

**SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000
PUBLIC LAW 110-343**

**TITLE II PROJECT SUBMISSION FORM
UINTA-WASATCH-CACHE NATIONAL FOREST ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official):	
--	--

1. Fiscal Year(s) You are Requesting Funds (Check One or Both)	2016			
---	-------------	--	--	--

2. Project Name	Diamond Fork Guard Station Infrastructure Maintenance	3. County(s)	Utah
4. Project Sponsor	Spanish Fork Ranger District	5. Date	2/9/2016
6. Sponsor's Phone Number	801-794-6764	7. Sponsors E-mail	sflinders@fs.fed.us Sarah Flinders, SZ Recreation Staff
8. Sponsor's Mailing Address	44 West 400 North, Spanish Fork, Utah, 84660		

9. Forest Service Person Familiar With Project	George Garcia – District Ranger Sarah Flinders – Recreation Staff Officer Rachelle Handley – Heritage Program Archaeologist
---	---

10. Title II Funds Requested	11. Partner Contribution	12. Total Project Costs
\$11,250	\$7,084	\$11,250

13. Project Start Date:	6/2016	Project End Date:	11/2016
--------------------------------	--------	--------------------------	---------

14. Project Location [Sec. 203(b)(1)] (Legal description, road #'s, watershed, land ownership.)
Salt Lake Meridian T7S, R5E, Section 35

15. Project Description [Sec. 203(b)(1)] (max 30 lines.)
The Diamond Fork Guard Station was constructed in the 1930's by the Civilian Conservation Corps for the purpose of housing the District Ranger and his family. This guard station is eligible to the National Register of Historic Places as it contributes to our Nation's history with respect to Forest Service conservation efforts and administrative infrastructure. The guard station is no longer being used by Forest Service employees and in order to maintain and preserve this historic complex the Spanish Fork Ranger District is preparing it for public use through the National Recreation Reservation System. Towards this effort, this proposal is asking for funding to construct an appropriate restroom facility and fence the guard station's grounds.

16. Project Goals and Objectives (what the project will accomplish) [Sec. 203(b)(1)] (max 20 lines)
This project's goals/objectives are to prepare the Diamond Fork Guard Station for public use through the National Recreation Reservation System. This administrative site is no longer used for administrative purposes. In order to maintain this facility, which is an Eligible Historic Property for the National Register of Historic Places, it is being rehabilitated for public use and enjoyment. The funding requested here is for necessary improvements for the guard station's placement into public use. These improvements include removal of old 1970's era toilet, installation of a new toilet to meet the needs of public use, fencing around

the complex to keep cattle out, and removing old paint and repainting the main building. The new toilet will consist of a vault and framed/sided building to match the existing 1930's era buildings and the log and block fence is representative of what was used historically.

Placing this guard station on the National Recreation Reservation System will benefit the public in two ways, first, they will get the opportunity to enjoy their National Forest from a new vantage by staying in a historic guard station, and second, their use will facilitate the continued maintenance of this heritage resource for future generations to enjoy.

17. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?	Yes	No	X
If yes, then describe (max. 10 lines)			

18. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)] (<i>check all that apply</i>)	
X	Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2 (2)(A)(i)]
	Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2 (2)(A)(ii)]
	Restores and improves land health and water quality. [Sec. 2 (2)(A)(iii)]
X	Improves cooperative relationships between people that use and care for Federal land and the agencies that manage Federal land [Sec. 2 (3)]

19. Project Type [Sec. 203(b)(1)] (<i>check one</i>)			
	Road Maintenance [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(i)]		Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(i)]
	Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(2)(C)(i)]		Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(i)]
	Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(ii)]		Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(iii)]
	Watershed Restoration & Maintenance. [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(iv)]		Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(v)]
	Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(vi)]		Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(v)]
	Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(vii)]		Fuels Management/Prevention [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(iii)]
X	Other Infrastructure Maintenance [Sec. 2 (2)(C)(i)]		Conservation Education [Sec. 2 (3)]
	Other Project Type [Sec. 2 (2)(C)] (Specify)		

20. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]					
<i>a. Total Acres</i>		<i>b. Total Miles</i>		<i>c. Number of Structures</i>	1
<i>d. No. Laborer Days</i>		<i>e. Estimated People Reached (for conservation education projects):</i>			
<i>f. Other (specify)</i>					

21. Merchantable Material Contracting Pilots [Sec. 204(e)(3)]			
<i>Will the project generate merchantable wood product</i>	Yes	No	X

22. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (check those that apply)				
	Contract	X	Federal Workforce	Other (specify below)
	County Workforce	X	Volunteers	

23. Previous Title II Funding - None

24. Budget Summary					
Budget Categories	Title II Funds Requested (1)	Other Contributions			Total Costs (5)
		Forest Service (2)	Volunteers (3)	Partner Name (4)	
a. Personnel		13,695.90	7,084.80		20,780.70
b. Fringe benefits					
c. Travel					
d. Equipment		714.10			714.10
e. SEPA, NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA consultation					
f. Permit acquisition					
g. Materials & supplies	12,250.00				12,250.00
h. Project design & engineering					
i. Contractual	2,000.00				2,000.00
j. Monitoring					
k. Other (specify)					
l. Other (specify)					
m. Other (specify)					
n. Other (specify)					
o. Indirect costs – Applicant/Partner					
p. Total	14,250.00	14,410.00	7,084.80		35,744.80

Amount from Column 1, Item p desired by fiscal year

p. FY 2016 - \$14,250	X	FY 2017	
-----------------------	---	---------	--

You must attach a detailed cost worksheet to show additional information that supports the lump sum figures provided above which displays how you determined the cost figures above, e.g. Salary/Labor = hrs or days x rate; Travel = miles x rate or months x FOR rate, days x per diem rate; Equipment Use = hrs or days x rate; Supplies & Materials--list of items and estimated cost; Printing = estimated cost per item; Indirect Cost = Direct cost x current indirect rate.

This information is needed to substantiate your budget estimate. In addition, indicate if contributions are Cash=C or In-Kind= IK. If your project requires Forest Service resources, be sure they are included in the Title II funds requested.

Use the attached cost worksheet or one of your choosing as long as specific details are included.

25. Project Work Form		
List tasks and time frames necessary to complete your project. Show who will complete each task.		
Tasks	Time Frame	Who Will Complete Work
Site Design and Consultation	Winter/Spring 2016	Landscape Architect Archaeologist
Removal of 1970's toilet	Spring/Summer 2016	Equipment Operator Project Manager Recreation Specialist
Installation of vault	Spring/Summer 2016	Equipment Operator Project Manager Recreation Specialist
Construction of building	Summer/Sept 30, 2016	Project Manager Recreation Specialist Archaeologist Engineer Recreation Seasonal Recreation Seasonal
Purchase of remaining materials and fence supplies for Fall Volunteer work	By September 30, 2016	Volunteer Coordinator, Recreation Staff
Finishing work and fence construction	Fall 2016	Volunteer Coordinator Volunteers

26. How will cooperative relationships between the people that use federal lands and the agencies that manage them be improved? List known partnerships or collaborative opportunities [Sec. 2 (3)]

People using public lands generally associate guard stations with Forest Service management and are often disappointed when these facilities are not maintained. The public is generally eager to work collaboratively with the Forest Service on rehabilitation work of these structures as they enjoy the nostalgia of seeing them on the Forest and are excited at the prospect of renting them.

27. How is this project in the best interest of the community? [Sec. 203 (b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.

This project will directly impact the community, as the guard station is being rehabilitated and prepared for their use through the National Recreation Reservation System. This provides an alternate recreation experience that the public did not previously have access to. Additionally, use of the facility and the generated revenue will continue to maintain and preserve this National Register Eligible Historic Property which is a representative example of Forest Service architectural design. These properties are protected for the purpose of preserving this history for the benefit of local communities and the Nation.

28. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Historic administrative sites, such as this one, are heritage resources that define the Forest Service's history with respect to management of federal lands. These administrative sites were once necessary for adequate management during the Forest Service's early years when Forest Rangers worked by horseback. Automobiles, vast road networks, urban sprawl, etc. has made management of public lands more accessible to Forest Service employees, thus limiting the need to use guard stations. These facilities are now historic properties and are beacons of Forest Service management that the public have come to know. Preservation of these heritage resources benefits the public as they are a part of our Nation's history with respect to federal land management. These historic properties can only be preserved through consistent use, and the only way to maintain their use is to make them available for public enjoyment and rental.

29. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) N/A

30. Status of Project Planning [Sec. 204 (b)]

a. NEPA* Analysis Complete?	Yes	X	No		<i>b. If no, give estimated date of completion</i>	
b. NOAA* Fisheries Sec. 7 ESA* Consultation Complete?	Yes		No		Not Applicable	X
c. USFWS* Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete?	Yes		No		Not Applicable	X
d. Survey & Manage Complete?	Yes		No		Not Applicable	X
e. WDFW* Permits -Formal HPA* Complete -Covered under MOU* and WDFW* -Not Completed	Yes		No		Not Applicable	X
f. COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained?	Yes		No		Not Applicable	X
g. SHPO* Concurrence Received?	Yes	X	No		Not Applicable	
h. Project Design(s) Completed?	Yes	X	No			

**NEPA=National Environmental Policy Act, NOAA=National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration. ESA=Endangered Species Act, USFWS=United States Fish and Wildlife Service, WDFW=Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife HPA-Hydrologic Permit Approved, MOU-Memorandum of Understanding, COE=Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer*

31. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

a. *How will the positive or negative impacts of the project be identified and tracked?* [Sec. 203 (b)(6)(A)]

Positive impacts will include providing a public benefit and in turn, use of the guard station will provide for its continued maintenance, simply through its use, but also through generated revenue. Negative impacts could result from misuse of the guard station causing damage, however this is known to rarely occur. Negative impacts with respect to misuse will have to be identified and tracked through the district regularly checking the guard station. How the public is responding to the guard station can be identified two ways, first, through a visitor's guide provided at the guard station, and second, reviews provided through the National Recreation Reservation System. Generated revenue can also be monitored through the National Recreation Reservation System.	
<i>Who is responsible for this monitoring item?</i>	Spanish Fork Ranger District Recreation Staff
b. <i>How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps where appropriate?</i> [Sec. 203 (b)(6)(B)(i)]	
Seasonal employees hired on the Spanish Fork Ranger District will directly contribute towards this effort.	
<i>Who is responsible for this monitoring item?</i>	Spanish Fork Ranger District Recreation Staff
c. <i>If applicable, how will the project be evaluated to determine if the project improved the use of, or added value to, any products removed from the land?</i> [Sec. 203(b)(6)(B)(ii)]	
The goal of this project is to improve the use of the guard station, this can be evaluated through the National Recreation Reservation System by seeing how frequently it is being reserved by the public.	
<i>Who is responsible for this monitoring item?</i>	Spanish Fork Ranger District Recreation Staff
d. <i>Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Item k., Column D in Project Costs table)</i>	\$ Included in in-kind FS Salaries
Monitoring will be conducted as part of normal work during Implementation and use.	
<i>What are the sources for funding?</i>	FS in-kind salary.

32. Accomplishment Reporting
 Accomplishment information will be required at the close of a funded project. Project photos of before and after are encouraged.

EXPANDED PROJECT BUDGET					
Other Contributions					
Cost Category Description	Title II Funds Request	Forest Service	Partner 1 - Volunteers	Partner 2	Total
a. Personnel					
Equipment Operator (WG-8/Days = 5)		1315.75			
Project Manager (GS-7/Days = 8)		2036.08			
Recreation Seasonal (GS-4/Days = 5)		626.00			
Recreation Seasonal (GS-3/Days = 5)		544.60			
Archaeologist (GS-9/Days = 8)		2491.92			
Recreation Specialist (GS-9/Days = 5)		1393.25			
Landscape Architect (GS-11/Days = 2)		829.10			
Engineer (GS-11/Days =8)		2933.04			
Volunteer Coordinator (GS-6/Days =8)		1526.16			
Volunteers (Rate \$22.14) (8 Vol./Days = 5)			7084.80		
<i>Subtotal</i>		13695.90	7084.80		20,780.7

b. Fringe Benefits					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
c. Travel					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
d. Equipment					
FS Truck (1)		190.00			
FS Truck (2)		150.00			
FS Trail Cat/Backhoe		373.40			
<i>Subtotal</i>		714.10			714.10
e. SEPA, NEPA, & Sec.7 ESA consultation					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
f. Permit acquisition					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
g. Materials and supplies					
Vault (vault/cement work/road base/drain rock)	4,700.00				
Building (lumber, hardware, siding, shingles, ice & water shield, door, paint)	4,150.00				
Fencing (logs for log and block fence)	3,400.00				
<i>Subtotal</i>	12,250.00				11,250.00
h. Project design and engineering					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
i. Contractual (paint removal)	2,000.00				
<i>Subtotal</i>					
j. Monitoring					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
k. Indirect costs – Applicant/Partner					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
l. Other (specify)					
<i>Subtotal</i>					
Project Total	14,250.00	14,410.00	7,084.80		35,744.80

Insert maps here

