

## Errata

### Record of Decision for the Coconino National Forest Plan

11/01/2019

Page 36, Response to Public Concerns, Mount Elden Management Area as Wilderness or Primitive/Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized. Deleted and added wording to clarify that Mt. Elden has not been exclusively categorized as Primitive (P) or Semi-Primitive, Non-Motorized (SPNM):

One stakeholder requested that the *entire* Mount Elden Management Area be considered for wilderness designation or given a Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) classification of primitive or semi-primitive non-motorized. The Mount Elden area was considered for wilderness designation as part of the wilderness evaluation process the Forest conducted for the forest plan revision effort. The Mount Elden area was screened out during the inventory step of the evaluation. After boundary adjustments were made for private land, communication towers, a Forest Service lookout tower, utility corridors, other special use permits, and associated roads, the area no longer met the 5,000-acre criteria for potential wilderness areas. It was removed from further consideration at that time. The ~~*suggestion to classify the entire*~~ Mount Elden Management Area ~~*as either*~~ has not been assigned recreation opportunity spectrum (ROS) settings of primitive or semi-primitive non-motorized ~~*ROS was not adopted as suggested. Naturalness, access, remoteness, facilities, and site management were incorporated in GIS modeling to identify the spatial arrangement of recreational opportunities and ROS classes across the Coconino National Forest. This modeling indicates that less than 10 percent of the management area meets the criteria for the semi-primitive non-motorized ROS classification, and that none of it meets the criteria for the primitive ROS classification.*~~ The ROS modeling conducted for the forest plan revision reflects that less than 10 percent of the management area should have an ROS class of semi-primitive non-motorized and none of the management area should have an ROS class of primitive. Classifying this *entire* management area as ~~*suggested semi-primitive non-motorized or primitive ROS*~~ would create a situation where many existing uses in the area would be inconsistent with the assigned ROS classes. *Rather, by retaining the settings identified in the Flagstaff-Lake Mary Environmental Assessment (FLEA) of 2004, this management area includes a combination of semi-primitive non-motorized, semi-primitive motorized, and roaded natural ROS classes (see pages 294-295 of the FEIS, Volume 1).*