

# Contents

Summary .....	i
Chapter 1. Purpose of and Need for Action .....	1
Document Structure .....	1
Background .....	2
Purpose and Need for Action .....	19
Public Involvement .....	23
Issues .....	24
Decision to be Made .....	28
Chapter 2. Alternatives, Including the Proposed Action .....	29
Alternative Development Process .....	29
Alternatives Considered in Detail .....	29
Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study .....	54
Comparison of Alternatives .....	59
Comparison of Alternatives by Issue .....	61
Comparison of Alternatives by Effects .....	67
Chapter 3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....	79
Law, Regulation, and Policy .....	79
Forest Plan Amendment Substantive Requirements .....	84
Assumptions and Methodology .....	86
Effects Analysis .....	87
Water and Riparian .....	101
Soils .....	118
Vegetation .....	136
Fire Ecology and Air Quality .....	197
Climate Change .....	269
Heritage Resources .....	269
Socio-Economics .....	277
Lands and Minerals .....	289
Tribal Relations .....	297
Range .....	299
Transportation .....	304
Terrestrial Wildlife .....	312
Aquatics .....	416
Rare Plants .....	448
Noxious and Invasive Weeds .....	466
Recreation .....	471
Scenery .....	490
Unavoidable Adverse Effects .....	513
Short-term Uses and Long-term Productivity .....	514
Other Required Disclosures .....	517
Chapter 4. List of Preparers and Consultants .....	519
List of Contributors .....	525
Chapter 5. Distribution List .....	526
Tribes and Tribal Chapters .....	526

Federal, State, and Local Agencies and Representatives .....	526
Appendix A – Maps .....	529
Appendix B – Forest Plan Amendments .....	530
Amendment 1. Ponderosa pine vegetation/forest cover types .....	530
Amendment 2. Mexican spotted owl component .....	531
Amendment 3. Mechanical treatments on steep slopes .....	533
Appendix C – Design Features, Best Management Practices, Mitigation, and Conservation Measures ..	534
Appendix D – Alternatives 2 and 3 Implementation Plan .....	599
Description of Plan Components .....	599
Section A – Implementation Checklist .....	600
Section B – Management Direction, Desired Conditions, and Treatment Design.....	601
Section C – Old Tree Implementation Plan .....	617
Section D – Large Tree Implementation Plan.....	619
Section E – Density Management and the Relationship between Treatment Intensity, Tree Group Density, and Overall Average Density.....	627
Section F – Flexible Tool Box Approach .....	631
Appendix E – Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan.....	662
Introduction .....	662
Adaptive Management Process .....	663
Monitoring.....	666
Requirements for Monitoring.....	666
Types of Monitoring .....	667
Monitoring Scale.....	669
Implementation Monitoring Plan .....	669
Biophysical Monitoring Plan .....	674
Monitoring for Composition .....	678
Biophysical Monitoring for Function (or Process) .....	687
Socioeconomic Monitoring.....	715
Acronyms used within Socioeconomics Framework Tables .....	762
Appendix F – Glossary .....	763
References.....	782

### List of Tables

Table 1. Apache-Sitgreaves Forest Plan Management Areas in the Rim Country Project Area .....	8
Table 2. Coconino Forest Plan Management Areas in the Rim Country Project Area.....	9
Table 3. Tonto Forest Plan Management Areas in the Rim Country Project Area.....	10
Table 4. Acres of Cover Type on Forest Service-managed Land within the Project Area .....	12
Table 5. Desired Conditions (DC) Compared to Existing Conditions (EC) in Areas Proposed for Mechanical Thinning. *These existing and desired conditions apply to the 953,130 acres analyzed for mechanical thinning and prescribed fire treatments.....	13
Table 6. Existing Crownfire Potential in Ponderosa Pine Cover Types .....	14
Table 7. Existing Crownfire Potential in Dry Mixed Conifer Cover Type.....	14

Table 8. Condition Classes of Riparian Areas in the Project Area by National Forest.....	15
Table 9. Status and Habitat for Federally Listed and Forest Service (FS) Sensitive Fish and Garter snake Species .....	17
Table 10. Alternatives 2 (Preferred Alternative) and 3 Mechanical and Fire Treatment Descriptions and Objectives .....	32
Table 11. Alternative 2 (Preferred Alternative) Mechanical and Fire Treatment Categories and Acres....	35
Table 12. Alternative 3 Mechanical and Fire Treatments.....	40
Table 13. Considerations for Prioritizing Aquatics and Watershed Restoration Activities.....	45
Table 14. Processing Sites Analyzed in CWPP .....	50
Table 15. Processing Sites Analyzed in 4FRI Rim Country .....	50
Table 16. Comparison of Alternatives by Proposed Treatment.....	59
Table 17. Comparison of Alternatives by Issue.....	61
Table 18. Comparison of Alternatives by Effects.....	67
Table 19. Past, Current, and Reasonably Foreseeable Projects .....	88
Table 20. Wildfire History.....	101
Table 21. Acres and Percent of Riparian ERUs.....	103
Table 22. Distribution of ratings for water quality, water quantity, and riparian/wetland condition indicators within Rim Country.....	105
Table 23. Desired and existing conditions for the project area.....	145
Table 24. Summarized effects of the Alternatives .....	180
Table 25. Approximate acres of vegetation management activities and prescribed fire within and adjacent to the cumulative effects area 1990-2017 .....	183
Table 26. Right of way, habitat improvement, reforestation, spring/meadow and other activities within the cumulative effects area.....	186
Table 27. Approximate acres of reasonably foreseeable activities within the cumulative effects area ....	188
Table 28. Wildfire acres within the project area 1943-2017.....	189
Table 29: Vegetation cover types targeted for restoration, and their desired and current fire regimes across the project area.....	203
Table 30. Smoke sensitive areas and sensitive receptors.....	212
Table 31. Brief description of the metrics used in this analysis.....	217
Table 32. Fire Hazard Index scores used to identify the need for treatment for resources, values and assets .....	219
Table 33: WUI Measures and Metrics for Alternative 1.....	224
Table 34: Vegetation Cover Type Measures and Metrics for Alternative 1 .....	224
Table 35. Alternative 2 metrics for the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI).....	241
Table 36. Alternative 2 metrics for vegetation cover type.....	241
Table 37: Alternative 3 metrics for the Wildland Urban Interface .....	247
Table 38: Alternative 3 metrics by Vegetation Cover class.....	248
Table 39: Comparison of Alternatives Fire Type within the Wildland Urban Interface. The ↑ symbol indicates increases compared to existing conditions (EC), while the ↓symbol indicate decreases.	252
Table 40: Comparison of Alternatives for Fire Type by vegetation cover class for extreme fire weather	253
Table 41: Comparison of alternatives for Fire Hazard Index ratings.....	254
Table 42: Comparison of Alternatives by Fire Hazard Index for the Wildland Urban Interface Classes.	256
Table 43: Comparison of Alternatives by Fire Hazard Index for each Vegetation Cover Type.....	256
Table 44: Comparison of Percent Changes in Total Surface Fuel Loadings from existing conditions ....	258
Table 45: Acres of past, present and reasonably foreseeable projects with cumulative effects for fire, fuels and air quality. ....	264
Table 46. Cultural resource sites and surveys.....	270
Table 47. Population Estimates 2010 to 2016.....	277
Table 48. Employment in Forestry-Related Sectors, 2015 .....	279
Table 49. Resource indicators and measures for assessing effects .....	283

Table 50. Resource indicators and measures for Alternative 1.....	284
Table 51. Resource indicators and measures alternative comparison.....	286
Table 52. Miles of boundary lines within the project area.....	289
Table 53. Lands Special Use Authorizations within the Project Area.....	290
Table 54. Comparison of Alternatives by Number of Total Acres Treated.....	294
Table 55. Summary of existing road mileage .....	305
Table 56. Threatened, Endangered, and Forest Service Sensitive (TES) Species Evaluated .....	317
Table 57. Threatened, Endangered, and Forest Service Sensitive (TES) Species Not Evaluated .....	317
Table 58. Acres of Mexican Spotted Owl (MSO) Habitat.....	321
Table 59. Bald Eagle nests.....	330
Table 60. Terrestrial Management Indicator Species (MIS) or Focal Species Analyzed .....	331
Table 61. Fire Hazard Index modeled in MSO habitat types for the Existing Condition.....	339
Table 62. Fire Hazard Index modeled in MSO habitat types for Alternative 1 .....	340
Table 63. Potential for Crown Fire Modeled in MSO Habitat Types for Alternative 1 .....	340
Table 64. MSO PACs Within or in Close Proximity to the Rim Country Project Area .....	344
Table 65. Acres of restoration treatments proposed in MSO PACs .....	346
Table 66. Acres of MSO Habitat with High and Extreme Fire Risk by Alternative with Percentages of Total Habitat Modeled in the Project.....	350
Table 67. Active and Conditional Crown Fire Assessment Comparison of Alternatives in Wildlife Habitat (with Percentages of Total habitat Modeled in the Project Area).....	350
Table 68. FVS Modeled Effects on Key Habitat Variables in MSO Protected Habitat .....	351
Table 69. FVS Modeled Effects on Key Habitat Variables in MSO Nest/Roost Recovery Habitat.....	353
Table 70. FVS Modeled Effects on Key Habitat Variables in MSO Foraging/Non-breeding Recovery Habitat.....	356
Table 71. Alternative 2 thinning and burning treatments in MSO habitat.....	358
Table 72. Acres of Treatments in MSO Habitat Types, Alternative 2.....	359
Table 73. Summary of treatments in PACs, Alternative 2.....	361
Table 74. Mechanical and Fire Treatments in MSO Nest/Roost Recovery Habitat, Alternative 2 .....	363
Table 75. Treatments in MSO Protected Habitat, Alternative 3 .....	367
Table 76. Treatments in MSO Nest/Roost Recovery Habitat, Alternative 3 .....	369
Table 77. Habitat variables in PFAs by alternative by decade .....	376
Table 78. Fire hazard index in PFA habitat by alternative .....	379
Table 79. Crown fire assessment in PFAs by alternative.....	380
Table 80. Cumulative effects analysis area by species .....	412
Table 81. Long-term effects on migratory bird habitats from Alternatives 2 and 3 .....	413
Table 82. Average riparian condition from WCATT for species analysis areas .....	417
Table 83. Federally-listed and Forest Service Sensitive Aquatic Species Expected in the Project Area .	417
Table 84. Federally-listed and Forest Service Sensitive Aquatic Species not analyzed in detail .....	419
Table 85. Resource indicators and measures for assessing effects between alternatives.....	423
Table 86. Change Miles Of Open Forest Service Roads Treatments For Alternatives 2 & 3 As Compared To Alternative 1 Within The Project Area.....	425

Table 87. Change By Species in Miles of Open ML 1 Forest Service Roads for Alternative 2 &3 As Compared To Alternative 1. Percentages Reflect Changes In Acreages Within Species Direct Effects Analysis Areas. .... 426

Table 88. Change By Species In Miles Of Open Forest Service Roads For Alternative 2 &3 As Compared To Alternative 1. Percentages Reflect Changes In Acreages Within Species Analysis Areas. These Are Considered Indirect Impacts. .... 427

Table 89. Change By Species In The Acres Of In Woods Processing Sites For Alternatives 2 & 3 as Compared To Alternative 1. Percentages Reflect Changes In Acreages Within Species Analysis Areas. These Are Considered Indirect Impacts..... 429

Table 90. Change By Species In The Acres Of Existing Rock Pits Sites And Their Expansion For Alternatives 2 & 3 As Compared To Alternative 1. Percentages Reflect Changes In Acreages Within Species Analysis Areas. These Are Considered Indirect Impacts. .... 430

Table 91. Change by Species in the Miles of General and Heavy Mechanical Stream Restoration for Alternatives 2 & 3 As Compared To Alternative 1. Percentages Reflect Changes In Acreages Within Species Analysis Areas. These Are Considered Direct And Indirect Impacts..... 431

Table 92. Change by species in the acres of mechanical vegetation treatments for Alternative 2 and 3 as compared to Alternative 1. Percentages reflect increases in acreage within direct effects analysis areas for species. .... 435

Table 93. Change by species in acres of mechanical vegetation treatments for Alternative 2 and 3 as compared to Alternative 1. Percentages reflect increases in acreage within species analysis areas. These are considered indirect impacts. .... 436

Table 94. Affected acres by species and the percent of change in the acres of prescribed burning for Alternative 2 and 3 as compared to Alternative 1. Percentages reflect changes in acreages within species direct effects analysis areas. .... 439

Table 95. Change by species in the acres of prescribed burning for Alternative 2 and 3 as compared to Alternative 1. Percentages reflect changes in acreages within species analysis areas. These are considered indirect impacts..... 440

Table 96. Preliminary Determinations for Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Species within Rim Country Analysis Area for Both Action Alternatives. MA= May Affect; MII = May Impact Individuals..... 446

Table 97. Southwestern Region Regional Forester’s Sensitive Plants found in the Project Area ..... 450

Table 98. Noxious or invasive weeds within the project boundary and forest where each species occurs. .... 467

Table 99. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Apache-Sitgreaves and Coconino National Forests for Alternative 2..... 502

Table 100. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Tonto National Forest for Alternative 2 Identified in the 1993 Eligibility Study ..... 503

Table 101. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Tonto National Forest for Alternative 2 Identified in the Current Study ..... 503

Table 102. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Apache-Sitgreaves and Coconino National Forest for Alternative 3..... 504

Table 103. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Tonto National Forest for Alternative 3 Identified in the 1993 Eligibility Study ..... 504

Table 104. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers on the Tonto National Forest for Alternative 3 Identified in the Current Study ..... 505

Table 105. Rim Country DEIS preparers and contributors..... 519

Table 106. Design features, best management practices, mitigation, and conservation measures .....	535
Table 107. Implementation Plan Checklist .....	600
Table 108. Desired Condition of tree groups and interspaces for PFA UEA treatments.....	607
Table 109. Desired condition of tree groups and interspaces for PFA IT Treatments.....	608
Table 110. Desired condition of tree groups and interspaces for PFA SI treatments .....	608
Table 111. Desired condition of tree groups and interspaces for UEA treatments .....	612
Table 112. Desired condition of tree groups and interspaces for IT treatments .....	612
Table 113. Desired condition of tree groups and interspaces for SI treatments.....	613
Table 114. Treatment intensity .....	627
Table 115. Trees per Acre by Quadratic Mean Diameter and Basal Area.....	630
Table 116. Considerations for prioritizing where and when treatments are implemented.....	645
Table 117. Springs .....	647
Table 118. Wetlands (marshes, potholes, wet meadows, and natural ponds).....	648
Table 119. Montane Meadows.....	649
Table 120. Unneeded Roads and Unauthorized Routes and Trails.....	650
Table 121. Roads and Stream or Wetland Crossing .....	651
Table 122. Streams (channels, floodplains, and riparian).....	652
Table 123. Tools for Improving native Riparian or Aquatic Vegetation.....	654
Table 124. Tools for Improving Spring Outflows .....	655
Table 125. Tools for improving road or trail interactions with stream courses, springs, or other wetlands .....	655
Table 126. Tools for improving the form and function of stream channels and floodplains.....	657
Table 127. Generalized circumstances for when or where tools would not apply.....	658
Table 128. Effectiveness monitoring tiers and prioritization.....	668
Table 129. Scale Terms Used by Stakeholders and USFS*.....	669
Table 130. Implementation monitoring questions and indicators .....	671
Table 131. Broadscale Assessment.....	694
Table 132. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal I .....	724
Table 133. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal II .....	726
Table 134. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal III.....	727
Table 135. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal IV.....	728
Table 136. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal V .....	730
Table 137. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal VI.....	732
Table 138. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal VII .....	733
Table 139. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal VIII.....	734

Table 140. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal IX.....	735
Table 141. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal X.....	738
Table 142. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for social systems, Goal XI.....	741
Table 143. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, I. Goal.....	742
Table 144. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, II. Goal .....	743
Table 145. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, III. Goal .....	747
Table 146. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, IV. Goal.....	751
Table 147. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, V. Goal .....	753
Table 148. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, VI. Goal.....	755
Table 149. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems, VI. Goal.....	757
Table 150. Four Forest Restoration Initiative socioeconomic monitoring framework for economic systems .....	761

**List of Figures**

Figure S-1. Four Forest Restoration Initiative .....	i
Figure 1. Four Forest Restoration Initiative.....	2
Figure 2. 4FRI Rim Country Project Area.....	3
Figure 3. Other Projects within the 4FRI Rim Country Project Area.....	4
Figure 4. Forest Plan Management or Designated Areas in the Rim Country Project Area.....	11
Figure 5. Alternative 2 proposed mechanical and fire treatments.....	37
Figure 6. Alternatives 2 and 3 grassland, meadow, and riparian restoration activities.....	37
Figure 7. Alternatives 2 and 3 stream restoration activities.....	38
Figure 8 Alternative 3 proposed mechanical and fire treatments .....	41
Figure 9. Mechanical flexible toolbox approach treatment assignment process.....	44
Figure 10. Idealized landscape of target and non-target cover types and fireline features .....	47
Figure 11. Same landscape with three burn units .....	47
Figure 12. Proposed in-woods processing sites .....	51
Figure 13. Coconino and Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests rock pits.....	53
Figure 14. Wildfire history .....	101
Figure 15. Existing condition – cover type .....	138
Figure 16. Existing condition – 5 <sup>th</sup> HUC watersheds.....	139
Figure 17. Alternative 1 – No Action – Distribution of trees per acre across size classes across the analysis area as well as an idealized distribution of trees per acre .....	147
Figure 18. Alternative 1 – No Action – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for trees per acre across the analysis area .....	148

Figure 19. Alternative 1 - No Action – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for basal area across the analysis area ..... 149

Figure 20. Alternative 1 - No Action – Percent of stands meeting the desired condition for stand density index..... 150

Figure 21. Alternative 1 - No Action Alternative – Distribution of bark beetle hazard rating classes across the analysis area ..... 152

Figure 22. Alternative 1 - No Action Alternative – Dwarf Mistletoe severity rating classes across the analysis area ..... 153

Figure 23. Alternative 1 – basal area ..... 154

Figure 24. Alternative 1 –trees per acre..... 155

Figure 25. Alternative 1 – bark beetle hazard rating..... 156

Figure 26. Alternative 2 – Proposed Action – Distribution of trees per acres across size classes across the analysis area ..... 158

Figure 27. Alternative 2 – Proposed Action – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for trees per acre across the analysis area ..... 159

Figure 28. Alternative 2 - Proposed Action – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for basal area across the analysis area. .... 160

Figure 29. Alternative 2 - Proposed Action – Percent of stands meeting the desired condition for stand density index ..... 161

Figure 30. Alternative 2 - Proposed Action – Distribution of Bark Beetle Hazard Rating classes across the analysis area. .... 163

Figure 31. Alternative 2 - Proposed Action – Dwarf Mistletoe Severity Rating classes across the analysis area..... 164

Figure 32. Alternative 2 – trees per acre..... 165

Figure 33. Alternative 2 – basal area ..... 166

Figure 34. Alternative 2 – bark beetle hazard rating..... 167

Figure 35. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – Distribution of trees per acres across size classes across the analysis area ..... 169

Figure 36. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for trees per acre across the analysis area..... 171

Figure 37. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – Percent of acres meeting desired condition for basal area across the analysis area ..... 172

Figure 38. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – Percent of stands meeting the desired condition for stand density index ..... 173

Figure 39. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – Distribution of Bark Beetle Hazard Rating classes across the analysis area ..... 175

Figure 40. Alternative 3 – Focused Alternative – dwarf mistletoe severity rating classes across the analysis area ..... 176

Figure 41. Alternative 3 – trees per acre..... 177

Figure 42. Alternative 3 – basal area ..... 178

Figure 43. Alternative 3 – bark beetle hazard rating..... 179

Figure 44. Trends in mean fire size and total number of wildfires from 1992 to 2015 ..... 198

Figure 45. Trends in the number of large fires (>1,000ac) and total acres burned from 1992-2005 within the Arizona/New Mexico Mountains Ecoregion..... 199

Figure 46. Percent of annual large fires burned by severity class..... 199

Figure 47. Conditions in dry mixed conifer in the project area that could easily support high severity fire ..... 200

Figure 48. Locust dominated area in the Sierra Anchas where the Coon Creek Fire produced high severity effects in 2000..... 200

Figure 49. Location of recent wildfire (1987-2017) and prescribed fire (1995-2018) within the project area..... 202



Figure 50. Wildland urban interface, as defined and mapped by the project. Recent prescribed fires are shown by hashed polygons. .... 205

Figure 51. AQI table with levels of health concerns. Taken from the Environmental Protection Agency’s airnow.gov website: [https://airnow.gov/index.cfm?action=aqi\\_brochure.index](https://airnow.gov/index.cfm?action=aqi_brochure.index) ..... 209

Figure 52. Class 1 areas with greatest potential to be impacted by Rim Country Smoke ..... 213

Figure 53. Arizona State airsheds ..... 214

Figure 54. HUC 6 Boundaries. Dark gray areas are those areas within the project area that have current NEPA projects, and are not being fully re-analyzed in this report. Light gray areas are HUC 6 boundaries that fall outside the project area and were not analyzed in this report..... 216

Figure 55. Expected Fire Type for Alternative 1, under modeled weather conditions ..... 222

Figure 56. Proportion of each HUC6 watershed with FHI in the moderate, high, or very high category for Alternative 1 under modeled fire weather..... 222

Figure 57. Alternative 1 proportion of HUC6 watersheds with expected Active Crown Fire, under modeled weather conditions..... 223

Figure 58. Fire hazard index for alternative 1, under modeled fire weather..... 223

Figure 59. Surface fuel loads for alternative 1, under modeled fire weather..... 226

Figure 60. Emissions for alternative 1 ..... 227

Figure 61. Total surface fuel loads in each HUC6 watershed alternative 1, as modeled using FVS..... 228

Figure 62. PM 2.5 and PM10 emissions from wildfires vs. prescribed fire at different stages of treatments ..... 233

Figure 63. Expected Fire Type for Alternative 2, under modeled weather conditions ..... 236

Figure 64: Proportion of each HUC6 watershed with Active Crown Fire for Alternative 2, under modeled weather conditions ..... 237

Figure 65: Fire Hazard Index for Alternative 2, under modeled weather conditions ..... 238

Figure 66. Proportion of each HUC6 watershed with moderate, high, or very high fire hazard index for Alternative 2, under modeled weather conditions..... 239

Figure 67. Surface fuel loading in tons per acre for alternative 2, areas in orange and red exceed recommended levels..... 240

Figure 68. Comparison of per acre wildfire emissions pre- and post- treatments for a Ponderosa Pine Stand ..... 242

Figure 69. Expected Fire Type for Alternative 3, under modeled weather conditions ..... 244

Figure 70. Fire Hazard Index for Alternative 3, under modeled weather conditions ..... 245

Figure 71. Total Surface Fuel Loadings for Alternative 3, under modeled weather conditions ..... 245

Figure 72. Proportion of each HUC6 watershed with Moderate, High, or Very High Fire Hazard Index for Alternative 2, under modeled weather conditions..... 246

Figure 73. Proportion of each HUC6 watershed with Active Crown Fire for Alternative 3, under modeled weather conditions ..... 246

Figure 74. Total Surface Fuel loadings of each HUC-6 watershed for Alternative 3, as modeled using FVS ..... 249

Figure 75. Comparison of fire type for each alternative ..... 251

Figure 76. Fire hazard index ..... 255

Figure 77. Comparison of Total Surface Fuel Loading ..... 257

Figure 78. Comparison of Wildfire Emissions pre- and post-treatment in a Ponderosa Pine stand ..... 260

Figure 79. Surface fuel loading comparison ..... 261

Figure 80: Recent Wildfire and Prescribed Fire (2009 – 2018) and the associated wildfire burn severity ..... 263

Figure 81. Rock pits in the Rim Country project area ..... 295

Figure 82. Occupied CLF Habitat within the Project Area..... 319

Figure 83. Mexican spotted owl habitat..... 322

Figure 84. Mexican Spotted Owl critical habitat units ..... 323

Figure 85. Focal area for Mexican wolf recovery strategy, including the MWEPA in the United States,  
and the Sierra Madre Occidental in Mexico ..... 327

Figure 86. Goshawk PFAs ..... 329

Figure 87. Rim Country developed recreation sites..... 474

Figure 88. Landscape distance zones ..... 491

Figure 89. National trails in the Rim Country Project area..... 492

Figure 90. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Integrity Objectives (w/ 1993 Tonto National  
Forest) ..... 493

Figure 91. Eligible Wild and Scenic Rivers and Scenic Integrity Objectives (w/ Current Tonto National  
Forest) ..... 494

Figure 92. Scenic Integrity Objectives for the entire project area ..... 497

Figure 93. Acres of Scenic Integrity Objective..... 498

Figure 94. Illustrations of mature size classes derived from Dunning (1928), Keen (1943), and Thompson  
(1940)..... 618

Figure 95. Mechanical Flexible Toolbox Process..... 631

Figure 96. Decision matrix for the Coconino National Forest and Apache-Sitgreaves National Forest .. 634

Figure 97. Decision Matrix for the Tonto National Forest ..... 635

Figure 98. General decision-making process (Roca, et al. 2017) ..... 640

Figure 99. Diagram of conceptual linkages and questions to be addressed in assessments used to identify  
and prioritize restoration actions (Beechie et al. 2008)..... 643

Figure 100. 4FRI Adaptive Management Process ..... 665

## List of Acronyms

4FRI	Four Forest Restoration Initiative	d.r.c.	diameter at root collar
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation	EIS	Environmental impact statement
ADEQ	Arizona Department of Environmental Quality	EMA	Ecosystem management area
AGFD	Arizona Game and Fish Department	EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
AUM	Animal Unit Month	ERU	Ecological response unit
BA	Basal area	FEIS	Final environmental impact statement
BAER	Burned Area Emergency Response	FRCC	Fire regime condition class
BCC	Birds of Conservation Concern	FS	Forest Service
BCR	Bird Conservation Region	FSH	Forest Service Handbook
BE	Biological evaluation	FSM	Forest Service Manual
BMP	Best management practice	FTA	Flexible toolbox approach
CCF	Hundred cubic feet	FVS	Forest Vegetation Simulator
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality	FWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
CFLR	Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration	GIS	Geographic information system
CFLRP	Collaborative Forest Landscape Restoration Program	HUC	Hydrologic unit code
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	IBA	Important bird area
CHU	Critical habitat unit	IDT	Interdisciplinary team
CO	Carbon monoxide	IT	Intermediate thin
CWD	Coarse woody debris	LANL	Los Alamos National Laboratory
d.b.h.	Diameter at breast height	LOPFA	Landscapes outside post-fledging family area
DEIS	Draft environmental impact statement	LTIP	Large tree implementation plan
DF	Design feature	LTRS	Large tree retention strategy
dPFA	Dispersal post-fledging area	MA	Management area
		MAUM	Thousand animal unit month
		MIS	Management indicator species