

Spokane Workgroup Desired Condition Statements

DEVELOPED AND DISPERSED RECREATION

GENERALLY AGREE AND/OR CAN LIVE WITH

- Increase allowable ski area on Lookout Pass and Silver Mountain.
- Buffers between hi-developed and sensitive areas on Lookout Pass and Silver Mountain.
- Develop existing areas appropriately vs. bringing in new ones (risky business) (ie. Lookout Pass and Silver Mountain)
- No new ski areas (\$\$ decision) - Expand existing 1st
- Allow for expansion of special use activities (ski areas, O&G's)
- Expand general camping areas.
- Cascade Lodge is a nice benefit for snowmobile users.
- Upgrade, disperse, and maintain camping facilities, ie. composting toilets on St. Joe river, Cda river and Priest lake.
- Shut down campfires *before* too dry.
- Donation boxes should be put up.
- Desire for better access to those dispersed areas with some minor improvements.
- More education/signage/rules for established and dispersed recreation = ethics too
- More developed recreation sites close to population centers.
- Upgrade and construct developed facilities to accommodate technology and increase in population.
- Use roads and trails that are already there for dispersed recreation.
- Need more small, primitive, developed sites that are remote.
- Monitor usage to determine needs of developed recreation sites.
- Protect resources in dispersed recreation sites.
- Dispersed recreation continue where currently allowed. (i.e. Little North Fork of CdA River, Big Creek on St. Joe, and others).
- Special forest products continue to be available.
- More focus on dispersed recreation in terms of creating more and managing current areas – not more developed campsites. Don't limit dispersed recreation. Consider opportunities to expand dispersed recreation opportunities.
- Provide opportunities for disabled hunters.

GENERALLY ARE SPLIT (Disagree and Agree)

- High use areas adequately developed for the future.

GENERALLY DISAGREE

- No expanded camping at Priest Lake.

INVENTORIED ROADLESS AREAS

GENERALLY AGREE AND/OR CAN LIVE WITH

- Integrated management plan for burning/fire control.
- Homes in these areas should not expect fire management- live there at own risk.
- Plan and prioritize protecting wildlife corridors.
- Consider linkages for wildlife – especially if a whole area is not proposed.
- Recreation – prioritize connecting trails of specific types.
- Remember small wildlife species also.
- Manage roadless activity.
- Increase law enforcement monitoring for IRA's and wilderness areas and Natural Research Areas.

- Managed for non-wilderness uses, which includes timber harvest etc. but needs NEPA.
- Keep what we have, don't lose any more.
- Focus semi-primitive recreation opportunities in IRA's (dispersed recreation) mixed trail use – depending on individual IRA.
- Maintain balance for motorized and non-motorized recreation.
- Manage IRAs by utilizing 2000 roadless rule.
- Areas should be recommended for specific recreation uses and could not be taken away with protection.

GENERALLY ARE SPLIT (Disagree and Agree)

- Consider restrictions applied for T&E species.
- Maintain current levels of IRAs.
- Designate separate trails for motorized and non-motorized use.
- Maintain current recreation uses and selective logging/ forest management. All IRAs should be left to be managed as currently managed.
- Allow for summer and winter motorized recreation as current direction.
- Expand recreational opportunities in IRA's.
- Utilize Yellowstone to Yukon Conservation concept for wildlife and identifying additional proposed IRA's which should not preclude old skid trails, log roads, 2 or single track or acreage size (i.e. 50, 100, 1000 acres).
- Is there a FW goal that addresses non-recommended IRAs? Should add a goal or need a goal to 2a.
- Allow for exceptions for all road building in IRAs even under roadless rule. (For purpose of fire control).
- More use by street legal ATV's.

PROPOSED WILDERNESS AREAS

GENERALLY AGREE AND/OR CAN LIVE WITH

- Maintain untouched areas.
- Only IRA's that truly meet the 1964 Wilderness Act criteria/ requirements.
- Keep Mallard – Larkin proposed wilderness as proposed wilderness.
- For IRA's that don't meet 1964 Wilderness Act, add a designation that is "Backcountry" to allow some management/users.
- Selkirk Crest Proposed Wilderness – might have boundary issues and difference in uses allowed on NFS lands and state lands.
- Salmo-Priest should be a proposed wilderness. Keep the Metaline Falls/Nordman Road open. Don't limit snowmobile trail areas.
- Responsible motorized use should continue as appropriate (winter and summer), only on established roads and trails (wheeled, motorized).
- Responsible mechanized use should continue as appropriate (winter and summer) only on established roads and trails.
- Forest maintenance, including prescribed burning allowed.
- Develop Wildland Fire Use plan for Wildland Fire Use fires.

GENERALLY ARE SPLIT (Disagree and Agree)

- Are they capable/suitable for proposed wilderness?
- Shouldn't have any use that has long-term impacts on wilderness suitability.
- Scotchman Peaks should be a proposed wilderness and consistent within an area (Mallard Larkins)
- Would like to see more IRA's than the 7 (KIPZ) identified in 1987 be considered for wilderness designation.

- Consistency of both NF's managing efforts and guidance for proposed wilderness areas.
- Stevens Peak as proposed wilderness.
- Previous "events" should not preclude designation.
- Strongly urge proposal of Scotchman's Peak (w/ buffer) into wilderness.
- All IRAs go to current wilderness management/ designation.
- Wilderness Areas "put us on the map".
- Proposed areas managed pursuant to 1964 Wilderness Act.
- In the Selkirk Crest proposed wilderness, include Long Canyon.
- Do not designate any further proposed wilderness because it restricts management options.
No more than current 4 proposed wilderness areas (IPNF)
- Would like to see all 7 (KIPZ) proposed 1987 wilderness areas go to congress for designation and manage as wilderness, so characteristics don't get spoiled.
- More than current 4 proposed wilderness areas.
- Would like to see less proposed wilderness than 1987 plan.
- Don't need wilderness in the IPNF.
- We need some wilderness in the IPNF.
- Proposed wilderness should be contiguous to existing wilderness areas.
- Areas to consider for proposed wilderness:
 - Cabinets – East and West faces
 - Northwest Peaks
 - Stevens Peak

WHAT CRITERIA (TRAITS OR ATTRIBUTES) OF ROADLESS AREAS ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER WHEN CHOOSING THOSE TO RECOMMEND FOR WILDERNESS DESIGNATION?

GENERALLY ARE SPLIT (Disagree and Agree)

- Areas that are already very close to wilderness.
- Don't show imprint of humans.
- Areas that already provide primitive recreation opportunities.
- Add acres to proposed wilderness (Salmo-Priest) to enlarge area.

MANAGEMENT OF WILDERNESS ONCE DESIGNATED

GENERALLY AGREE AND/OR CAN LIVE WITH

- Commercial uses not specifically authorized by congress should be prohibited (i.e. Ninth Circuit Court).
- Once designated, management plans developed within 5 yrs.
- Current requirement for primitive skills should continue.