Appendix B – Content Analysis Process and Coding Structure

Content Analysis Process

Analysis of the public comments was completed is a systematic approach of compiling and categorizing all viewpoints and concerns that were submitted. Every comment letter and/or petition that was unique and substantially different was logged into the Comment Log (see Appendix A). It is very important to remember that the comment period is not a vote-counting process; the most useful comments are those that are unique, substantially different, and suggest specific changes to the Proposed LMPs and Monitoring program.

The steps outlined in the Introduction of this report (Process Used to Analyze Public Comments) explain the process used in handling the comment letters. Form letters, emails, postcards, faxes and petitions were processed differently. Hereinafter, when the reference is to "form" it includes letters, emails, postcards, faxes, and petitions. Following is the process that was used:

- **Step 1:** The first "form" that was received was assigned a communication number (i.e., F1), entered into the Comment Log, and subsequently coded and entered into the database. Other, new "forms" were then assigned subsequent numbers (i.e., F2). If the originator of the form letter was known, that name and/or organization was entered into the database for that first "form."
- **Step 2:** All "forms" that were similar to the first "form" received were read to determine if there were unique and substantially different comments contained in the "form." If there were substantially different comments in the "form," it was then entered into the Comment Log and followed the process in Step 1. If there were not substantially different comments in the "form," that "form" was included in our planning record but not entered into the Comment Log or database because: a) the decisionmakers and planning team were looking for unique and substantially different comments and for the most part, these "forms" repeated the same comments in the initial "form;" b) the public comment period is not a vote-counting process; and c) at times, respondents may not have been aware that they were submitting a comment through a "form" (see explanation in Planning Record about "spam email").
- **Step 3:** For some of the "forms" that were emailed or faxed, the process was further modified due to the volume of emails and faxes received. Form letters that were faxed or emailed were read to determine if there were unique and substantially different comments. If not, the screen from the KIPZ email inbox was printed, which contained the senders email address and subject of their email. This information can be found in the planning record.
- **Step 4:** Petitions were entered into the database and identified by the "petition initiator" (if known). Comments in the petition were coded and entered into the database. Each petitioner's name was not entered into the database; however, the petition is part of the Planning Record.

Following is a brief description of each "form" letter and/or petition that was received either by postal mail, email, and/or fax and how it was processed:

F1: This postcard was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these postcards. The postcards contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F2: This letter was received via postal mail, email, and fax; and many duplicates of the same letter were received using all three methods of mailing. Steps 1-3 were followed in processing these emails and

faxes. The significant volume of these faxes resulted in mechanical failure of some fax machines (note: faxes were sent to different locations and recipients across the region). The subject line of the email/fax was either "Protect the Cabinet-Yaak Wildlands" or "Proposed Land Management Plan;" however, the content of most of the letters was the same. These emails/faxes contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F3: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These letters contained a checklist for the respondent to complete and comments were specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F4: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These letters contained a checklist for the respondent to complete and comments were specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F5: This letter was received via email and generated from a Montana Wilderness Association web site (www.nationalforestaction.org) where respondents used the website to create a letter through the selection of a "menu of paragraphs." Respondents/website users also had an option to include personal and specific comments. Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these emails; however, the entire list of possible paragraphs from the website was utilized as the comment letter for coding. These emails contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F6: This letter was received via email and Steps 1-3 were followed in processing these emails. The subject line varied by email but most all emails contained the same comments. The email subject line included: "Changing wilderness recommendation is a bad idea"; or "Restore wilderness recommendations to Forest Plan;" or "We (I) value the wilderness recommendation;" or "Weaker wildlands category is no substitute." These emails contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F7: This postcard was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these postcards. These postcards contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F8: This letter was received via postal mail and was generated by an individual. A few other respondents used different paragraphs from this letter. Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These letters contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F9: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These letters contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F10: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. The two letters contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F11: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. The letters contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F12: This letter was received via email and Steps 1-3 were followed in processing these emails. The subject line in the emails varied slightly but usually contained the following: "Protect Potential Wilderness Lands: Idaho Panhandle National Forest Comments." The emails contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F13: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. There was a long and a short version of the letter; however, both versions addressed the same concerns. The letters contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F14: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. This letter consisted of statements followed by "Agree," "Disagree," and "No Opinion," giving the respondent the opportunity to circle their answer. The letters contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

F15: This postcard was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These postcards contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F16: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. It consisted of paragraphs from other form letters that were received. These letters contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

F17: This letter was received via postal mail and Steps 1 and 2 were followed in processing these letters. These letters contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

P1: This petition was from the Idaho Conservation League and Step 4 was followed in processing this petition. This petition contained comments specific to the Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

P2: This petition was generated by regular attendees of the Yaak workgroup meetings and Step 4 was followed in processing this petition. This petition contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

P3: This petition was from the Yaak Valley Forest Council and Step 4 was followed in processing this petition. This petition contained comments specific to the Kootenai National Forest.

Coding Structure

Following is the list of the Subject and Category Codes that were assigned to each of the unique and substantially different comments contained in the letters that are in the comment log tables (Appendix A) and was used to sort and lump the comments. The subject codes are used in every section in this report, including the Public Concern Statements.

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
ACCESS and RECREATION			
Chapter 1			
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Motorized (summer)	ACC	2100
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Motorized (winter)	ACC	2101
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Mechanized	ACC	2102
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition-- Non-Motorized (summer and winter)	ACC	2103
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Roads	ACC	2104
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Trails	ACC	2105
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Developed recreation	ACC	2106
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Dispersed recreation	ACC	2107
ACCESS & REC	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	ACC	2200
ACCESS & REC	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	ACC	2201
ACCESS & REC	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	ACC	2202
ACCESS & REC	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	ACC	2203
ACCESS & REC	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	ACC	2204
ACCESS & REC	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	ACC	2205
ACCESS & REC	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	ACC	2206
ACCESS & REC	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	ACC	2207
ACCESS & REC	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	ACC	2208
ACCESS & REC	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	ACC	2209
ACCESS & REC	Monitoring	ACC	2300
Chapter 2			
ACCESS & REC	Forestwide Suitability	ACC	2400
ACCESS & REC	Objectives	ACC	2500
Chapter 3			
ACCESS & REC	Guidelines	ACC	2600
ACCESS & REC	Other sources of design criteria	ACC	2700
ACCESS & REC	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	ACC	2800
ACCESS & REC	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	ACC	2900
INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS			
INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS	Sources of Design Criteria i.e., inventoried roadless areas and roadless areas	IRA	3100
INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS	Allocation i.e., IRAs should be recommended wilderness, IRAs should be MA6 etc	IRA	3101

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS	General i.e., wilderness evaluation process (process to inventory the IRAs for being recommended for wilderness)	IRA	3102
VEGETATION			
Chapter 1			
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Vegetation Attributes (species/dominance type, size class/age, HRV = range of variation and historic variability, historical regimes, and forest health)	VEG	4100
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition—Old Growth	VEG	4101
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Disturbance (fire, insects & disease)	VEG	4102
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Down wood (coarse woody debris)	VEG	4103
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Landscape Pattern (patch size)	VEG	4104
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Plants (Threatened, Species of Concern-SOC, Species of Interest-SOI and Appendix A in the Plan)	VEG	4105
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Noxious Weeds (Invasive Plants)	VEG	4106
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Fire Regime Condition Class (FRCC) (Condition class 1, 2 and 3 – See Glossary)	VEG	4107
VEGETATION	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Fire Regime (5 natural fire regimes – I, II, III, IV, and V – see Glossary)	VEG	4108
VEGETATION	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	VEG	4200
VEGETATION	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	VEG	4201
VEGETATION	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	VEG	4202
VEGETATION	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	VEG	4203
VEGETATION	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	VEG	4204
VEGETATION	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	VEG	4205
VEGETATION	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	VEG	4206
VEGETATION	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	VEG	4207
VEGETATION	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	VEG	4208
VEGETATION	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	VEG	4209
VEGETATION	Monitoring	VEG	4300
Chapter 2			
VEGETATION	Objectives	VEG	4400
Chapter 3			
VEGETATION	Guidelines – Old Growth	VEG	4500
VEGETATION	Guidelines – Plants	VEG	4501
VEGETATION	Guidelines – Down Wood	VEG	4502
VEGETATION	Other sources of design criteria	VEG	4600
VEGETATION	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	VEG	4700
VEGETATION	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	VEG	4800
TIMBER			
Chapter 1			
TIMBER	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Long-term Sustained Yield (LTSYC)	TBR	5100

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
TIMBER	Forestwide Desired Condition—Restocking	TBR	5101
TIMBER	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Other	TBR	5102
TIMBER	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	TBR	5200
TIMBER	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	TBR	5201
TIMBER	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	TBR	5202
TIMBER	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	TBR	5203
TIMBER	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	TBR	5204
TIMBER	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	TBR	5205
TIMBER	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	TBR	5206
TIMBER	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	TBR	5207
TIMBER	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	TBR	5208
TIMBER	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	TBR	5209
TIMBER	Monitoring	TBR	5300
	Chapter 2		
TIMBER	Forestwide Suitability	TBR	5400
TIMBER	Objectives (harvest levels, Total Sale Program Quantity - TSPQ)	TBR	5500
	Chapter 3		
TIMBER	Guidelines	TBR	5600
TIMBER	Other sources of design criteria	TBR	5700
TIMBER	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	TBR	5800
TIMBER	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	TBR	5900
	FIRE		
	Chapter 1		
FIRE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Wildland Fire Use	FRE	6100
FIRE	Forestwide Desired Condition—Fuel Treatment (Prescribed burning, mechanical treatment)	FRE	6101
FIRE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) and Communities at Risk and Community Protection Zone	FRE	6102
FIRE	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	FRE	6200
FIRE	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	FRE	6201
FIRE	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	FRE	6202
FIRE	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	FRE	6203
FIRE	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	FRE	6204
FIRE	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	FRE	6205
FIRE	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	FRE	6206
FIRE	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	FRE	6207
FIRE	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	FRE	6208
FIRE	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	FRE	6209
FIRE	Monitoring	FRE	6300
	Chapter 2		
FIRE	Objectives	FRE	6400
	Chapter 3		
FIRE	Guidelines	FRE	6500
FIRE	Other sources of design criteria	FRE	6600
FIRE	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	FRE	6700
FIRE	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	FRE	6800

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
WILDLIFE			
Chapter 1			
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Habitat (general)	WLF	7100
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition – Habitat (Snags and Downwood)	WLF	7101
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Habitat (Connectivity)	WLF	7102
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Habitat (Security)	WLF	7103
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species (Bald Eagle)	WLF	7104
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species (Gray Wolf)	WLF	7105
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species (Canada Lynx)	WLF	7106
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species (Grizzly bear)	WLF	7107
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species (Caribou)	WLF	7108
WILDLIFE	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Species of Interest (SOI) and Species of Concern (SOC) (Also in App. A of Plan)	WLF	7109
WILDLIFE	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WLF	7200
WILDLIFE	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WLF	7201
WILDLIFE	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WLF	7202
WILDLIFE	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WLF	7203
WILDLIFE	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WLF	7204
WILDLIFE	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WLF	7205
WILDLIFE	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WLF	7206
WILDLIFE	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WLF	7207
WILDLIFE	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WLF	7208
WILDLIFE	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WLF	7209
WILDLIFE	Monitoring	WLF	7300
Chapter 2			
WILDLIFE	Objectives	WLF	7400
Chapter 3			
WILDLIFE	Guidelines - General	WLF	7500
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Grizzly Bear	WLF	7501
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Bald Eagle	WLF	7502
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Gray Wolf	WLF	7503
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Caribou	WLF	7504
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Species of Concern, species of interest	WLF	7505
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Bats	WLF	7506
WILDLIFE	Guidelines – Big game	WLF	7507
WILDLIFE	Other sources of design criteria	WLF	7600
WILDLIFE	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	WLF	7700
WILDLIFE	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	WLF	7800
WATERSHEDS, RIPARIAN, SOIL, & AQUATIC SPECIES			
Chapter 1			
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition -- IPNF Watersheds	WAT	8100
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition – KNF Watersheds	WAT	8101
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Soil	WAT	8102
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Riparian	WAT	8103
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Aquatic Habitat (Streams)	WAT	8104

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
WATERSHED	Forestwide Desired Condition -- Aquatic Species (TES, SOC/SOI & Also App. A of the Plan)	WAT	8105
WATERSHED	Bull GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WAT	8200
WATERSHED	Clark GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WAT	8201
WATERSHED	Koocanusa/Tobacco GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WAT	8202
WATERSHED	Libby GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WAT	8203
WATERSHED	Yaak GA Desired Condition (KNF)	WAT	8204
WATERSHED	Cda GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WAT	8205
WATERSHED	Lower Kootenai GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WAT	8206
WATERSHED	Pend Oreille GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WAT	8207
WATERSHED	Priest GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WAT	8208
WATERSHED	St. Joe GA Desired Condition (IPNF)	WAT	8209
WATERSHED	Monitoring – IPNF Watersheds	WAT	8300
WATERSHED	Monitoring – KNF Watersheds	WAT	8301
WATERSHED	Monitoring –Watersheds (when a forest isn't identified)	WAT	8302
WATERSHED	Monitoring – Soil	WAT	8303
WATERSHED	Monitoring – Aquatic Species	WAT	8304
	Chapter 2		
WATERSHED	Forestwide Suitability – Riparian	WAT	8400
WATERSHED	Objectives – IPNF Watersheds	WAT	8500
WATERSHED	Objectives – KNF Watersheds	WAT	8501
WATERSHED	Objectives – Watersheds (when a forest isn't identified)	WAT	8502
WATERSHED	Objectives – Soil	WAT	8503
WATERSHED	Objectives – Aquatic Species	WAT	8504
	Chapter 3		
WATERSHED	Guidelines – IPNF Watersheds	WAT	8600
WATERSHED	Guidelines – KNF Watersheds	WAT	8601
WATERSHED	Guidelines – Watersheds (when a forest isn't identified)	WAT	8602
WATERSHED	Guidelines – Soil	WAT	8603
WATERSHED	Guidelines – Aquatic Species	WAT	8604
WATERSHED	Other sources of design criteria	WAT	8700
WATERSHED	Comprehensive Evaluation Report (CER)	WAT	8800
WATERSHED	Other - All comments that don't fit into any above categories	WAT	8900
	TRIBAL (American Indian rights and interest)		
TRIBAL	Forestwide Desired Condition	TBL	9100
TRIBAL	Monitoring	TBL	9101
TRIBAL	Objectives	TBL	9102
TRIBAL	Guidelines	TBL	9103
TRIBAL	Other Design Criteria	TBL	9104
TRIBAL	Other	TBL	9105
	COOPERATION/COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT		
COOPERATION	Forestwide Desired Condition	CCI	9200
COOPERATION	Other	CCI	9201

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
GRAZING			
GRAZING	Forestwide Desired Condition	GRZ	9300
GRAZING	Objectives	GRZ	9301
GRAZING	Guidelines	GRZ	9302
GRAZING	Other Design Criteria	GRZ	9303
GRAZING	Forestwide suitability	GRZ	9304
GRAZING	CER	GRZ	9305
GRAZING	Other	GRZ	9306
HERITAGE			
HERITAGE	Forestwide Desired Condition	HRT	9400
HERITAGE	Monitoring	HRT	9401
HERITAGE	Objectives	HRT	9402
HERITAGE	Guidelines	HRT	9403
HERITAGE	Other Design Criteria	HRT	9404
HERITAGE	Other	HRT	9405
LANDS AND SPECIAL USES			
LANDS/SU	Forestwide Desired Condition	LND	9500
LANDS/SU	Guidelines	LND	9501
LANDS/SU	Other Design Criteria	LND	9502
LANDS/SU	Forestwide suitability – Utility corridors	LND	9503
LANDS/SU	Forestwide suitability – Communication sites	LND	9504
LANDS/SU	Other	LND	9505
MINERALS			
MINERALS	Forestwide Desired Condition	MIN	9600
MINERALS	Monitoring	MIN	9601
MINERALS	Objectives	MIN	9602
MINERALS	Other Design Criteria	MIN	9603
MINERALS	Forestwide suitability	MIN	9604
MINERALS	Other	MIN	9605
OTHER FOREST PRODUCTS			
OTHER PRODUCTS	Forestwide Desired Condition	OFP	9700
OTHER PRODUCTS	Other Design Criteria	OFP	9701
OTHER PRODUCTS	Other	OFP	9702
SOCIAL AND ECONOMICS			
SOCIAL/ECON	Forestwide Desired Condition	SOE	9710
SOCIAL/ECON	Monitoring	SOE	9711
SOCIAL/ECON	Objectives	SOE	9712
SOCIAL/ECON	CER	SOE	9713
SOCIAL/ECON	Other	SOE	9714

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
AIR QUALITY			
AIR QUALITY	Guidelines	AIR	9720
AIR QUALITY	Other Design Criteria	AIR	9721
AIR QUALITY	Other	AIR	9722
BUILDINGS, OTHER STRUCTURES			
BUILDINGS	Other Design Criteria	BDG	9730
BUILDINGS	Other	BDG	9731
MANAGEMENT AREAS			
MA 1a – Designated Wilderness	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9800
MA 1a	Changes to Allocations (from >> to) (i.e., Change from MA1a to MA1e)	MGA	9801
MA 1a	Objectives	MGA	9802
MA 1a	Other Design Criteria	MGA	9803
MA 1a	Other	MGA	9804
MA 1b – Recommended Wilderness	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9805
MA 1b – Recommended Wilderness – KNF	KNF – support recommended wilderness, want more recommended wilderness (Scotchman Peaks, Cabinet Peaks Addition, Northwest Peaks, Buckhorn Ridge, Willard Estelle, Ten Lakes, etc.)	MGA	9806
MA 1b – Recommended Wilderness - IPNF	IPNF – support recommended wilderness, want more recommended wilderness (Scotchman Peaks, Mallard Larkins, Grandmother Mtn., Selkirk Crest, Long Canyon, Parker Canyon)	MGA	9807
MA 1b	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9808
MA 1c – Wilderness Study Areas	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9810
MA 1c	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9811
MA 1d – Wild Lands	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9820
MA 1d	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9821
MA 1e – Primitive Lands	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9830
MA 1e	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9831
MA 2a /2b– Designated and Eligible or Suitable Wild & Scenic Rivers	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9840
MA 2a/2b	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9841
MA 2a/2b	Other Design Criteria	MGA	9842
MA 2a/2b	Other	MGA	9843
MA 3 – Special Interest Areas	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9850
MA 3	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9851

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
MA 3	Other Design Criteria	MGA	9852
MA 3	Other	MGA	9853
MA 4a – Research Natural Areas	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9860
MA 4a	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9861
MA 4a	Objectives	MGA	9862
MA 4a	Other Design Criteria	MGA	9863
MA 4a	Other	MGA	9864
MA 4b – Experimental Forests	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9865
MA 4b	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9866
MA 5 – IPNF Backcountry	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9870
MA 5	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9871
MA 5a – KNF nonmotorized summer/winter	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9872
MA 5a	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9873
MA 5b – KNF motorized summer/winter	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9874
MA 5b	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9875
MA 5c – KNF nonmotorized summer, motorized winter	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9876
MA 5c	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9877
MA 6 – General Forest	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9880
MA 6	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9881
MA 7 – Primary Recreation Areas	Suitable Uses and Desired Condition	MGA	9890
MA 7	Changes to Allocations (from >> to)	MGA	9891
MA	General - MA Allocation change (i.e., want Savage Peak non-motorized)	MGA	9892
MA	General – MA Suitability and Desired Conditions	MGA	9893
OTHER			
SCIENCE	Use of best science, adequacy of analysis	SCI	9900
SCIENCE	Adaptive Management	SCI	9901
COLLABORATION	Any public involvement concerns, workgroup concerns etc. This would include requests to extend the comment period. (see Cim/Com Grasslands page 2-1)	COL	9910
EMS	EMS (i.e., audit process)	EMS	9920
GLOSSARY	Glossary	GLO	9930

SUBJECT	CATEGORY and DEFINITION/DESCRIPTION	SUB CODE	CAT CODE
MONITORING	Any monitoring comments not specific to a resource (i.e., public needs to be able to comment on the monitoring Plan)	MON	9940
PROCESS	Decisionmaking and planning process and methods, influences on decisionmaking, coordination with other agencies/tribes, consistency with other agencies	PRO	9950
PROJECT LEVEL	i.e., travel management, close a specific road etc.	PRL	9960
REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	2005 Planning Rule (i.e., no EIS, consultation, no standards, NEPA tied to the Planning Rule etc.)	REG	9970
REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	2005 Planning Rule – Plan components (i.e., Goals, vision, strategy, objectives, guidelines)	REG	9971
REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS	Laws, Regulations and Policies (i.e., NFMA, Endangered Species Act etc.)	REG	9980
EDITORIAL COMMENTS	Any comment that is an editorial comment to the Plan.	EDT	9990
ATTACHMENTS	If a comment letter includes any of the following, give the attachment this code (i.e., map, news articles, journals, references, literature etc.)	EDT	9991