was a high river year and they held. Plugs are designed to hold. Faye Weekly gave discussion on how the plugs work and why you would need the woody debris.

Is this an open range area? (Lani)

We are not sure about this piece of property.

Would you fence it your property if the need be? (Pete)

Mike: Yes

E-K-601 Faye Weekley, similar to Mike Love’s proposal. Plugs would be installed in the river to help channel the river.

Bill Duke if we invest this money in this ground, and the ground sells what assurances do we have that these wouldn't be turned into a feedlot? On Mike's property none. On this property, they intend to stay but no there is no definite assurance.

Fences were discussed.

Craig, this project may be consistent with other projects you have in the area.

Discussion of other projects going on along this river up and down stream.

Budget on #38 OWEB contribution \$43,000? This has been applied for but is not definite.

Has \$42,000 from Fish and Wildlife. As they have received the \$42,000 from Fish and Wildlife they would not continue to seek it from OWEB.

E-K-603, Lori Theros, from The Forgotten Americans

Anita would this project be a better fit for title III dollars? Lani: if you give credit for this class it would not be eligible for Title III money.

Lani how many students would this project be available to?

Lori, we have targeted 24.

Anita, I understand that the DR. in charge here was awarded monies from another RAC and he had to turn some back in. Yes

Karen is this just internet or would it be out in the field.

Lori, this would all be out in the field. But no definite projects as of yet have been decided upon.

Amy asked if the program was only for American Indian Students.

Lori replied that it is for at risk, as well as other interested students.

WK-11-601, and WK-11-603 Fuels Reduction, Ray Romero, Chemult District Ranger, these 2 projects would work together. Panhandle is underburning as it is the last piece of this to be completed. The 3 buttes project is to do thinning, hand piling and burning. This is in an urban interface area.

Craig Beinz 3 buttes could the cost be less and still get the work done?

Ray: the work is intensive, a lot of root rot and close proximity work with trees.

We could do less, yes.

Could you do less acres, with less money? I guess yes.

Lani: could we do this in two years? Ray: yes, I would like to do that.

Do you go out to bid each time? (Lani)

Yes we go out to bid.

Anita: why \$4000 for monitoring, seem high, why?

Ray: am not sure I can answer, but I know that there will be photos. I will look into that for you.

WK-11-602, Jack Creek Willow Planting and Cattle Path Rehab. Ray: I did not like the last part of that name!

Hank, from the cattle grazers, no in-kind? Ray, to put this cost on the permittee is not fair.

Pete, active permit? Ray yes. Do you plan to change the current grazing? We are looking at that right now. We would like to help the permittee track their cattle with some of this work.

With out changing the plan for the usage of this land you will be right back in the same spot in the future. (Pete)

Craig, so much of the first two projects overlap how efficient will you be if we only fund part of this or just one of them.

Discussion

Kent Russell, Klamath District Ranger

Wild Goose Gates WK-13-602 3 gates south of Crater Lake, and they will be open during the snowmobile season.

Gary, 3 Powder River gates?

Kent: Yes

This is for protection of the elk.

Anita, on the Monitoring, will there be Photos?

WK-13-402 Rocky Point Community Fuels. This is a continued project.

We were up to speed until this last spring as we lost our window to burn. It is ready to burn, just waiting.

We have the highest cost per acre because there is so much work; we have to go in more than once to do the same job. Burning is an issue as we have to take care of the traffic on 140.

There is lots of fuel there.

Could you complete this project this year? Yes

How much did you receive from the national fire plan? None

W-3-304 Westside Seldom Thinning and fuel reduction NE side of pelican butte.

Cost is high as per reason stated above.

WK-13-605, Camp McLoughlin to replace a foot bridge, swimming area improved...

Page 8 est. cost for logs \$3000 aren't these coming from the demolition of the bridge.

I think the cost may come from the demolition. Not sure.

Salaries page 5, column C \$2500 who does this go to? Not sure.

This bridge looks stout, what is the problem.

Engineer stated this is unsafe.

Again what's the problem?

We can handle just doing part of this. You may choose what you would like to see done on this project.

Why did you rank this so low? It was my choice as to importance to my district.

WK-13-603, Drainage Structure in the camp area. Fixing abutments on the foot bridge to reduce sediment on the stream.

Wk-13-604, Annie Creek obliterate a short section of road for the biggest good.

WK-13-601, Rainbow Creek leads into the lake...

On page 4 on the budget you need to add title II to total funds available.

Chiloquin District Ranger Rick Ragan

WK-12-405, Hog Mill Riparian, This is a prescribed burn and we now have cooperative funding with the Rocky Mt. Elk foundation.

Monitoring? By using a 5 acre plot?

A good sampling

Funding for monitoring is by year for five years not one lump.

WK-12-501, Airstrip Riparian and Aspen

Treatment by underburning. Through the NEPA process we may decide that cattle will be excluded.

WK-12-502, Yoss house

Page 4 on the budget no total requested.

WK-12-502, Lonepine,

Would you consider doing part of this? Yes

\$ Per acre seem high?? Mechanical application is used to reduce fuels, therefore it is high. I believe we will use a slashbuster. \$3-400 per acre for a slashbuster, \$225 per acre just for the machine.

Why are you using the slashbuster rather than a hand crew? A hand crew can not remove Christmas tree size trees, so they will just stay there. The slashbuster takes it all the way down to the ground and is a more effective way to minimize spread of wildfire.

WK-12-603, Ninemile Restoration Units- hand crew will be removing conifer from the aspen stand.

The budget is really for two years?

Anita, could you just put this year's amount in the budget. It is easier for us.

We try and show how much the whole project costs as to assist you in partial funding.

WK-12-601, PCT Various Plantations

No questions

WK-12-602 Ninemile natural, fuels reduction, Falls in the Chiloquin urban renewal plan.

E-L-601 Taylor Ranches Fish Screen, John Taylor, and Marci Schrader

We are hoping to receive some funds from ODFW.

Good example of working well with other agencies.

This is a three phase project with this year costing \$40,000.

We are asking just over \$18,000 for this phase, FY06 funding cycle.

E-L-504 Paisley School Cam, Mark Jeffries, Superintendent for the Paisley School.

If CAM's (Certificate for Advanced Mastery) go away, what happens to this project?

We are a charter school and what we have found with the CIM and CAM is that it is efficient in keeping with the basic skills. We plan to continue using these opportunities to get kids interested in the natural resources.

Why do you need a vehicle?

Mark, we added this for the next year as this is the cleanest way to handle the insurance issues as we will have our students and staff using this vehicle. It is a burden to the Forest Service, having them use their insurance.

Is the monitoring a duplicate to the Chewaucan Monitoring project.

Mark, I don't believe so.

Will this be just during the school year?

No we would like to use this program to help and assist the FS in using the students doing the kind of monitoring that would be helpful to the staff at the FS.

E-L-603, Noxious Weed Eradication on Private Land, Floyd Holbrook. These two sites are probably the worst areas in the county. The dollar figure, I feel is pretty realistic. A lot of money is needed to treat a lot of acres. These areas are part of the 2002 burn.

Why is there no Land owner's contribution?

I don't ask the landowners to participate but if you want to stipulate that they put money up for their part, I don't have an objection.

Anita, I think the project is well thought out.

You're going to go out for bids.

Yes but there are only two companies us and ARC out of Christmas Valley. It will go in front our board. It will be up to the board .If we are not able to fund the project, it will just get worse.

Is there limitation with chemicals on FS land?

Have you done the NEPA? No

Amy will look into this project and the NEPA concerns at the regional level.

FK-03-601 NEPA for Paradise and Watson

Why is the request for monitoring in the workforce column? This will be phase one in the project. No actual work on the ground will be done with this money.

What percent is on public land or private lands?

FL-03-602 Chewaucan Thinning, monitoring will be done by the Chewaucan monitoring group. Couldn't that be shown as matching? Yes

FL-03-603 Chewaucan Burning of Junipers, very expensive! Yes, done by helicopters to cover a wider terrain.

FL-04-602 Coyote creek fish passage

This is a lot of money for this culvert?

This is for survey work, \$12,500.

55,000 would be for completion of this project in 07

FL-04-601 Hager Mt prescribed fire This will do so much to preserve the old growth

FL-04-603 Woody Debris to the Stream, no questions

FL-04-606 Toolbox SB06

Craig excellent proposal

Yes we could get by on less, by doing less acreage. Again the slashbuster is expensive but you get a lot for your dollar.

FL-04-605, Toolbox RXP06 Prescribed Burn to 700 acres prep for burning. No questions

FL-04-604, Toolbox 06 Replanting of Toolbox. No questions

Terry Sodorff, Lakeview/Bly ranger Districts

FK-01-601 Deming Creek Fish Passage Culvert Replacement, is there a preference to the culvert or the bridge? Cost is a factor.

WK-01-601 South Fork Precommercial Thinning, this is a piece to finish this project. We could take partial funding.

FK-01-502 Barnes Valley OGE and FR, it has a lower rating because we haven't started there yet.

Why is the Klamath County cost higher than the Lake County side?

Maybe the slashbuster would be used more on the Klamath side.

Terry would rather have all the money on one project than a little on all three.

Again, the RAC would like to see photos in the monitoring plan.

FL-01-502, Barnes Valley OGE & FR, Same as above.

FL-02-604, UTC Upper Thomas Creek We have already done some precommercial thinning. This is just to continue.

Anita, it is easier for the RAC that you split out your budget per year.

Do you see this going beyond 2008?

Terry, I would really like to see this finished.

FL-02-605, Dismal Creek Arch and Footing Repair

Anita, Photos on the monitoring! FS is to use appropriated funds but the budget just shows RAC.1000 is in monitoring.

FL-02- 603, Stateline Precommercial Thinning/ Fuels Treatment

Anita, there is no map and 3 years in the budget.

How much did we fund this in FY04?

82,000.

FL-02-601, Cottonwood Creek Watershed Restoration, I would have ranked this higher if I had been able to visit with my fish biologist. It was not affected by the flood damage. Durrel Williams land is where the water is being diverted.

FL-02-606, Bald Precommercial Thinning/Fuels Treatment

Anita, this is a confusing budget and there is no map. Monitoring is \$5000 but does not show it in the budget. Is this \$5000 for this year or five years? Is it part of the overhead?

This is included in the \$18,000 in column B.

You could make it 13,000 and put the 5,000 in the monitoring column.

The NEPA is 60,000, why is it so expensive?

Meeting adjourned: 5pm.



Thursday, June 23, 2005- Elks Lodge, Lakeview, Oregon

Present: Henry Mroczkowski (chair), Gary Johnson, Jane O’Keeffe, Melvin Dick, Don Gentry, Lani Hickey, Pete Talbott, Bill Duke, Mary Baker, Craig Beinz, Rick Brown, Anita Ward, Sherm Radtke, Amy Gowan (DFO) and Lucinda Nolan.

Guest: Kristin Coons (OSU intern)

Opened meeting: 8:15 am

*The Agenda was amended and the Forest in Crisis PP will be shown at lunch.
There was no public present.*

Anita, a few concerns with a couple of the proposals and Spread sheet FY05 should say FY06.

Three buttes and The Panhandle project proposals: If we wait to do the burns at the same time, we may be setting ourselves up for a catastrophic fire. We may lose habitat or animal life. A better mosaic rather than all of it burned at once.

We might need to reconsider the multi year projects. We need to concentrate on just the year we are funding such as FY06 rather than looking out so many years. Although it looks that we will be reauthorized we need not to count on having this money in the future.

Pete, haven’t we always done this in the past.

Yes, but we seem to have more multi year proposals this year.

Let us not worry what the proposals need for next year, but individually fund for each year.

POINT: just look at each year.

ITEMIZED BUDGETS tell us what you will be doing with each funding cycle.

Concerns were addressed on the monitoring: Photo points

The RAC doesn’t want to see monitoring as a five year out funding from the RAC.

Concerns about whether or not the RAC is double funding with the workforce and the monitoring line item.

Directive to RANGERS: monitoring needs to be more specific. What are we (the RAC) paying for? Don Gentry said there should be implementation and effectiveness monitoring. Monitor the implementation (start to finish) in terms of the progress of the project as in terms of meeting the contract or agreement stipulations. Monitor project effectiveness (after project complete) in terms of whether project met objectives of the proposals.

Monitoring is the success of the project as far as the intent of the project was written, not just that you were successful at doing the JOB.

Jane would like to have multifunded, as well as other larger projects, have a project work plan attached to the proposal for each phase or year so that it is easier to understand why. How and when the money will be distributed according to the need on the ground.

POINT: Separate meeting with the FS to see how these projects fits on the landscape and the entire picture.

Watershed Analysis: would you like to be briefed by the Interdisciplinary Team regarding the findings from Watershed Analysis?

The RAC would like the Rangers or the specialist to give a highlighted report of their Goals.

They would like Cindi to meet with them and get a report.

Jane would like to see the RAC focus on a couple of key watershed and concentrate the efforts on those.

Cindi gave the RAC an update on questions regarding the Copperfield and Denny Creek external projects from FY04. She will follow up with an e-mail to the RAC with answers from Jerry Haugen.

Amy Gowan: About the External Weed Eradication proposal, there needs to be a NEPA and yes there are issues with this.

Discussion, what is the common practice as far as noxious weed EA? Do we need one? The EA covers forest not private. No information as far as weather or not it covers private or could cover private lands.

Would the Forest Supervisor, be whom we would go to, to broaden the boundaries?

Bill Duke handed a map out of Bullard Canyon.

The RAC members moved into groups for caucusing to review FY06 proposals.

11:30 lunch and the Forest in Crisis PP presentation.

12:20 the group discussed what they would like the MONITORUNG for each project to entail.

Pete Talbott moved: the proponents to follow the RAC's protocol on their applications to the letter or the project proposal will not come before the RAC. The proposal will be returned for correction.

Don Gentry seconded

Discussion

A committee was formed to draft the RAC's protocol for budgets and monitoring. The committee includes the following members, Pete Talbott, Hank Mroczkowski and Bill Duke. The meeting date and time will be determined by the committee.

Motion was carried unanimous vote.

Voting and Prioritization for the Lake County projects

Tally is the RAC Prioritization and "Score" is the District Rangers priority scoring

tally	Score	Project#	Project Name	District	County	Description	FY06 request
26	1	F-0-001	Noxious Weed Suppresion	FW	Lake	Treat Noxious weed w/Herbicide	100,000
26		E-L-503	Chewaucan restoration Proj.(V	Pvt.	Lake	Replace town weir with new design	50,000
25		E-L-601	Taylor Ranch Fish Screen/Pha	Pvt.	Lake	1fish screen/25 miles/35 days	18,176
23	1	FL-02-604	UTC Precommercial Thinning	Lakeview	Lake	Mechanical and Chainsaw thinning	201,667
22	4	FL-02-601	Cottonwood Cr. Watershed ph	Lakeview	Lake	Establish channel,reduce incision restore	13,000
22		E-L-305	Chewaucan Watershed Monit	Paisley	Lake	Monitor restoration projects on the river	114,000
22	1.00	FL-04-602	Coyote Creek Fish Passage	Silver Lake	Lake	Culvert Replacement	12,500
22	2	FL-04-601	Hager Mountain Prescribed Fi	Silver Lake	Lake	apply prescribed fire to 900 acres	76,000
21	1	FL-03-602	Chewaucan Watershed Junipe	Paisley	Lake	Thinning trees	100,000
21		E-L-602	Bulard Canyon	Pvt.	Lake	Juniper Removed and weed control	9500
s	2	FL-02-605	Dismal Creek Arch-footing Rep	Lakeview	Lake	Repair footing to avoid collapse of structur	21,000
16	3	FL-02-603	Stateline	Lakeview	Lake	Mechanically thin stands	102,567
11	4	FL-04-606	Toolbox Reforestation SB06	Silver Lake	Lake	fuels treatment & planting	320,000
10	3	FL-04-603	WFK Silver Creek LG.woody D	Silver Lake	Lake	Add woody debris to stream	10,500
8		E-L-504	Certificate of Advanced Maste	Paisley	Lake	Student Resource Crew	40,000
8		E-L-603	Noxious Weed Control on Priv	Pvt.	Lake	Spray noxious weeds on pvt land adj. to tr	77,062
5	1	FL-01-501	Barnes Valley OGE&FR	Bly	Lake	Thin underbrush	70,800
5	5	FL-02-606	Bald Precommercial Thin/Fuel	Lakeview	Lake	Precommercial Thinning	242,889
4	5	FL-04-605	Toolbox Reforestation RXP06	Silver Lake	Lake	Prescribed burn	120,000
1	2	FL-03-603	Chewaucan Watershed Junipe	Paisley	Lake	Burn cut juniper	350,000
0	6	FL-04-604	Toolbox Reforestation 06	Silver Lake	Lake	planting low density conifer seedlings	240,000
	0	FL-02-602	Burnt Willow Instream Restora	Lakeview	Lake	placement of large woody debris	6,000

Bill Duke Declared a conflict on E-L-305, The Chewaucan Monitoring Project.

Jane O'Keeffe declared a conflict with E-L-602, the Bulard Canyon Project.

The above two were figured with the formula that was accepted in previous years funding.

Discussion for partial funding

E-l-305 PROS AND CONS FOR MULTI YEAR FUNDING VS ONE YEAR. It would make it easier for the RAC to reduce funding if it was just for one year.

Pete made motion; F-0-001 Noxious Weeds will be funded @ \$100,000 not at the requested \$150,000.

Second by Mary Baker

Carried

Jane made a motion to accept the Lake County Projects according to the prioritization.

Sherm Second

Carried

Voting and Prioritization for Klamath County Projects FY06

Tally is the RAC Prioritization and the "Score" is the District rangers priority scoring

Tally	Score	Project#	Project Name	District	County	Description	FY06 request
drop	0	WK-13-501	Southgate/Scoria	Klamath	Klamath	Reduce Fuels & surface litter	0
26	1	WK-12-405	Hog-Mill Riparian & Aspen	Chiloquin	Klamath	Prescribe Burn	7,500
26	1	W-0-002	Noxious Weed Suppresion	FW	Klamath	Survey and Treat noxious weeds	75,000
26	1	WK-13-402	Rocky Point Community Fuels	Klamath	Klamath	Reduce Ladder and surface fuels	79,500
25	1	FK-01-601	Deming Creek Fish Passage	Bly	Klamath	Replace Culvert	50,000
25	1	WK-11-601	PanHandle Underburn	Chemult	Klamath	Underburn	289,950
25	2	WK-12-501	Airstrip Riparian & Meadow	Chiloquin	Klamath	riparian and meadow enhancement.	14,500
21	2	WK-12-504	Yosshouse Natural Fuels	Chiloquin	Klamath	reduce hazardous fuels	161,000
20	2	WK-0-502	Plant Material Collection	FW	Klamath	Plant collection for restoration	67,500
19	2	WK-11-603	2006 three Buttes Ladder Fuels	Chemult	Klamath	Thiining, Underburning and Hand Piliir	303,860
19	4	WK-13-601	Rainbow Creek Rd. Obliteration	Klamath	Klamath	remove an unneeded road	3,500
18	2	WK-01-601	South Fork Precommercial Thinnin	Bly	Klamath	Thinning	190,667
18	3	WK-13-604	Annie Creek rd Relocation	Klamath	Klamath	move rd to outside riparian area	38,300
14	3	W-3-304	Westside/Seldom	Klamath	Klamath	Precommercial Thinning	266,000
14	1	FK-03-601	NEPA for Paradise and Watson C	Paisley	Lake	NEPA only	20,000
13	4	WK-12-502	Lone Pine PCT plantation	Chiloquin	Klamath	thinning and brush release	975,000
13	5	WK-12-603	Ninemile Restoration Units	Chiloquin	Klamath	Whipfalling,lopping and broadcast bur	310,400
10		E-K-602	Mike Love Sprague River Channe	Pvt	Klamath	plugging the avulsion channels	84,140
10		E-K-605	Klamath River Weed Eradication	Pvt	Klamath	weed control	34,925
9	7	WK-12-602	Ninemile Nat. Fuels Reduct.	Chiloquin	Klamath	mowing,handpiling and underburning	452,500
7		E-K-601	Watkins Sprague Rv.Channel	Pvt	Klamath	plugging the avulsion channels	43,000
6	3	WK-11-602	Jack Creek Willow Planting	Chemult	Klamath	Plant willows/block passage to cattle	8,030
6	6	WK-13-602	Wild Goose Gates	Klamath	Klamath	Install Gates on three roads to protect	4,000
5	5	WK-13-605	Camp McLoughlin	Klamath	Klamath	Survey and Treat noxious weeds	119,218
4	6	WK-12-601	PCT Chiloquin Various Plantations	Chiloquin	Klamath	Thinning with Chainsaw/slashbuster	215,500
3	3	FK-01-502	Barnes Valley OGE&FR	Bly	Klamath	Thin underbrush	116,800
2		E-K-604	Jackson Creek Connectivity	Klamath	Klamath	Reconstruct Channel	112,420
1	7	WK-13-603	Mtn.Lakes Bible Camp rd & foot b	Klamath	Klamath	Rd.graded and surfaced with 6"of crus	22,025
0		E-K-603	Science Zone	Pvt	Klamath	Natural Science Program	25,000
							4,090,235

Discussion on partial funding

WK-11-603 Three Buttes Ladder, Gary Johnson made a motion to fund this at 50%

Second by Craig Beinz

Carried

Craig Beinz, motion to accept Klamath prioritization funding

Sherm Radtke Second

Carried

Discussion on field trips for the 2005

The group decided on September 12 and 13 for back to back field trips. One in each county.

The RAC would like to work with the District Rangers to set these up and they would like to see both projects happening and areas the Rangers or specialists believe to be possible proposals in the future.

Bill Duke made a motion that the DFO and the chair will work on the agenda for the field trips and report back to the RAC.

Melvin Dick, Second

Carried

The RAC would like the summary sheet changed for next years funding cycle. They would like to move the NEPA to the column where the products are shown now and they would like the summary page to be sorted by proposals as they appear in the notebook.

Meeting adjourned: 1:47pm