Issue/Alternative	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4
	<u>No Action</u>	(Modified) Proposed Action	Maximize Functional Restoration	<b>Optimize Treatments to Maximize</b>
	<ul> <li>Continue current management</li> <li>Analyze direct, indirect, and cumulative effects from no action</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mechanical thinning and/or Rx fire on ~952,330 ac</li> <li>Decommission ~250 mi roads, improve ~150 mi roads, construct ~350 mi temp roads</li> <li>Restore ~9,570 ac meadows</li> <li>Restore ~184 springs</li> <li>Restore up to 470/360 mi streams/stream habitat</li> <li>Construct up to 200 mi barriers/fence around springs, aspen, willows, maples</li> <li>Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities</li> <li>Flexible toolbox approach</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>(mechanical treatments and fire)</li> <li>Mechanical thinning and/or Rx fire on ~952,330 ac</li> <li>More aggressive mechanical thinning and higher intensity to lower BA and move to DCs farther and faster</li> <li>Specific dwarf mistletoe mitigation treatments in individual stands</li> <li>Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities</li> <li>Flexible toolbox approach</li> </ul>	<ul> <li><u>Results/Investment</u></li> <li>Optimize ecological restoration and economics (high priority restoration/economic viability)</li> <li>Focus Rx fire to protect high value assets (people and property)</li> <li>Less mechanical thinning and Rx fire</li> <li>Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities</li> <li>Flexible toolbox approach</li> </ul>
Smoke/Air Quality: The proposed prescribed burning may have negative effects on air quality and human health. Economics: The Proposed			Alternative 3 responds to the	Alternative 4 was partially developed to respond to the Smoke/Air Quality issue, with fewer acres of Rx fire. Alternative 4 responds to the Economics
Action does not include measures to make it economically viable.			Economics issue, maximizing mechanical thinning with higher intensity treatments. More acres of high intensity mechanical thinning means more product available to support local communities (economic viability).	issue, optimizing treatment areas for both ecological restoration and economics.

## 4FRI Rim Country: How Preliminary Alternatives Address Issues

## April 13, 2017

	Alternative 1 <u>No Action</u> • Continue current management • Analyze direct, indirect, and cumulative effects from no action	Alternative 2 (Modified) Proposed Action Mechanical thinning and/or Rx fire on ~952,330 ac Decommission ~250 mi roads, improve ~150 mi roads, construct ~350 mi temp roads Restore ~9,570 ac meadows Restore ~184 springs Restore up to 470/360 mi streams/stream habitat Construct up to 200 mi barriers/fence around springs, aspen, willows, maples Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities Flexible toolbox approach	<ul> <li>Alternative 3</li> <li>Maximize Functional Restoration (mechanical treatments and fire)</li> <li>Mechanical thinning and/or Rx fire on ~952,330 ac</li> <li>More aggressive mechanical thinning and higher intensity to lower BA and move to DCs farther and faster</li> <li>Specific dwarf mistletoe mitigation treatments in individual stands</li> <li>Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities</li> <li>Flexible toolbox approach</li> </ul>	Alternative 4 Optimize Treatments to Maximize <u>Results/Investment</u> • Optimize ecological restoration and economics (high priority restoration/economic viability) • Focus Rx fire to protect high value assets (people and property) • Less mechanical thinning and Rx fire • Specific aquatics and wildlife habitat restoration activities • Flexible toolbox approach
<b><u>Roads</u>:</b> The miles of temporary roads in the Proposed Action may negatively affect watershed and stream conditions, and wildlife habitat and connectivity.				Alternative 4 was partially developed to respond to the Roads issue, including the least number of miles of temporary roads.
Dwarf Mistletoe Mitigation: The Proposed Action includes dwarf mistletoe treatments that may remove the largest trees in some stands. There is also a concern that more dwarf mistletoe mitigation is needed to improve forest vigor, overall health, and resiliency to climate change.		The restoration treatments proposed in Alternative 2 will address dwarf mistletoe infection. In addition, design features for implementation will be developed to focus treatments on addressing dwarf mistletoe infestations.	Alternative 3 was partially developed to respond to the Dwarf Mistletoe Mitigation issue, with more intensive mechanical treatments in heavily- infected areas, as well as some specific treatments addressing high levels in individual stands.	The restoration treatments proposed in Alternative 4 will address dwarf mistletoe infection. In addition, design features for implementation will be developed to focus treatments on addressing dwarf mistletoe infestations.

April 13, 2017