

**Errata for Published Documents**  
**Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement**  
**Southern California National Forests Land Management Plan Amendment**  
Publication Number R5-MB-265

Please note the following corrections to the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement published on November 15, 2013.

**Correction:** On page 256, paragraph one; the third sentence should be deleted as follows:

Alternative 2a has similar effects as Alternative 2 relative to the Forest Service road and motorized trail system. Alternative 2a maintains the existing LUZ designations along 200 foot wide corridors for all NFS roads shown as open on the MVUM. ~~There would be no change to the current level of public access on the existing road and trails.~~ Table 107 summarizes the LUZ designations for the existing road system under Alternative 2.

**Reason:** During the objection review the review team found that while this sentence was generally correct for motorized access, there was one circumstance associated with a closed Forest Service road on the Angeles National Forest where mechanized access could be affected in the future by RW zoning.

**Correction:** On page 256, paragraph three; the last sentence should be deleted as follows:

Alternative 2a would maintain the program emphasis for future transportation system improvements. Alternative 2a includes a forest specific standard for the Los Padres National Forest that would allow construction of the connector trail between Hungry Valley and Ballinger within a BCNM LUZ if the construction includes the closure of the Toad Springs trail. This standard does not authorize a specific project. Any proposal would be subject to site specific analysis and a subsequent decision. Alternative 2a maintains the opportunity for a parallel OHV trail along the Gold Hill road and maintains the potential for additions to the California Back Country Discovery Trail along existing system roads. ~~There would be no effect on the proposed San Diego Trans County Trail.~~

**Reason:** During the objection review the review team found that this sentence was contradicted by the sentence on the page 257 that states “Alternative 2a would have the same effect on the general alignments associated with proposed San Diego County Trails as Alternative 2.” The description of effects on page 257 is the correct statement.