

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
1	Tony H.	Joe, Jr.		1	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	The Historic Preservation Department Traditional Culture Program, hereafter (HPD-TCP) is in receipt of the letter notification of the invitation to consult regarding the non-motorized trail proposals for the Red Rock Ranger District, entailing developments in the vicinity of Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, Camp Verde, Rim rock and Cornville. HPD-TCP at this time have no concerns regarding Section A: Trail Connections and Enhancement Projects within the Sedons/VOC Area, Section B: Trail Connection and Enhancement Concepts-Rim rock, Cornville and Camp Verde areas, as well as Section C: Proposed Trailhead Improvements.	Acknowledgement of receipt of letter of notification of proposed project.	Noted – No concerns
2	Tony H.	Joe, Jr.		1	2	6.00	Cultural Resources	HPD-TCP would however like to iterate that there is a Traditional Cultural Property in existence located near the proposed project. This Traditional Cultural Property is associated in the Navajo oral, ceremonial and creation stories. This is the stopping place of western water clans and is one of two places where there were crossings of deities. This is also the place where there are a lot of Dine'ee azee' (Hungtingway Plant Medicine), and chiitchin dinas (tobacco). This area is still utilized today by the Navajo medicine people and the Navajo people to gather herbs to conduct ceremonies for the well being of the Navajo People.	Traditional Cultural Property located near proposed project area.	Noted - Design features and best management practices, such as trail reroutes will be used in the design
3	Tony H.	Joe, Jr.		1	3	6.00	Cultural Resources	If the proposed project inadvertently discovers habitation sites, plant gathering areas, human remains and objects of cultural patrimony the HPD-TCP request that we be notified respectively in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA). (The Navajo Nation claims cultural affiliation to all Anasazi people (periods from Archaic to Pueblo IV) of the southwest. The Navajo Nation makes this claim through Navajo oral history and ceremonial history, which has been documented as early as 1880 and taught from generation to generations.)	Requests notification if habitation sites, plant gathering areas human remains and objects of cultural patrimony are discovered.	Noted – This is a standard practice
4	Tony H.	Joe, Jr.		1	4	6.00	Cultural Resources	The HPD-TCP appreciates the United States Department of Agriculture's consultation efforts regarding this document. Should you have any additional concerns and/or questions do not hesitate to contact me electronically at tony@navajohistoricpreservation.org or telephone at 928-871-7750.	Contact information	Noted
5	Chuck	Armbruster		2	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	My wife and I vacationed in the Sedona area last month, and as usual, we loved it. We have been returning on an annual basis for the last 15 years to take in its beauty while hiking. I wanted to write to complement you and all involved for the condition of the trails this year. We always appreciate the ongoing trail maintenance efforts. That said, this year we noticed several improvements new to us, such as additional trailhead signage, ongoing trail development and the fresh looking visitor's center displays. All were nice touches. As a bonus this year, we happened upon a couple of trail crews. One was from AmeriCorps and the other was based out of your Red Rock Ranger District. The former was providing trail maintenance while the latter was mitigating potential hazards on the Hog Heaven Trail. I also ran into Jennifer Burns at the Sedona Marathon check-in as she was distributing Recreation Guides. Nice all around visibility of your district's efforts.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Thank You

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
6	Chuck	Armbruster		2	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Two last thoughts: 1) Please do your best to limit both motorized and non-motorized vehicles on the trails. As you are aware, by comparison, they cause accelerated deterioration and leave defacing marks on the rocks.	request to limit motorized and non-motorized vehicles on trails	Noted
7	Chuck	Armbruster		2	3	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	2) While I currently remain only a week-long, Sedona-area visitor, if there is any communication path (or organization) that I should connect with to help the general "trail effort", please so advise.	Request for communication path or organization to allow commentor to help with trail effort.	Noted
8	John	Bush		3	1	1.03	Request for Information or Meeting	I require additional information in order to provide a finding of effect for this proposed undertaking, i.e. Photos.	Request for photos	This is a scoping period to determine issues and concerns and develop a project to analyze. A comment period on the proposed action and its potential effects will come later.
9	John	Bush		3	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	We support consolidating the trails and efforts to reduce soil erosion and (note from content analysis person-- the word here is unreadable) of cultural/heritage resources.	General comment supporting consolidation of trails	Noted
10	Marshall	Whitmire		4	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I have reviewed the emerging Red Rock District Trails Plan and am very impressed with the scope, format, content and detail provided. As one who does professional strategic community and economic development planning, in my opinion, you have "covered all the bases" and more. Congratulations on the high quality of your work to date.	General comment of approval of project effort.	Thank you
11	Marshall	Whitmire		4	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The one trail on which I will comment specifically is Jackson Flat. As you know, the Town of Camp Verde has included in last two of its General Plans a non-motorized route that would permit trail access to Montezuma Castle National Monument from its uptown area. A portion of that proposed trail follows an all-too-rare riparian corridor along Beaver Creek. I recognize that the proposed Jackson Flat Trail would pass through sensitive natural and cultural areas. However, I believe appropriate signage, and the fact the larger area is closed to motorized vehicles, would provide adequate protection of those important resources.	Jackson Flat trail along riparian corridor sensitive natural and cultural areas. Appropriate signage could provide adequate protection.	Noted
12	Marshall	Whitmire		4	3	4.10	Local Economy	Further, the eco- and cultural tourism (economic) opportunities/benefits that such a trail would provide for Camp Verde and surrounding communities are not trivial. It is well established that people with greater-than-average financial means disproportionately visit and experience outstanding natural and cultural sites. The Jackson Flat-Montezuma Castle area offers both.	Jackson Flat trail would help local economy.	Noted – we understand how the local economies rely on recreation on federal lands.

Comments from 2014 Red Rock Trails Public Scoping - Proposed Actions – Coconino National Forest – Red Rock District – October 2014

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
13	Marshall	Whitmire		4	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Finally, I believe an even more effective and beneficial trail route would terminate at its northern end at or very near the Castle itself (the also-proposed Montezuma Castle Trail) versus at the access road. If this were to be the case, it would much more likely that visitors would use the trail from Camp Verde because they would know at the end there would be "high reward"-an immediate, high-quality cultural experience at the Castle. Such trail planning and development would, of course, involve collaboration between the USFS and National Park Service but there is no reason to believe such a joint effort would not be entirely successful.	Jackson Flat trail should terminate at the Montezuma Castle.	Noted - We are pursuing partnership opportunities with the NPS related to trails
14	Marshall	Whitmire		4	5	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Ms. Branton, thank you for inviting public input regarding the draft work on the Red Rock District Trails Plan. I am pleased to have had the opportunity to comment.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Thank you
15	A. G. "Chip"	Davis		5	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	We are in support of the non-motorized trail proposal for the Jackson Flat Trail which would create a connector trail on National Forest land between Camp Verde High School and Montezuma Castle National Monument. For 25 years, the Town of Camp Verde has contemplated a foot/horse/non-motorized trail across the Jackson Flat area to the east of the Camp Verde Schools campus on Montezuma Castle highway. The proposed trail connection project regarding the Jackson Flat Trail will allow for a more pleasant and safe experience for all who participate. In addition, this project will allow for protection of natural vegetation, reduce the impact to soils and reduce erosion near water flow areas. Once again, we are in support of the Jackson Flat Trail proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District.	Support of Jackson Flat Trail	Support noted
16	Jim	Bowser		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signatory 1: Lake Montezuma Property Owners' support of Rimrock Trail Loop	Support noted
17	Jim	Bowser		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signatory 1: Lake Montezuma Property Owners' request to provide motorized loop in Lake Montezuma area.	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
16	Ron	Melcher		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signatory 2	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
17	Ron	Melcher		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signatory 2	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
16	Phil	Edwards		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signatory 3	Support noted
17	Phil	Edwards		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signatory 3	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
16	Janet	Aniol		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signatory 4	Support noted
17	Janet	Aniol		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signatory 4	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
16	Lisa	Taylor		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signatory 5	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
17	Lisa	Taylor		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signagtory 5	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
16	Don	Rotta		6	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Lake Montezuma Property Owners Association would like to express our appreciation for the inclusion of the Rimrock Loop Trails (AKA Sunset Group Trails) in the non-motorized trails proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. These trails would be adjacent to several of the association subdivisions in Lake Montezuma and are in an area which is popular with local residents. We feel that designated trails would both improve recreation opportunities and help to decrease the creation of new social trails.	Letter 6 - Signagtory 6	Support noted
17	Don	Rotta		6	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	We would like to encourage a rapid start on these trails to help provide an avenue for responsible use of forest lands by our residents. We also request that the Red Rock Ranger District provide a motorized vehicle loop in this same area which now has a shortage of designated roads for vehicles.	Letter 6 - Signagtory 6	Noted. Motorized trails are outside the scope of this proposal. This project is specifically for non-motorized trails. We are evaluating potential motorized routes for potential future proposals
18	Carol	Keeton		7	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The Beaver Creek Kiwanis would like to express our support and appreciation for the proposed Sunset Group Trails which are adjacent to Lake Montezuma. We hope that the project can move quickly which would help to provide some much needed recreation for residents and visitors of all ages iri this community. Please continue to consider other projects for this area which will provide recreational access to other types of users and in other locations throughout the Beaver Creek area.	Beaver Creek Kiwanis Support of Sunset Group Trails. Consider other trail projects for other user groups.	Support noted
19	Douglas	Brown		8	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	These projects must be the low hanging fruit because there are many more projects out there that were identified. It will be good to see them unfold in the next few years. A lot of these areas I don't use or rarely use. So here are comments, by the numbers.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
20	Douglas	Brown		8	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.1. The bypass is a good idea and I think is used by quite a few already. I do remember one particularly rough section in some bypass over there. I hope it gets refined in this process. Just don't make the bypass mandatory for all bikes because newer riders might not fair too well. Keep it high.	High Priority on A1. Don't make bypass mandatory. Rough area on bypass needs work.	Noted
21	Douglas	Brown		8	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.2. I think you should state this is Wilderness [at least that's how I read it] so bicyclists don't think it is for them.	A2 (clarification if it's in wilderness)	Noted
22	Douglas	Brown		8	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.3. I don't see how this connects to the Old Post? Looks like a rework of Special Ed. I have heard there are other non-system trails in the area that will surely get used so they should be involved in this scoping so you can deal with the whole picture. Maybe you could actually connect over to the Old Post using some of the old High School trail alignment. While you are at it, might as well evaluate the other non-system trails that have been closed in this area and see what can be done to get some back open. Move to moderate or low because to do the complete picture will take a bit longer.	A3 should be moderate to low priority. See suggestions in comment	In the public meetings we got an idea of the big picture. From that we had to pick a limited amount to move forward with to fit within our budget and workforce constraints.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
23	Douglas	Brown		8	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.4. Sounds good. Keep it high.	A4 high priority	Support noted
24	Douglas	Brown		8	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.5. Last time I was over there it looked like this was pretty established. How about expanding the scope to actually formalize a loop or two up the Turkey Creek trail. Maybe this is already being considered for the next round. Establishing system loop trails in this area would be a great step. High for the connector and I would keep it high for a loop system.	A5 high priority and create loop on Turkey Creek Trail	Noted for future consideration
25	Douglas	Brown		8	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.6. No opinion.	A6 no opinion	Noted
26	Douglas	Brown		8	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.7. This sounds like a great project, as you state, it will facilitate loop riding from the Mescal TH or other parking areas in the upper Dry Creek area. Keep it moderate or move it to high.	A7 medium to high priority	Support noted
27	Douglas	Brown		8	9	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.8. This has become a very popular riding area. I would call it advanced intermediate. Even though I personally would like to see some of the grades reduced and some trails widened for mental comfort, most of the bike riders who use it like the challenge. So the work you do in reducing resource damage should maintain existing character in a sustainable design. Moderate is fine with me.	A8 very popular. May need improving. Moderate priority.	Support noted
28	Douglas	Brown		8	10	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.9. Sounds like a cool hiker trail. Moderate is fine.	A9 moderate priority.	Support noted
29	Douglas	Brown		8	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.10. Sounds like a good project. I've not been there but have a friend in the area who really likes to hike or run up there. Making a good sustainable loop utilizing other trail is a real plus. Moderate to high.	A10 moderate to high	Support noted
30	Douglas	Brown		8	12	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.11. No opinion.	A11 no opinion	Noted
31	Douglas	Brown		8	13	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.12. A better route for the hikers and reduction of trail user conflicts are 2 pluses for this one. Make it a high priority.	A12 high priority (better for hikers)	Support noted
32	Douglas	Brown		8	14	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.13. I don't even ride over here anymore because these 2 old and poorly designed trails are all worn out. I don't know if this project will be enough to return usage to the area. Yes, they are historic, but I find them to be poor bike routes. Low is fine.	A13 poorly designed and worn out trails. Low priority. Poor bike routes	Support noted
33	Douglas	Brown		8	15	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	B. Any projects in these areas would be good. Everyone should have trails in their neighborhood.	Section B) any projects good in this area	Support noted
34	Douglas	Brown		8	16	3.30	Trailheads	C. Trailheads. Yes, folks coming to the area to recreate need places to leave there vehicles. Organized parking lots in logical locations makes a lot of sense; car clutter does not. Moderate to high.	Trailhead parking needed. Moderate to high priority	Support noted
35	Douglas	Brown		8	17	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Thank you and your staff for doing the workshops, processing the results, and coming forth with action items. There is still more to do and these are steps in the right direction.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Support noted
36	Mary	Miller		9	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Mary miller: comment on trails: bc trailhead behind gravel pit (mixing pit); nice place to ride, keep open and make a trailhead; 567-3620.	Phoned in comment on trailhead at Mixing Table. Keep open and make trailhead. (928-567-3620)	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
37	Andy and Mary	Vejnoska		10	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	We are Sedona residents and avid trail users, both trail running and mountain bikers. We have been mountain biking in Sedona since the late 1980s. Sedona mountain biking has improved greatly since then and we appreciate the Forest Service's effort in improving Sedona's trails. Of course, the popularity of Sedona's trail has increased greatly and we feel the addition of more trails will help alleviate some trail congestion.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Support noted
38	Andy and Mary	Vejnoska		10	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Our list of trail projects are listed below in order of highest priority to lowest priority. We disagree with some of the F.S. priorities. 1) Devils Bridge Connector, Make this a hikers only trail, Chuckwagon mountain biking only 2) Bell Rock Pathway Singletrack Bypass 3) Trail network west of Cultural Park, great trails, need adoption 4) Upper Red Rock Loop Road Area Connectors, Special Ed, Pyramid, Catwalk 5) Arizona Cypress Connectors, sounds great! 6) Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte Connector 7) Verde Valley School Road Hiline Connector 8) Doe Mesa Rim Loop Trail 9) Old Post Reroute and Carroll Canyon Connectors Thanks for your consideration,	Prioritized list of trails from high to low priority. See comment.	Suggestion noted for evaluation
39	Dean	Williamson		11	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Here are my comments on the current trail project proposals. Thank you for considering my thoughts and ideas as you make these important decisions. 1. Bell Rock pathway Bike Bypass: While a bike bypass for the southern end of the Bell rock pathway would be a great addition for intermediate to advanced riders, as the current alignment of the Bell Rock Pathway is boringly wide and smooth, and also at times completely clogged with families of hikers walking three or four abreast; I would not want to see bikes banned from the current Bell Rock Pathway, as this is one of the few places in the Sedona area, where beginners and others with minimal fitness and bike handling skills can actually ride, without having to constantly get off their bikes and walk.	Specific Comment Bell Rock Pathway. Don't ban bikes. Good for beginner mountain bikers. Potential user conflicts with family hikers.	Noted
40	Dean	Williamson		11	2	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte Connector: Perhaps, instead of consolidating ALL of the numerous user created trails into one, you look at which ONES make sense, and keep a few key ones, rather than eliminating all but one route. Think about it... Why do you think there is more than one route now? This is an area with high traffic, so more trails are needed.	Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte. Keep a few key trails. Don't eliminate all but one.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
41	Dean	Williamson		11	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road Area Connectors: Special Ed and Pyramid should be re-opened IMMEDIATELY! (And NOT dumbed down!) Just because some people at the Red Rock Ranger District hate John Finch, is NO reason to hold these trails hostage from the general public. The same goes for Cat Walk. Special Ed, Cat Walk and Scheurman's Mountain trails link together into one of the more spectacular loops in Sedona, and make a good place to disburse trail users from the more popular trails. And while you're focused on trails in that area, Cake Walk, Bike Path, Under the Radar, and Under Under the Radar should all be adopted, BUT NOT DUMBED DOWN!!!	Re-open Special Ed and Pyramid immediately.	Noted.
42	Dean	Williamson		11	4	3.47	Connecting Trails	4. Crescent Moon Connector: It's about time that you finally recognized that the Buddah Beach trail exists; it's only been there for a few decades... And as for "used by hundreds of visitors who access Oak Creek each year.", try hundreds of visitors EACH DAY! Although I'm not sure how much change the section west of Buddah Beach needs. The part that could use a re-route, is the eastern end, from Buddah Beach, up to the gate on the gravel part of Chavez Ranch Road.	Crescent Moon Connector needs reroute.	Support noted
43	Dean	Williamson		11	5	3.47	Connecting Trails	5. Turkey Creek Connector: Once again, you may want to look at two parallel trails, creating a long, narrow loop, since there are already so many parallel and interwoven routes through there.	Turkey Creek Connector: may need 2 parallel trails	Noted
44	Dean	Williamson		11	6	3.47	Connecting Trails	6. Airport Loop Trail Connector: If you are referring to a connector between the caged-in tourist sunset vista, and the top of the Bandit climb, there is already an existing route there that should be adopted.	Airport Loop: should adopt existing route.	Noted
45	Dean	Williamson		11	7	3.47	Connecting Trails	7. Arizona Cypress Connectors: This is a necessary addition to the trail network in this area. Another necessary link, would be paralleling Boynton Pass Road, between the north end of the O. K. Trail, and the east end of the Aerie trail. This would also get bikes off that section of busy road, and be much safer and more enjoyable.	Arizona Cypress: necessary addition.	Support noted
46	Dean	Williamson		11	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	8. Trail network west of Cultural Park: I'm not sure what sections of ledge and Airy, Last Frontier and Western Civilization you think are "un-sustainable". Ledge and Airy has been in existence for over a decade, and seems to have held up great, especially when compared to such erosion rut nightmares as Old Post, Ridge Trail and Carol Canyon. And while Last Frontier and Western Civilization have only been around for two or three years, they seem quite sustainable to me. I would be quite interested to see what sections of these three well built trails that you consider to be "un-sustainable". PLEASE keep horses off of these great trails, as they are likely to destroy them! PLEASE!!!	Keep horses off trail network west of cultural park. Some trails in good shape, others need work. Commentor questions trails deemed unsustainable. Ledge and Airy, Last Frontier, Western Civilization good trails that have lasted.	
47	Dean	Williamson		11	9	3.45	Loops	9. Doe Mesa Rim Loop Trail: Just do it! This would make a great half-day Saturday project for the Fiends of the Forest.	Doe Mesa Rim Loop: Do it. Volunteers would help.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
48	Dean	Williamson		11	10	3.47	Connecting Trails	10. Verde Valley School Road Hiline Connector: I haven't been on the part of the Transept Trail that connects to Maid in the Shade, but I have both hiked and biked up the connector from the high point on Verde Valley School Road to the Transept, (One of the best, sustained effort, technical mountain bike climbs in Sedona!) and then followed Transept north to Highline, continuing down Highline to Baldwin. The only thing that seems "un-sustainable about the route that I have hiked and biked, is the descent at the end of High line, but since this trail was designed and built by "The One Who is in Good Favor with the Forest Service", I guess that it is perfect as is, and has no issues ...	Verde Valley School Hiline: only one part seems unsustainable is descent at end of High Line.	We are evaluating all the proposed trails for improvements to sustainability.
49	Dean	Williamson		11	11	3.47	Connecting Trails	11. Soldiers Wash Connector: "This project would be an east-west trail connector in Soldiers Wash, located on an old 4x4 track to enhance loop options." It's an old 4x4 track. It already exists! I've ridden it many times. It's great, just as it is. Leave it alone. The Soldiers Wash area has been known as "Secret Trails" since long before any current Forest Service employee has been here. To mark and sign every damned inch of trail in there is to desecrate and destroy a rare Sedona treasure. STOP IT! NOW!!! You newcomers do not understand this area or the people who came here before you! It's nice to have a few "local secrets", and if visitors are smart enough, and adventurous enough, they can discover them for themselves. You are stealing the joy of personal discovery from many people. Secret Trails was always a fairly safe place for visitors to explore, get lost, and then find their way back out. It's only 3/4 of a mile wide from the top neighborhood in Uptown to the Ritzy subdivisions on the East side of Soldier's Pass Road, and just 1 and 3/4 miles from Highway 89A to the wall of big red rocks on the north side of Secret Trails. If you mark and sign and dumb down every existing trail, you are just encouraging people to go out and build more secret trails ...	Soldiers Wash: Don't sign so heavily.	Trails built and/or adopted by the Forest Service must meet specific standards of design and signage.
50	Dean	Williamson		11	12	3.47	Connecting Trails	12. Devil's Bridge Connector: Yes, get as many hikers off Chuckwagon as you can. I remember up until just before Chuckwagon got signed and mapped, that we used to be able to ride it any time, and have it pretty much to ourselves. Then, just a couple of months after you adopted it and signed it, we rode it and ran into several groups of hikers, including one group who gave us a bunch of crap. I felt like telling them that they wouldn't even be hiking a trail there, if my friend, Richard hadn't built it, but I suspect that it would have been lost on them.	Devil's Bridge: Get hikers off Chuckwagon.	Trails built and/or adopted by the Forest Service must meet specific standards of design and signage.
51	Dean	Williamson		11	13	3.47	Connecting Trails	13. Old Post Reroute and Carroll Canyon Connectors. Yes, please do it! These trails should have been re-routed before they were ever built. As I mentioned above, in my comments about Ledge and Airy, Last Frontier and Western Civilization, these trails are the epitome of poorly routed erosion rut nightmares. I'm shocked that it took so long for you to get around to doing this.	Old Post Reroute and Carroll Canyon. Poorly routed and need to be rerouted and better designed.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
52	Dean	Williamson		11	14	3.45	Loops	Section B: Trail Connection and Enhancement Concepts - Rim rock, Cornville and Camp Verde areas 1. Rim rock Loop Trails - Rim rock This proposal would form a loop trail and connectors with FR 9202Y and local public streets for a non-motorized trail experience. This forest area is used by local residents who desire designated forest trails that can be signed and maintained. Priority: High	Section B: High Priority	Noted
53	Dean	Williamson		11	15	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Windmill Park connector - Cornville This proposed trail would link Cornville's Windmill Park to a parcel of National Forest land located on the east side of Oak Creek and create a short loop trail on the mesa. Cornville residents seek trail access to and within this isolated parcel of National Forest. This development could include a pedestrian bridge, adjacent to the Cornville Road Bridge, for crossing of Oak Creek. Priority: High	Windmill Park: High Priority	Noted
54	Dean	Williamson		11	16	3.47	Connecting Trails	3. Jackson Flat Trail - Camp Verde This proposal would create a connector trail on National Forest land between Camp Verde High School and Montezuma Castle National Monument. The trail would follow along Wet Beaver Creek. There could be parking and access at two locations: in the vicinity of the Camp Verde High School and on the Castle Road. The trail would be for non motorized use including equestrian, hiking and biking. It would link in with trails that continue to Black Bridge on the south and to the Monument on the north. Priority: Moderate Section C: Proposed Trailhead Improvements	Jackson Flat: moderate Priority	Noted
55	Dean	Williamson		11	17	3.35	Parking	1. Mescal Trailhead: Create the new parking lot, but DON'T eliminate the old one. In fact, quit putting all the damned boulders blocking existing parking spaces all around the district. And remove all the ones you've already put in. It's disgusting that you keep trying to force people to park in places where you then try to coerce them into paying into your illegal Red Rock Pass program.	Mescal Trailhead: Don't eliminate old one; stop blocking existing parking; against forcing people to pay for Red Rock Pass program.	Noted.
56	Dean	Williamson		11	18	3.35	Parking	2. Mystic Trail head: Since you're un-likely to take back the public land from the for-profit gift shop known as the Chapel of the Red Rocks, you might as well install a parking lot here. Option 2: Create a trailhead on SR 179. You might as well do this one also, as a seven car lot on the Chapel Road will quickly fill up. Then again, you have enough room as far as I can tell, to put in a 30 car lot at the south end of Mystic ...	Mystic Trailhead: install parking lot and create trailhead on SR179.	Support noted.
57	Dean	Williamson		11	19	3.35	Parking	3. Trailhead Parking at Dry Beaver Creek on Cornville Road (aka the Asphalt Mixing Table). This is a great idea.	Trailhead at Dry Beaver Creek: good idea	Support noted
58	Dean	Williamson		11	20	3.20	User Conflicts	In fact, you should identify and build as many trailheads for equestrians as you can AWAY from Sedona. Get the horses out of town! Horses are dangerous to other trail users, and leave disgusting steaming piles everywhere, and also turn even the best constructed trails into deep ruts. I find it interesting that people have to clean up the small turds that their dogs deposit, yet you let them leave horse poop anywhere they want.	Anti-equestrian comment. Build trailheads for equestrian away from Sedona.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
59	Dean	Williamson		11	21	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Please STOP using the damned blue paint everywhere to mark new trails. It looks like SHIT!!! (Or more like some damned gang grafitti.) Learn how to make removable trail markers from clothes pins and engineer's tape, rather than vandalizing OUR beautiful red rocks with paint, or littering the forest with engineer's tape tied directly to the trees and bushes, where it usually cannot be easily untied. Would you like blue paint dots down your driveway and up the sidewalk to the front door of your house? Of course not! So why do you think we want that shit on OUR trails???	Stop using blue paint to mark trail routes.	Opinion noted
60	Joe	Hazel		12	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I am writing to express my support for the non-motorized trail proposals in the Red Rock District of the Coconino National Forest, Arizona. I am a geologist at Northern Arizona University and have lived in Flagstaff since 1986. I am an avid hiker and mountain biker and the demand for both activities in the Red Rock District has increased 100-fold or more since I became a Flagstaff resident.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Support noted
61	Joe	Hazel		12	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I am in favor of all components of the proposed action that has been released for public comment (Sedona and Verde Valley). I understand that not all trail concept and enhancements can have high priority but I suggest placing a higher priority on the Devil's Bridge Connector. With completion of the nearby trailhead, user group congestion has increased and the trail is being impacted and widened. During peak season, I have noticed a hiker: biker ratio of 20:1 or even 40:1 on this trail. Similarly, with the completion of new trailheads and easier access the current system trail network has become increasingly congested elsewhere.	Devil's Bridge: Very congested. Should be high priority.	Noted
62	Joe	Hazel		12	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I am strongly in favor of the proposed Upper Red Rock Loop area connector trails and adoption of existing alignments. With the exception of the short hike to the archeological site on Pyramid, this area is not as favored by the hiking community. Adoption of Special Ed, Pyramid, and Sketch will allow for enhanced loop opportunities for mountain bikers and eases congestion in other areas. It is also the first area to dry following a wet, rainy period. Not mentioned is the user-built trail informally called Catwalk. Providing this trail meets the necessary criteria, I suggest it be adopted as well. Views from this trail are some of the most impressive in all of Sedona. It is a logical connector for a loop with Scheurman Mountain and Special Ed. In addition, I recommend that Sketch be adopted with minor rerouting and the southern, fall line section of Ridge trail be closed and naturalized. The informally named High School trail is also a necessary connector between Ridge/Carrol Canyon and the high school parking lot and nearby Herkenham/Scheurman Mountain trailhead.	In favor of Upper Red Rock Loop. Specific Trail comments for several trails. Sketch should be adopted with minor reroutes.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
63	Joe	Hazel		12	4	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>General comments on the trail network: My impression of the trails in Sedona are that they are becoming increasingly congested, despite adoption of user-built trails. Clearly, there is a need for more trails, and from the mountain biker perspective, trails that push out further from the urban center; thus lessening user-group conflicts and enhancing the user-experience. These concepts were depicted in the RTCA process and carefully routed and discussed; yet none are on the table in the proposed action. The routes proposed by hikers, bikers, and equestrians can be found here: http://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE ... prd3799152.pdf. It is these concepts that I believe show a vision for the future. Hopefully, once the fine-tuning of the trails within and adjacent to Sedona and the Village of Oak Creek is completed these concepts can be addressed.</p>	Bike trails farther from urban areas needed to reduce user conflicts.	Noted. Trails in other communities are currently under evaluation.
64	Joe	Hazel		12	5	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	<p>Lastly, I noticed in the planning documents that, on average, hikers constitute 82% of the trail use. I believe this number is skewed. Mountain bikers typically don't stop to sign in at trail heads. With continued marketing and recognition, Sedona trails are only going to see increased use. I also disagree with the assertion that the majority of mountain bikers are beginner and intermediate in skill level (I take this to mean that there is no need to manage for Tomahawk or Hangover type trails). Mountain biking as a sport has diversified with a significant increase in demand for more technical down hill specific routes similar to other popular, outdoor destination areas in the interior western US like Jackson, WY, Sun Valley, ID, or Park City, Utah. These areas have successfully incorporated downhill mountain bike trails into their trail systems. There will be an increasing number of mountain bikers coming to the areas seeking this type of user-experience. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed action for the Red Rock District!</p>	Hiker/biker ratio in question because bikers don't generally sign in. Commentor wants advanced trails and trails of different skill levels.	<p>Noted. Trail use data is not derived from trailhead sign in boxes; it is derived from direct counts on trails. FS has an obligation to design and manage trails that can be sustainable and not cause excessive resource damage, especially accelerated erosion.</p> <p>Red Rock area soils are more erosive than the other areas cited. The types of trails that can be maintained in other areas can not necessarily be maintained here without special methods and higher costs.</p> <p>The limitation on highly technical or downhill trails is in part due to lack of staff, volunteer and funding resources to evaluate, design, build and maintain these types of trails.</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
65	Linda	Slay		13	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I am contacting you on behalf of the Arizona Horse Council ("AzHC"). We were recently made aware of the Coconino National Forest Service's and the Red Rock Ranger District's planning efforts in the Verde Valley/Sedona area. First of all, we would like to commend the Forest Service for making this effort to develop sustainable nonmotorized trail systems, and for your efforts in obtaining public input. Hopefully, you will continue to work with local equestrians to preserve equestrian access and trail opportunities for both local riders and visitors. A well designed trail system that includes loop opportunities and varying degrees of difficulty will tend to spread users out and mitigate damage to the environment. Although I lived in Sedona and Rimrock in the late 70's and early 80's and am familiar with some of the project areas, the whole region is much more urbanized and developed now. I did read all of the presentations and comments from the scoping meetings on the website, and would like to make some general comments.	Request for loop trails and trails with varying degrees of difficulty.	Noted. Opportunities for equestrians are part of Forest Plan objectives. However, the increase in equestrian opportunities is more focused outside of the heavily visited and steep erosive red rock landscape, into areas that can better sustain the impacts associated with horse use and to reduce user conflicts.
66	Linda	Slay		13	2	3.43	Trail Design	We would like to encourage you to keep the needs of equestrians in mind during the trail layout and design process. For example, slick rock trails and/or trails with extensive armoring are not the safest for equestrians. Single track trails are fine - if you look at cow paths or horse paths in a pasture, they are no wider than most single track trails. However, an extremely narrow trail with a sheer drop-off is not safe for equestrians. A rolling contour design is suitable for all trail users. It is also handy to have some pull-outs for passing. I did see from some of the comments that local access for equestrians was considered in at least some areas. Maintaining safe local access to trails and not forcing equestrians onto motorized roadways should also be a priority. It is important to keep that in mind when closing trails and/or areas that have been traditionally used by equestrians.	Remember equestrian when designing trails: slick rock and rock armoring can be hazardous for horses. Narrow trails OK; but narrow with a drop off can be dangerous.	Noted. See above.
67	Linda	Slay		13	3	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	We would also encourage you to have adequate parking for horse trailers at trailheads that are used extensively for equestrian access.	Request adequate parking for horse trailers.	Noted. Not every trailhead will be designed for large trailer access. Large trailheads should, however, be convenient and available to equestrians.
68	Linda	Slay		13	4	3.43	Trail Design	If you are not already familiar with it, author Jan Hancock's book, "Equestrian Design Guidebook for Trails, Trailheads, and Campgrounds" is an excellent resource for designing trails that suit equestrian needs. A free copy can be obtained at the Federal Highway Administration website at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/recreational_trails/publications/fs_publications/07232816/index.cfm . (That is all one email address, but for some reason, my computer won't do it that way!)	Offered resource: Jan Hancock's "Equestrian Design Guidebook for Trails"	Noted. Thank you.
69	Linda	Slay		13	5	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I currently represent the AzHC on the Arizona State Committee on Trails, an advisory board to State Parks. I am also the Horse Council's representative to the Black Canyon Trail Coalition, a group working with the Phoenix BLM field office on building and maintaining the Black Canyon Trail, a National Recreation Trail. I would be happy to answer any questions regarding equestrian needs. I've also copied Chip Wilson, the President of the Arizona Horse Council, with this email in case he has any further comments. Thank you for your consideration	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
70	Dennis and Janet	Aniol		14	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposal. We have been looking forward for many years to the start of a trail system for Lake Montezuma, Rinnrock and McGuireville. The residents of this area have the interest, the need, and the desire to help make this happen. Please give priority to the Sunset Trails Group labeled as the Rimrock Loop and start soon to make it possible.	Give priority to Sunset Trails Group.	Noted
71	Dennis and Janet	Aniol		14	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	Also as a family we are responsible Jeep and ATV enthusiasts who would like to see motorized trails included in the planning for the Beaver Creek area.	request for motorized trail and trail planning in Beaver Creek area.	Noted. This proposal is specific to non-motorized trails.
72	Heide	Heidepriem		15	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments as you consider potential enhancements and additions to the RRRD Trails System. I do support Trail System additions and have some thoughts on the merits and priority of the specific projects identified in your letter. But I also know the District Trails System resources are limited, and would like to express support for continued protection of natural and (major) cultural resources, and for proper maintenance (and rehabilitation where needed) of the existing elements of the District Trails System. I think the overall Trail System priorities as expressed in the 2013 Trails Planning Summary (maintenance, visitor safety, resource protection, trail projects that provide large benefit for modest investment, trail projects funded by others) are largely correct.	General support of trail project. Protect natural resources.	Support noted
73	Heide	Heidepriem		15	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.1 Help spread usage a bit on heavily used trails at modest cost; High.	A.1: High priority	Noted
74	Heide	Heidepriem		15	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.2 Provide shorter loop options for hikers (but not bikers since it's Wilderness?) at modest cost; High.	A.2: Is this wilderness. High priority	Noted
75	Heide	Heidepriem		15	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.3 Attractive route, would be nice to add sustainable trail to top of Pyramid Peak; High.	A.3: High Priority	Noted
76	Heide	Heidepriem		15	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.4 Makes sense if needed to reduce erosion into Oak Creek; cost should be modest; High.	A.4: High Priority	Noted. Accelerated erosion is one of our chief resource concerns with trails in the Red Rock area.
77	Heide	Heidepriem		15	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.5 Trail consolidation would be good, especially if it reduces off-trail horse usage; overall, this area is not as heavily used as some others; Moderate.	A.5: Moderate Priority	Noted
78	Heide	Heidepriem		15	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.6 Makes sense if it connects to Airport View Trail and provides alternate version of Airport Loop which would not necessitate crossing Airport Road near the vortex site, and if it is modest in cost; High.	A.6: High Priority	Noted
79	Heide	Heidepriem		15	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.7 Nice option, but maybe not as important as some others; seems a little bit redundant with Dawa - Canyon of Fools - Mescal route which also connects AZ Cypress & Mescal TH (although I do understand the proposed trail would probably be an easier ride than Canyon of Fools - Mescal); Moderate to Low.	A.7: Moderate to Low Priority	Noted.
80	Heide	Heidepriem		15	9	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.8 This network of trails would create a relatively large & quite attractive new area for hikers and bikers, and help spread use away from more crowded areas; High! (if affordable).	A.8: Very High Priority	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name	Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
81	Heide	Heidepriem	15	10	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.9 Good idea; Moderate.	A.9: Moderate Priority	Support Noted
82	Heide	Heidepriem	15	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.10 This project would create a great Hiline - Transept loop and provide additional official trail access from the VOC; High! (if affordable).	A.10: Very High Priority	Support noted
83	Heide	Heidepriem	15	12	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.11 Do not see the need in this area with an already fairly high trail density; Low.	A.11: Low Priority	Noted.
84	Heide	Heidepriem	15	13	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A.12 Moderate if it's significantly shorter than route from Mescal TH to Devil's Bridge? otherwise Low.	A.12: Moderate if shorter otherwise Low Priority	Noted.
85	Heide	Heidepriem	15	14	3.47	Connecting Trails	A.13 Good ideas; Low.	A.13: Low Priority	Noted.
86	Heide	Heidepriem	15	15	3.47	Connecting Trails	B.1, B.2, B.3 I do not feel well enough informed to offer any comments on these.	No comment on Section B	Noted.
87	Heide	Heidepriem	15	16	3.35	Parking	C.1 This is a very heavily used area with a very high demand for parking. The Dry Creek Vista parking area is often overflowing; Dry Creek road near the Chuckwagon Trail crossing is often parked full; the Long Canyon/Chuckwagon parking areas on Long Canyon Road are often overflowing; the Mescal TH parking area on Long Canyon Road frequently has many cars parked there ... I don't really see how eliminating some of these parking areas & replacing them with a single parking area is going to help address the parking issues in this area unless the new parking area is extremely large. Given the cost of building the (only-moderately-large) Dry Creek Vista parking area (\$500,000), extremely large sounds very expensive. I do agree parking in this area is a concern, and that additional parking should be a high priority, but it's not clear to me that removing all existing parking opportunities needs to be part of the most effective approach.	Doesn't see how replacing existing parking with one parking area will help.	The current parking along Long Canyon Road is poorly designed, impacts Dry Creek with sediments and has a slope that causes vehicles to skid. This parking area was put in as temporary parking while the Dry Creek Trailhead was being constructed. The proposed new Mescal Parking area would be large and would cost approximately \$500,000, similar to Dry Creek TH. However, it would be a better location, away from Dry Creek and would offer a larger area to accommodate the demand. The current Long Canyon Trailhead is not to FS standard, as it was developed in a haphazard way over time, never designed. This area is becoming a well used "trail hub" and FS agrees that better designed parking is needed.

ID	First Name	Last Name	Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
88	Heide	Heidepriem	15	17	3.35	Parking	C.2 I think the current priority for either of these proposed improvements is Moderate, at best. Of the two options presented, I would favor Option 2. > Option 2 provides 30 spaces, which is more desirable than the 7 spaces suggested for Option 1. > My guess is that the actual footprint for the 7-space proposal would end up being fairly large in order to afford access to/from Chapel Road and maneuver space within the parking area, and would not be an attractive addition to the Chapel Road roadside. > I would also expect the per-parking-space cost would be considerably less for Option 2 than Option 1, thus making Option 2 more cost-effective. Note: Since one of the concerns is easing the parking pressure at the Broken Arrow TH, would it make sense to consider expanding the parking area at the existing Broken Arrow TH? That might be a relatively cheap approach?	C.2: Moderate priority for this parking project. Option 2 makes more sense.	Noted.
89	Heide	Heidepriem	15	18	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	C.3 I do not feel well enough informed to offer any comments on this.	C.3: no comment	Noted
90	Heide	Heidepriem	15	19	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments as you consider potential enhancements and additions to the RRRD Trails System.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
91	Robert (Bob)	Whiting	16	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I strongly support the suggested proposals and applaud the District for tackling these important trail projects during times of personnel and budget constraints. In addition to my comment below, I would like to mention that I would like to see the USFS, Red Rock Range District be more aggressive in the support of Foot, Equestrian and Single Track Trails other than the Sedona, VOC areas. The support should be equally shared to the whole district and not exhibit favoritism to one area or the other.	Red Rock RD should be more aggressive to support a variety of user-type trails in other areas other than Sedona and VOC.	Support noted. We are evaluating trail opportunities in other nearby communities.
92	Robert (Bob)	Whiting	16	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	1) B-1 Sunset Loop/Rimrock-Lake Montezuma Trails. I strongly agree with these proposed system of trails. They are an important step in addressing this highly neglected area and the forest users that live in this area. Many comments have been made about the proposal and should be followed up on as a positive for this area. I would like to also see that the proposed Sunset Trail system have the capability to be expanded upon and improved to add additional mileage.	Support for Sunset Loop	Noted.
93	Robert (Bob)	Whiting	16	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	2) White Mesa (#86)/Apache Maid (#15) Loop http://www.fs.usda.gov/recarea/coconino/recreation/hiking/recarea/?recid=55434&actid=50 This undeveloped loop of the two (2) trails is referenced in the FS web site as a potential continuations, but has not been formally laid out or documented other than reference "with orienteering skills". I would like to see this continuation made as a formal improved loop connecting White Mesa and the Apache Maid trails.	In favor of White Mesa and Apache Maid.	Outside of this proposal.
94	Robert (Bob)	Whiting	16	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	3) Bell Trail (#13)/Long Canyon (#63) Loop http://www.fs.usda.gov/recarea/coconino/recreation/hiking/recarea/?recid=55342&actid=50 Again the loop between Bell and Long Canyon trails are referenced on the FS web site but has been neglected thus it is very hard to distinguish just where the trail is supposed to go. I would like to see the connecting portion of the loop improved upon, identified and in some cases additional basket monuments and/or cairns built.	Improve conditions of Bell and Long Canyon	This is maintenance, noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
95	Robert (Bob)	Whiting		16	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	4) Walker Basin Trail (#82) http://www.fs.usda.gov/recarea/coconino/recreation/hiking/recarea/?recid=55426&actid=50 The secondary road into the trailhead and the parking area is very rough and unimproved. I would like to see the road improved with trimming of the brush plus the parking area expanded to allow access other than high clearance vehicles to this really beautiful hiking trail.	Walker Basin: Improve road, trim brush and expand parking	This is maintenance, noted.
96	Robert (Bob)	Whiting		16	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	5) Hollingshead, aka Buckhorn Trail If you' re not familiar with this existing historic cattle trail, it is located about three (3) miles south on FS 618. It is not shown on any maps that I know of but is a really beautiful historic trail again offering access to the Rim. I would like to see this trail identified, with the road into it, along with a parking developed and improved. This would also include signage identifying this trail on FS 618.	Hollingshead off FS618: request to identify trail and road and develop parking.	This is outside of this proposal.
97	Lars	Romig		17	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	In response to your request for comments on non-motorized trail development in Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, Camp Verde, Rimrock and Cornville the Verde Valley Cyclists Coalition would like to give our comments and recommendations to be considered in your planning and completion of these projects. The mission of the Verde Valley Cyclists Coalition is to improve the bicycling environment and thereby the quality of life in the region. We do this by promoting bicycle safety, education and facilities, and by encouraging use of the bicycle as an energy-efficient, economical and nonpolluting form of transportation and as a healthful and enjoyable form of recreation. As the public voice for cyclists, the VVCC does not discriminate on the basis of age, gender, race, ability, political alignment, type of riding, or mode of transportation one prefers. The VVCC has been the definitive voice of cyclists in the Verde Valley since 2003 and our organization takes great pride in working with local land managers and municipalities to look at the big picture for planning sustainable and progressive cycling opportunities. We are very thankful for our strong partnership with the Red Rock Ranger District of the Coconino National Forest and all the cooperative projects we have been successful on.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
98	Lars	Romig		17	2	3.10	User Experience (Enjoyment Level)	With the rapidly growing recreation use of Sedona and the surrounding areas we applaud the FS for continuing to look at expanding and refining the infrastructure of our trails and amenities. In the last few years our members have seen and communicate a sharp up tick in the number of non-motorized users out on the land specifically by foot and wheel. Many users view this higher volume of users as degradation of the user experience, which reflects past and currently proposed Forest Plan goals for user, encounters while recreating. These proposed projects would assist in dispersing users while also affording the creation of a wider spectrum of designed experiences. The VVCC hopes to be integral in the whole process from design to implementation of many of these projects.	High volume user rate degrades user experience.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
99	Lars	Romig		17	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>VVCC would give the greatest priority to the following actions immediately below, followed with more specific comments directly with each proposed action:</p> <p>SectionA-</p> <p>8. Trail network west of Cultural Park</p> <p>10. Verde Valley School Road Hiline connector</p> <p>3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connector</p> <p>1. Singletrack Bypass</p> <p>12. Devils Bridge Connector</p> <p>13. Old Post reroute and Carroll Canyon connectors</p> <p>Section B -</p> <p>1. Rimrock loop trails</p>	Verde Valley Cycling Club: Trail prioritization	Noted
100	Lars	Romig		17	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	1. Bell Rock Pathway singletrack bypass - we strongly agree with the suggestion and feel that it could decrease potential user conflict, increase user safety by decreasing congestion, increase user safety by offering a "easier/ fun" single track trail and add to the user experience spectrum the area offers for cyclists.	Bell Rock Pathway: strongly agree with need	Support noted
101	Lars	Romig		17	5	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte connector - we agree. This action will help with dispersal of users in general, will help mitigate user impacts and will also increase safety of users by the decrease of congestion and making a currently threaded trail well defined.	Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte: Agree with.	Support noted
102	Lars	Romig		17	6	3.47	Connecting Trails	3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connectors - We strongly agree, this area is a great addition of social trails that access phenomenal scenery and fun technically challenging terrain. The social and economic value of this are to cyclists is high. Some areas are in need of improvement and the VVCC will be there to assist the FS in bringing these t rails onto the system.	Upper Red Rock Loop: agree with	Support noted
103	Lars	Romig		17	7	3.47	Connecting Trails	4. Crescent Moon connector -we agree, especially for access to Oak Creek. The area is used by thousands of visitors each year and providing a sustainable system trail is a logical action.	Crescent Moon Connector: agree with	Support noted
104	Lars	Romig		17	8	3.47	Connecting Trails	5. Turkey Creek connector - we strongly agree, and would request to retain the technical characteristics of the area and backcountry feel, while addressing erosion issues.	Turkey Creek Connector: agree and retain technical characteristics	Support noted
105	Lars	Romig		17	9	3.47	Connecting Trails	6. Airport Loop Trail connector - we agree, this will aid in relieving congestion of the airport area trails and add to connectivity for cyclists.	Airport Loop: agree with	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
106	Lars	Romig		17	10	3.47	Connecting Trails	7. Arizona Cypress connectors - we support this suggestion and see that this new construction would aid in creating better connectivity and better user dispersion. We also see this could create more options for beginner to intermediate trail.	Arizona Cypress: Agree with	Support noted
107	Lars	Romig		17	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	8. Trail network west of Cultural Park - we strongly agree and recommend the adoption of much of the user built trail in the area, while completing reroutes for sustainability reasons as necessary. We see that many of the existing trails are of a sustainable standard, and given their location and layout, they offer a desired backcountry experience for mountain bikers. These trails offer longer loop options that many riders seek and make logical connections with other system trails.	Cultural Park area: strongly agree	Support noted
108	Lars	Romig		17	12	3.45	Loops	9. Doe Mesa Rim loop trail - we see this as a good idea, and understand that it is primarily a hiking trail.	Doe Mesa Rim Loop: agree and primarily used by hikers.	Support noted
109	Lars	Romig		17	13	3.47	Connecting Trails	10. Verde Valley School Road Hiline connector-we strongly agree, Transept Trail is a local favorite with potential to be another iconic staple and we repeatedly are asked when it will be added. The potential bigger picture connecting to previously scoped projects on the west side of Verde Valley School Rd. connecting into Turkey Creek Area. This would also open up the loop around the seven warriors formation with some creative routing and rock work.	Verde Valley School Hiline: Strongly agree	Support noted
110	Lars	Romig		17	14	3.47	Connecting Trails	11. Soldiers Wash connector - we agree, this would add to the total possible loops and connections through this area.	Soldiers Wash: Agree	Support noted
111	Lars	Romig		17	15	3.47	Connecting Trails	12. Devils Bridge connector - we strongly agree as this would greatly reduce pressure from heavy foot traffic on the Chuck Wagon Trail by allowing a more direct route to Devils Bridge in addition we see that this suggestion could create an iconic 'rim trail' experience, with outstanding vistas, offering an intermediate riding experience while minimizing user conflict with the foot traffic.	Devils Bridge: Strongly agree	Support noted
112	Lars	Romig		17	16	3.47	Connecting Trails	13. Old Post reroute and Carroll Canyon connectors - we strongly agree as this will add to the user experience of this area in addition to the trail system meshing into the local neighborhoods. A number of areas need attention to stabilizing existing user routes and modifications for sustainability.	Old Post Reroute and Carroll Canyon: agree and areas need stabilization	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
113	Lars	Romig		17	17	3.45	Loops	Section B: Trail connection and enhancement concepts -Rimrock, Cornville and Camp Verde Areas. 1. Rimrock loop trail - Rimrock - we strongly agree Rimrock is long overdue for planned and purpose built recreation. The social economic value to the community there is priceless and will greatly add to the area.	Rimrock Loop: Strongly agree and good for local economy	Support noted
114	Lars	Romig		17	18	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Windmill Park connector - Cornville - we support this and see it as a good opportunity for local children and families to access the National Forest.	Windmill Park: agree. Good opportunity for families.	Support noted
115	Lars	Romig		17	19	3.47	Connecting Trails	3. Jackson Flat Trail - Camp Verde - we support this and see it as a great location for trail development given that Camp Verde High School and Montezuma Castle National Monument could be incorporated into the system. We hope to see good thought into the design of the trail for cyclists.	Jackson Flatt: agree. Develop a well designed trail for cyclists.	Support noted
116	Lars	Romig		17	20	3.30	Trailheads	Section C: Proposed trailhead improvements 1. Mescal trailhead - we strongly support this suggestion as it would create connector trails; while creating additional parking spaces; and would also mitigate resource damage through closing and restoring the current parking area.	Mescal Trailhead: Strongly agree.	Support noted
117	Lars	Romig		17	21	3.30	Trailheads	2. Mystic trailhead- We support option 1. We believe option 2 would inject too much tourist foot traffic ruining the user experience by crowding the area.	Mystic Trailhead: Support Option 1. Believes Option 2 would encourage too much tourist foot traffic.	Noted
118	Lars	Romig		17	22	3.35	Parking	3. Trailhead parking at Dry Beaver Creek - we see this as a good opportunity for equestrian trail users and could provide an experience that is more focused on equestrian use.	Beaver Creek parking: focus on equestrian.	Noted
119	Lars	Romig		17	23	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Proposed Action Recap Section A: Highest Priority in order of importance 8, 10, 3, 1, 12 and 13 Section B: Highest Priority 1 Section C: Highest Priority 1	VVCC recap of prioritization of trails.	Noted
120	Lars	Romig		17	24	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Ms. Branton, thank you for accepting our comments and recommendations. We hope you utilize the VVCC where needed in bringing these projects to fruition. Please reach out if there is further questions or help needed. The VVCC plans to continue to grow our partnership with the National Forest and continue to ensure cycling in the RRRD is a sustainable & joyful form or recreation for the varying types of riders we see.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
121	Patrick	Kell		18	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	<p>Please accept this letter from the International Mountain Bicycling Association (IMBA) regarding your request for comments on non-motorized trail development in Sedona, the Village of Oak Creek, Camp Verde, Rimrock and Cornville. Founded in 1988, IMBA leads the national and worldwide mountain bicycling communities through a network of 80,000 individual supporters, 160 chapter organizations, and 600 dealer members. IMBA works closely with local advocacy groups, which in this case includes the Verde Valley Cyclist Coalition (VVCC), and it has been our experience that the Coconino National Forest staff works cooperatively with mountain bike advocates, they seek input, and incorporate our requests into planning processes when appropriate, and we thank you for that partnership. IMBA teaches sustainable trail building and has become a leader in trail design, construction, and maintenance; encourages responsible riding, volunteer trail work, and cooperation among user groups and land managers. Each year, IMBA members and affiliated organizations conduct more than one million hours of volunteer trail stewardship on America's public lands and are some of the best assistants to federal, state, and local land managers. Strategic goals of trail planning and development As a quiet human powered form of recreation, bicycles have impacts on natural lands that are comparable to any human-powered activity. Unmanaged recreation always has the potential to create adverse impacts on our natural environment. However, thoughtful management can avoid those potential effects while still allowing the public to experience these environments. We appreciate We appreciate the Red Rock Ranger District's efforts to engage in deliberate non-motorized trailplanning that is not simply an assignment of permitted uses. Rather the thoughtful evaluation and implementation of what the experience of a given trail is and why users choose it. Significant quantities of the Forest Service trails currently in use were not designed or constructed to be sustainable recreation trails. They were developed as temporary extraction roads, firebreaks, hunting routes, or game trails and have been repurposed as long term public access routes. As they these routes often were not planned to act as trails they often fail to showcase the landscape or effectively manage visitor access and experiences as well as well to be sustainable. A purposefully designed trail system is light on the land, howcases the land, steers visitors away from sensitive areas, and provides a wide range of experiences.</p>	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
122	Patrick	Kell		18	2	3.43	Trail Design	Not all trails can be all things to all people. Shared use works for many experiences and is frequently the most efficient use of resources. However; making all trails shared use limits the experiences such that some of the truly amazing experiences are repressed to the point that the experience is lost. The best trail systems allow for a diversity of trails optimized to provide for different experiences, modalities, and levels of skill development and challenge. By keeping in mind the communities desired experiences when designing and developing trails visitors will assist as volunteers, donors, partners, and advocates for the those projects and possibly the larger mission of the Forest Service. Managing the trail based on desired experience, sustainable construction and maintenance, and suitability for the desired conditions of the area rather than simply by mode of travel would allow the Forest Service to actually manage a trail system rather than a spider web of legacy routes. The proposed actions lead us to believe that the Red Rock Ranger District is moving in this direction, and we applaud that effort.	Design and manage trails to address different user levels.	Noted. It is a challenge to have a variety of trails for different user and skill levels within this topography and geology. More beginner trails are needed, but cost of development is high.
123	Patrick	Kell		18	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Proposed action specifics We will provide feedback on as many of the projects as possible but IMBA would give the greatest priority to the following actions: Section A - 3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connector; 8. Trail network west of Cultural Park; 10. Verde Valley School Road Hiline connector. Section B - 1. Rimrock loop trails	IMBA's highest priorities.	Noted
124	Patrick	Kell		18	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	1. Bell Rock Pathway singletrack bypass - we agree with the suggestion and feel that it could decrease potential user conflict.	Bell Rock Pathway: agree	Support noted
125	Patrick	Kell		18	5	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Bell Rock and Courthouse Butte connector - we agree. This action will help with dispersal of users in general and will help mitigate user impacts on sensitive habitat.	Bell Rock and Courthouse: agree	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
126	Patrick	Kell		18	6	3.47	Connecting Trails	3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connectors - we would give highest priority to this effort. We feel that trails in this area could be consolidated into a more cohesive network using the experiential principles stated above. Given that this area is included in the recent closure order, we would recommend keeping sections of the existing trails, especially those of a more technical nature and reroute those that are significantly impacting the area resources. We also support these suggestions given the proximity to Sedona High School, which creates opportunities for young people to get out on the trails.	Upper Red Rock Loop: highest priority	Noted
127	Patrick	Kell		18	7	3.47	Connecting Trails	4. Crescent Moon connector - we agree, especially for access to Oak Creek. The area is used by thousands of visitors each year and providing a sustainable system trail is a logical action.	Crescent Moon: agree	Support noted
128	Patrick	Kell		18	8	3.47	Connecting Trails	5. Turkey Creek connector - we agree, and would request to retain the technical characteristics of the area, while addressing erosion issues.	Turkey Creek: agree and retain technical characteristics while addressing erosion	Support noted
129	Patrick	Kell		18	9	3.47	Connecting Trails	6. Airport Loop trail connector - we support this action.	Airport Loop: agree	Support noted
130	Patrick	Kell		18	10	3.47	Connecting Trails	7. Arizona Cypress connectors - we support this suggestion and see that this new construction would provide a logical loop option and reduce sedimentation into Dry Creek.	Arizona Cypress: Agree with	Support noted
131	Patrick	Kell		18	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	8. Trail network west of Cultural Park - we would give this suggestion the highest priority and recommend the adoption of much of the user built trail in the area, while completing reroutes for sustainability reasons as necessary. We see that many of the existing trails are of a sustainable standard, and given their location and layout, they offer a desired experience for mountain bikers. These trails offer loop options that many riders seek and make logical connections with other system trails.	Cultural Park area: highest priority	Noted
132	Patrick	Kell		18	12	3.45	Loops	9. Doe Mesa Rim loop trail - we see this as a good idea, and understand that it is primarily a hiking trail.	Doe Mesa Rim: agree	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
133	Patrick	Kell		18	13	3.47	Connecting Trails	10. Verde Valley School Road Hiline connector - we would give this suggestion the highest priority. Hiline Trail is an existing iconic trail and a connector here would only add to that experience. We see that this trail would draw additional riders to Sedona and also disperse users by providing 'more options and creating logical rides or loops. Advanced technical trails are highly sought after by many riders and this trail could create another such option, which we would fully support.	Verde Valley School Road Hiline: highest priority	Noted
134	Patrick	Kell		18	14	3.47	Connecting Trails	11. Soldiers Wash connector - we support this suggestion especially as it would create a loop.	Soldiers Wash: Agree	Support noted
135	Patrick	Kell		18	15	3.47	Connecting Trails	12. Devils Bridge connector - we see that this suggestion could create an iconic 'rim trail' experience, with outstanding vistas, offering an intermediate riding experience, therefore getting greater numbers of riders into this area.	Devils Bridge: agree	Support noted.
136	Patrick	Kell		18	16	3.47	Connecting Trails	13. Old Post reroute and Carroll Canyon connectors - we see that as a positive step in increasing privacy for neighboring communities, as trails could be rerouted which could also improve sustainability.	Old Post Reroute and Carroll Canyon: agree, reroute needed to improve sustainability.	Noted
137	Patrick	Kell		18	17	3.45	Loops	Section B: Trail connection and enhancement concepts - Rimrock, Cornville and Camp Verde Areas. 1. Rim rock loop trail - Rim rock- we see this as another of the highest priority suggestions, especially for local residents to access the National Forest in the area, and given that your scoping letter states that forest roads could be connected to public streets, and that access is desired by local residents. Perhaps this area could be subject to a thorough review by an IMBA Trail Specialist, and developed to create a high quality community 'gateway' trail network?	Section B: Highest Priority	Noted
138	Patrick	Kell		18	18	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Windmill Park connector - Cornville - we support this and see it as a good opportunity for local children and families to access the National Forest.	Windmill Park: agree. Good opportunity for families.	Support noted
139	Patrick	Kell		18	19	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	3. Jackson Flat Trail - Camp Verde - we support this and see it as a great location for trail development given that Camp Verde High School and Montezuma Castle National Monument could be incorporated into the system.	Jackson Flatt: agree.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
140	Patrick	Kell		18	20	3.30	Trailheads	Section C: Proposed trailhead improvements 1. Mescal trailhead - we support this suggestion as it would create connector trails; while creating additional parking spaces; and would also mitigate resource damage through closing and restoring the current parking area.	Mescal Trailhead: agree	Support noted
141	Patrick	Kell		18	21	3.30	Trailheads	2. Mystic trailhead - while we are supportive of increased parking capacity at trailheads, we feel that visitor numbers could quickly exceed the capacity of a newly built 7-car lot, as suggested in Option 1, whereas Option 2 would provide for increased capacity and supporting infrastructure.	Mystic Trailhead: Favor Option 2	Support for Mystic Trail option 2 noted
142	Patrick	Kell		18	22	3.35	Parking	3. Trailhead parking at Dry Beaver Creek - we see this as a good opportunity for equestrian trail users and could provide an experience that is more focused on equestrian use.	Dry Beaver Creek Parking: good for equestrian	Support noted
143	Patrick	Kell		18	23	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	To reiterate our recommendations, under Section A we give highest priority to points 3, 8 and 10; and under Section B, we give highest priority to Point 1. We feel that these actions would be beneficial for various reasons, ranging from offering increased riding opportunities for intermediate riders; adopting trails that offer longer distance loops; to providing increased riding opportunities for school children and families, to extending black-diamond trails for higher level riders. As always, IMBA considers the protection of natural and cultural resources as of highest importance, and with any of these recommendations we reiterate our position of developing sustainable trails that offer the range of experiences that mountain bikers seek while protecting the resources.	IMBA's recap of recommendations	Noted
144	Patrick	Kell		18	24	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	Given that we have worked in the past with the Verde Valley Cycling Coalition on the Hogs Trails, where we completed a rock-armoring training session, we would be open to again fielding IMBA Trail Solutions staff to assist with any of these projects and would be pleased to discuss such partnership opportunities with you. Our involvement could range from reviewing trails and offering input prior to adoption into the system, to providing specific training sessions on trail building and maintenance techniques.	IMBA: offers to assist with trail work and design	Noted. We appreciate the offer and hope we can work with you on the design and construction

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
145	Patrick	Kell		18	25	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	<p>Ms. Branton, thank you for taking input from mountain bike advocates we would be pleased to</p> <p>see our highest priority recommendations implemented in the coming months and years and</p> <p>would also be pleased to work with your staff and local volunteer advocates on these projects.</p> <p>Please let me know if you have any questions about our suggestions or about IMBA in general.</p>	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
146	Evan	Sollberger		19	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	<p>Thank you for once again giving trail users the opportunity to comment on trails and trail issues in the Red Rock Ranger District. You have an unusual circumstance in Sedona with so many user created trails, and I hope you recognize the huge increase in visitation to Sedona to ride the trails demonstrates that more trails are very much needed. Mountain bike use will continue to grow dramatically as word of Sedona is just now getting out and more and more riders have it on their bucket lists. The trail network needs to grow accordingly to accommodate these additional users.</p>	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
147	Evan	Sollberger		19	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	<p>While it is unfortunate some users created trails without authorization, it is fortunate that those trails do exist now and can be adopted into the system where appropriate. As a mountain biker who visits Sedona from San Diego frequently to ride, I appreciate your staff considering improving connectivity and the adoption of more trails. This is what keeps me coming back to Sedona. My main disappointment is seeing that a number of trails which were heavily requested for adoption during the RTCA meetings did not make it onto the proposal. Many of the trails discussed are trails found in the current closure areas enacted a year or two ago. While I understand some of them may have issues in terms of impacting cultural sites or have sustainability issues, these trails represent a significant portion of the Sedona trail network. By not being able to ride them, a growing population of mountain bikers have been concentrated on a smaller number of trails. (I was disappointed to see that hikers and equestrians were not subject to the same closure order, that seems like an obvious double standard to me).</p>	include user created trails. Hold hikers and equestrian to same closure order as bikers.	<p>Noted. In deciding which trails to move forward with, we had to balance desire with budget, resources and resource issues. As a result, some requested trails were not practical to move forward with at this time.</p> <p>Consideration of the prohibition to off trail mt biking is outside the scope of this project.</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
148	Evan	Sollberger		19	3	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	<p>Some of the trails below are considered in the Trail Connection and Enhancement proposal, regardless here is a list of the trails I would like to see adopted: Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor, Catwalk, Cakewalk, Western Civilization, Last Frontier, Ledge N Airy, Drano, Windsurfer, Bogus, Sketch, Double D, Under the Radar, Middle Finger, Checkpoint Charlie and Trance pt.</p> <p>The reason these should make for a high priority for adoption is the trails are already built, and for the most part are sustainable. It is my understanding that archaeological studies have been completed on approximately 5.5 miles of trails in the closure area, and that the studies showed that although there are sites nearby, the trails do not go through significant sites which would require a re-route.</p>	specific trails to adopt.	Noted. Archaeology is one factor to consider when assessing trail feasibility. There are other factors including wildlife, plants, social issues, whether or not the Forest Plan allows for trail development within an area, and type of use.
149	Evan	Sollberger		19	4	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	<p>Significant need exists for advanced technical trails such as Hangover, High line, Hogs, Tomahawk, Killer B, Damifino. Now that most trails between Hangover and Tomahawk have been decommissioned there is a severe shortage of technical trails. For this reason a trail such as Ledge N Airy is so much needed to provide technical challenges which many riders crave. The Forest Service should consider building new trail for highly technical riding. As such trails are typically located on bands and ledges of rock, they result in minimal disturbance to soil and vegetation. The need for technical trails was mentioned at the RTCA meetings but is not adequately addressed in the Trail Connections and Enhancement Project proposal.</p>	Need for advanced technical trails.	Noted. In deciding which trails to propose, we balanced desire with budget, resources and resource issues. It is not practical to invest the majority of public resources into trails that serve a very small proportion of trail users. If organized volunteers wish to pursue funding the planning, design, construction, and maintenance, then more of this might be feasible.
150	Evan	Sollberger		19	5	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>It could also be possible to create some long-distance cross country biking trails outside of Sedona (west of West Sedona, which could create a loop with Lime Kiln Trail), and also south of town near the camping area off Beaverhead Flat Rd a trail could be connected from there up to Sedona, and also west around Red Rock State Park and connecting to Lime Kiln. If some quality, long distance XC loops were developed it would help ease traffic in Sedona itself. Again, longer distance XC trails were requested in the RTCA meetings but did not make it into the proposal anywhere. Granted, the proposal is mainly intended to address connectivity and enhancement of the existing trail network, but construction of new trails will be needed in the not too distant future, as the need for technical trails and XC trails will only continue to grow.</p>	request to create long distance cross country bike trails.	Noted. Areas outside Sedona are being considered for other types of trail opportunities.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
151	Evan	Sollberger		19	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Highest priority:</p> <p>A3 - Special Ed/ Pyramid, Witch Doctor; and Catwalk, Cakewalk should all be adopted and upgraded where needed for sustainability. This is an important and popular area in West Sedona that should have been built by the USFS long before it was popularized by trail users. Special Ed/Pyramid/Witch Doctor is one of the best rides in Sedona and creates several loop opportunities. Catwalk/Cakewalk is another important trail because it forms an incredible 5 mile loop around Scheurman Mtn.</p>	A3: Highest priority list of trails	Noted
152	Evan	Sollberger		19	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>A8 - It is important to formalize trails in this area (Western Civ, Ledge N Airy.' Last Frontier). Western Civilization and Last Frontier form an important connector from the West Sedona trail network down to Hwy 89A, and they form a loop with Girdner, a trail which is much less popular due to its steep grades. Ledge N Airy is a technical loop that is mostly rock and very sustainable. This is a very important trail in Sedona because it is a very technical advanced ride, which is severely lacking in Sedona. There is lots of demand for this type of riding.</p>	A8: important to formalize trails in this area.	FS recognizes the desirability to improve and formalize the trail system west of Girdner area. There are currently no funding sources to make this happen. When funds and organized and skilled volunteers become available, then the planning, design, construction and maintenance of this system would be more feasible.
153	Evan	Sollberger		19	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>A10 - Transcept connector - If a sustainable route can be found down off the ridge line this trail would make a beautiful and ingenious connection from High Line back to voe. At present there are only two ways to access the main trail network from voe and that is Slim Shady and Bell Rock Pathway. This would give riders an alternate route to get to High Line which will help alleviate traffic on Slim Shady. This is one of the trails like Hangover, High Line, the Hogs which define Sedona riding.</p>	A10: Find a sustainable route	See note above. FS recognizes the desire for this loop trail by many trail users. No resources are available at this time to plan this trail system.
154	Evan	Sollberger		19	9	3.47	Connecting Trails	<p>A13 - The re-route of Old Post and Carroll Cyn connectors is important because these are currently difficult trails close to residential homes, and are not very sustainable. At the same time they are a critical connector and access point from the community. The re-route should help moderate the trail difficulty and improve sustainability.</p>	A13: reroute needed	Noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
155	Evan	Sollberger		19	10	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A1 - The re-route of the Bell Rock equestrian bypass is not the highest priority because the route already exists, it is just not used much. It would however alleviate traffic on Slim Shady and the Pathway. It will need to be done eventually especially since trails have become much more popular since being brought into the system. Many other users are on these trails, not just bikes anymore. Bike traffic will continue to grow immensely as more people discover Sedona. This re-route will also provide an intermediate difficulty trail compared to the Pathway (beginner) and Slim Shady (intermediate- w/advanced spots). It would give some more variety to completing loops out of voe, whereas now there are only two main routes people take.	A1: not the highest priority, but needed	Noted. The design will include some improvements that will make the trail more attractive and functional.
156	Evan	Sollberger		19	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A4 - Crescent moon - this will be good as it is heavily used by hikers and is close to the creek.	A4: needed	Support noted
157	Evan	Sollberger		19	12	3.47	Connecting Trails	A7 - Arizona Cypress connectors. This seems like a good idea but is just not a huge priority right now. Getting people off the road and onto the trail would be a good thing.	A7: low priority, but get people off the road	Noted
158	Evan	Sollberger		19	13	3.47	Connecting Trails	A12 - Devils Bridge connector. I support this trail but it should be lower on the priority list because it is not a glaring need at this point. It would mostly be used by hikers although bikers may use it to expnd their loops. If the existing road to Devil's Bridge is too rough for vehicles to drive on, then it will not have significant traffic and trail users can use that to get to the Devil's Bridge.	A12: lower priority.	Noted. Devil's Bridge is a very popular destination and becoming more so. Road access is a challenge. A new trail is also a challenge as soils are very erosive and numerous archaeological sites are in the area. There are currently no funds to plan this connector.
159	Evan	Sollberger		19	14	3.47	Connecting Trails	A5 -Turkey Creek connector. I like this project as it would help provide a better connection to Red Rock State Park which are somewhat lacking at present. It will also help address the issue of siltation in Oak Creek. However, I rank this project low priority for two reasons: 1) this area sees much less traffic than trails on the "front" side of Sedona in VOC, and West Sedona - therefore priorities in those areas should be addressed first. I would also question the effectiveness of this re-route/consolidation because equestrians are permitted to travel "cross-country" and are unlikely to stick to a single designated trail.	A5: low priority due to low traffic use	Noted
160	Evan	Sollberger		19	15	3.47	Connecting Trails	A11 - Soldiers Wash connector - lower priority as it is just a connector and will follow an old 4x4 track.	A11: low priority	Noted
161	Evan	Sollberger		19	16	3.47	Connecting Trails	B1, B3 - These look like good additions to the trail network but each will likely benefit a relatively few people, whereas the majority of trail use goes on in Sedona. Projects in the highest traffic areas should be completed first.	Section B: will likely benefit only a few people	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
162	Evan	Sollberger		19	17	3.30	Trailheads	C1-3 Trailheads lowest priority - people can access from further away if they want. Lets get some more trails in the system first.	Section C: lowest priority; focus on including trails	Noted. We feel there is a need for trailheads. Our objective is to serve both locals and visitors, Without trailheads, it is difficult for visitors to use the trails, they park unsafely on the side of the road and many cause damage as they cross country searching for the official trail.
163	Evan	Sollberger		19	18	3.47	Connecting Trails	A6 - Airport Loop connector - I just don't see this as necessary.	A6: not necessary	Noted
164	Evan	Sollberger		19	19	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A2 - Courthouse Butte - Good luck getting hikers to stay on the trail.	A2: hikers won't stay on trail	Once there is an official trail with proper signage, we feel it will help keep people on the trail. Regardless, our efforts will improve the situation through proper trail design.
165	Evan	Sollberger		19	20	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	B2 - Cornville. Low use area, mostly locals. Lowest priority.	B2: lowest priority	We are attempting to serve all the local communities. Cornville Community Association has been working for many years to develop trails in their area.
166	Craig	Stevens		20	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I want to thank the RRRD staff and everyone involved for the daunting task of revising and updating our non-motorized trail system. The two documents for review are well written. They state what can't be done, why, and make suggestions as to what can be done. The following are my comments from an equestrian's point of view:	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
167	Craig	Stevens		20	2	3.20	User Conflicts	The recent survey of trail users indicates 82% hikers, 17% bikers and 1% equestrians. Understandably percentages differ from area to area. Thoughts come to mind while reading these statics. Several years ago, I'm sure equestrian use in the Red Rocks was significantly higher than 1%. Back then, meeting hikers on the trail was never an issue. Most hikers liked to see the "old west" out on the trail. With the current escalating mountain biking trend, the 17% of bikes will rise creating the increased potential for accidents and additional stress on the resources.	more bikes will create increase in potential accidents and stress on resources	Mountain biking is a legitimate use of the areas trails along with hiking and equestrian use. Mitigating user conflicts is a key area that needs to be addressed. This is an evolving and adaptive situation that needs everyone's ideas and help.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
168	Craig	Stevens		20	3	5.20	Public Safety	Public safety on the trails, specifically mountain bike speed, was only slightly touched upon at the trail meetings. I'm hoping USFS will continue to explore ways to protect two of the three non-motorized trail user groups going 3 mph from the third group going 20 mph, sometimes traveling in the opposite direction. This is not a sustainable situation.	mountain bike speed is a safety concern	See above.
169	Craig	Stevens		20	4	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	To help ease the pressure, I strongly support trail heads for equestrian with trailers, access outside the red rocks trail system. Cases in point. ... the proposed Cornville Rd. asphalt mixing table site, under Section C, paragraph 3 ...The priority level should be raised to high.	Section C paragraph 3 should be high priority, need for equestrian trailheads	Noted.
170	Craig	Stevens		20	5	3.67	Trails (Equestrian)	Another site with current adequate parking for rigs is the Cultural Park area, Section A. paragraph 8, will create a loop trail and ease pressure. I strongly support this proposal. I'd like to see the priority level raised.	Supports Section A paragraph 8, should be raised in priority	Noted
171	Craig	Stevens		20	6	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Another popular equestrian area that will help in taking pressure off the system, is the Kachina trail system west of Oak Creek. It's an excellent alternative for the equestrian to enjoy the red rock riding experience. An ideal location for a trail head would be near the old Kachina stables. Please consider this option even though this trail system wasn't mentioned in the reports.	Kachina trail system: excellent alternative	Noted. The Kachina Trail system is already an approved system. It is, however, very poorly maintained and many routes have become so eroded they are no longer used. The District seeks a way to restore some or all of these trails so they can be useful to the public.
172	Craig	Stevens		20	7	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	The three areas mentioned above rate the highest in my opinion to help ease congestion and possible conflicts. The projects mentioned for Rimrock, Cornville and Camp Verde will greatly benefit those communities. I support those projects as well.	Rimrock, Cornville and Camp Verde projects are supported.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
173	Jason	First		21	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Section A : Trail Connections and Enhancements</p> <p>I support the Upper Red Rock Loop Area Connectors, including the pyramid trails (Special Ed). I feel this area is a great option to extend traffic out of impacted areas, while offering the same level of user experience (scenery, trail features, terrain, red rock country, etc). I would like to see the the addition and/or re-routes of current trails in this area, to provide beginner level trails similar to Bell Rock Pathway, in this area. I feel Sedona is still lacking opportunities for beginner/family cyclists, and this area provides enough open space to provide a pathway style experience.</p>	Supports Section A and states opportunities for beginners and family cyclists lacking	<p>Support noted</p> <p>The Bell Rock Pathway of 3.3 miles was constructed at a cost of over \$300,000 back in the late 80's. Making such a wide and easy grade pathway is expensive in the Red Rock area where topography is so varied. FS agrees that more "pathway" is desirable for many people who live in and visit this area. However, the resources are not currently available for this.</p>
174	Jason	First		21	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>I support the Trail Network West of Cultural Park. - For the same reasons as above this offers trail users an extended range of miles that is further out of town, giving an experience away from traffic and residential areas. This area could also be utilized for a pathway style trail as well, for th~ beginner/family rider.</p>	supports Cultural Park	Support noted
175	Jason	First		21	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>I support the Bell Rock Pathway Singletrack Bypass. Obviously Bell Rock is very congested, and Slim Shady is the only other intermediate level option. This would help keep Slim Shady from over use. These would be my top 3 priorities from the proposal.</p>	Supports Bell Rock Pathway	Support noted
176	Patricia	Miller		22	1	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	<p>In regards to your trail plans. Please for all of the trail heads keep in mind the size of horse trailers and the space needed for maneuvering. This will be especially important on the Dry Beaver Trailhead on Cornville road. I have seen as many as 15 horse trailers in this location. This is a very popular equestrian area and is centrally located for most of the communities in the Verde Valley. Thank you.</p>	keep size of horse trailers in mind in trailhead design.	<p>Not all trailheads will be designed for trailer parking. However, key trailheads need to be convenient and suitable for equestrian use.</p>
177	Penelope	Robinson		23	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>I am writing in support of the trail enhancements in the Red Rock District, specifically the Rimrock Loop Trails called out in Section B-1 of the proposal. I moved here from Western New York ten months ago and one of the things that attracted me to my particular neighborhood was the close access to the forest service lands for walking. I have enjoyed exploring out there many times and would welcome any improvements especially easier access through the fence and improved markings on the trails. Every time I am out there away from civilization I remain in awe of the efforts of the first settlers and explorers in this area. It takes me back to a more basic time where man and nature were better connected. I applaud the efforts of the Forest Service to help make this a better experience for the local residents and am looking forward to introducing the area's beauty to my guests from afar.</p>	Supports Rim Rock trails.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
178	Keith	Griffiths		24	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	My Name is Keith Griffiths my wife and I are avid mountain bikers/hikers I'm also a employee at Over the Edge bike store in Sedona. It's always great to see potential new trails and trail improvements being explored.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
179	Keith	Griffiths		24	2	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	I'm keen to offer my support by way of physical trail work for any of the proposed projects.	offer to volunteer	Noted. Thank you for the offer.
180	Keith	Griffiths		24	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>The projects I see as the high priority:</p> <p>Section A-</p> <p>3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connector</p> <p>8. Trail network west of Cultural Park</p> <p>13. Old Post reroute and Carroll Canyon connectors</p> <p>Section C-</p> <p>1. Mescal trailhead</p> <p>2. Mystic trailhead</p> <p>Thanks for the opportunity to provide input.</p>	trail prioritization	Noted
181	Anthony	Quintile		25	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the trail proposals for the Red Rock Ranger District. Background: Flagstaff Biking Organization (FBO) is a group of cyclists who came together to "promote bicycling as a safe and attractive means of transportation and recreation in Northern Arizona." Our initial project was to put on a Bike to Work Week for our community in May 2002. Building on the success we started to expand our efforts to keep people informed of cycling related issues and galvanize support for better and safer facilities, trails, and trail access. We have a successful and ongoing 10+ year relationship with the Coconino National Forest, Coconino County and the City of Flagstaff, including a Memorandum of Understanding to work cooperatively to provide for non-motorized trails opportunities in the Flagstaff area. Please see http://www.flagstaffbiking.org for more information on our organization.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
182	Anthony	Quintile		25	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	We strongly support the need for new trails and trailheads in the Sedona area. We appreciate that we were involved in the preliminary scoping and that much of our early input was incorporated into the proposal.	Flagstaff Biking Organization: supports trails project	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
183	Anthony	Quintile		25	3	3.10	User Experience (Enjoyment Level)	<p>The best management strategies are those that address the needs of the recreating public</p> <p>in a manner that is both environmentally and socially sustainable. In fact environmental</p> <p>sustainability cannot be achieved in recreation management without social sustainability. In order to achieve social sustainability, it is important to recognize the quantitative and qualitative needs of the various user groups. It is relatively easy to measure quantitative needs, as surveys can readily assess how many people participated in a given activity within a time frame. Qualitative needs are far more difficult to measure and address but potentially more important. Please recognize that this project's success is extremely dependent upon the details inherent in recognizing and providing for an exceptionally diverse array of recreational needs, and that the details of those needs are difficult to express.</p>	Keep user experience (enjoyment) in mind.	Noted
184	Anthony	Quintile		25	4	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	<p>It is important to recognize the substantial number of user-created and historic trails and routes that are part of the existing condition, and what these trails represent. Both the experiential quality and quantity of these routes demonstrate the unmet needs of the recreating public. If these needs are addressed it is our hope that this will encourage users to eschew using and constructing unauthorized routes, leading to continued minimization of environmental impact as a result of the implementation of this planning.</p>	incorporate user created trails	Noted.
185	Anthony	Quintile		25	5	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>Priorities for Trail Additions to the USFS System</p> <p>Certain factors contribute to the need to prioritize addition of specific trails to the USFS trail system. Mountain biker visitation to the Sedona area has grown exponentially, and continues to grow dramatically. This increase in demand for trails for mountain biking should be prioritized in order to address this demand as proactively as possible.</p>	consider increase in mountain biking over the years. Make biking trails a priority	We recognize the mountain bike demand. A challenge is how to accommodate with limited budget, resources and landscape.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
186	Anthony	Quintile		25	6	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Recent Forest Service imposed restrictions on travel by bicycle have exacerbated the need for trails that will allow mountain bike access to areas where the use of user created routes has been prohibited by law. Some of the user created routes that were closed by Forest Order, although presumably unacceptably impactful to resources for various mitigable reasons, demonstrate unmet needs for connections and access. Since other users are not restricted by these closures, trails to provide for bicycle access should receive prioritization.	Make biking trails a priority. Too many areas were closed to mountain biking due to closure order.	Some of the proposed connectors are intended to meet this need.
187	Anthony	Quintile		25	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	1) Western Civilization, Last Frontier, Ledge and Aerie- These trails provide connectivity and loop options between the lower end of Girdner Trail and the Cockscomb and Aerie Trails. This affords numerous options for full-day loops in the Dry Creek area.	Western Civilization and others: support	Support noted.
188	Anthony	Quintile		25	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	2) Transept- This trail traverses through phenomenal scenery and if it was connected to Made in the Shade and/or Slim Shady would provide a full loop allowing riders to avoid riding Verde Valley School Road's pavement to connect back to the Village of Oak Creek.	Transept: support	Support noted
189	Anthony	Quintile		25	9	3.43	Trail Design	3) Any novice or pathway style trails- The opportunities for novice cyclists are grossly underrepresented in the Sedona area. Please strongly consider adding any trails to the system that provide for beginner, (Trail Classification 3+), single track trail riding opportunities and for multi-use pathways, (Trail Classification 4-5). The Turkey Creek area could be an example of a place that could host such a system.	Support of novice trails and pathways	Noted. Our goal is to provide a range of riding opportunities.
190	Anthony	Quintile		25	10	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	4) Bell Rock Pathway single track bypass- This is a highly necessary connector since the Bell Rock Trail Head is immediately proximate to two bike shops and is also exceptionally popular with visitors looking for a short hiking opportunity. An appealing semi-technical route to entice mountain bikers off of the main Bell Rock Pathway would go a long way to mitigate user conflict issues. Bell Rock Pathway should remain open to cyclists however as not everyone who rides a bicycle is seeking a single track experience.	Bell Rock Pathway: highly necessary	Support noted
191	Anthony	Quintile		25	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	5) Special Ed/Pyramid area trails- These trails fall within one of the bicycle restricted travel areas. Because these routes were specifically closed, and because of the unmet need that these routes represent, appropriate replacements and/or realignments should be prioritized for consideration for addition to the trail system.	Special Ed & Pyramid: these represent an unmet need for bicyclists	Noted. These trails are now going through the official planning process.

Comments from 2014 Red Rock Trails Public Scoping - Proposed Actions – Coconino National Forest – Red Rock District – October 2014

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
192	Anthony	Quintile		25	12	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	6) Slickrock areas- Any trails planning should recognize and encourage numerous opportunities for cross country travel and exploration in open slick.rock areas for bicycles. Long-term changes in management of bicycle use could inadvertently fail to provide for this important experience. Please be sure that any planning reflects the need for this.	Slickrock areas: need for cross country travel and exploration of open rock.	Noted
193	Anthony	Quintile		25	13	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Please work with Verde Valley Cyclists for details. Although we, and our members, ride frequently in the Sedona and Verde Valley areas, we do not have the same level of intimacy with the Red Rock trails that the members and leadership of the Verde Valley Cyclists do. Please continue to seek out the direct and ongoing input of the VVCC and its members! Thank you for the opportunity to be involved in this process. Please continue to keep us apprised of the progress on this planning.	request that Red Rock RD work with VVCC for details.	Noted. We desire to work with all interested parties and will continue to involve you in our scoping processes.
194	Scott	Hollister		26	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I am all for the reroute of the singletrack bypass. It will create decreased traffic on Bell Rock Pathway and on Slim Shady.	Supports reroute on Bell Rock Pathway	Support noted
195	Scott	Hollister		26	2	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	There are volunteers in the Village ready and willing to do tqe work if it should be approved.	Volunteers in the village ready to help	Noted
196	Scott	Hollister		26	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I would also like to see Transcept open, as it's a great alternative to the last half of Highline.	would like to see Transcept open.	Support noted
197	Carol	Grieshaber		27	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I am thrilled that Rim rock was given a high priority for development of the "Sunset Trails". The trail with a destination to an overlook to Montezuma Well will be very special and I am sure much used.	supports high priority given to Rim Rock of Sunset Trails	Support noted
198	Carol	Grieshaber		27	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I am a member of the Beaver Creek Trails Coalition and this is a wonderful boost to our dedicated board and Trail members. A trail comprehensive trail system in our community is a high priority for our organization and this is a wonderful first step.	supports comprehensive trail system	Support noted
199	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Thank you for the invitation to comment on the non-motorized trail proposals for the Red Rock Ranger District. I have lived in Arizona all my life; I have been an avid hiker and backpacker for nearly 40 years. I have hiked many trails in the Verde Valley since moving to Cottonwood from Tucson seven years ago. Four years ago I bought a horse and now do quite a bit of trail riding along with hiking. I occasionally take my mountain bike out for a spin, but nothing too serious - two-track is more my speed than single track. Thus I have a multi-use perspective that may be somewhat rare: hiker, equestrian trail rider, and mountain biker.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
200	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I have several comments and recommendations regarding the non-motorized trail proposal for the Red Rock Ranger District. 1. Financial resources are always limited; therefore, prioritize projects as follows: a. Natural resource and cultural resource protection should be the highest priority. Therefore, take care of those trails/locations with the highest current or potential impact to those resources.	Natural resource and cultural protection deserve highest priority.	Noted. Resource protection is important to us and if we discover an unacceptable impact during the analysis, we can always choose not to do a particular part of the proposal.
201	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	b. Connector trails get you the most "bang for the buck" in both providing much access for relatively few miles of trail installed and for protecting natural and cultural resources (people will make the connections without benefit of a trail, thus causing more damage).	concentrate on connector trails	Noted. We have identified connector trails as part of this proposal.
202	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	4	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	c. Equestrian trail heads should be prioritized in those areas where there is no access at this time.	Equestrian trailheads needed where lacking.	Noted
203	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	5	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	d. Local community support for new trail systems should be honored and trail building and maintenance volunteers from the local community recruited.	utilize community help	Noted. We want to partner with the local communities and groups, especially for maintaining the trails.
204	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	6	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Following the principles listed above, I recommend: a. All the connector trails in the Sedona/VOC area, with highest priority on those that would provide increased protection of natural and cultural resources. I have hiked in many of these areas but don't feel that I have enough intimate familiarity to make those prioritization recommendations. I accept the priorities indicated in the proposal.	highest priority to connector trails	Support noted
205	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	7	3.47	Connecting Trails	b. I agree that Rimrock Loop Trails and Windmill Park connector should be high priority and Jackson Flat Trail a lower priority. I have frequently ridden my horse in the Jackson Flat area and I have never seen hikers in this area. There is a fairly extensive network of dirt roads that is both rideable and walkable. As an equestrian, I have accessed the area from friends' houses in the vicinity as there is not an equestrian parking area.	Rimrock Loop: High priority; Jackson Flat: lower priority.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
206	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	8	3.30	Trailheads	c. Proposed Trailhead Improvements: I agree that Mescal TH should be high priority. I don't know much about the Mystic TH.	Mescal Trailhead: high priority	Noted
207	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	9	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	d. Trailhead Parking at the asphalt piles on Cornville Road: this is a favorite equestrian access point - I ride here often. There is no problem parking there now, thus I suggest low priority for this project. It appears that there are many other locations that are more in need of work to reduce damage and protect natural and cultural resources. If horse trailer parking creates a problem for the county in their use of the materials, then the area could be signed to indicate where to park to reduce that conflict. I work full time so I ride mostly on weekends, so I have never seen a conflict. I've never heard of a conflict from other equestrians.	Cornville Road parking: low priority	Noted
208	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	10	2.15	Adding New Trails	3. I have a proposed addition to the proposal. I highly recommend that the following project be added to your list as a high priority: Build a useable trail that leaves the "trail head" parking lot on the southwest end of Beaverhead Flat Road. Equestrians never park in the "trailhead" parking lot because the only "trail" leaving the parking lot is a poorly marked barely extant trail that runs adjacent to Cornville Road. There is a fence all around the parking lot and there is no other way to leave the parking lot. I have no desire to ride my horse (or walk, for that matter) beside a road where drivers are passing at 60 mph. Therefore, equestrians always parked off to the side of the next dirt road to the east (sorry, I don't have the FR #s in front of me).	proposed addition: Beaverhead Flat Road, create parking on next dirt road to the east.	The equestrian access from this trailhead has recently been improved. Thank you for the additional idea of a formal trail out of the trailhead.
209	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	11	1.03	Request for Information or Meeting	The USFS has recently installed a locked gate on this road and on many other roads along Beaverhead Flat Road - I do not know the reasoning behind this and I did not see a public comment period relating to it. (Please send me more information pertaining to this decision.)	Why the locked gate along Beaverhead Flat Road?	These locked gates were installed as a part of the implementation of travel management. The roads in this area are closed to motor vehicle use. FS understands that people would like pedestrian access through some of these gates and fences.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
210	Jeanmarie	Haney		28	12	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	To make up for the loss of all the good horse trailer parking areas on the now gated and locked roads, there needs to be a gate (non-motorized access only) that leaves the back of the parking area at the southwest end of Beaverhead Flat Road. I can see on Google Earth that a road leaves that area and joins up with other roads and trails in the area. I have never understood why a trailhead parking lot was installed at a location without a real trail head! It reminds me of a door to nowhere! Thank you for your consideration.	Needs to be equestrian trailhead access leaving parking area at southwest end of Beaverhad Flat Road. Parking but no trailhead is there.	Noted We have been working to make this trailhead more functional
211	Mike	Raney		29	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Over The Edge sports is the premier bike shop in West Sedona. We pride ourselves on the best user experience selection of gear, accurate trail recommendations, top mechanics and quality of bikes. We are also committed to maintaining and enhancing the cycling experience in Sedona. This means that we volunteer at trail days and also sponsor trail signage. We are active in working with the city on projects like bike lanes, public access connectors, and the proposed bike park. We are keen to offer our support for any of the proposed projects and thank you for the opportunity to give our input for the for the upcoming projects.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
212	Mike	Raney		29	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	The three projects we see as the high priority: Section A- 3. Upper Red Rock Loop Road area connector 8. Trail network west of Cultural Park 13. Old Post reroute and Carroll Canyon connectors Section C- 1. Mescal trailhead 2. Mystic trailhead	trail prioritization	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
213	Michael Chesley	Johnson		30	1	3.20	User Conflicts	I am responding to District Ranger Nicole Branton's request for responses to proposals for non-motorized trail changes in the Red Rock Ranger District. The RRRD should give serious thought to separating bicyclists and hikers on these non-motorized trails. My family hikes many of the trails in the area frequently, and there have been many times when we have had dangerous encounters with bicyclists who don't heed the rule to yield to hikers. Often, they travel in large groups and at a high rate of speed on narrow trails with steep drop-offs and blind curves. We often hike with a dog (always leashed) and we are often forced off the trail. This will become an increasing problem as more bicyclists are made aware of Sedona's beautiful trails. I foresee serious accidents in the future if bicyclists aren't restricted.	Red Rock RD should consider separating bikers and hikers. User conflicts.	Noted We have been having extensive discussions about mitigation of user conflicts.
214	Jeannette	Estes		31	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	The Beaver Creek Community Action Team (BCCAT) is pleased to write this letter to the Red Rock District, Coconino National Forest in support of the proposed non-motorized trail initiative that includes trails in the Beaver Creek area. We are complimented that some of the mapping used in the Beaver Creek Trails portion of the Initiative is the work of several of the members of the Beaver Creek Trails Coalition.	BCCAT supports Red Rock RD trails project	Support noted
215	Jeannette	Estes		31	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Thank you for including the "Sunset Group" in the forest planning, which will result in many years of pleasure for residents, their families, guests and, of course, the tourists that visit throughout the year.	Support of Sunset Group trails	Support noted
216	Lynette	Schafer		32	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	To Whom it May Concern: I would like to voice my support for the recent proposal for off road trails for the Lake Montezuma, Rimrock, Beaver Creek area. These proposals are known as the Sunset trails. I believe that this area of the Coconino Forest is sadly lacking in trails for walking, biking, horse back riding and hiking. This proposal does a great deal to address this situation. It is my hope that you will look at this proposal very carefully as I believe it has been well thought out by the group who have been working on this for several months.	Support for trails in Lake Montezuma, Rim Rock, Beaver Creek area.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
217	Terry	Keller		33	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I wanted to express my support for all of the proposed trail enhancements outlined for comment in the Sedona, Cornville, Montezuma Rim rock, and Camp Verde areas. As the Fire Chief of both Montezuma Rim rock and Camp Verde Fire Districts, I feel it is important to provide this form of recreation to this area as one of the drivers to help both of these communities recover from what has been a severe and prolonged recession. Recreational opportunities will certainly bring visitors to the area and perhaps these visitors will become attracted to the lifestyle the areas offers, and become residents. This hopefully in turn would provide some much needed stimulus to the economy of both fire districts. Also, having established trails will make it easier for responders to locate and provide assistance to those who venture into the back country. Currently, without established trail names and designated trail heads, it is very much a guess as to how to best locate, access, and evacuate someone in need of medical attention in many of these areas. As a long-time resident of Sedona, I support the trail enhancements proposed in this area as well. Much of Sedona is being "overrun" with tourists, and the efforts employed to create designated trail systems, from my observation, has greatly reduced the social trail building by residents and tourist alike. Much of what is being proposed, will certainly help to reduce the impact on the land by creating established trails, rather than just an illogical mess, which has come about from the boom of mountain biking.	Support of biking trails project	Support noted
218	Terry	Keller		33	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I especially would support the fully developed option for the mystic trailhead, as well as the direct-connect to Devils bridge from long-canyon. I have encountered numerous folks on the Chuckwagon trail who were lost looking for the bridge.	Mystic Trailhead & Devils Bridge: support	Support noted
219	Rich	Spinelli		34	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	please consider raising the priority of the Devil's Bridge Connector (A12) from its current low priority. Many people hike the road (FR152) instead of hiking on the Chuckwagon trail to get to the bridge. As a 4-wheel jeep owner I can say that it can be very dangerous when there are many people walking the road along with all the 4-wheel traffic also on the road.	Devils Bridge: consider raising the priority. Safety concern from motorized traffic on road.	Noted. We understand how popular Devil's Bridge is.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
220	Jim	Mohahan		35	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Hope all is well! Below please find some comments on the proposed Red Rock District non-motorized trails.</p> <p>Priorities:</p> <p>A1 - Bell Rock Pathway Singletrack Bypass: With the growing number of active tourist visiting Sedona each year the Bell Rock Pathway area continues to become more congested and impacted. Singletrack Bypass would give intermediate and advanced mountain bike riders an alternative to Bell Rock Pathways. This would reduce user conflict and erosion on Bell Rock Pathways. The other reason for this trail is to have a start and finish for a Mountain Bike ride that does not involve Slim Shady. Currently, every intermediate and advanced rider starts and ends their ride with Slim Shady. Singletrack Bypass, if built correctly, will also take a good amount of the burden off of Slim Shady thus reducing conflict and impact.</p>	Bell Rock Pathway: highest priority	Support noted
221	Jim	Mohahan		35	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>A-3 - Upper Red Rock Loop Road Connectors: These trails offer an alternative to mountain bikers who wish to complete a loop. Currently the only Herkinham can get you to and from the area specified. From the Village, when we cross the creek we used to be able to climb Herkinham and come back on Special Ed and Witch Doctor (Pyramid). This will also give people who are staying in the Dry Creek area an alternative to The Chuck Wagon area trails. Also with the proposal of the Last Frontier area trails you will be able to create some longer loops. All of this will reduce conflict and impact.</p>	Upper Red Rock Loop: Highest priority	Support noted
222	Jim	Mohahan		35	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>A10 Verde Valley School Road Hiline Connector: The trail currently know as Transept is a great and complete loop when combined with Made in the Shade/Slim Shady and Hiline. It also takes the pressure off Hi Line as people who do not want to go down the back side of Hiline to Baldwin can complete a loop without back tracking in Hiline. This trail will also give access to Hiline from the Verde valley School road neighborhoods. All other suggestions are good ones but these are the ones I believe to have the highest priority as they disperse use, reduce conflict and create real loops!</p>	Verde Valley Road Hiline: Highest Priority	Support noted
223	Joel	Bowers		36	1	2.20	Cross Country Travel Prohibition	<p>As an SMBC club member I appreciate all of the work the Forest Service has done previously to adopt user created trails to a system status. I am also aware that the Forest Service would like to create a cross country closure to all off trail riding in all areas currently legal for mountain bikers to transit cross country and I am against such a trail closure.</p>	Against cross country travel closure.	Noted. This issue is outside of the scope of this project.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
224	Joel	Bowers		36	2	2.20	Cross Country Travel Prohibition	<p>Currently equestrians and hikers have no restriction on anywhere they can travel in the 500,000 acre Red Rock Ranger District. As an SMBC member I believe a cross country closure to mountain bikers is discriminatory. Ranger Burns has admitted that she realizes that it is discriminatory to a fellow mountain biker who attended a number of RTCA meetings. She recently admitted to Rama that she would like the closure to continue to exist, so that gives members something serious to think about.</p>	discrimination against bikers, by closing areas to them and not to hikers and equestrian.	See above.
225	Joel	Bowers		36	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>My hope is that the current Cross Country Closure is lifted when it is determined that the reasons for the closure have never been proved and were based on a Forest Service model that seem to be inaccurate at this point in time. Currently all archeological studies have been requested of trails in the closure area to discover what significant impacts user created trails have had to this point. Trails I would like to see given the highest priority for adoption are: Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor, Catwalk, Bike Path, Under the Radar, Cakewalk, Double D, Windsurfer, Bogus, Airport Connector, Upper Ramshead, Stay High, Ledge'n'Airy, Drano, Last Frontier, Western Civilization, Sketch and Old Ramshead. All of those trails make great connector trails and would reduce the user conflicts due to heavy usage of the other popular system trails in the West Sedona area. If it were to be determined that any of those trails were to cause significant impact to archeological sites or proven to significantly create erosion that leads to silt in the pristine Oak Creek, those trails should be re-routed or armored to eliminate significant impacts to the watershed like other adopted or newly constructed trails have under gone.</p>	Highest priority list of trails	Noted
226	Joel	Bowers		36	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Lower priority trails to be adopted would be Transcept, Red Rock Crossing, Jump Trail and Harms Way. The last new trail priority would be the new Equestrian Bypass trail that parallels the Bell Rock Pathway Trail and ends up in the Bell Rock Vista Parking lot at the north end of the parking lot. The concept behind this trail is that it would hopefully reduce the user conflict being experienced on the very popular Slim Shady user created trail which serves as a major north and south connector from Bike and Bean and Absolute Bike shops.</p>	Lower priority trails.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
227	Joel	Bowers		36	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The reason for listing the Equestrian Bypass project as a lower priority is that it was never listed as a concept during the RTCA Trails Planning process. The Village of Oak Creek riders who are now in favor of that new connector trail gave up on the RTCA Trails Planning Process only after attending the first couple meetings. It seems odd that that connector concept should be listed as Ranger Nicole Branton's number one priority when she didn't even attend any of the meetings.	Equestrian Bypass should be lower priority due to never listed as a concept in RTCA planning process.	Whether it is listed or not as a priority for the district is not solely related to the RTCP planning process. Other issues and opportunities arise that require a response. The trail program must be adaptive and responsive to safety, resource and social issues as well as the RTCP comments.
228	Joel	Bowers		36	6	1.07	Trust and Integrity	Possibly, the critics of the trail planning process were correct that public input in those meetings was meaningless, but my hope is that they were not correct in that early assumption. If the Equestrian Bypass trail gets the highest priority it will just help prove those critics were probably correct about the process.	Doesn't trust planning process if Equestrian Bypass given high priority	The RTCA planning process was a process to identify options, it was not a contract. All aspects of our scoping effort were evaluated for potential projects.
229				37	1	1.07	Trust and Integrity	Jennifer, The recent pdf about trail projects seems to be divorced from the RTCA Trail scoping process. This is very confusing to me since I asked at those meetings on at least 4 occasions about this.	recent trails pdf seems divorced of RTCA Trail scoping.	The RTCA planning process was a process to identify options, it was not a contract All aspects of our scoping effort were evaluated for potential projects.
230				37	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Please include my recommended priorities into the greater scoping process that this additional process is trying to include: #8 Trail Network west of Cultural Park ... Western civ, Last Frontier, Ledge and Air #3 Upper Red Rock Loop Rd Area Connectors ... Special Ed, Witch Doctor, Cat Walk Unmentioned Connector ... Bike Path to the High School ... This is one of the most critical links in the whole trail system and the area has already been scoped for addition into the system	trail prioritization	Noted
231	Diane	Petrusich		38	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Hi Jennifer: we met at the Mystic trailhead a few months ago. I live on Pine Drive and I have been hiking in Sedona for years.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
232	Diane	Petrusich		38	2	3.20	User Conflicts	One of the things that saddens me most about the trails is the ATV's in the Broken Arrow/Submarine Rock area. Businesses for rentals are popping up all over town. It seems those vehicles do not require mufflers. They have ruined the experience of hiking there. In contrast, the Pink Jeep company has quiet vehicles and also help maintain the area. I hate those buzzing machines! Some times they speed up Pine Drive looking for trails in the cemetery. As you know there has been a tremendous increase in bikers. I even had a biker put his shoe under my dog's stomach and push her off the trail. I think this place is being loved to death. Are the trails going to resemble Fossil Creek? My friends and I do our best to pick up litter as we see it. Long Canyon should remain motor-free. I will continue to follow the information so that I can better form opinions on other trails.	user conflict with ATVs and bikers versus hikers. Long Canyon should remain motor-free.	Noted. This issue is outside the scope of this project.
233	Andy	Beeler		39	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	The scoping letter is well thought out and provides a clear delineation of projects. Are the projects listed in order of priority? The letter does not address neighborhood connectors. This is a key issue with residents concerning forest access.	Supports RedRock RD trails project	The scoping letter contained the projects that we felt were practical to move forward with at this time. Many neighborhood connectors should be addressed through the update of the City of Sedona Trails and Urban Pathways Plan.
234	Andy	Beeler		39	2	3.30	Trailheads	With respect to the Mystic TH, I recommend option #2 because of the increased parking capacity.	supports option 2 for Mystic Trailhead	Support for option 2 noted.
235	Andy	Beeler		39	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Your report states "The highest priority rating by participants was given to "protect resources", "add more trails", and "maintain existing trails". Respondents seem nearly evenly divided." I feel this is misleading. While participants in the planning meetings may have been equally divided, hiker priority was to protect resources and maintain existing trails. The biker community pushed for more trails. Given that 82% of trail users are hikers, adding more trails would seem to be a distant third to protection and maintenance.	Resources should be protected.	Noted. We are aware that different user groups value different things and that the biking community is pushing for more trails. There is on-going maintenance and resource protection, as part of the regular recreation trail operations on the District.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
236	Andy	Beeler		39	4	1.00	NEPA Process and Trails Planning Process	Your report also states "Based on foreseeable funding, future Forest Service trail programming will be limited to essential maintenance, addressing visitor safety, resource protection and trail projects that require little investment but provide a large benefit (such as connectors) and projects that are funded by others. In general, new additions or enhancements will be based on non-federal sources of funding such as grants, donations or opportunities presented by volunteers and service clubs." This is the way things are and the community needs to understand Forest Service constraints. I believe that the planning process created unrealistic expectation because the impact of funding constraints was not understood until late in the process.	unrealistic expectations created in planning process. Funding will restrict the project.	Funding is always a fundamental constraint. It is well known that we have declining budgets. The planning process did not represent a contract to develop every item discussed and no such promise was ever made or implied. The process was to help us identify which projects may be feasible for development. This project scoping is one factor to consider, the ability to build and maintain trails is another factor that the District Ranger must consider in her final decisions.
237	Bob	Formhals		40	1	3.30	Trailheads	Cornville trails comment: 1. I notice that the county has identified funding to create trailheads on Zelasky Road and Tissaw Road, but no mention of any trail work in the scoping document. Is your thinking that the existing trails around these planned trailheads are adequate for now?	Why isn't County proposals for trailheads on Zelasky Road and Tissaw Road not included in planning process?	Connector trails on Forest land have already been planned for in that area.
238	Bob	Formhals		40	2	3.47	Connecting Trails	2. Regarding the work to make a connector from Windmill park across Oak Creek to the FS land, I hope that better access along Oak Creek is part of the plan for the connector.	Windmill Park: better access needed along Oak Creek	Noted.
239	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	We have lived in Cornville since 1972 but in 2006 adopted a snow bird life style. We are in WA for the summer and unable to attend any of the scoping meetings. Thank you for including us in your mailing list.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
240	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	2	3.67	Trails (Equestrian)	If we read this correctly #A8 has only a "moderate" priority yet it represents the only equestrian use possible for the area?	A8: Why only moderate priority? This is only equestrian use possible in the area.	How we established priority depended on several factors including how strongly different user groups could present a reasonable need and the resource issues tied to each proposal and the numbers of people who would use a trail. The District trail program seeks a balanced approach with more focus on equestrian use in the Cornville and Beaverhead areas.
241	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	3	3.67	Trails (Equestrian)	In the Cornville area (#2), would the proposed bridge include equestrian use or would we be expected to use the existing automobile/truck bridge?	Will Cornville proposal #2 also include equestrian?	This has yet to be determined.
242	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	4	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Cornville area (#3) Thank you for your inclusion of equestrian use (as presently used) as a trailhead.	Cornville #3: support of equestrian trailhead.	Support noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
243	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	5	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Addition: Sycamore Canyon trail(s) need a "real" trail head suitable for horse use. Years ago the then FS manager promised us a horse trailhead that previously been shooting range that never came to pass? Because it would have involved driving through a residential neighborhood to reach it, the idea never did make any practical sense.	Sycamore Canyon: needs real trailhead.	Outside the scope of the current proposal
244	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	6	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	My last experience on the FS road off Dry Creek was when I was called out (a member of Search & Rescue)to assist in the search for an FS man some years ago. The road was in such horrid shape then, I swore I would never take my horse trailer over it again. There was then some talk about establishing a horse camp/trail head for that area.	Establishing an equestrian trailhead on FS road off Dry Creek.	Both Fay Canyon Trailhead and Aerie Trailhead are the locations in that area that have ample space for horse trailers.
245	F.E (Beth) and Joseph	Blay		41	7	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Is the trail head to be maintained off Jack's Car;iyon for horses? What about the Hot Loop trail?	Will Jack's Canyon trailhead & Hot Loop trail be maintained for horses?	Outside the scope of this project; these are maintenance issues: Jacks Canyon TH is open to horse use, Hot Loop Trail is open to horse use.
246	Chuck	Johnson		42	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I am not a resident of Sedona - I am a frequent visitor from New England to your beautiful region. I've followed the situation in Sedona vis a vis mountain bike access and want to throw my "2 cents" in regarding the access/closure issue being debated.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
247	Chuck	Johnson		42	2	3.63	Trails (Biking)	I can't speak to the details of the trails as well as the locals, but I can speak to the need to keep a balanced approach in considering the recreational use of our nation's land and trails. Everytime I hear of a closure exclusively to bikes I think of how it's a vote against greatest forms of exercise ever devised for experiencing nature in a positive, healthy fashion. No noise, low impact, no excrement (hopefully!), just an increased human-powered appreciation for the amazing topography and variations that exist in almost every region.	Supports balanced approach to trail planning. Don't close areas to bikes.	The mountain bike cross-country closure for resource protection is outside the scope of this proposal.
248	Chuck	Johnson		42	3	3.63	Trails (Biking)	Yes, some trails are over or poorly-ridden, or poorly designed, and management over time is necessary, re-routing is one solution - but I submit that bikes were, are, and will be in the future, one of the reasons that kids (like mine!) will grow up to be supporters and contributors to our nation's great outdoor maintenance efforts. Please don't shut them, or me out of another visit to Sedona. Please don't discriminate against bikes and the people who love riding them. Find a way to turn the cyclist's passion into something good for all. Thanks for reading.	Don't discriminate against bikers.	The mountain bike cross-country closure for resource protection is outside the scope of this proposal.
249	Darla and Ron			43	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Received phone call from Darla and Ron in Rimrock. They support the proposal for non-motorized trails in the Rimrock area. (Phone # was not provided)	Support for non-motorized trails.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
250	Robert	Dillon		44		0.00	Nothing to Code (Form Letter)	See Form 1 Master	Nothing to code (See Form Master 1 comments - letter 36)	
251	Lisa	Taylor		45	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I Lisa Taylor 3675 E Stardust Circle Rimrock am writing in support of Sunset trails project already submitted for approval. Our community has been involved with much volunteer support for trails in our area.	Supports Sunset Trails	Support noted
252	Dr. Lawrence	Plug		46	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I understand that you are charged with collecting trail-user information, to be used to shape the Sedona-area trail system on USFS lands. The trails in Sedona are a tremendous resource, and a key way for people to both use and value Forest Service Land. I deeply appreciate the Forest Services efforts to manage these trails. Thank you!!	Very General Comment - No response needed	Support noted.
253	Dr. Lawrence	Plug		46	2	3.45	Loops	<p>Although not a resident of Arizona, I have been to Sedona twice to use the trails, 7-10 days each time. I'll visit again this year and intend to continue doing so with my wife and friends. I mountain bike and hike. I think the long-term vision should be to create more and longer single-track trail open to and designed for mountain bikes and foot traffic, especially in longer loops that extend further from the urban areas. There are world-class trails in Sedona area now, that suit a range of abilities, but longer, bigger loops would benefit the system by drawing more users and simultaneously spreading them out to avoid conflicts and over-use. Mountain bike users in particular benefit from longer loops. In the short-term, I would encourage adoption into the formal FS trail system (if these haven't been incorporated already):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Transcept - Skech - Special Ed - Pyramid - Witch Doctor - Catwalk 	Trail prioritization	Support noted
254	Dr. Lawrence	Plug		46	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	If Last Frontier and Western Civilization have not been adopted, they definitely should be. They are excellent trails in my view and serve as key connectors.	Adopt Last Frontier and Western Civilization	FS agrees that this are needs planning. No funds are currently available for this effort.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
255	Dr. Lawrence	Plug		46	4	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	Providing opportunities for a range of user abilities is important. System trails like Aerie are excellent introductory and intermediate trails for mountain bikers. More are needed. It is also key to maintain the presence of, and extend where possible, the more challenging trail systems (High line, Hangover level).	Provide range of biking opportunities	Noted We strive to make trails that are compatible with our landscape
256	Terri	Tripp-Warren		47	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I am contacting you in support of the Sunset Group trails proposal for the Lake Montezuma area. I hope this can move forward as soon as possible. It has been a long time coming and would be so beneficial for our community and the neighboring communities.	Support for Sunset Group trails	Support noted
257	Douglas	Frیمان		48		0.00	Nothing to Code (Form Letter)	See Form 1 Master	Nothing to code (See Form Master 1 comments - letter 36)	
258	Bryan	Andrada		49	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	It has been too long since I have visited Sedona. I've been busy raising kids and going to school, definitely not the same life I had a few years ago when I could pack up my bike and maybe a few pairs of underwear and hit the road. During those times, I often found myself in Sedona. Up until recently I regularly road tripped there for some of the most unique mountain bike experiences that can be had in this great country. I met this fella John a few years ago, and he showed me an even greater experience with hospitality to boot. That is rare in this day and age.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
259	Bryan	Andrada		49	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	Anyway, I hope that you will consider adopting these so-called illegal trails. Personally, I don't see how any trail can be considered illegal, and I am particularly concerned that you would leave them open to other user groups while only banning them to bicycles.	Support to adopt user-created trails	The District policy is to allow all three uses on officially designated trails unless a safety or legal reason prohibits that (such as in Wilderness) or where steep slickrock is unsafe for equestrians.
260	Bryan	Andrada		49	3	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	Please reconsider your plan to include more technical trails in Sedona. I have two young boys that will eventually enjoy them, but I guess there is always Moab.	Include more technical trails.	We strive to make trails that are compatible with our landscape, which is different from Moab. Also, it does not make sense for us to dedicate limited resources to making trails that serve only a very small percentage of users. The City of Sedona is developing a bike park that will accommodate many technical features.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
261	Erik	Borling		50	1	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on proposed additions to the already great Sedona area trails network. In short, I want to say that we should go ahead with all the trails enhancements/adoptions mentioned in the scoping letter of May 2, 2014. I think that the previous additions were good, and as I mentioned in my comments prior to those improvement more usership means we need more trails. Also, the evolution of mountain biking and trail building techniques suggests that we need to keep adding trails such as we did with High line and Hangover.	Need to add more trails and more advanced trails.	Support noted It does not make sense for us to dedicate limited resources to making trails that serve only a small percentage of users.
262	Erik	Borling		50	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	The only way to mitigate the creation of unauthorized trails is to supply the demand that is out there for challenging trails, as well as trying to separate the large number of hikers from the bikers with alternate routes to popular places (ie Devils bridge connector at Chuckwagon Trail). However the irony is not lost on me that the best trails in the Forest Service inventory all started as user created trails to satisfy the demand on the ground.	Reduce user created trails by supplying demand for a variety of trail experiences.	Noted. We are trying to accommodate the increased demand where it makes sense. At some point, a capacity is reached within this sensitive area.
263	Erik	Borling		50	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	For myself, I would rather that the focus be more on improving the trails themselves and less on trailhead improvements. In Section A (Sedona VOC area), for me, high priority is as follows: Devils Bridge Connector Trail network west of cultural park Bellrock pathway singletrack connector VV school road Highline connector Otherwise I agree with the priority list as you guys have it.	Focus on improving trails themselves and less on trailhead improvement.	Noted Trailheads are a very important component of the trail system for visitor safety, convenience and resource protection.
264	Larry	Kovach		51	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	This is to comment on the trail projects proposed for the Rimrock area. I've had a home in Rim rock, just a couple blocks from one of the trail heads, since 2006. I am familiar with the routes proposed for these trails and use them regularly. When I first got my place in Rim rock, there were few "trails of use" established. All the ones shown on your map are now well used and well established by local hikers and bicyclists. Signing the trails, making provisions to minimize erosion, and centralizing traffic on dedicated trails would be beneficial to the area. There is no road access into this area, making it a great place for hiking trails. I was at the trail ending with the Montezuma's Well photo op just last Saturday; it really is a photogenic site, which I visit many times each year. Having access to this location would improve people's appreciation for the area.	Supports Rim Rock trails and wants signing.	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
265	Nicole	Branton		52	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	In my opinion we should go ahead with all these projects, people are using these places more and more. This is what our hard earned tax dollars should really be spent on. I say yes to all the projects. I am an avid mountain biker and I use all the mountain bike areas and I also hike, I am up in the Coconino Forest a lot and I don't mind paying the Red Rock fees or any other forest use fees, I think they are essential for the upkeep of our recreation areas. The work that you folks have done all across the forest has been outstanding and I hope it continues. Yes to all the projects.	Supports RedRock RD trails project	Support noted
266	Jerome	Naleski		53	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Thanks for asking for user input. I would like to start with the items proposed look great, and while I wish all could be implemented I will comment on what I believe to be top and will reference the letter File Code 2350 from Nicole Branton. I will be speaking on behalf of myself an avid Mountain biker living in Flagstaff who frequents Sedona on a weekly basis in the winter months.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
267	Jerome	Naleski		53	2	3.35	Parking	The main items are parking and trail access. While it may be the most cost to build these, they are very needed and the best example I can think of is the TH off dry creek road (Ride 14 on the Sedona Core Trails map). The two I would like to see as high priority are C1 & C2. While mainly the C1 will be my comment since I ride this area most. Should this TH go in I would like the connector trail A-7 Improving trailhead and places to access trails helps disperse users and enhance use. Mescal has become a major area and more people are coming and will need a place to park and access- the trails in the area.	Give high priority to improving trailheads and parking.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
268	Jerome	Naleski		53	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	As for trail access adding items A1-5, 7 & 8 would be amazing to see. A1 & 2 are no brainers for there are a lot of hikers and fast moving mountain bikes. A3 would be a great edition since the special ed trail is already there, this is also an area that could be looked at for future expansion of trail networks to move more users from already busy trails. A4 as mentioned high user traffic in the area. A5 again as mentioned a sustainable trail, short in route but high on use. As for other I would like to see as high priority; A7 for this creates more loops in the Arie-Mescal area for many riders travel both directions and clog up trail systems. A8 as another high priority for it creates new trail areas and access to areas already being used illegally. These new trails would allow more access to the entire area and would alleviate congestion into the mescal area, again creating loops and more access with dispersed parking and TH's. Finally A10 as a high priority again for the looping ability of trails, and being to park off Verde Valley school road to help alleviate parking issues to the north and east of the Highline trail.	trail prioritization	Noted
269	Jerome	Naleski		53	4	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	Again thanks for all the hard work and would love to volunteer my time building these trails.	offer to volunteer	Support noted
270	Tyson	Dziob		54	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	My main reason for visiting Sedona is for the mountain bike trails. I come up from Phoenix regularly, and have also had friends fly in for trips on these trails. I would love to see more trails either adopted or made for all users. I appreciate all the work that has been done in Sedona.	Supports trails made for all users.	Support noted
271	Paul	Phelps		55	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I'd like to express the importance of mountain biking in Sedona and the VOC. I drive up from Phoenix on a regular basis to ride in Sedona. I feel mountain biking is a positive influence on the local economy as well as an appropriate recreational use of our National Forests.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
272	Paul	Phelps		55	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	For me, a large draw of the Sedona trail system is the availability of high difficulty, technical trails. Many of these as I understand are user-built, adopted trails. Some of my favorite trails include Highline, the Hog trails, Slim Shady, and Made in the Shade. I understand the Red Rock Ranger district is collecting input on future trail development. I would like to voice my support for adoption of existing user built trails including Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor, Catwalk, Cakewalk, Western Civilization, Last Frontier, Drano, Windsurfer, Bogus, Sketch, Double D, Under the Radar, Middle Finger, Checkpoint Charlie and Trancept.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted

Comments from 2014 Red Rock Trails Public Scoping - Proposed Actions – Coconino National Forest – Red Rock District – October 2014

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
273	Paul	Phelps		55	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	I also support the connector being proposed extending the current Equestrian Bypass along Bell Rock Pathway to alleviate user conflict on Bell Rock Pathway and Slim Shady.	Supports Equestrian Bypass and Bell Rock Pathway connecting trails	Support noted
274	Paul	Phelps		55	4	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I also support new construction projects in the Turkey Creek area to eliminate numerous equestrian user built trails which are deemed by the Forest Service to be contributors to siltation to nearby Oak Creek.	Turkey Creek: supports new construction to eliminate equestrian user-created trails.	FS would like to see a more comprehensive trail planning focus on this area in order to control impacts from equestrian uses in the area. This is currently outside the scope of this project.
275	George T.	Allen		56	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	My wife (intermediate mountain biker) & I visited Sedona in early May and we had an excellent time both riding & enjoying a lot of what Sedona has to offer. We stayed at the Enchantment resort in which we enjoyed a most spectacular ride right from the resort. Also, we had another great ride in the voe area. Her favorite trails were Mescal & Slim Shady, she walked some sections but enjoyed & appreciated the challenge (and of course the constant views). I did notice a lack of continuity between trail 'systems' & an overall limited amount of riding. We would love to come back with an improved I expanded trail network. Thank you for taking the time to read & we hope to come back to Sedona soon (already missing your local wine & ride some more trails!	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
276	George T.	Allen		56	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Please note that we really enjoyed the current lay-out/construction of the existing trails that we rode & recognize that the majority of trails we enjoyed flowed so well because they seemed to be built specific to mountain bikes.	Likes trail layout of current trails on the District.	Noted
277	Dan	Barry		57	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Please add my endorsement to the improvements you have outlined for added mountain bike trails and improvements in Coconino National Forest.	Supports RedRock RD trails project	Support noted
278	Dan	Barry		57	2	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I live in Michigan and ride in season on park land near my home in Rochester Hills. Sedona is a vacation destination at the top of my priority list. I look forward, with my friends, to our next visit.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
279	Wally	Tibbitts		58	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	First of all I would like to thank you for adopting many of the popular user created trails that hikers and mountain bikers are enjoying. Those trails have really improved the riding experience of bikers who only want to ride system trails. It's really too bad that the mountain bike community can't be recognized for creating such a wonderful system of trails. Going forward at this point it seems like the adoption of those user created trails and the building of new trails like Coconino, Hermit, Yavapai Vista, Kaibab, Twin Buttes and Peccary has really added to the connectivity of the whole trail system for both hikers and bikers	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
280	Wally	Tibbitts		58	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	Now that it has been shown that those new system additions have been successful I believe there are other user created trails that could also be successfully added into a system status. I miss riding the trails in West Sedona that are now part of the closure order. Trails like Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor and Catwalk really were enjoyable to ride as compared to system trails like Herkenham and Old Post.	Supports Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor and Catwalk.	Noted
281	Wally	Tibbitts		58	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	The connectivity of Catwalk over to the Schuerman Mountain trail really created a nice loop opportunity for hikers and bikers. The views are some of the best in the whole Sedona trail system. There appears to be plenty of parking area to accommodate the hikers who would use that network of trails and those trails could help elevate user conflicts due to overcrowding on many of the other very popular adopted user created trails like Chuckwagon and Hiline. The views on Catwalk are equally as spectacular as Hiline and Hangover which were also user created.	Supports Catwalk and Schuerman Mountain	Noted
282	Wally	Tibbitts		58	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A mountain bike friendly extension of the Phone trail to the Bell Rock Vista parking lot is a GREAT idea. If that project is approved it would probably be a good idea to make it a biker specific trail to keep user conflicts with hikers at a minimum.	Supports bike friendly extension on Phone Trail. Supports Bell Rock parking.	Noted
283	Wally	Tibbitts		58	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Some other trails I believe would really help out with reducing the number of users on each trail is the adoption of Western Civilization, Last Frontier and Drano. I noted Ranger Branton mentioned some of those trails in her post, but it didn't seem like the priority level was as high as it should have been.	Supports a higher priority for Western Civilization, Last Frontier and Drano.	Noted
284	Wally	Tibbitts		58	6	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	As you may know I have ridden a lot in Moab and I am sure you know they have added a lot of new trails in the recent years. Those new trails have enhanced the riding experience, and I am sure most of the mountain bikers who live and visit there would also agree.	Very General Comment - No response needed	This is a different landscape with different resource issues
285	Wally	Tibbitts		58	7	1.80	Maps	The brown maps at trail intersections are helpful, however I think the Hiline style map by the VVCC is much more user friendly.	Maps provided by VVCC are better than brown maps at trail intersections.	Outside scope of proposal

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
286	Brett	Wilson		59	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I understand there is a period of public comment for the trail usage and potential for new mountain bike trails (or newly accessible trails) within the Red Rock Ranger District. Given our nation's plague of 'preventable diseases' (heart disease, obesity, etc.), I am a firm believer in providing more options for recreation and outdoor activities. In addition, I firmly believe that mountain bikers are stewards of the land and should see similar public access to the land that we (essentially) own.Obviously I'm biased, but I am a hiker/backpacker and a Jeepster as well. So I am firm believer in access for all (and getting off the couch and putting away the Xbox!).	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
287	Brett	Wilson		59	2	3.20	User Conflicts	Obviously pedestrian conflict can exist on trails ... to which I suggest increasing trail access, reducing the usage/density on any given trail.	User conflict reduced if trail access increased and reduce usage/density on any given trail.	Noted. User conflict has become more of a challenge in recent years
288	Michael	St. Lawrence		60	1	3.63	Trails (Biking)	To appeal to you to do whatever you can to help build more mountain biking trails in Arizona. It's a great activity, helps people get and stay fit, gets us into the outdoors, is a way to get kids off of video games and can bring in tourism. EVERYBODY wins. Thanks for your help!	Supports more biking trails	Noted
289	Kathleen	Kingma		61	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I post as DurtGurl on MTBR and have been around a long time. I live in Phoenix but bring a mtn bike to Sedona several times a year. I love having Sedona in my backyard, but it has become more and more difficult for me to understand what is open to us bikers, and what is closed. It is my hope that the current bans on mtn bikers can be dropped and that existing social trails can be adopted by the USFS. Also, building new trails designed for long-distance mtn bikers would be a plus, especially if there was available parking with a pit toilet located well outside of the Sedona city boundaries to help ease on traffic. These longer trails could be multi-use but should built with bikers in mind.	Adopt user-created trails and don't discriminate against bikers	The FS seeks to have a comprehensive trail system where all users stay on sustainable purpose built trails. And where illegal trail building is a thing of the past. Long distance trails are desired by a portion of mt bikers. There are many opportunities for great routes, but very limited funding to pursue the necessary planning.
290	Jeff	Akin		62	1	3.63	Trails (Biking)	Voicing my support of the addition of any trails possible for use inclusive of Mountain Biking.	Supports more biking trails	Support noted
291	Mark	Cardinal		63	1	3.00	Range of opportunities	I understand that your land managers are seeking public comment on non-motorized uses of the trails in your area. I am an avid mountain biker and hiker and a strong supporter of multiuse trails on public land. Although I currently live in Nome AK, my parents live in Prescott Valley and I visit your area for recreation a couple of times a year. My wife and I will be relocating to Yavapai Co. when we retire in 4 years. Please keep your world class trail system accessible to all users.	Provide range of opportunities	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
292	Kevin	Hatch		64	1	3.63	Trails (Biking)	Just voicing support for mountain biking in Sedona. I love the trails there.	Supports more biking trails	Support noted
293	Jeff	Watson		65	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	My family and I live near Albuquerque and spent last year's spring break in Sedona. We were attracted to the wonderful mountain biking and otherwise enjoyable atmosphere. The reputation of Sedona as a focal point for alien landing zones and art shops however was not, in and of itself, enough to entice us to that spot, but Sedona's well deserved reputation as a world class mountain bike destination was.Mountain biking is a fantastic and growing sport with users of all ages. Mountain biking is great exercise, group activity, and tourism tools.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
294	Jeff	Watson		65	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I know many wonderful user created trails have been adopted by the FS as legitimate trails and that is great. Lets get more eligible trails adopted.	Adopt more user-created trails	Noted
295	Dale	Reynolds		66	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I am writing in regards to the future adoption of current popular user created trails in your area. I especially enjoyed riding in the Sedona area on some of the best trails available in the West. Such as Special Ed, Pyramid and Cat Walk among many others. I would like to thank you for adopting the other 45 miles of user created trails over the last few years and look forward to enjoying more of the same in the future. Thank you for listening and good luck.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
296	Josh	Spoores		67	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I recently had the pleasure of travelling to Phoenix from Pittsburgh. I had some extra time and rather than rent a mountain bike for use around Phoenix, I drove to Sedona, rented a bike from Over The Edge and rode approximately 16 miles of truly fantastic trails. The trails were in the Chuckwagon, Mescal, Aerie area and I loved how they all differed in form.In riding the trails on Sunday, May 4th, I encountered a few small groups of hikers and a few other bikers. Everyone was very nice, which makes me want to ride there more often.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
297	Josh	Spoores		67	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	In speaking with the bike shop and some local mountain bikers, I heard that over 45 miles of user-created trails were adopted by your group over the past couple of years. I also heard that you may be looking at adopting additional trails and I would like to encourage you to undertake this opportunity. I really, truly enjoyed these trails and will get back to the area when I can spend more than just a few hours.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
298	Brett	Call		68	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I love Sedona and come often solely to ride my mountain bike on the vast amounts of trails that are there. Some of my favorite rides are newly adopted user created trails such as slim shady and easy breezy. I appreciate that so many user created trails have been adopted and made legitimate. This has really made Sedona a benchmark for how land managers and user groups should be able to coordinate efforts to enhance the outdoor experience. As an officer in the Southern Nevada Mountain Bike Association I understand the hard work and effort that goes into this.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
299	Brett	Call		68	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	It's my understanding that there a list of popular trails that are included in a temporary closure order. Many of these trails like Special Ed. Pyramid, Catwalk and Witch Doctor have already gone under archeology studies. I would appreciate it if the trails such as these, as well as the others that are currently under the temporary closure order, could be brought into the system status first before other trails are up for consideration.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
300	Shawn	Stenmark		69	1	3.63	Trails (Biking)	I frequent your district 3-4 times a year from the Mesa area. You have excellent multi-use trails. It would be nice to see even more of them. Please keep trails multi-use to include mountain bikes.	Wants more multi-use trails to include mountain bikes.	Noted
301	Shawn	Stenmark		69	2	3.07	Motorized Recreation Opportunities	It would be grate to offer something for dirt bikes as well. Any how, more single track please.	Wants more motorized dirt bike trails.	Outside the scope of this proposal
302	Christine	Lombardi		70	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	SECTION A 1) Bell Rock Pathway: A priority because it addresses user conflicts that need to be remedied. User conflicts, that need to be remedied. Conflicts mean that the users feel threatened or uncomfortable on this trail. Prioritize as high.	Bell Rock Pathway: high priority; address user conflicts	Support noted
303	Christine	Lombardi		70	2	3.47	Connecting Trails	12) Devils Bridge Connector: Should be a higher priority than "low" because it involves users getting lost and possible medical emergencies. People will hike to the bridge, be all tired out, dehydrated, then walking out on the bridge? I went the wrong way to the bridge and turned a 3hr hike into 6hrs :(Devils Bridge: consider raising the priority.	Noted
304	Christine	Lombardi		70	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	6) Airport Loop Trail Connector: A high traffic trail. Important to "update" and expand because of popularity. From the well thought out descriptions of each item this is my recommendation. I feel the other suggested trail projects should be put in order by usage and rate of erosion and prioritized as such. The highest damaged areas should be worked on asap but conflicts of use, safety and danger should be first on this list.	Airport Loop: high priority; needs expansion	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
305	Christine	Lombardi		70	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	SECTIONB 3) Jackson Flat Trail: Excellent for tourism. Guests at the Casino can enjoy the trail. Possibly the casino would allow parking for the trail access. Have the trail start from the Casino area and have a connector from the high school. The parking is already available.	Supports Jackson Flat: Good for tourism	Support noted
306	Christine	Lombardi		70	5	3.45	Loops	1) Rimrock Loop Trails: This trail system is already in place and needs to be designated and maintained. It can be maintained by the residents. There are many residents in this area and I feel some leadership by the FS over this trail system should be begin.	Rimrock Loop: needs to be designated and maintained	Support noted
307	Christine	Lombardi		70	6	3.47	Connecting Trails	2) Windmill Park Connector: This proposal is not a high priority. The park is a small park. The only thing that is needed NOW is a pedestrian bridge. This is the only bridge in the area and people should be safe crossing it. However, would it not be advantageous to warrant the county responsible for a pedestrian bridge? I don't see where the FS would or should fund this? After the bridge is in then look at the "loop" part of the proposal.	Windmill Park: not a high priority	Noted
308	Christine	Lombardi		70	7	3.30	Trailheads	Section C 1)Mescal Trailhead: Being that there is already an area for this trailhead I feel it would cost less to readily do and FS could also get donations and groups of volunteers helping with it. Good idea because it deals with tourism and congestion of parking.	Mescal Trailhead: obtain volunteer help; good project.	Noted
309	Christine	Lombardi		70	8	3.30	Trailheads	2)Mystic Trailhead: Could not Option 1 be done right away? In the meantime Option 2 will take some time because of the process in which the FS has to follow in order to develop such a large trailhead. Both needed now. Option 1 just a band -aid until Option 2 finished.	Mystic Trailhead: thinks Option 1 could be done now until Option 2 (supported) is implemented	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
310	Christine	Lombardi		70	9	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	<p>3)Dry Beaver Creek Trailhead: This is for the Equestrian community mostly and for a recreational day use area for all non motorized. The surrounding towns of this area off of Cornville Rd, all the way to Prescott enjoy meeting here and riding the area. The county has been so generous over the years allowing the horse people and dog walkers to park here. There are days when the parking area is full. It is not wide enough for staging. Since fencing is to be done here and a lock out gate installed allow the equestrians the trailhead and the space they need to park. Volunteers can be sought through Back Country Horseman, donations of boulders and materials can very possibly be donated by area citizens and businesses. Since the fencing is underway the priority of this trailhead proposal is above the moderate status.</p> <p>Thank you ladies for all you do for the entire district. I realize Sedona is the highest priority but we being in the outskirts of your jurisdiction appreciate our considerations too!</p>	Dry Beaver Creek Trailhead: Consider raising from current moderate to high priority.	Noted
311	Brandon	Stam		71	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	Thanks for adopting over 45 miles of user created trail in the last couple of years and it would be appreciated if you could adopt the other popular user created mountain bike trails that already exist.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted Adopting user-created trails can be problematic in many cases because the routes may be poorly aligned and built, with others unacceptable resource damage
312	Mark	Sherline		72		0.00	Nothing to Code (Form Letter)	See Form 2 Master	Nothing to Code (See Form Master 2)	

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
313	Mark T.	Altaha		73	1	6.00	Cultural Resources	<p>The White Mountain Apache Tribe Historic Preservation Office appreciates receiving information on the proposed project, May 12, 2014 . In regards to this, please attend to the following checked items below. * There is no need to send additional information unless project planning or implementation results in the discovery of sites and/or items having known or suspected Apache Cultural affiliation.</p> <p>N/A - The proposed project is located within an area of probable cultural or historical importance to the White Mountain Apache tribe (WMAT). As part of the effort to identify historical properties that maybe affected by the project we recommend an ethno-historic study and interviews with Apache Elders. The tribe's Cultural Heritage Resource Director Mr. Ramon Riley may be contacted at (928) 338-3033 for further information should this become necessary.</p>	White Mountain Apache: No need for additional info to be sent unless discovery of cultural sites.	Noted
314	Mark T.	Altaha		73	2	6.00	Cultural Resources	<p>* Please refer to the attached additional notes in regards to the proposed project: We have received and reviewed information regarding the above proposed project, and we have determined the proposed project will not have an impact on the White Mountain Apache tribe's (WMAT) historic and/or traditional cultural properties. Regardless, any/all future ground disturbing activities should be monitored if there are reasons to believe that there are human remains and/or funerary objects are present, and if such remains and/or objects are encountered they shall be treated with respect and handled accordingly until such remains are repatriated to the affiliated tribe. Thank you. We look forward to continued collaborations in the protection and preservation of place of cultural and historical significance.</p>	White Mountain Apache: No impact foreseen, but notify if any discovery of cultural sites.	Noted
315				74	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>I believe this would be the best thing to happen to this area since the world began ... our children have no safe place to ride bikes hike or horseback .. children need good things to do so they don't need to think up bad things ... hopefully the trails will happen ..</p>	Supports RedRock RD trails project	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
316	Dave	Morter		75	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I recently became aware of the request for comments the Forestry Service has posted, seeking input on priorities for trail adoption and development in the Sedona region. My wife and I are semi-retired Canadian snowbirds who spend the late fall, winter and spring travelling the SW USA, camping in a trailer and mountain biking and hiking. We have made several week long trips in Sedona, and have been impressed by the quality of the trails in this area. As you may be aware, the mountain biking community is known to travel extensively to seek out the latest and most interesting trail networks, and Sedona is competing with MANY other locations where the local Mountain Bike organizations have worked hand in hand with the governments BLM and Forestry Service divisions to design, build, maintain and promote mountain biking trails. Examples of successful government/user community partnerships can be found in Oakridge OR, Moab UT, Lake Tahoe NV. These communities have benefitted greatly by the huge influx of Mountain Bikers who visit and spend money in local businesses. In our home community of Fernie BC the Mountain Bike community has formed a multi-user club (The Fernie Trails Alliance) combining the Hiking, Cross Country Skiing and Mountain Biking user under the Fernie Trails alliance. We have been able to cooperate with the Ministry of the Environment. Local forestry companies and private landowners to develop a shared vision and an extensive network of well designed and maintained trails. The Mountain Bikers do most of the "heavy lifting" in terms of trail building and maintaining, and the entire community has benefitted by a network of sustainable trails for multi activity use. But maybe I am preaching to the converted, as the Forestry Service has issued this request for comments! Regarding your Districts' plans and opportunities, here are my thoughts:	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
317	Dave	Morter		75	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	General Trail Comments: - Some of your trails (example Bellrock Pathway) have become very congested with a combination of hikers, equestrians and bikers. On these very popular routes the addition of alternate routes would improve users experience and help reduce conflicts and congestion.	Example of Bellrock Pathway: Alternative routes would ease user congestion on this and other trails.	One of our stated objectives is to alleviate congestion
318	Dave	Morter		75	3	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	- Your local Mountain Bike community appears to be a dedicated group that are very capable of undertaking the building and maintenance of sustainable, low impact trails. Is the Forestry service actively engaging this valuable resource in order to reduce costs and extend your trail building/maintaining capacity?	Is RedRock RD actively seeking volunteers?	FS is actively seeking volunteers for trail projects during fall/winter/spring of 2014/15.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
319	Dave	Morter		75	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	- You have some fantastic trails that are drawing riders from across the Globe. The West Sedona trails including Chuckwagon, Mescal, Aerie, Western Civilization etc are great examples of well laid out and well built trails that are fun to ride over and over again. Similarly the North side trails such as Jordan and Anthill, are fun, challenging and well built.	West Sedona trails and north side trails are very good.	Noted
320	Dave	Morter		75	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	- Some of your "signature" trails, such as Highline, Hangover and "The Hogs" provide an additional level of challenge that draw advanced riders and have greatly enhanced Sedona's reputation as a vacation destination for Mountain Biking.	Supports signature trails which provide additional level of needed biking challenge.	Noted
321	Dave	Morter		75	6	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	Specific Trail Comments: -several years ago we rode a number of what I believe are user built trails located West of town, South of 89A, originating mostly from the area of the High School. We really enjoyed these trails, and appreciated the opportunity to create "epic loops" where we linked the different trail regions together by tying in some trails like Special Ed, Cakewalk and Pyramid with trails to the North (Last Frontier/Western Civilization etc) and South East. I believe these trails should be incorporated in your plans, so they can be further enhanced and maintained. I think we rode through here to Old Post, which did not impress us. Old Post seemed like a trail designed by Equestrians or Hikers and it lacked flow or any interesting design features. If new trail adoption is a lower priority that maintaining existing trails I would suggest a reroute of Old Post.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
322	Dave	Morter		75	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	-Slim Shady is one of the finest trails we have ridden. I don't know who designed and built it but it is a great example of the type of trail that Sedona needs more of. My neighbor in Fernie BC, Del Cleveland, has rented a house in Sedona for the month of April three years in a row and he rides that trail at least 4 days a week, claiming it is his favorite trail in North America. He has become a huge Sedona promoter and brings a large group of riders from Fernie BC and Calgary AB to Sedona each spring.	Impressed with Slim Shady trail.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
323	Dave	Morter		75	8	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	-Opening up some trails in the Carrol Canyon/Scheurman Mtn area would seem to make sense as this area seems to suffer less erosion during wet weather, simplifying trail maintenance and sustainability and spreading out some of the load from other trails that more susceptible to trail deterioration in bad weather. I think that there is a trail called Tomahawk which we rode and enjoyed a couple years ago but is now closed ? Catwalk enabled a group loop ride around this area and is definitely on our wish list to get back to.	plea to open trails in Carrol Canyon area.	Tomahawk unauthorized route was closed due to the fact that it was illegally built within the protected Casner Mountain Research Natural Area; Catwalk illegally built route was closed due to the fact that it was built on a primarily unsustainable alignment and did not have the proper public scoping or resource assessments. Some new routes in Carroll Canyon are proposed in this project.
324	Dave	Morter		75	9	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	In summary, I believe that there are some excellent examples of Government and Mountain Bikers working together to create interesting trails that are sustainable and environmentally sensitive. I would urge the Forestry Service to embrace a closer relationship with the Mountain Bike community in order to take advantage of their trail building skills, manpower and enthusiasm. I think that close collaboration can help to ensure the need for protection of the environment while enabling access to the fantastic natural beauty of the Sedona region.	Encourages using volunteers.	We welcome collaboration from the mountain bike community
325	Uschi, Ken, Jesse, Dillon	Scherer		76	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	We live in Whistler, BC, Canada and my family and I have been going South to ride every spring for over 20 years. We mostly go to Moab as it offers the most extensive and well built trail system. We have been to the Sedona area twice in the past and decided to visit the area again this spring. What a great surprise! Lots of new, well built trails. We enjoyed our riding much more than in the past.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
326	Uschi, Ken, Jesse, Dillon	Scherer		76	2	3.63	Trails (Biking)	Please do create more trails and make older ones more sustainable. You have our full support.	supports biking trails	Support noted
327	Bill	Stafford		77	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I strongly support most of the proposals and applaud the District for tackling these important trail projects during times of personnel and budget constraints. My comments are as follows:	Supports RedRock RD trails project	Support noted
328	Bill	Stafford		77	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A-1 Bell Rock Pathway single track bypass. I support the trail as a way to get local Mtn. Bike traffic off the heavily used Bell Rock Pathway. I do however, think it will be difficult to keep the bikes and hikers on the trail thru open grassy terrain with few obstacles to keep people from cutting the numerous proposed switchbacks. I would propose as few switchbacks as possible along this route. Otherwise, it is a good proposal.	Bell Rock Pathway: recommends reducing number of switchbacks.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
329	Bill	Stafford		77	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	<p>A-2 Bell Rock/Courthouse Butte Connector.</p> <p>I oppose this trail as it "baits" mountain bikers into designated wilderness and into a relatively pristine saddle with erodible soils and a nice stand of tabossa grass. When I worked for the Forest Service we chose not to put system trails in this important saddle to maintain wilderness values. There was an old range pasture fence on the south side of the pass which limited access. The Forest Service has enough problems trying to enforce "non-mechanized" use in this part of the Munds Mountain Wilderness without inviting mountain bikers into this saddle and then having to cite them under the 36 CFR.</p>	Bell Rock/Courthouse: Commentor opposes this trail. It "baits" bikers into the wilderness.	<p>Noted</p> <p>This saddle has numerous user created trails running through it that are causing resource damage. Consolidating into one well routed trail is the concept that is proposed.</p>
330	Bill	Stafford		77	4	3.47	Connecting Trails	<p>A-4 Crescent Moon Connector.</p> <p>I strongly support this project. In fact thousands of people every year use this trail as it is the access to the most beautiful photo spot for Cathedral Rock. I do however, recommend either a swing away wooden creek crossing (cabled on one side to a tree or an anchor) or low water stepping rocks across the creek to connect this important access to the Templeton/Baldwin trail.</p>	Crescent Moon: strongly supported; suggests low water stepping stones or swing away wooden creek crossing.	Support noted
331	Bill	Stafford		77	5	3.45	Loops	<p>B-1 Sunset Loop/Rimrock-Lake Montezuma Trails.</p> <p>I strongly agree with these trails. They are an important step in addressing this highly neglected area of the Coconino and it's highly neglected population of Forest Users (yes, I neglected them to when I was Rec. Staff). I am a little concerned that these non-motorized trails will "run off" or preclude any future motorized trail proposals in the immediate area of these non-motorized trails. Regardless, these non-motorized trails are needed and including them will begin to give the Forest Service and the community the tools to manage damaging ATV use in the area; all be it, we still need the other tool of motorized trails to accomplish management i.e. where do we send quads and single track motorized users in an area where we have no legally open routes (9202Y is totally inadequate and very short).</p>	Sunset Loop/Rimrock-Lake Montezuma: Strongly supports	<p>Support noted</p> <p>Motorized recreation is outside the scope of this proposal</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
332	Bill	Stafford		77	6	3.30	Trailheads	<p>Sunset Loop: This proposal needs to include a trailhead at the junction of Forest Glenn Road</p> <p>and FR9202Y where the current kiosk exists. This trail head needs to be "secondary trailhead" with regulatory and directional signs and parking for up to twenty vehicles. It needs to be graded and drained with a gravel surface and a delineated boundary of boulders or fence to prevent it from growing and damaging more vegetation and soil. Also, there needs to be three "Neighborhood Links"; one at E. Valley Lane and Forest Glenn Rd. June.; and the northern most one at the Deer Pass/Golden Gulch Roads (this one is our responsibility because it is located on County Road easements.</p>	Sunset Loop needs trailhead at Forest Glenn Road and FR9202Y.	Noted
333	Bill	Stafford		77	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>B-3 Jackson Flat Trail. This trail was dropped by the Forest Service in the past because there existed a currently valid "Letter of Intent" by the Yavapai-Apaches because this was a</p> <p>selected parcel for a land exchange. What happened? Has that been dropped? I hope so because this area was tied up for years with this failed exchange. This proposal needs two "secondary" trailheads with kiosks, directional and regulatory information and designated parking, graded and drained with a gravel surface. One needs to be installed off the Montezuma Castle Rd. trail junction and one at the access opposite the High School.</p>	Jackson Flat: wasn't this a land exchange with the Yavapai Apaches? Area needs two secondary trailheads.	There is currently no land exchange proposed.
334	Bill	Stafford		77	8	3.30	Trailheads	<p>C-3 Cornville Road/McGuireville Turntable Trailhead (by Dry Beaver Creek). This trailhead needs to be large enough to accommodate large equestrian truck/trailer rigs. These rigs require, according to our past work with equestrian groups a footprint of 50 ft. X 32 ft. per rig. This trailhead needs to accommodate at least 10 rigs. Or 16,000 sq. ft. when ingress and egress is added about one acre is needed with a vegetation island in the middle. It should be graded and drained and have a gravel surface and the perimeter delineated with a fence. The Cornville Road Right of Way fence will serve for the south side. Kiosks with both regulatory and directional signs will be needed.</p> <p>Trail heads are needed in our area. They are important for law enforcement and fire personnel for posting regulations, public information, closure information (for rabies and other health warnings) for use as staging areas for fires, searches, volunteer events and for the public to meet and embark on hikes, rides etc.</p>	Cornville Road, Dry Beaver Creek: This trailhead needs to accommodate large horse trailers.	Noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
335	Bill	Stafford		77	9	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	I know you are saying, here we go again they want the world provided to them by the Forest Service when the Forest Service has almost no money or staff for the trails and trailheads listed above. This never stopped Sedona. Why are Rimrock, Cornville, VOC and Camp Verde any different? We can get grants. We can get in kind contributions of cash, materials and labor. We have community organizations and private donations. Yes it is very hard to come up with the "mega" grants that some people in the Forest Service think are needed for these projects. Recently, I have been told hundreds of thousands of dollars are needed for projects like these for NEPA using grossly expensive environmental contractors i.e. the Cornville Singletrack. This is not necessary. Forest Staff can do the NEPA and clearances using volunteers and Forest Service Staff and the categorical exclusion regulations. It may take years but it can be done. Historically we planned and constructed trails on the Red Rock District at between 6 and 12 thousand dollars a mile which included all surveys, clearances, NEPA and construction. Trailheads can be built with grants and in-kind volunteer labor and supplies. Thanks for the opportunity to comment! And Thanks You for showing the courage to address these important projects.	Recommends using volunteers.	We welcome volunteers. However, not all volunteers necessarily have the skill sets for certain technical activities
336	Kent	Robertson		78	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I'm an avid mountain biker who visits Sedona once or twice a year to mountain bike. I would not come to Sedona for any other reason. The mountain biking there is terrific and there are many well-built, sustainable routes that are important connectors and just plain fun trails that should be included in the official FS inventory of trails. Thank you so much for all you do and have done in getting many of these trails included. I am whole-heartedly in favor of the proposal to include more of these trails to the existing inventory.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted
337	Robert	Klusman		79	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I would like to take the opportunity to comment on the proposed trail adoption options outlined in the recent planning document (file code 2350) made available for public comment. My extended family and I are regular visitors to the Sedona/RRRD area and typically spend between 1 and 2 weeks in the area each year. We come to enjoy the scenic beauty, recreational (hiking, biking), and shopping (my 72+ y.o. father-in-law purchased a MTB in Sedona last year) opportunities in the greater Sedona area including the myriad of trails available for hiking and mountain biking. We will be visiting again in the next 2 weeks.Thank you for the opportunity to comment and we wish you well with the recent challenges posed by the Slide Fire.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Support noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
338	Robert	Klusman		79	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	With respect to the specific recommendations in the proposal, I would like to comment on several where I have direct experience based on trail use in the past. I am strongly in support of the following options: A7/AZ Cypress connector to Mescal - This is of particular interest due to the current need for hikers or cyclists to utilize the road (shoulder) connecting to Long Canyon. Particularly in the early morning this can be a safety issue when drivers have the early morning sun in their eyes when travelling east. A trail connector would add to the overall quality of the experience and add a measure of additional safety.	Strongly supports Cypress connector; safety concern due to hikers/bikers using road shoulder to access.	Support noted
339	Robert	Klusman		79	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	A8/Cultural Park trails - I fully support trail changes promoting sustainability and spreading users.	Cultural Park: supported	Support noted
340	Robert	Klusman		79	4	3.47	Connecting Trails	A12/Devil's Bridge connector - I have been able to make the drive to the trailhead but have seen/experienced hikers walking the road (choking on dust, etc.) or hiking Chuckwagon. A more scenic (vs. the road) and dedicated route of travel to Devil's Bridge would be welcome.	Devils Bridge: needs route to get people off road.	Support noted
341	Robert	Klusman		79	5	3.30	Trailheads	C1/Mescal Trailhead improvements - This seems to be a straight forward proposal that would improve overall usability and impact over the current situation.	Supports Mescal Trailhead	Support noted
342	Robert	Klusman		79	6	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	While I have less direct experience with the VOC areas, I am in general and overall support of the majority of recommendations. Several trails are showing issues with sustainability and would benefit from reroutes or alternative connectors.	Supports RedRock RD trails project	Support noted These user created trails may have sustainability issues and require reroutes
343	Robert	Klusman		79	7	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	Finally, I'd like to note my positive view of the generally positive relationship between land managers and various user groups in the area. While not perfect, the willingness to work together in adopting trails, and managing the development of new trails for a variety of users and user profiles is noteworthy.	Applauds working with various user groups .	Noted
344	Rob	Windham		80	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Hello, as a user of almost all the trails in Sedona i"m in favor of a few more, trails like special ed, catwalk, doctor, drano and any others that are up for adoption, Thanks for all your help in getting trails approved.	supports adding trails like Special Ed, Catwalk, Witchdoctor and Drano	Noted
345	Matt	Reed		81	1	3.47	Connecting Trails	I would like to comment on the trail proposals: I think the Upper Red Rock Loop Road Area Connectors (aka Special Ed, Pyramid, etc ..) should be of the highest priority since it will alleviate much of the traffic on the other "premiere trails" - as it has the potential to be one of the most scenic and desirable mountain bike trails in Sedona.	Upper Red Rock Loop: Highest priority	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
346	Matt	Reed		81	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I do not see the Bell Rock Pathway Singletrack Bypass as being a high priority as BRP is very wide and there is already a bypass that is 90% suitable for mountain bikes. I feel that forest service resources would be better utilized for the above mentioned project.	Bell Rock Pathway: recommends reducing priority level.	Noted
347	Matt	Reed		81	3	3.47	Connecting Trails	I also think the Old Post Reroute and Carrol Canyon Connectors should be upgraded to high priority for the same reasons stated above.	Old Post reroute and Carrol Canyon: elevate to high priority.	Noted
348	Don	Piper		82	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I support the concept of having more walking/biking trails near Beaver Creek.	supports more walking/biking trails near Beaver Creek.	Support noted
349	Don	Piper		82	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	However, the proposed 'Well Vista' site and the trails leading south and east from there look very close to (if not encroaching on) Soda Springs Ranch property. Can the privacy of SSR still be protected once these trails are put in place?	Well Vista trails may be encroaching on Soda Springs Ranch.	Noted This proximity and potential effects/conflicts will be evaluated during the analysis phase.
350	Janet	Levy		83	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	As an avid Sedona trail user who both hikes and mountain bikes, I would like to thank Jennifer Burns for all her hard work in the adoption of the popular user created mountain bike trails built for mountain biker and hikers to enjoy.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
351	Janet	Levy		83	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I also enjoy the newly constructed trails like Peccary and Twin Buttes. The new re-route of the Broken Arrow trail is a good addition and will eliminate the steep eroding fall line section of the old alignment near the sink hole.	Enjoys Peccary and Twin Buttes trails.	Noted
352	Janet	Levy		83	3	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I am totally for the adoption of other popular user created trails and even some new trails if they can fit in the limited budget.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted
353	Janet	Levy		83	4	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	On a go forward bases I don't agree with the list of high priority trails shown on Nicole Branton's request for comment. First of all it seems like a ton of money is being spent on the VOC trails and the proposed realignment and new construction of the Bell Rock Equestrian bypass trail should be much lower on the list. It wasn't even mentioned in the Trails Planning Process and it isn't shown in the Appendix N Map attached to Nicole's document. The only main regular attendees from the VOC at the meetings was Steve from Absolute and Eric and neither even mentioned the new alignment.	Bell Rock reroute should be lower priority.	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
354	Janet	Levy		83	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Since I live in the West Sedona area and I certainly love to ride the adopted Chuck Wagon trail, the adopted Mescal trail, the adopted Canyon of Fools trail, the adopted Anaconda trail and the adopted and nicely rerouted Snake trail. What I really miss riding is the Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor and Catwalk trails. All of those trails are much better constructed than other recently adopted trails in the VOC area and it seems like there is no studies to support the FS position that those trails are less sustainable than Slim Shady, Made in Shade and Hiline. In fact most all of the user created mountain bike trails in West Sedona accept the High School trail and Harms Way are well constructed.</p>	<p>Supports Chuck Wagon, Mescal, Canyon of Fools, Anaconda. Wants Special Ed, Pyramid, Witch Doctor and Catwalk trails. Seems like no supporting studies to document these trails are less sustainable.</p>	<p>Determining the sustainability of trails is a technical process and any reroutes or reconstruction is determined during the analysis process</p>
355	Janet	Levy		83	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Several other trails like Western Civilization and Last Frontier have held up well during heavy rain periods and I believe they should receive a higher priority than the Turkey Creek area trails.</p>	<p>Western Civilization and Last Frontier: have held up and should be given higher priority.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
356	Janet	Levy		83	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Trancept is a great hiking trail and serves as a great mountain bike connector trail that will need a lot of reroutes to make it more sustainable. I am concerned the cost to bring that trail into a system status will be exorbitant. It doesn't seem like the volunteer crew on Hiline and Slim Shady is keeping up with the maintenance needed on those popular trails, how can they possibly do the work on Trancept to keep it sustainable? Their maintenance position is m'uch different than other qualified maintenance people who have worked on the Sedona trail system. I would think when a popular trail like Hiline is developing an incision that the trail would be outsloped to prevent future trail degradation, Certainly a trail like Trancept would need such care. I wonder if the guy who built it originally would be willing to do future maintenance work on it. Certainly he has a vested interest in the trail and might want it to be well maintained.</p>	<p>Trancept: support, but may be too expensive</p>	<p>Support noted Trancept user created route has numerous sustainability issues in its current alignment.</p>
357	Janet	Levy		83	8	5.20	Public Safety	<p>The backside of Hiline is becoming more challenging for me and the amount of work the FS and volunteers have expended on the new re-route is amazing. If the same time and money had been put into the past switchbacks they certainly would be much more sustainable than the new re-route and more enjoyable to ride. That new pitched rock steep section is an accident spot for sure. With the current big juniper branch sticking out on the trail for sure someone is going to get seriously injured on that spot.</p>	<p>Hiline: pitched rock steep section is a safety concern. Juniper branch on trail is safety concern.</p>	<p>Noted User safety is important to us</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
358	Janet	Levy		83	9	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	Hopefully the future will bring more newly adopted trails in the West Sedona area. Thanks again to Jennifer for all her hard work. I am looking forward to her book when she retires. It would be an interesting read for sure. Maybe Rama would volunteer to be her author coach as a retirement gift.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
359	Roy	Miller		84	1	8.00	General Comment (No Response Needed)	I want to take this opportunity to thank you for all the work that has gone into legitimizing the Mountain Bike Trails in Sedona. My wife and I travel extensively throughout Western Canada and the US. In the past few years we've been to Whistler, Bend, Moab, Oakridge, Hurrigan-e and Sedona specifical lly for mountain biking. Each venue has its own character and we've enjoyed ourselves at each one. On our trip to Sedona in 2012 we stayed at a very nice B&B in Oak Creek. From there we rode the trails out to Chicken Point and back. My buddy and I rode Hi-Line and Transept from Oak Creek and we also spent a couple of days in the Mescal area. The wives liked Mescal so much we went there twice.	Very General Comment - No response needed	Noted
360	Roy	Miller		84	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I understand the old Transept trail is now off limits for Mountain Biking and understand why. It is a very interesting area though and worthy of a new and sustainable route.	Transept: Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted
361	Roy	Miller		84	3	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	Our summer trip this year is to Mountain Bike Oregon at Oakridge. I expect we will be back to Sedona before many more years pass and I'm looking forward to seeing how the trail system has changed. My wife is an intermediate rider and I am an advanced rider so we like areas that have a variety of challenges. To illustrate our abilities: My wife enjoyed Mescal and Canyon of Fools and I was comfortable riding Hi-Line and Transept. We look for locations that have trails for both us us to enjoy.	Supports varied trail difficulty levels.	Support noted
362	Roy	Miller		84	4	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	I am pleased to see the cooperation between the Government agencies and the Mountain Bike user groups in Sedona. We live in Canada east of Vancouver where NSMBA and FVMBA have both been able to partner with all levels of Local Government to build and maintain trail networks for the Mountain Bike Community. Local Governments are also starting to understand the economic benefits that the trail networks bring to their respective communities.	Pleased with Redrock RD cooperation with user groups.	Noted
363	Timoni	Slusher		85	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	As a citizen of Sedona, I am submitting my comments on the plans for trail improvements. The upper red rock loop area trails are high on the priority list for my personal interests, as well as the cultural park area trails. These trails provide recreation that is removed from the congested trail systems of Sedona. By making these trails accessible and sustainable, all of the Sedona trails will benefit.	Upper Red Rock Loop: High priority	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
364	Timoni	Slusher		85	2	3.47	Connecting Trails	The transept trail is a much needed connector from the voe to the Hiline, Templeton, and Baldwin trails.	Transept: This trail is needed.	Noted
365	Timoni	Slusher		85	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	I often ride and run on the Chuckwagon, Az Cypress, Aerie, and Mescal area trails. Having the connector from AZ Cypress to Mescal and Long Canyon trails would improve safety and keep recreational users off of the narrow roads in that area. The Devils bridge connector is also high priority. I often hike and bike the Chuckwagon and devils bridge trail. I often see hikers very under prepared for the length of hike that the Chuckwagon trail offers. Shorter access to Devils bridge will increase safety, and reduce congestion on the Chuckwagon trail.	trail prioritization	Noted
366	Timoni	Slusher		85	4	3.30	Trailheads	I am an advocate for trailhead improvement at the Mescal trailhead. There is often not enough parking, and vehicles are parked on the side of the road, causing damage to the plants and soils on the roadway.	Mescal Trailhead: favors improvement	Support noted
367	Darch	Oborne		86	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I believe that, for me, a USFS concentration upon trail improvement, and signage for same, vis-a-vis spending \$ and effort on parking lot/kiosk/trailhead etc is the priority.	Spend more on trail improvement and signave versus parking.	Noted
368	Darch	Oborne		86	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I support #3 as a number one priority; ie improve trails in the Western area. Then #7 and 8 respectively.	trail prioritization	Noted
369	Darch	Oborne		86	3	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	And, where possible, make trails which are of appeal to advanced intermediate and 'advanced' riders; which is lacking in the Sedona area now that trails like Tomahawk have been closed.	Make trails that appeal to advanced and intermediate riders.	Noted We strive to make trails compatible with our landscape and for broad visitor use.
370	John	Finch		87	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I am totally in favor of the adoption of the popular user created mountain bike trails in the Red Rock Ranger District. I believe the priority of the trails in Ranger Branton' s scoping request needs to be reprioritized. I base the following priority change on my experience of having built the majority of the Aerie trail, that Jennifer claims is an example of a well built sustainable trail in a recent email.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Noted
371	John	Finch		87	2	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	What is interesting about Ranger Branton' s document is that it does not give the mountain biking community credit for helping build a very comprehensive well connected trail system for use by the hiking community in all cases and by the equestrian community where appropriate. It has been noticed that mountain bikers are not considered as primary users and seemed to be looked at as second class citizens. Mountain bikers were well represented at the RTCA trail planning process and it seems that they are not well represented in Ranger Branton' s scoping comment request.	Supports using and crediting volunteers	Thank you for your opinion.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
372	John	Finch		87	3	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	The number one trail that should be adopted is the Special Ed trail. In my opinion the trail is being kept from adoption due to a personal conflict with me and Jennifer Bums. The trail has its own trailhead and has been surveyed and cleared of significant archeological sites. Jennifer is unwilling to accept that the trail is totally sustainable compared to numerous other trails she has recently adopted.	Adopt Special Ed: highest Priority.	This user created route is part of this project is currently undergoing the official planning and assessment process.
373	John	Finch		87	4	3.45	Loops	The next trail that should be adopted is the Pyramid Loop Trail minus the section replaced by the Witch Doctor bypass trail that eliminates the poorly routed section of the Pyramid trail. This short bypass trail has also been cleared of archeological artifacts and is also sustainable due to the solid rock nature of the trail tread and the numerous natural drains and outcroppings of the trail.	Adopt Pyramid Loop minus the section replaced by Witch Doctor. High Priority	This user created route is part of this project is currently undergoing the official planning and assessment process.
374	John	Finch		87	5	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Next, I would put the Catwalk trail on the high priority list due to it providing an unbelievable hiking and riding loop opportunity to both user groups. Catwalk has comparable views to the very popular Hiline trail and it is much better routed for sustainability than Hiline. It also provides a great opportunity for hikers to use the Schuerman Mountain trail that is hardly ever used by Sedona trail users. The Schuerman trail is currently either an out and back trail or a one way hike.	Catwalk: high Priority	This user created route is likely not as popular as the potential for trails west of Girdner and as such would be lower priority for future planning.
375	John	Finch		87	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	Next I would adopt the Western Civilization trail which is a very well designed trail that currently has no sustainability issues. It does have a section that needs a potential re-route to avoid a private property issue. That section could be easily re-routed onto adjacent FS property with available volunteers at no cost to the FS. West Civilization makes for an excellent connector to the Last Frontier trail or could be renamed to connect with the Girdner trail.	Western Civilization: may need potential reroute to avoid private property.	FS agrees that this area is in demand for a well designed trail system. Current funding limitations make this planning infeasible at this time.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
376	John	Finch		87	7	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Since I mentioned the Last Frontier trail that would be the next trail worthy of adoption, one could easily say the Last Frontier trail is the fifth most popular mountain biking trail in Sedona for the advanced riding group. There are some exposed sections similar to Hiline, Hog Heaven and Hangover that make the trail a must do ride for the higher skilled riding group. The trail has held up well compared to other similar trails and if adopted would need much less maintenance than trails like Hiline, Hangover and Hog Heaven will need as time goes on. As we all know Hiline is not a sustainable trail, but is in fact a trail that has needed a huge amount of maintenance bring it to its current level. By eliminating the more maintenance free switchbacks on Hiline the trail has taken on a huge maintenance profile. Last Frontier has two steep sections that will also need regular attention to keep them in good condition. Currently the Last Frontier trail runs into the Drano trail. The Drano trail is very well routed and has very little sustainable issues that can be easily mitigated with some additional water diverters and outsloping of the current trail tread. The FS would probably add the Drano segment to the Last Frontier trail and make it one trail named similar to what they did on the Lost Watch, Touron, Chuckwagon and Gunslinger trails making it one trail called Chuck Wagon.</p>	Last Frontier: needs adopting	See above.
377	John	Finch		87	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Next I would build the new trail segment on the Bell Rock Equestrian Bypass trail. My understanding is that before the Bell Rock Vista parking lot was built there was a trail there that was decommissioned when the parking lot was built. Hopefully there won't be a huge cost to this segment and the majority of the work would be done by volunteers who currently ride the Slim Shady trail and don't like the user conflict on that trail, plus all the maintenance that has been needed to keep the trail rideable by lesser skilled riders. It might be a good idea to make the Equestrian Bypass trail rideable by bikers only. As stated in Nicole's document the trail is hardly ever used by equestrians.</p>		Noted.
378	John	Finch		87	9	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>After the above priorities I would consider the remaining suggested trails on Nicole's list. For sure the Turkey Creek trails are going to be a huge cost project and almost impossible to keep equestrians from constantly adding new segments.</p>	Turkey Creek will be high cost and no way to prevent future equestrian user-created.	Noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
379	John	Finch		87	10	1.00	NEPA Process and Trails Planning Process	<p>What I find interesting is how the trail concept approach of the RTCA trail planning process has been eliminated from the final Scoping document done by Ranger Branton. Since she was not at the meetings she has no information from one of the meeting that a large group of meeting attendees were put into a group to decide what low hanging trail concepts should receive the highest priority. At the following meeting the list of those trails deemed high priorities were eliminated from the meeting notes. It was like there was no discussion by that committee of those projects. At that point many skeptics about the trail planning process not really being a community effort was realized. As Jennifer said at the beginning of the meetings the FS is going to do what they want to do even though the majority of meeting attendees may express something different.</p>	Critical of scoping process and if District listened to voice of community and participants.	<p>The input from the RTCA trail planning process was taken into consideration for this proposal.</p> <p>Participation in that planning process was to help determine what we could move forward with. That planning process was not the sole method of determination and the suggestions of that group do not represent an agency decision.</p> <p>This is not a “majority rules” process. All comments and suggestions were taken into consideration.</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response	
								<p>I also wanted to share an email I received by a well-educated hiker just for a different point of view.</p> <p>Dear John,</p> <p>Thanks for this message, describing what seem to be meritorious improvements to the trail system, particularly those in areas close to Sedona. They should be supported and certainly have my support.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the message does not address access to the Wilderness area trails, which also merit FS attention. In earlier times, before Forest Service neglect, it was the wilderness trails which were my favorites, particularly those in the areas off Forest Road 152, including the Forest Service trails: Sycamore Canyon, H.S., Bear Sign, David Miller and Dry Creek as well as the area off Schnebly Hill road including the Munds Wagon Trail with access to Munds Mountain.</p> <p>Sadly, access to these Forest Service trails has been severely compromised by poor maintenance of Forest Road 152 and Schnebly Hill road. I don't remember when these access roads were last graded. Friends in Sedona who routinely drove on these roads with their SUVs in years past no longer will do this. As a result the Forest Service trails I have mentioned are no longer accessible to many of us who are in our seventies and cannot hike in there from the newly established trailheads.</p> <p>By not maintaining these access roads to its trailheads, the Forest Service is not adequately supporting the trails I mentioned. Its intent seems to be to close this area to most hikers. Budget constraints do not seem to be a reasonable explanation of this neglect given the resources dedicated to building the new trailheads. In any case, a budget is a statement of values, and the Forest Service budget evidently does not value the wilderness trails in question. If this neglect is a long range policy, I find it regrettably ill-conceived and insensitive</p>		<p>Probably out of scope: Letter was sent to the</p>	<p>Not within scope of proposal.</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
381	Shannon	Auck		88	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I am writing to support the adoption of trails in the Sedona area. Specifically, the adoption of trails around the Pyramid formation and Schuerman Mt. These trails would be an asset to forest users and provide an additional area to enjoy. My understanding is that they have been cleared from an archeological standpoint.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted
382	Doron	Sherman		89	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	I've recently learned that new user trails are nominated for formal adoption in Sedona. This is great news and provides for renewed motivation to visit Sedona again. From previous experience, the variety of trails is one of the unique traits of riding bikes and hiking in Sedona. Looking forward to this exciting progress continuing.	Supports adopting user-created trails.	Support noted
383	Stephan (Steve)	Block		90	1	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	First, I think it's important for the FS to effectively take control of the entire trails planning and creation process in a proactive way. The paradigm of user-created trails that morph into system trails has and will continue to create a confusing mish-mash that negatively impact the resources, causes trail user conflicts, and is unnecessarily expensive and difficult to manage. The best evidence of this is the close to 150 system trails now in the district, many of them a mile or less. One particularly egregious example is the confusing and poorly signed system of trails from the Yavapai Vista trailhead. After hiking it numerous times, I still get disoriented in there.	Against adopting user-created trails.	Noted This is also a concern to us and something we are trying to address with signage and decommissioning redundant trails. However, some of the most well used routes are short hiking or biking loops accessible from convenient parking that access slick rock views.
384	Stephan (Steve)	Block		90	2	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	As I see it, the process that has been happening in the past is unsustainable, so I support the FS efforts now to control and improve the process. My general suggestion would be to eliminate unnecessary or rarely used trails that largely parallel existing trails. I would begin to simplify the system wherever possible. New trails and connectors that largely duplicate what is already available should be avoided. The priority I suggest should be straightforward trails and connections that provide reasonable access while minimizing impacts to the resources.	Against adopting user-created trails. Suggests straightforward trails and connections.	Noted This is also a concern to us and something we are trying to address with signage and decommissioning redundant trails

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
385	Pam	Milavec		91	1	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	<p>My husband and I just built a house in the Village of Oak Creek and will be there part-time starting in January of 2015. We are avid mountain bike riders and hikers and would like to be involved in providing support for local trails. Here in PA, we belong to a local cycling club that has provided hundreds of hours of trail maintenance each year, much of it within local and state parks and forests. In addition, I will be retiring from a position in state government</p> <p>where I've been involved in abandoned mine reclamation projects using federal funds in compliance with NEPA. I offer my knowledge and experience, albeit with northeastern environmental conditions, rather than southwestern, to assist with NEPA assessments if you are looking for volunteer assistance with those activities.</p> <p>My comments on the proposed trail plan, as explained in the 5/2/14 letter from Nicole Branton are as follows. First, I do think it is very important to the local area to expand mountain bike opportunities. The Sedona area has become a mecca for mountain bike riders. This has been and will be a blessing for the local economy. However, more trails are needed and there is great potential for building more trails that are sustainable. I think volunteers play a very important</p> <p>role in trail building and maintenance efforts. I think local businesses who benefit from cycling tourism should also be expected to kick in financial support.</p>	Supports volunteering	We welcome volunteers
386	Pam	Milavec		91	2	3.50	Trail Difficulty / Expertise Level	<p>One problem with the local trails is the high level of difficult terrain and exposure on many of the trails. As stated in the letter, this is largely a factor of the rocky terrain. However, there is a need for more intermediate trails that do not involve such a high risk for injury. Trails like Hiline are beautiful, but can quickly result in severe injury or death due to the steep terrain and higher elevations. I believe the Turkey Creek area has potential for fun, intermediate trails that flow and do not have as much risk involved. I'd also like to see Transept reconstructed. It opens up an isolated area surround by residential areas and would likely see a lot of traffic. Transept does involve some high exposure, but I think it is less extreme and dangerous than continuing on Hiline to Templeton. So I would like to see those two areas moved up in the rankings.</p>	Need for more intermediate trails	<p>Noted</p> <p>Our objective is to provide a variety of trails for the majority of users and to provide a relatively safe experience.</p> <p>We do not plan on investing our limited resources into trails that only a limited few can enjoy.</p>

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
387	Pam	Milavec		91	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	Our house is in a subdivision called Oak Shadows, off Verde Valley School Rd., right across from the unofficial trail head to Transept, which helps explain my interest in that area. I think there is also potential for trail development on the west side of the subdivision, between the subdivision and House Mountain. I saw a ranger district planning map on the internet that showed a proposed trail in this area. Needless to say, I'd sure like to see that trail on the priority list!	Potential for trail development near House Mountain.	Noted
388	Pam	Milavec		91	4	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	I'm happy to see that you are interested in improving trail access in some of the outlying areas, such as Camp Verde and Cornville. Good trails in those areas will help spread out the riders.	Supports improving trail access in Camp Verde and Cornville areas.	Noted
389	Pam	Milavec		91	5	3.63	Trails (Biking)	Overall, I think development of new mountain bike trails should be a higher priority than hiking and horse trails. With many hiking trails already developed, including many in wilderness areas where bikes aren't allowed, I don't see as much need for more hiking trails. As for horse trails, your own surveys have shown that horseback riding is holding steady and even declining. So, there isn't any real need there, other than to improve existing trails to make them more sustainable. Also, my experience has been that it is much more difficult to maintain a sustainable trail that sees horse use than one that sees hiking and mountain bike use. In PA, I see serious damage on local trails when large groups of horse riders go out in wet conditions. Kind of looks like someone went through with a rototiller!	supports higher priority for biking trails	Noted
390	Pam	Milavec		91	6	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	I want to close by saying that I'm really encouraged to see employees of the NFS so involved in improving local trail access. I think there is great value in forming partnerships with volunteers and I'm happy to see a willingness to do that in the Red Rock Ranger District. I hope to be one of those volunteers in the nearfuture!	Supports volunteering	We welcome volunteers
391	Jan	Starkey		92	1	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Quite a letter from Nicole and document from you. I have read them over and have a few comments for you. I noticed a reference to the Dry Beaver Creek Trailhead, aka asphalt mixing turntable. This will be a very important trail head. With the closure of roads along Beaverhead Flat, a lot of us equestrians have started to use the turntable area. Chris Lombardi, my husband and I met with Tony Papa to discuss the current and future use of this area. I understand that the Forest Service does not have money in their budget to do all the projects.	Supports Dry Beaver Creek Trailhead for equestrian	Noted Equestrian needs are important to us. Budget constraints are a reality and we cannot do all the projects in one year.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
392	Jan	Starkey		92	2	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	But if you engage volunteer help, the project costs can be kept to a minimum. Chris has been trying to notify some horse groups in the area, such as the Roverettes, Back Country Horsemen, Prescott Saddle Club, to become aware of what the Forest Service proposes and also line up volunteer help to make it happen. There's my 2 cents for what it's worth. If we equestrians want something, we have to be willing to step up and work for it.	Supports volunteering	We welcome volunteers. Volunteers are an incredible help in the construction process.
393	Wendy	Parkison		93	1	3.67	Trails (Equestrian)	I have lived in the Lee Pasture area for 18 years. I have driven my horses/buggies all over the desert on any trail a quad could take. With the onset of more off road vehicles the trails have become difficult to navigate especially after a rain when the quads really come out and destroy. I, like horseback riders, like to go out into the desert without causing destruction by staying on trails. Unfortunately because the ATV people don't abide by the non-motorized signs, barricades and obstacles are put into place which allow horseback, bicycle and pedestrian but don't allow the buggy to get through. I know I am somewhat of a minority but I am out there in the desert with a horse and buggy just about every day and would love a new trail system to explore. Just thought I would add my two cents worth.	Wants trail access so horse and buggy can use. (Trails with ATV barricades)	Noted We do recognize that there are equestrian trail needs. However, the use of a horse and buggy is a very limited demand.
394	David	Miller		94	1	3.47	Connecting Trails	Section B #2 Windmill Park Connector This proposed trail will be a wonderful asset to the residents of the Cornville area as well as Yavapai County. The location and development of a trail on the area will actually mitigate much of the archeological and vegetation damage that has been occurring from indiscriminate hiking, ATV's, bikes, etc. The trail will result in more positive impacts than negative. The county has also indicated that with the connector trail, then there then will be the impetus for them to request funding for the construction of a footbridge across Oak Creek which is sorely needed due to the existing hazardous situation for pedestrians. I encourage your support for this trail.	Windmill Park: supported	Support noted
395	Rollin and Lois	Hook		95	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	We support and encourage the creation of a pedestrian bridge associated with the existing Cornville Rd. vehicle bridge for pedestrian crossing of Oak Creek. The purpose would be to provide trail access from Windmill Park to a parcel of National Forest land located on the east side of Oak Creek. This improvement would benefit Cornville residents and non-residents alike. Windmill Park is also widely used by non-residents.	Pedestrian bridge supported crossing Oak Creek.	Noted.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
396	Steve	Ayers		96	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>The Town of Camp Verde has sought a non-motorized trail through the Jackson Flat area since incorporating in the late 1980's. A proposed trail route from the downtown to Montezuma Castle National Monument, crossing the Jackson Flat area, has appeared in both the 1998 and 2004 Camp Verde General Plan (see attached maps).</p> <p>Recently the Town began working with the National Park Service to incorporate the town's plan for a trail from downtown to Montezuma Castle, with the National Park Service's plans to receive a trail into the park along the park entrance road, just outside the monument boundary.</p> <p>The result of that collaborative work is the proposed trail route contained in the National Forest scoping request letter dated May 2, 2014 (see attached Montezuma Castle Trail map).</p>	Town of Camp Verde supports Jackson Flat trail. See attached map.	Support noted
397	Steve	Ayers		96	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>We believe the length of time in which this trail has been considered, its ability to introduce residents and visitors to the riparian corridor of Beaver Creek and Jackson Flat and the more recent collaborative effort between the Town of Camp Verde and the National Park Service warrants placing it on your list of priority trail projects for 2014-15.</p>	Support Jackson Flat: give it high priority	Noted
398	Steve	Ayers		96	3	6.00	Cultural Resources	<p>We understand the Jackson Flat area has both prehistoric and historic resources and that the reach of Beaver Creek passing through the Jackson Flat area is environmentally sensitive. However, we believe the recent closing of Jackson Flat to motorized travel will significantly mitigate the degradation of those resources. We also believe that non-motorized travel in the area will have a minimal impact on resources and will in turn help nurture an appreciation for the cultural and environmental resources.</p>	Cultural and resource considerations should not be impacted by non-motorized use: Jackson Flat.	Noted Impacts to resources will be evaluated during the analysis process.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
399	Steve	Ayers		96	4	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	<p>Additionally, the National Park Service's River Trails and Conservation Assistance Program recently asked the Town to submit a grant request this summer for funding that will pay for public engagement related to the proposed trail. We will keep you advised as to the success of that grant request as we feel it will be of considerable assistance to the community, NPS and the Forest Service. The Town of Camp Verde is committed to developing a trail network both within and without our corporate boundaries. We appreciate the opportunity to work with your agency and see the proposed Jackson Flat Trail as a positive project to further develop our working relationship.</p>	Supports volunteering and has submitted a grant application for public engagement of proposed trail.	Noted
400	Steve	Ayers		96	5	9.00	Attachments	See map attachments	Map attachments	
401	Robin	Hompe		97	1	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	<p>From your list.</p> <p>1- I think bikers have fewer trails than hikers and would greatly enjoy not having to share all trails with hikers. Maybe this would also alleviate their bad habits of riding off trail!</p>	Bikers have fewer trails than hikers; need more bike trails	Noted
402	Robin	Hompe		97	2	2.10	User Created (Unauthorized Trails)	<p>2-Too many social trails exist in this area! ... there are plenty of sanctioned trails! .. but, now that I've gone thru your entire list, I wonder if this area could have a two step process to reduce the expense and to move another idea to a higher priority. Start with signage closing or area rehab in progress for social trails to stop people from using them and increase trails later?</p>	A2: Against adopting user-created trails	Noted In an area that receives close to 1 million trail users, it is difficult to prevent all impacts to the forest. For example, the social routes that visitors use to access Bell Rock.
403	Robin	Hompe		97	3	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	3-This opens some "newer" areas for people who have been in town for a long time.	Supports A3	Noted
404	Robin	Hompe		97	4	4.10	Local Economy	4-If visitors love it, let's embrace it! They are our life-blood.	A4: good for local economy	Noted
405	Robin	Hompe		97	5	3.60	Trails (General Comments)	5-AGAIN, new "legitimate" territory for longtime residents!	Supports A5	Noted
406	Robin	Hompe		97	6	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	6-Short link--a smaller job that has a huge reward?	Supports A6	Noted
407	Robin	Hompe		97	7	5.20	Public Safety	7-SAFETY SAFETY SAFETY.	Safety concern on A7	
408	Robin	Hompe		97	8	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	8-Since this is such a great area for riders and bikers and relatively new to all, I think it's a really good idea.	A8: Supports	Support noted
409	Robin	Hompe		97	9	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	9-It'd be GREAT to offer a clearly defined trail on Doe MT.	A9: need clearly defined trail on Doe Mountain	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
410	Robin	Hompe		97	10	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	10-This is so widely used by bikers and hikers that I think it's priority should be upgraded.	A10: Elevate priority	Noted
411	Robin	Hompe		97	11	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	11-Since people can walk on the 4x4 rd, I think this is a good one to set aside.	A11: low priority since people can walk on 4X4 road	Noted
412	Robin	Hompe		97	12	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	12-Expensive but since tourists are enticed by Devil's Bridge and since the Chuckwagon Trailhead has such great parking now, it's a great idea.	A12: good for tourists	Support noted
413	Robin	Hompe		97	13	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	13-I have hiked in this area a few times but cannot picture the description in my mind.	A13: no comment	Noted
414	Sue	Jones		98	1	3.30	Trailheads	Thank you for taking charge of the monumental task of organizing trail heads and trails as listed in the Redrock District Trail Projects - 2014 Public Scoping Letter. The Trail Head recently completed on Oasis Road and Salt Mine in Camp Verde is very usable as well as beautiful and convenient.	Applauds trailhead on Oasis Road and Redrock RD efforts.	Support noted
415	Sue	Jones		98	2	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	I reviewed all of the information in the Public Scoping Letter. I and my friends in the Rio Verde Roverettes Equestrian Club of Cottonwood would highly encourage any efforts that could be made to create a better trail head and parking for what we refer to as "The Gravel Pit" on Cornville Highway. Due to the number of weekly horsebackers who use this location, we would consider it as more than moderate use. This area has suffered the same kind of "invasion" to its trails as that of Beaver Creek by Montezuma Castle. What was once a cattle trail has now evolved into a 4-wheeler trail. The thing that makes it hard is that the grazing permit holder for the gravel pit area works, or checks his cattle on a 4-wheeler. So how do you fence out the public on 4-wheelers, and allow the permit holder to enter to do his work? You would have to have a locked gate for him to use, but not the public. Another concern is that a lot of people enter and go down to the creek or other places to fire their weapons. It is dangerous for horseback riders as well as the animals who graze there.	Need for equestrian parking at Gravel Pit on Cornville Road.	Noted The needs of equestrians are a concern to us in the development of trailheads.

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
416	Sue	Jones		98	3	3.33	Trailheads (Equestrian)	Another location I would like you to think about is Beaver Creek by Montezuma Castle. My husband, Bill Jones, created the "Bill Jones Loop" trail, which, I think, connects the One-Hour and the Two-Hour trails. The Pecan Lane access has recently been fenced out and locked. We would ask that you create a trail head and parking on the Montezuma Castle Road side so that hikers and horsebackers alike can park, picnic, and ride this area. The Jackson Flat Trail is a good start, but it looks like a one-way trail. If it were possible, a loop would be more convenient for everyone. Of course, there will be comments to consider from people who often drive down to the creek for a picnic lunch. This activity has left the road and underbrush damaged in places, which, I suspect is why the Forest Service fenced out the Pecan Lane access in the first place.	Need for equestrian parking at Beaver Creek.	Noted.
417	Bob and Kristen	Rothrock		99	1	2.15	Adding New Trails	Thanks for all your and the RRRD's work on trails. What an asset for our community! Please also consider a trail that uses the new Cliffrose trail head to connect to the Lime Kiln trail.. It could be a collaborative project with the county as they have the property due north of the trailhead.	Add a new trail off of Cliffrose Trail.	If you have a proposed alignment, please present to the District for consideration.
418	Stephen	Cassogio		100	1	3.47	Connecting Trails	<p>This letter is in response to your Scoping Letter dated May 2, 2014, requesting comments on potential non-motorized trail developments in the Red Rock District. Particularly, our comments relate to Item B.2 Windmill Park Connector - Cornville.</p> <p>We are extremely pleased to see this project included in your scoping letter as a high priority. Ever since adoption of the Cornville Community Plan in May 2005, the Cornville Community Association (CCA) has advocated for a safe pedestrian connector trail and community recreation on the 80-acre isolated parcel of National Forest land in the heart of the community. A planned loop trail will also bring a desirable attribute to the area, while protecting riparian and cultural resources.</p> <p>The trail will later include a pedestrian bridge across Oak Creek, to be attached to the Cornville Road Bridge. The trail and bridge will then connect Windmill Park with the National Forest parcel. Ultimately, the community goal is for Yavapai County to acquire the National Forest parcel (through purchase, exchange or use permit) and expand Windmill Park.</p>	Windmill Park: High Priority	Noted

ID	First Name	Last Name		Letter Number	Comment Number	Code	Code Description	Comment	Summary	Response
419	Stephen	Cassogio		100	2	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	The CCA has a strong working relationship with Yavapai County Public Works for an effective three-way partnership with the Forest Service. Grant funds have also been allocated for the project. The CCA strongly supports the project and the extension of funds to make it happen.	Supports volunteering	We welcome volunteers
420	Dorothy	FireCloud		101	1	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>I am writing this in support of the application which the Beaver Creek Trails Coalition (BCTC) will be submitting through the NPS Rivers. Trails and Conservation Assistance program. The BCTC will be requesting support from your office for their efforts to scope and develop the "Sunset Group" of trails which currently exists on the Coconino National Forest. as well as to develop the Montezuma Crossing trail. The "Sunset Group" trails. which you hiked with Mr. Stan Mish. On</p> <p>July 2, 2014, includes the Well Vista trail and the Deer Springs connector. The Wells Vista trail concludes at a location which overlooks the Montezuma Castle unit of Montezuma Well. We would work closely with BCTC to install signage on the significance of the</p> <p>Well. In addition. the trail would include a new connection. Montezuma Crossing, which would allow hikers to cross Beaver Creek with access, during the hours of 8:00 am to 5:00 pm, to the</p> <p>Montezuma Well park unit.</p>	Supports Sunset Trails	Support noted
421	Dorothy	FireCloud		101	2	3.40	Trail-Specific Comments	<p>Additional trails which are being looked at include a possible route over the mesa to the north of Montezuma Well which would link the Monument with Beaver Creek Elementary School. This route would be a safe route for the school children to walk to the Monument without being on the very narrow paved road for any distance. The route would also link the school to the quarry site on top of the Mesa which could be used for an educational component. It is my understanding from your site visit that additional routes may be determined for the school.</p>	Would like route over mesa to north of Montezuma Well.	Outside scope of project.
422	Dorothy	FireCloud		101	3	3.70	Volunteering (Involvement) and/or Partnership	The two new trail additions would require some additional time and coordination among the various partners. However. the existing trails within the Coconino National Forest could be developed within a very short lime frame with little additional funds. We look forward to the partnership with the Beaver Creek Trails Coalition. Coconino National Forest and your office in development of the Sunset Group trails and proposed spurs.	proposes volunteering and source of funding	Noted.