Contents

CHAPTER 1 — PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION

1.1 Introduction 1-1

1.2 Proposed Action 1-1

1.3 Background Information 1-2

1.3.1 Diamond Project's Relationship to Herger-Feinstein Quincy Library Group Pilot Project 1-2
1.3.2 Project Location 1-2

1.4 Purpose and Need 1-4

1.4.1 Introduction 1-4
1.4.2 Project Objectives and Need for Action 1-4

1.5 Laws, Regulations, EISs, and Other Direction that Influence the Scope of this EIS 1-10

1.5.1 Herger-Feinstein Quincy Library Group Forest Recovery Act 1-10
1.5.2 Herger-Feinstein Quincy Library Group Forest Recovery Act EIS, Supplemental EIS, and Records of Decision 1-10
1.5.3 Sierra Nevada Forest Plan Amendment Final Supplemental EIS 1-10
1.5.4 Forest Plan Direction 1-11
1.5.5 Diamond Landscape Assessment 1-11

1.6 Decision Framework 1-12

1.7 Project Schedule 1-12

1.8 Public Involvement 1-12

1.8.1 Scoping Process 1-12
1.8.2 Scoping Issues 1-12

1.9 Permits, Licenses, and other Consultation Requirements 1-15

CHAPTER 2 — ALTERNATIVES

2.1 Introduction 2-1

2.2 Description of the Alternatives Considered in Detail 2-1

2.2.1 Alternative A: No Action 2-3
2.2.2 Alternative B: Proposed Action 2-4
2.2.3 Alternative C 2-12
2.2.4 Alternative D: The Preferred Alternative 2-13
2.2.5 Alternative F 2-19
2.2.6 Design Criteria Common to All Action Alternatives 2-23

2.3 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study 2-33

2.3.1 Alternative E: Modification of the Proposed Action to Maintain Habitat for Sensitive Wildlife Species 2-33

2.3.2 Alternative G. Modification of the Proposed Action to Treat Canada Thistle Locations with Nonherbicide Treatments 2-33
2.3.3 Alternative H: Modification or Elimination of the Use of Borax 2-35
2.3.4 Alternative I: Maintain 50 Percent Canopy Closure and 20-inch Upper Diameter Limit 2-38

2.4 Summary of Effects of All Alternatives 2-39

2.4.1 Alternative A (No Action) 2-39
2.4.2 Alternative B (Proposed Action) 2-43
2.4.3 Alternative C 2-44
2.4.4 Alternative D 2-44
2.4.5 Alternative F 2-45

2.5 Comparison of Alternatives 2-46

CHAPTER 3 — AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Forest Vegetation and Fire, Fuels, and Air Quality 3-1

3.1.1 Forest Structure, Species Composition, and Fuels and Fire 3-1
3.1.2 Forest Health 3-5
3.1.3 Air Quality 3-6

3.2 Soils and Hydrology 3-9

3.2.1 Affected Environment: Soils 3-9
3.2.2 Affected Environment: Watershed 3-12

3.3 Wildlife – Aquatic and Terrestrial 3-21

3.3.1 Introduction 3-21
3.3.2 Affected Environment: Aquatic Wildlife Species 3-21
3.3.3 Affected Environment: Terrestrial Wildlife Species 3-28
3.3.4 Affected Environmental: Neotropical Migratory Birds 3-44
3.3.5 Snags/Logs 3-45

3.4 Economics 3-47

3.4.1 Payments in Lieu of Taxes 3-47
3.4.2 Timber Yield Taxes 3-48
3.4.3 Receipt Act 3-48
3.4.4 Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act 3-48

3.5 Botanical Resources and Noxious Weeds 3-50

3.5.1 General Existing Environment 3-50
3.5.2 Noxious Weeds 3-57

3.6 Transportation System 3-61

3.7 Heritage Resources 3-62

3.7.1 History of the Project Area 3-62

3.8 Recreation and Mining 3-72

3.8.1 Recreation 3-72

3.8.2 Mining ... 3-73

3.9 Scenic Resources ... 3-74

3.9.1 Existing Landscape ... 3-74
3.9.2 Visual Quality Objectives ... 3-74
3.9.3 Existing Scenic Integrity ... 3-75
3.9.4 Desired Landscape Character ... 3-75

3.10 Range Resources ... 3-76

3.10.1 Annual Operating Instructions ... 3-78
3.10.2 Key Areas ... 3-79

CHAPTER 4 — ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1 Forest Vegetation and Fire, Fuels, and Air Quality ... 4-1

4.1.1 Summary of Effects ... 4-1
4.1.2 Guiding Regulations ... 4-2
4.1.3 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Forest Structure, Species Composition, Fuels and Fire, Forest Health, and Air Quality ... 4-2
4.1.4 Environmental Consequences: Forest Vegetation and Fire, Fuels, and Air Quality ... 4-9

4.2 Soils and Hydrology ... 4-49

4.2.1 Summary of Effects ... 4-49
4.2.2 Guiding Regulations ... 4-51
4.2.3 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Soils and Watershed ... 4-52
4.2.4 Environmental Consequences: Watershed and Soil Resources ... 4-57

4.3 Wildlife – Aquatic and Terrestrial ... 4-81

4.3.1 Summary of Effects ... 4-81
4.3.2 Regulatory Framework ... 4-85
4.3.3 Methodology for Assessing Impacts ... 4-86
4.3.4 Environmental Consequences: General Effects on Mountain Yellow-Legged Frogs and Their Habitat ... 4-90
4.3.5 Environmental Consequences of Each Action Alternative ... 4-99
4.3.6 Herbicide Hazard Analysis ... 4-103
4.3.7 Environmental Consequences: Aquatic Management Indicator Species ... 4-107
4.3.8 Environmental Consequences: Terrestrial Threatened and Endangered Wildlife Species ... 4-114
4.3.9 Environmental Consequences: Sensitive Species ... 4-119
4.3.10 Environmental Consequences: Terrestrial Management Indicator Species ... 4-150
4.3.11 Environmental Consequences: Neotropical Migratory Birds ... 4-156

4.4 Economics ... 4-161

4.4.1 Introduction ... 4-161
4.4.2 Regulatory Framework ... 4-161
4.4.3 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Economics ... 4-161
4.4.4 Economic Consequences ... 4-162
4.4.5 Summary of Cumulative Effects ... 4-165

4.5 Botanical Resources and Noxious Weeds 4-166

4.5.1 Introduction 4-166
4.5.2 Summary of Effects 4-166
4.5.3 Regulatory Framework 4-168
4.5.4 Methodology for Assessing Impacts 4-169
4.5.5 Environmental Consequences: General Effects on Rare Plant Species 4-172
4.5.6 Environmental Consequences: Effects on Specific Rare Plant Species 4-177
4.5.7 Environmental Consequences: Effects on Noxious Weeds 4-188

4.6 Transportation System 4-197

4.6.1 Regulatory Framework 4-197
4.6.2 Methodology for Assessing Impacts 4-197
4.6.3 Environmental Consequences 4-198
4.6.4 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions 4-202

4.7 Heritage Resources 4-203

4.7.1 Introduction 4-203
4.7.2 Guiding Regulations 4-203
4.7.3 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Heritage Resources 4-204
4.7.4 Environmental Consequences 4-205
4.7.5 NHPA Section 106 Assessment 4-205
4.7.6 Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions 4-206
4.7.7 Summary of Cumulative Effects 4-207

4.8 Recreation and Mining 4-208

4.8.1 Regulatory Framework 4-208
4.8.2 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Recreation and Mining 4-208
4.8.3 Environmental Consequences 4-209

4.9 Scenic Resources 4-212

4.9.1 Regulatory Framework 4-212
4.9.2 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Scenic Resources 4-212
4.9.3 Environmental Consequences 4-213

4.10 Range Resources 4-215

4.10.1 Regulatory Framework 4-215
4.10.2 Methodology for Assessing Impacts on Range Resources 4-215
4.10.3 Environmental Consequences 4-216

4.11 Short-term Uses and Long-term Productivity 4-220

4.12 Unavoidable Adverse Effects 4-221

4.13 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources 4-222

4.14 Legal and Regulatory Compliance 4-223

4.14.1 Principle Environmental Laws 4-223

CHAPTER 5 — CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

5.1 Preparers and Contributors 5-1

5.1.1 Interdisciplinary Team Members 5-1
5.1.2 Federal, State, and Local Agencies 5-3
5.1.3 Tribes 5-3

5.2 Distribution of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement 5-3

5.2.1 Federally Recognized Tribes 5-3
5.2.2 Federal, State, and Local Agencies 5-4
5.2.3 Organizations and Businesses 5-4
5.2.4 Individuals 5-5

Acronyms 5-7

Glossary 5-9

References 5-19

Index 5-37

Appendixes

Appendix A: Detailed List of Treatments Proposed for Each DFPZ Unit and Area Thinning Unit A-1

Appendix B: Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Projects B-1

Appendix C: Standard Management Requirements and Monitoring Strategy C-1

Appendix D: Diamond Project Economic Analysis D-1

Appendix E: Human Health Risk Assessment E-1

Appendix F: Diamond Project Road Survey F-1

Figures

1-1. Diamond Project vicinity map 1-3

3-1. Soils Analysis Area 3-9

3-2. Watershed Analysis Area 3-13

3-3. Headcuts and barriers to fish passage 3-17

3-4 Spotted Owl PACs, SOHAs, and HRCAs in the Diamond Project Wildlife Analysis Area 3-34

3-5. Botany Analysis Area—the geographic area used to analyze the effects of the proposed project on botanical resources 3-51

3-6. Number of rare plant occurrences with potential to be affected by the proposed project activities compared to the total number of occurrences known in California 3-54

3-7 Range allotments in the Diamond Project Area 3-77

4-1. General effects of increasing stand density on (a) insect and disease impacts, and (b) fire hazard as described by Powell (1994, 1999) 4-10

4-2. Existing condition species composition 4-12

4-3. Trees per acre pre and post fuel treatments by diameter class for CWHR size classes 4 and 5 4-17

4-4. Species composition post fuel treatment for CWHR size classes 4 and 5 4-21

4-5. Relative stand density over time 4-22

4-6. Trees per acre pre and post Baker cypress fuel treatments and corresponding species composition post treatment 4-25

4-7. Trees per acre pre and post area thinning treatment by diameter class for CWHR size classes 4 and 5 4-28

4-8. Species composition post area thinning treatments for CWHR size classes 4 and 5 4-31

4-9. Conceptual disturbance and recovery model for a harvest activity 4-53

4-10. Predicted change in the number of acres occupied by Canada thistle in the Botany Analysis Area over time as a result of the proposed alternatives 4-189

Tables

2-1. Summary of treatments proposed in DFPZ Units, by action alternative 2-2

2-2. Summary of treatments proposed in Area Thinning Units, by action alternative 2-3

2-3. Alternative B – proposed treatments in the DFPZ Units 2-5

2-4. Alternative B – proposed group selection, by logging system, in the Area Thinning Units 2-7

2-5. Alternative B – proposed treatments in the Area Thinning Units 2-9

2-6. Alternative B – proposed noxious weed treatments 2-10

2-7. Alternative B – summary of proposed road treatments 2-12

2-8. Alternative C – proposed noxious weed treatments 2-12

2-9. Alternative D – proposed treatments in the DFPZ Units 2-15

2-10. Alternative D – proposed group selection, by tractor logging system, in Area Thinning Units 2-16

2-11. Alternative D – proposed treatments in the Area Thinning Units 2-17

2-12. Alternative D – summary of proposed road treatments 2-18

2-13. Alternative F – proposed treatments in the DFPZ Units 2-20

2-14. Alternative F – proposed group selection, by tractor logging system, in the Area Thinning Units 2-21

2-15. Alternative F – proposed treatments in Area Thinning Units 2-22

2-16. Alternative F – summary of proposed road treatments 2-23

2-17. Design Criteria for DFPZs 2-24

2-18. Design Criteria for group selection 2-25

2-19. Design Criteria for area thinning 2-26

2-20. Design Criteria for aspen stands 2-27

2-21. Design Criteria for Baker cypress stands 2-28

2-22. Design Criteria for RHCA improvements 2-29

2-23. Equipment restriction zones in RHCAs 2-30

2-24. Pile burning restriction zones in RHCAs 2-30

2-25. Design Criteria for herbicide use 2-31

2-26. Chemicals, application rates, and application volumes proposed for Canada thistle control within the Diamond Project Area 2-32

2-27. Design Criteria for transportation improvements 2-32

2-28. Summary of project objectives and issues identified in scoping 2-39

2-29. Comparison of all alternatives in terms of meeting the purpose and need 2-40

2-30. Summary of how the alternatives address the issues identified during scoping 2-42

2-31. Summary comparison of alternatives by using the indicator measures 2-48

3-1. Existing CWHR size class and density distribution in the Diamond Project Area 3-4

3-2. Acreage and description of Fire Regime Condition Classes 1, 2, and 3 3-5

3-3. Towns, communities, National Parks, and highways within 20 miles of the Diamond Project boundary 3-6

3-4. Attainment designations for Plumas County 3-7

3-5. Forest Survey Site Class and maximum Erosion Hazard Rating for the Fuel Treatment Units and Area Thinning Units 3-10

3-6. Soil productivity results from field surveys 3-11

3-7. Miles of stream type and stream density in the Watershed Analysis Area 3-15

3-8. Summary of stream condition inventory data for the Diamond Project Area 3-15

3-9. Riparian vegetation characteristics along surveyed streams 3-16

3-10. Subwatershed disturbance in Equivalent Roaded Acres 3-19

3-11. Forest Service Sensitive aquatic wildlife species that potentially occur on the Plumas National Forest 3-24

3-12. Subwatersheds with Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive aquatic wildlife species and miles of streams 3-26

3-13. Estimates of rainbow trout standing stock and biomass in five tributaries to Indian Creek 3-27

3-14. Federally listed Threatened and Endangered terrestrial wildlife species that potentially occur on the National Forest 3-28

3-15. Forest Service Sensitive terrestrial wildlife species that potentially occur on the Plumas National Forest 3-30

3-16. Potential acres of suitable owl habitat in the Wildlife Analysis Area based on 130,653 National Forest acres 3-33

3-17. Acres of high and moderate capability goshawk nesting habitat in Wildlife Analysis Area 3-36

3-18. Suitable Pacific fisher habitat in the Wildlife Analysis Area 3-38

3-19. Suitable marten habitat available in the Wildlife Analysis Area 3-39

3-20. Plumas National Forest Management Indicator Species that are not federally listed Threatened, Endangered, or Sensitive species 3-40

3-21. Deer range within the Wildlife Analysis Area by deer herd 3-40

3-22. Percentage of Plumas National Forest lands by county 3-47

3-23. *Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act* full payment amounts to counties for fiscal 2005 3-49

3-24. Plumas National Forest Threatened, Candidate, Sensitive, and Management Indicator plant species 3-52

3-25. Noxious weed species in the Botany Analysis Area 3-57

3-26. Cultural phases of the Tahoe Reach chronology 3-63

3-27. The 2005 AOI use standards for range allotments on the Plumas National Forest 3-78

3-28. Scientific Analysis Team guidelines for range allotments 3-78

4-1. Diameter classes used for analysis 4-4

4-2. Sustained line production rates for common fuel models in the Diamond Project Area. Fuel Model 9 represents the desired condition 4-6

4-3. Relationship between flame length and potential for success of active suppression 4-6

4-4. Parameters used for stand-level modeling under 90th percentile weather conditions 4-7

4-5. Existing and projected stand structure for alternative A 4-10

4-6. Predicted flame length, fire type, height to live crown base, and mortality under alternative A 4-11

4-7. Predicted flame length, fire type, height to crown base, and mortality for the fuel treatments under alternatives B, C, D, and F 4-18

4-8. Effects of fuel treatments on stand structure under the action alternatives 4-20

4-9. Effects of Baker cypress fuel treatments on stand structure under the action alternatives 4-26

4-10. Effects of area thinning treatments on measurement indicators for stand structure 4-29

4-11. Effects of group selection on attributes of stand structure 4-35

4-12. Projected amounts of Borax application for each treatment and prescription 4-37

4-13. Predicted emissions for all burned acres in the Diamond Project Area 4-39

4-14. Alternative A acres of potential surface fire and crown fire (passive and active) for all public lands, private lands, PACS, and HRCAs in the Diamond Project Area 4-43

4-15. Alternatives B and C – acres of surface fire and crown fire (passive and active) for all public lands, private lands, PACs, and HRCAs in the Diamond Project Area 4-46

4-16. Cumulative effects on the landscape structure from all alternatives 4-47

4-17. Summary of environmental indicators and measures examined in this assessment 4-54

4-18. Equipment restriction zones and burn pile restriction zones in RHCAs 4-57

4-19. Predicted subwatershed disturbance in ERA for each alternative 4-60

4-20. Cumulative effects on skid trail and landing cover in Fuel Treatment Units 4-62

4-21. Cumulative effects on skid trail and landing cover in Area Thinning Units 4-63

4-22. Alternative D: Watershed mitigation measures – channel treatments 4-77

4-23. Approximate change in CWHR size classes 4M, 4D, 5M, and 5D habitat types in the Wildlife Analysis Area 4-84

4-24. The 12 subwatersheds in the Watershed Analysis Area with known MYLF populations 4-92

4-25. Expected herbicide exposure rates to surrogate fish species from typical application rates and central /typical water contamination rates 4-111

4-26. Expected herbicide exposure rates to surrogate aquatic invertebrate species from typical application rates and central /typical water contamination rates 4-112

4-27. Summary of existing conditions in spotted owl 500-acre nest cores that could be affected by the action alternatives 4-125

4-28. Summary of treatment effects, by alternative, on spotted owl 500-acre nest cores 4-126

4-29. Summary of treatment effects on the California spotted owl home range in the Wildlife Analysis Area 4-128

4-30. Cumulative reductions in spotted owl nesting habitat (CWHR size classes 5M, 5D, and 6) on the Mt. Hough Ranger District under HFQLG Pilot Project implementation 4-134

4-31. Cumulative reductions in northern goshawk nesting habitat on the Mt. Hough Ranger District 4-143

4-32. Pacific fisher habitat blocks (contiguous fisher habitat and CWHR size classes 4M, 4D, 5M, and 5D), by alternative 4-148

4-33. Comparison of economic impacts by alternative 4-163

4-34. Estimated distances between rare plant species and proposed herbicide treatments 4-174

4-35. Analysis of a scenario involving 100 percent absorption of glyphosate and clopyralid by a honey bee 4-175

4-36. Total pesticide use reported in the Botany Analysis Area between 2001 and 2004 4-178

4-37. Summary of potential effects on noxious weeds 4-188

4-38. Diamond Project classified and unclassified road decommissioning opportunities 4-199

4-39. Diamond Project proposed new road construction under alternatives B and C 4-200

4-40. Diamond Project proposed new road construction under alternatives D and F 4-200

4-41. Diamond Project proposed road reconstruction under alternatives B and C 4-200

4-42. Diamond Project proposed road reconstruction under alternative D 4-201

4-43. Diamond Project proposed road reconstruction under alternative F 4-201

4-44. Scenario involving long-term exposure of a large mammal to 100 percent contaminated vegetation 4-218