

A summary of current trends and probable future trends in climate and climate-driven processes in the Inyo National Forest and adjacent lands.

Chris Mallek, Information Center for the Environment, University of California-Davis
csmallek@ucdavis.edu; 530-752-2644

Hugh Safford, Regional Ecologist, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.
hughsafford@fs.fed.us; 707-562-8934

Sarah Sawyer, Assistant Regional Ecologist, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Region.
scsawyer@fs.fed.us; 707-562-8924

Late Update: January, 2013

I. Local and Regional trends in climate over the past century

Two types of data are presented to illustrate climatic patterns within the Inyo National Forest and adjacent lands, hereafter referred to collectively as the "INF". First, spatially explicit weather records are presented as maps. These are derived using data from the PRISM climate dataset, which interpolates records from weather stations to all areas of the landscape for all years beginning in the late 19th century (Daly et al. 1994, PRISM 2010). Second, data are also presented from weather stations within and around the INF with long-term meteorological records. Records from these sites provide an indication of local-scale variation in climate patterns, and how patterns at individual stations differ in the extent to which they reflect those seen at broader, regional scales. Records from the Independence, Bishop, Dyer, and Haiwee stations (<http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/summary/Climsmcca.html>) were used because they were the longest, most complete weather records obtainable within and directly adjacent to the INF. The Benton Station was included for precipitation records, as it was the highest elevation site providing consistent enough data to analyze precipitation trends over time. The Independence and Bishop stations are located in the Owens Valley, and bounded to west and east by the Sierra Nevada and White-Inyo Mountains of the Inyo National Forest. The station at Independence (36°48'N, 118°12'W) lies at 3,910' above sea level, and provides weather data from 1925 to present. The station at Bishop (37°22'N, 118°25'W) lies approximately 40 miles (64 km) north of the Independence station, at 4,150' above sea level, and provides weather data from 1949 to 2009. The Dyer, NV station (37°41'N 118°05'W), is located approximately 2 miles west of INF border, NW of the White Mountain Peak, lies at 3,830' above sea level, and provides data from 1903 to present. The Haiwee station (36°08'N, 117°57'W), lies just east of the southern tip of INF, about 50 miles south of Independence along the I-395 corridor at an elevation of 3,830' above sea level, providing data from 1923 to present. The Benton station (37°51'N, 118°29'W) lies 40 miles north of Bishop, between the Glass and Dubois mountains at an elevation of 5,460' above sea level, and has precipitation records from 1964 to 2003. No high elevation sites in the area provide large enough (>30 years), recent enough (data since

1980), or consistent enough (sample size larger than data gap size) data to analyze recent climate trends, and such records will be important additions to future INF climate analyses.

For each of the weather stations, we evaluated the complete monthly climate records for trends in annual mean temperature, annual mean minimum temperature, annual mean maximum temperature, total annual precipitation, interannual precipitation variability, and total annual snowfall. We calculated temperature values for individual calendar-years (i.e., January–December) by first taking the average value across all days within each constituent month, and then averaging across the monthly averages. Individual years were excluded from temperature trend analyses if more than two months, or two consecutive months, lacked temperature data for more than 15 days. We calculated precipitation totals for water-years (i.e., July–June) because water-year precipitation totals are i) more clearly linked to the availability of water for natural ecosystems and human populations during the annual summer droughts, and ii) of greater importance for understanding flood risks to low-lying areas. Individual years were withheld from trend analyses if any non-summer month (i.e., September–May) lacked precipitation data for more than five days. Interannual variability in precipitation totals were calculated as the coefficient of variation using a five-year moving window. Annual snowfall totals were calculated by water-year. The presence, direction, and magnitude of climatic trends were assessed through simple linear regressions using ordinary least squares estimation procedures. Trend analyses were performed using only data from stations and time periods for which climate data were more than 70% complete.




Figure 1. Spatial differences in mean annual temperature (A), and mean annual precipitation (B) between the 1930's and 2000's, as derived by the PRISM climate model. The INF area is found within the circle. Temperatures have risen across most of the INF area, but precipitation trends are variable across the area. Graphic courtesy of S. Dobrowksi, Univ. of Montana.

Temperature

The spatially explicit PRISM dataset indicates that mean temperatures in the INF during the 2000s were generally greater than they were during the 1930s (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, records from individual stations show that local patterns may vary considerably from site to site. At Independence, annual mean temperatures, mean maximum (i.e., daytime) temperature, and mean minimum (i.e., nighttime) temperatures have each risen by about 3° F between 1925 and 2008 (Table 1, Fig. 2A). The Dyer station showed an even more dramatic increase in mean minimum temperature (6.2°; Table 1, Fig 2B), and similar increases in mean and maximum temperatures since 1903. Increasing annual temperatures, especially in the past 30 years, are consistent with other climate analyses both in the southern Sierra Nevada (Edwards and Redmond 2011, Gonzalez 2012) and at higher elevations in the region (Diaz and Eischeid 2007, Das and Stephenson 2012). On the other hand, the only statistically significant trend from the 63-year Bishop record (1949-2012) was a decrease in the number of months per year in which average minimum temperature fell below freezing (Table 1). The Haiwee station showed opposing trends in minimum (4.2° decrease), and maximum (4.6° increase) temperature over time, resulting in no significant change of mean temperature (Table 1; Fig 2C).

Table 1. Direction, magnitude, and statistical significance of climatic shifts at Independence, Bishop, Dyer, Haiwee, & Benton Stations. Numerical values indicate the difference between the expected values for the earliest and most recent years of the given time frame, as calculated using regression equations. Directions and magnitudes of shifts are only shown for cases where rates of change are statistically greater or less than zero ($P < 0.05$), and cases where change are near statistical significance ($P \leq 0.06$). Statistical significance indicated as follows: 'ns' not significant; ^{*}, $P < 0.05$; ^{**}, $P < 0.01$; ^{***}, $P < 0.001$. Near significant values are shown in italics. Results for precipitation are organized by water-year, while those for temperature are organized by calendar-year.

	Independence	Bishop	Dyer ¹	Haiwee	Benton (precip only)
	1925-2012	1949-2012	1903-2012	1923-2012	1964-2003
Elevation	3,940 feet asl	4,110 feet asl	4,980 feet asl	3,830 feet asl	5,460 feet asl
Temperature					
Mean (°F)	+2.9***	ns	+3.6***	ns	-
Max. (°F)	+3.1***	ns	+1.8 ($p = 0.58$)	+4.6***	-
Min. (°F)	+2.9***	ns	+6.2***	-4.2***	-
Freezing (mo/yr)	ns	-0.6**	-1.6***	+0.9*	-
Precipitation					
Total (in.)	ns	ns	ns ⁴	ns ⁵	ns
Coefficient of variation	+0.24**	ns	-0.14*** ⁴	ns ⁵	-0.26*
Snowfall (in.)	-9.0** ²	ns ³	ns ⁴	-9.7* ⁶	-9.3 ($p = 0.06$)

¹ = missing years 1911, 1920-1949 (significant trends remain significant when analysis run for only consecutive data (ie 1950-2012)); ² = 1929/1930-2006/2007, ³ = 1949/50-1994/1995, ⁴ = 1953-2012; ⁵ = 1930-2012; ⁶ = 1948-2003




Figure 2. Annual mean, mean maximum, and mean minimum temperatures plotted by year for (A) Independence, CA, 1925-2012; (B) Bishop, CA, 1949-2012; (C) Dyer, NV, 1903-2012; and (D) Haiwee, CA, 1923 - 2012. Linear regression equations and coefficients of determination are shown only for significant regressions. No transformations were employed. Data from WRCC 2012.

Precipitation

While trends in total precipitation vary greatly from site-to-site, some general changes in precipitation patterns have been observed across the western United States since the mid-1900s. These shifts include: more rainfall and less snowfall (Knowles et al. 2006); decreased snow depth (particularly at lower, transitional elevation sites; Grundstein & Mote 2010; Barnett et al. 2008; Mote et al. 2005); and decreased snow-water-equivalent (SWE) as proportion of precipitation (by 2-8% per decade with the exception of high elevation areas like the Southern Sierra Nevada; Barnett et al. 2008, Mote et al. 2005). The spatially explicit PRISM dataset for the INF shows that annual precipitation trends are variable across the INF landscape. The model suggests that precipitation has increased along the eastern slope of the southern Sierra Nevada, strongly decreased in the Long and Owens Valleys, and decreased moderately in the White and Into Mountains (Fig. 1). The four datasets used here fail to conclusively show any directional change in annual precipitation in the INF over the last ¼ century. Records from all stations fail to show any statistically significant shift in precipitation totals (Table 1; Fig 3). Precipitation at all of the sites varied greatly among years during the periods of record, and the magnitude of interannual variations increased at only two of three sites (Table 1, Fig. 3).

Despite a lack of change in overall precipitation, annual snowfall declined by approximately 9 in. at the Independence, Haiwee, and Benton stations, possibly as a result of increasing temperatures during the same period (Table 1; Fig 4). In contrast, annual snowfall at Bishop and Dyer changed little overall (Table 1). Mote et al. (2005) reported increases in early-spring (April 1) snowpack and snow-water equivalents between 1950 and 1997 for most of the stations they surveyed in the southern Sierra Nevada (Fig. 5). This makes sense when viewed alongside the spatially explicit PRISM data (Fig. 1). The southern Sierra Nevada is so high that most precipitation continues to fall as snow despite the observed increases in temperature and any increases in precipitation lead to greater snowpack which persists for a longer period of time into spring. Therefore, mid-elevation sites are those most at risk of decreased snowpack, and high elevation weather stations in the INF may paint a different picture of current snowfall trends.




Figure 3. Annual (water-year) precipitation plotted by year for all five weather stations. No regressions showed statistically significant trends. No transformations were employed. Data from WRCC 2011




Figure 4. Snowfall trends for Benton, Haiwee, and Independence Stations. Linear regression equations and coefficients of determination are shown. No transformations were employed. Data from WRCC 2012.




Figure 5. Trends in the amount of water contained in the snowpack (“snow water equivalent”) on April 1, for the period 1950-1997. Red circles indicate percent decrease in snow water, blue circles indicate increase in snow water. From Moser et al. (2009)

II. Regional trends over the last century linked to climate change

Hydrology

Across the western United States, widespread changes in surface hydrology have been observed since the mid-1900s. These shifts include: earlier snow melt and spring runoff (by 0.3 to 1.7 days per decade; Barnett et al. 2008; Hamlet et al. 2007; Stewart *et al.* 2005; Maurer 2007); decline in total runoff occurring in the spring (Moser et al. 2009); rising river temperatures (Kaushal et al. 2010), and increased variability in streamflow (Pagano & Garen 2005). While individual watershed response to climate change is highly variable (Null et al. 2010), the broad scale trends observed across the Western U.S. have been mirrored on a smaller scale across California and the Sierra Nevada. Over the last half-century, peak runoff/streamflow (measured as the center of mass annual flow) has shifted earlier in the year for many Sierra Nevada watersheds (Young et al. 2009; McCabe and Clark, 2005; Regonda et al., 2005; Stewart et al., 2005). Stewart et al. (2005) showed that the onset of spring thaw in most major streams on the western slopes of the southern Sierra Nevada occurred 5-20 days earlier in 2002 than in 1948, and peak streamflow occurred 0-15 days earlier. Moser et al. (2009)

reported that over the past 100 years, the fraction of annual runoff that occurs during April–July has decreased by 23% in the Sacramento basin and by 19% in the San Joaquin basin in California. March flows in Sierra Nevada streams were significantly higher by 3-10%, whereas June flows were mostly lower by the same amount, and overall spring and early summer streamflow was down in most studied streams (Stewart et al. 2005). Baldwin et al. (2003) found that in the Sierra Nevada and northeastern California, the timing of spring snowmelt driven streamflow is now about 10 to 15 days earlier than in the mid-1900s (Baldwin *et al.* 2003). In addition to temporal shifts, California has also exhibited one of the greatest increases in variability in streamflow in the Western U.S. since the 1980s (Pagano & Garen 2005). This increased variability, coupled with high year-to-year persistence (i.e. the probability that a wet year is followed by another wet year, or a dry by a dry year) has resulted in extended and extreme dry and wet spells that are particularly challenging to manage (Pagano & Garen 2005).

Beneath these general trends, there is much variation in the range of hydrologic response to climate change in the Sierra Nevada, due principally to variation in the locations and elevations of studied watersheds. For example, while the northern Sierra Nevada shows a decrease in snow-water-equivalent as proportion of precipitation (SWE/P) since 1950, the southern Sierra Nevada actually shows a positive trend (Barnett et al. 2008) or positive but insignificant trend (Christy and Hnilo 2010). This discrepancy is largely owing to the generally higher elevations in the southern Sierra Nevada, as cold, high elevation areas, and those with very large increases in precipitation, showed positive trends in SWE from 1950 to 1997 (Mote et al. 2005). Null et al. (2010) assessed the vulnerability to climate warming of 15 west-slope watersheds in the Sierra Nevada and found differing vulnerabilities for different segments of the mountain range. They found that mid- and high-elevation watersheds in southern-central Sierra Nevada were most likely to exhibit earlier runoff, while watersheds in the northern Sierra Nevada were most likely to show the greatest reductions in mean annual flow, and central Sierra Nevada watersheds were most likely to experience extended periods of low flow conditions (Null et al. 2010

Kattelmann (2000) examined records of annual peak flows dating back to the 1920s for Convict, Rock, Pine, Big Pine, and Independence Creeks, all of which lie within the INF. These data revealed a disproportionately high degree of interannual variability during the most recent years. In the 75-year record, seven of the largest (by volume) eight to eleven (depending on which stream being considered) peak flows had occurred within the most recent 23 years. Six of the thirteen or fourteen smallest peak flows had occurred with the most recent 14 years. While this fails to present a clear trend, these patterns may indicate greater variation in the intensity of the peak snowmelt period, possibly due to variation in winter snowpack development, spring temperatures, or both.

Forest fires

Data on forest fire frequency, size, total area burned, and severity all show strong increases in the Sierra Nevada over the last two to three decades. Westerling et al. (2006) showed that increasing frequencies of large fires (>1000 acres) across the western United States since the 1980's were strongly linked to increasing temperatures and earlier spring snowmelt. The Sierra Nevada was one of two geographic areas of especially increased fire activity, which Westerling et al. (2006) ascribed to an interaction between climate and increased fuels due to fire

suppression. Westerling et al. (2006) also identified the Sierra Nevada as being one of the geographic regions most likely to see further increases in fire activity due to future increases in temperature.

Miller et al. (2009) included the INF in a study examining changes in mean fire size, maximum fire size, and total burned area across the Sierra Nevada as a whole. They showed that all three indices had increased strongly between the early 1980's and 2007. Climatic variables explain very little of the pattern in fire size and area in the early 20th century, but 35-50% of the pattern in the last 25 years. The mean size of escaped fires in the Sierra Nevada was about 750 acres until the late 1970's, but the most recent ten-year average has climbed to about 1100 acres. The model that best explained the increase in area burned in the Sierra between 1977 and 2003 included effects of summer drought, and precipitation in both growing season and winter in the year prior to fire (Littell et al. 2009). High temperatures, increased fuel production due to winter precipitation, and more severe summer droughts have led to increases in area burned in the past 30 years (Littell et al. 2009). Miller et al. (2009) and Miller and Safford (2012) also showed that forest fire severity (a measure of the effect of fire on vegetation) rose strongly during the period 1984-2007 and 1984-2010, respectively, with the pattern centered in middle elevation conifer forests of the Sierra Nevada. Fires at the beginning of the record burned at an average of about 17% high (stand-replacing) severity, while the average for the last ten-year period was 30%. Miller et al. (2009) found that both climate change and increasing forest fuels were necessary to explain the patterns they analyzed. For mixed conifer forests, Miller and Safford (2012) also documented increases in the total annual area of high severity fire, the percentage of high severity fire in large (>1000 acre) fires, and the total number of large fires.

There are some indications that fire activity in the INF may be responding to climate differently than in the remainder of the Sierra Nevada. Many ponderosa pine and mixed-conifer forests on the western slopes of the range, as well as other eastside Jeffrey pine forests north of the INF, experienced a strong decline in fire activity in the late nineteenth century due to increased human activity. However, North et al. (2009) examined fire history in Jeffrey pine forests throughout the INF and found evidence that fire activity had continued at most sites, albeit at reduced levels in some cases, into the 1950s. Notable exceptions include areas near human residences such as Whitney Portal (North et al. 2009) and Mammoth Lakes (Stephens 2001). Nevertheless, because Jeffrey pine forests of the INF have largely not yet developed the extreme fuel conditions commonly found in other Sierra Nevada pine forests (Stephens 2001, North et al. 2009), fire activity in these forests may not yet be responding to changes in climate as dramatically as broader analyses (above) suggest.

Forest structure

Fire suppression has been practiced as a federal policy since 1935. Compared to low and middle elevation forests of the Sierra Nevada, pre-Euroamerican fire intervals in high elevation forests such as red fir (>50 years in most places) and subalpine forests (>100 years) were long enough that fire suppression policy has had little or no impact on ecological patterns or processes (Miller et al. 2009, North et al. 2009). Higher elevation forests are also much more remote, less likely to have economic uses, and are often protected in Wilderness Areas and National Parks, so impacts by logging or recreation use are minimal. Thus, changes over the last century in

forests at high elevations are more likely to be driven by climate than by any direct human influence. This is further supported by studies showing that subalpine tree growth, stand structure, and treeline location have been strongly correlated with changes in precipitation and temperature in the long-term past (Graumlich 1991, Lloyd 1997, Lloyd and Graumlich 1997, Millar et al. 2007, Salzer et al. 2009).

Studies performed mainly in western Sierra Nevada subalpine forests provide an indication of probable trends within similar forests on the east side of the range. In the early 1930's, the Forest Service mapped vegetation in the Lake Tahoe Basin and neighboring National Forests, and sampled thousands of vegetation plots (Wieslander 1935). Bouldin (1999) compared the Wieslander plots with the modern FIA inventory and described changes in forest structure from Yosemite National Park to the Plumas National Forest. These changes varied depending on tree species, age class, and forest type. In red fir forest, Bouldin (1999) found that densities of young trees had increased by about 40% between 1935 and 1992, but densities of large trees had decreased by 50% during the same period. In old-growth stands, overall densities and basal areas were higher, and the number of plots in the red fir zone dominated by shade-tolerant species increased at the expense of species like Jeffrey pine and western white pine. In old-growth subalpine forests, Bouldin (1999) found that young mountain hemlock was increasing in density and basal area while larger western white pine was decreasing. In whitebark pine stands, overall density was increasing due to increased recruitment of young trees, but species composition had not changed. Lodgepole pine appeared to be responding favorably to increased warming and/or increased precipitation throughout the subalpine forest.

Bouldin (1999) also studied mortality patterns in the 1935 and 1992 datasets. He found that mortality rates had increased in red fir, with the greatest increases in the smaller size-classes. At the same time, in subalpine forests, lodgepole pine, western white pine, and mountain hemlock all showed decreases in mortality. The subalpine zone was the only forest type Bouldin (1999) studied where mortality had not greatly increased since the 1935 inventory. This suggests that climate change (warming, plus steady or higher precipitation) is actually making conditions better for some tree species in this stressful environment. Dolanc et al. (2012) recently completed a study that resampled Wieslander plots in the subalpine zone between Yosemite National Park and the Lake Tahoe Basin. Corroborating Bouldin (1999), they found that growing conditions in the subalpine zone were probably better today than in the 1930's, as the density of small trees of almost all species had increased greatly in the 75 year period. Dolanc et al.'s (2012) direct plot-to-plot comparison also found that mortality of large trees had decreased density of the subalpine forest canopy, but the overall trend was for denser forests with no apparent change in relative tree species abundances. According to Bunn et al. (2005), high-elevation conifers in the Sierra Nevada and White Mountains showed higher growth rates in the second half of the 20th century than at any other time in the last millennium, and this was correlated with increasing temperatures. Similarly, when Millar et al. (2004) reconstructed changes in Sierra Nevada high elevation treeline ecotones between 1880 and 2002, they found that throughout the 20th century, conifer branch growth accelerated in treeline sites and invasions of snow fields by conifers increased. These changes were correlated with increased minimum monthly temperatures, although they presented more complex relationships with

precipitation (Millar et al. 2004). Evidence from treeline ecotone studies suggests that warmer conditions encourage upslope advancement, but that this movement may be limited by moisture availability (Malanson et al. 2007).

Van Mantgem et al. (2009) recently documented widespread increases in tree mortality in old-growth forests across the west, including in the Sierra Nevada. Their plots had not experienced increases in density or basal area during the 15-40 year period between first and last census. The highest mortality rates were documented in the Sierra Nevada, and in middle elevation forests (3300-6700 feet). Likewise, Van Mantgem et al. (2009) ascribed the mortality patterns they analyzed to regional climate warming and associated drought stress. Higher elevation forests (>6700 feet) showed the lowest mortality rates, corroborating the Bouldin (1999) findings. Lutz et al (2009) found that between the mid-1930s and mid-1990s, both the density and diversity of large-diameter trees in Yosemite National Park declined. Lutz et al (2009) observed a 24% decrease in large diameter tree density across the 21 tree species they measured. They attribute this decline mainly to water stress, and note that declining water availability is likely to disproportionately affect species already occurring close to their water balance limit, such as western white pine and mountain hemlock (Lutz et al. 2010). Damschen et al. (2010) re-surveyed vegetative species cover and richness across sites in the Siskiyou mountains of Northern California and southern Oregon 57 years after their original surveys between 1949 and 1951. Although their work was not in the Sierra Nevada, their results suggest that species living in already stressful conditions may have less capacity to tolerate climate change impacts.

One example of recent climate-induced mortality in higher-elevation forests comes from the northern portion of the INF. Here, Millar et al. (2007) examined patterns of tree growth and mortality in limber pine forests at the lower end of the species' local elevation range (8038-9777 feet). Researchers found that limber pine growth responded negatively to drought, and that as temperature rose during the 20th century, trees became increasingly sensitive to periods of low precipitation. This resulted in pulses of mortality within the densest stands in the INF. Interestingly, these reductions in stand density seem to have reduced competition among neighboring trees to the point where subsequent droughts have had little effect.

Comparisons of the 1930's Wieslander vegetation inventories and maps with modern vegetation maps and inventories show large changes in the distribution of many vegetation types in the Sierra Nevada over the last 70-80 years (Fig. 6A, 5B; Bouldin 1999, Moser et al. 2009; Thorne and Safford, unpub. data). The principal trends are (1) loss of yellow pine-dominated forest, (2) increase in the area of forest dominated by shade-tolerant conifers (especially fir species), (3) loss of blue oak woodland, (4) increase in hardwood-dominated forests, (5) loss of subalpine and alpine vegetation, and (6) expansion of subalpine trees into previous permanent snowfields (Fig. 7). Trends (4) through (6) appear to have a strong connection to climate warming, while trends (1) through (3) are mostly the product of human management choices, including logging, fire suppression, and urban expansion.




Figure 6. (A) Distribution of major vegetation types in the central and northern Sierra Nevada in the period 1932-1936. Mapped by the US Forest Service “Wieslander” mapping project. Maps digitized and vegetation types cross-walked to CWHR type by UC-Davis Information Center for the Environment. The INF region is just off the map to the southeast (lower right). AGS = agriculture; BOP = blue oak/foothill pine; BOW = blue oak woodland; MCH = mixed conifer hardwood; MHW = mixed hardwood; PPN = ponderosa pine; DFR = Douglas-fir; SMC = Sierra mixed conifer; WFR = white fir; LPN = lodgepole pine; RFR = red fir; SCN = Subalpine conifer; JPN = Jeffrey pine; EPN = eastside pine.




Figure 6. (B) Distribution of major vegetation types in the central and northern Sierra Nevada in 2000. Mapped by the US Forest Service Pacific Southwest Region Remote Sensing Laboratory. See Fig. 5 (A) for key and scale. The major patterns of change between 1934 and 2000 are: (1) loss of yellow pine (ponderosa and Jeffrey pine) dominated forest; (2) expansion of shade tolerant conifers (DFR, WFR, SMC); (3) loss of blue oak woodland; (4) increase in hardwood dominated forests; (5) loss of subalpine and alpine vegetation. The INF region is just off the map to the southeast (lower right).

Wildlife

Changes in climate may have both direct (e.g. thermal stress) and indirect (e.g. changes in species interactions and vegetation) effects on wildlife distributions and abundances (Martin 2007; Rubidge et al. 2011). Direct effects of climate warming are predicted to force species upslope and to higher latitude, while indirect effects leave a more complex signature. Recent work comparing historic (1914-1920; Grinnell and Storer 1924; the “Grinnell transects”) and contemporary (Moritz et al. 2008) small mammal surveys conducted in Yosemite National Park by UC Berkeley’s Museum of Vertebrate Zoology (MVZ), came to several conclusions: (1) the elevation limits of geographic ranges shifted primarily upward, (2) several high-elevation species (e.g., alpine chipmunk; *Tamias alpinus*) exhibited range contraction (shifted their lower range limit upslope), while several low-elevation species expanded their range upslope (Moritz et al. 2008). Similar distribution patterns have been observed for other faunal taxa throughout the Sierra Nevada. Forister et al. (2010) tracked 159 species of butterflies over 35 years in the central Sierra Nevada and observed upward shifts in the elevational range of species, a pattern consistent with a warming climate. Tingley et al. (2009) resurveyed bird distributions along the Grinnell transects in the entire Sierra Nevada and concluded that 91% of species tracked changes in temperature or precipitation over time and 26% of species tracked both temperature and precipitation. While distributions of the American pika (*Ochotona collaris*) in the Sierra Nevada appear to be stable at present (Millar & Westfall 2010), pika distributions elsewhere appear to be moving upslope, as fast as 145 meters per decade (Beever et al. 2011), perhaps forecasting future threats to Sierra Nevada pika populations and highlighting the importance of Sierra Nevada refugia for this species. These studies suggest that wildlife are moving in response to changing climates in order to maintain environmental associations to which they are adapted. Species with a high degree of habitat specialization and a smaller natural thermal range are more sensitive to climate change than other species and may be under more pressure to move as climates warm (Gardali et al. 2012; Jiguet et al. 2006).

Indirect effects of climate change can have complex impacts on wildlife communities, resulting in shifting, stable, or collapsing ranges and abundances. In their study of small mammals, Moritz et al (2008) concluded that: (1) many species showed no change in their elevational range, (2) elevational range shifts resulted in minor changes in species richness and composition at varying spatial scales, and (3) closely-related species responded idiosyncratically to changes in climate and vegetation. Those species exhibiting range contraction or upward shifting are likely limited by thermal tolerance and contracting suitable vegetation distributions (e.g. *T. alpinus* and *T. senex*), while those with stable or expanding distributions (e.g. *T. speciosus*) may have been released from interspecific competition by retreating species (Rubidge et al. 2011). However, as shifting species’ distributions create novel species assemblages, many species will also face new competition and/or predation pressures that may negatively impact them (Stralberg et al. 2009). As climate-sensitive ecosystem engineers and keystone species (e.g. American pika) are extirpated from thermally stressful sites, this may also dramatically alter ecosystem ability to support particular species and assemblages (Beever et al. 2011). Another major indirect impact of climate change on wildlife populations is the loss of synchrony between reproductive phenology and resource availability (Seavy et al. 2009). Breeding dates of birds like tree swallows have advanced during the last century (e.g. up to 9

days, Dunn & Winkler 1999) which may lead to a mismatch in timing of egg laying relative to availability of food.

Indirect climate change impacts may also include changes to patterns in parasitism, disease, and disturbances that impact wildlife species. Moritz et al. (2008) concluded that in the Yosemite area most observed upwards range shifts for high-elevation species were consistent with predicted climate warming, while changes in most lower- to mid-elevation species' ranges were more likely the result of landscape-level vegetation dynamics related primarily to fire history (Moritz et al. 2008). In other areas, decreasing songbird diversity and abundance has been indirectly attributed to decreasing snowfall patterns (Martin & Maron 2012). Low rates of snowfall allow for increased over-winter herbivory by ungulates like deer and elk, thus decreasing growth and abundance of some tree species (especially aspen and cottonwood), which may in turn decrease associated songbird abundances (Martin 2007; Martin & Maron 2012; Brodie et al. 2012). Drost and Fellers (1996) found that most frog and toad species in Yosemite exhibited widespread decline over the past several decades, regardless of elevation. Primary factors contributing to this faunal collapse throughout the Sierra Nevada include introduced predators, a fungal pathogen, pesticides, and climate change (Wake and Vredenburg 2008). The amount of food consumed by aquatic ectotherms (cold-blooded organisms) generally increases with temperature, so warmer water temperatures may be increasing predation rates by native and introduced predators on species like the yellow-legged frog in the Sierra Nevada (Rahel et al. 2008). Increased water temperatures also promote populations of parasites like copepods, which negatively affect the fitness of fish and amphibian species (Kupferberg et al. 2009). Species like the protected Foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei* – Northwest CA NFs + Sierra/Cascade range) have been shown to suffer higher outbreaks of copepod parasites with increased water temperatures and drought-induced decreases in water flows in Northern California (Kupferberg et al. 2009).

III. Future projections

Climate

As of today, no published climate change or vegetation change modeling has been carried out for the INF. Indeed, few future-climate modeling efforts have treated areas as restricted as the State of California. The principal limiting factor is the spatial scale of the General Circulation Models (GCMs) that are used to simulate future climate scenarios. Most GCMs produce raster outputs with pixels that are 10,000's of km² in area. To be used at finer scales, these outputs must be downscaled using a series of algorithms and assumptions – these finer-scale secondary products currently provide the most credible sources we have for estimating potential outcomes of long-term climate change for California. Another complication is the extent to which GCMs disagree with respect to the probable outcomes of climate change. For example, a recent comparison of 21 published GCM outputs that included California found that estimates of future precipitation ranged from a 26% increase per 1° C increase in temperature to an 8% decrease (Gutowski et al. 2000, Hakkariinen and Smith 2003). That said, there was some broad consensus: all of the reviewed GCMs predicted warming temperatures for California, and 13 of 21 predicted higher precipitation (three showed no change and five predicted decreases).

According to Dettinger (2005), the most common prediction among the most recent models (which are considerably more complex and, ideally, more credible) is temperature warming by about 9° F by 2100, with precipitation remaining similar or slightly reduced compared to today. Most models agreed that summers will be drier than they are currently, regardless of levels of annual precipitation.

The most widely cited of the recent modeling efforts is probably Hayhoe et al. (2004). Hayhoe et al. (2004) used two contrasting GCMs (much warmer and wetter, vs. somewhat warmer and drier) under low and high greenhouse gas emissions scenarios to make projections of climate change impact for California over the next century. By 2100, under all GCM x emissions scenarios, April 1 snowpack was down by -22% to -93% in the 6,700-10,000 feet elevation belt, and the date of peak snowmelt was projected to occur from 3 to 24 days earlier in the season. Average temperatures were projected to increase by 2 to 4 degrees F in the winter, and 4-8 degrees in the summer. Finally, three of the four GCM x emissions scenarios employed by Hayhoe et al. (2004) predicted strong decreases in annual precipitation by 2100, ranging from -91 to -157%; the remaining scenario predicted a 38% increase.

Coats et al. (2010) recently downscaled the GFDL and PCM General Circulation Models (GCMs) from the original 100 x 100 km output grid to a 12 x 12 km grid and provided 21st century projections of future climate and hydrology trends for the Lake Tahoe Basin, to the north of the INF area but in a similar geographic situation to the northern part of the INF. Coats et al. used the IPCC A2 (strong increase in Greenhouse gases [GHGs]) and B1 (moderate increase in GHGs) emissions scenarios. Coats et al.'s (2010) results project strong upward trends in maximum and minimum temperatures, with an increase of up to 9°F by 2100 under the A2 emissions scenario (the equivalent of dropping the elevation of the Tahoe Basin by over 2500 feet), but no strong trends in annual precipitation amount, except for a slight drying trend projected by the GFDL-A2 scenario toward the end of the century. Coats et al. (2010) also project a continuing shift from snowfall to rain (from about 35% snowfall currently to 10-18% by 2100).

Hydrology

Modeling future hydrological changes in California, Miller et al. (2003) found that annual streamflow volumes were strongly dependent on the precipitation scenario, but changes in seasonal runoff were more temperature dependent. Predicted spring and summer runoff was lower in all of the California river basins they modeled, except where precipitation was greatly increased, in which case runoff was unchanged from today (Miller et al. 2003). Runoff in the winter and early spring was predicted to be higher under most of the climate scenarios because higher temperatures cause snow to melt earlier. Flood potential in California rivers that are fed principally by snowmelt (i.e., higher elevation streams) was predicted to increase under all climate change scenarios, principally due to earlier dates of peak daily flows and an increase in the proportion of precipitation falling as rain (Miller et al. 2003). Timing of peak flow may be expected to advance by up to seven weeks by 2100, depending on the climate scenario (Young et al. 2009).

Under the wettest climate scenario modeled by Miller et al. (2003), by 2100 the volume of flow during the highest flow days could more than double in many Sierra Nevada rivers, and the

predicted increase in peak flow was most pronounced in higher elevation river basins, due to the greater reliance on snowmelt. Das et al. (2011) also found that under future climate scenarios, all models predict greater flood magnitude and most predict greater flood frequency in both the Northern and Southern Sierra Nevada. Increases in extreme hydrologic events across the western U.S. are predicted to be especially pronounced in the mountains of the California coast range and the Sierra Nevada (Kim 2005). Such events could facilitate unprecedented debris flow and landslide events within the region as evidenced by recent case studies (e.g., DeGraff et al. 2011, Huggel et al. 2012). Increased flood risk is thus a high probability outcome of the continuation of current climate change trends, because temperature, not precipitation, is the main driver of higher peak runoff (Miller et al. 2003). Increased flooding is not the only predicted result of seasonal shifts in peak flows and warming temperatures. Warming temperatures are also expected to extend the period of summer drought, and decrease flow magnitude in the dry months (Reba et al. 2011). Increased variability in streamflow in California is already resulting in – and is predicted to continue to result in – extended wet and dry spells (Pagano & Garen 2005), with significant economic, social, and biological impacts (Mote et al. 2005).

In their recent assessment of potential climate change and hydrology trends in the Lake Tahoe Basin, Coats et al. (2010) project a continuing trend toward earlier snowmelt and runoff during the water year; increases in drought severity, especially toward the end of the century; and dramatic increases in flood magnitude in the middle third of the century, especially under the B1 emissions scenario. Current snowpack duration in the LTB is between 240 and 250 days. Under the most extreme future climate x emissions scenario (GFDL-A2), Coats et al. (2010) project a mean snowpack duration of only 184 days by the last third of the 21st century. The same scenario projects a loss in stream inflow into Lake Tahoe of 20-40% of baseline (average of 1967-1999) by 2100. As noted above, these trends are probably at least grossly applicable to the northernmost portion of the Inyo NF.

Vegetation

Lenihan et al. (2003, 2008) used a dynamic ecosystem model (“MC1”) which estimates the distribution and the productivity of terrestrial ecosystems such as forests, grasslands, and deserts across a grid of 100 km² cells. To this date, this is the highest resolution at which a model of this kind has been applied in California, but it is not of high enough resolution to be applied to the INF as a unit. Based on their modeling results, Lenihan et al. (2003, 2008) projected that forest types and other vegetation dominated by woody plants in California would migrate to higher elevations as warmer temperatures make those areas suitable for colonization and survival. Under their three future scenarios, Lenihan et al. (2003, 2008) projected for the INF area a general decline in the extent of most conifer-dominated vegetation types, including evergreen conifer (montane) forest and subalpine forest (Fig. 7). Forest types including broadleaved species (mostly oaks, but potentially also aspen) are projected to expand, especially where water balance is sufficiently high (i.e. in the Sierra Nevada). Shrublands and pinyon-juniper woodlands are projected to transition in many cases to grass-dominated systems, as fire frequency increases with warming temperatures, drier summers, and increased ignitions (Fig. 7; Lenihan et al. 2008). Hayhoe et al. (2004) also used the MC1

ecosystem model to predict vegetation and ecosystem changes under a number of different future greenhouse gas emissions scenarios. Their results were qualitatively similar to the Lenihan et al. (2003, 2008) results.

Ababneh and Woolfenden (2010) note that alpine meadows in the White Mountains may experience a shift in cover from wet meadow to dry meadow species, as well as encroachment by surrounding shrubs. Abatzoglou & Kolden (2011) predict that climate change will facilitate invasion by annual grasses in arid and semiarid shrublands. Decreases in the length of the frost-season may favor cold-intolerant invasive grasses; augmented cool season precipitation coupled with summer droughts may facilitate establishment of resilient invaders like *Bromus* spp; and fire increases may encourage a fire-invasive feedback loop, leading to largescale shrubland conversion (Abatzoglou & Kolden 2011). Loarie et al. (2008) projected that 66% of California's native flora will experience >80% reduction in range size by 2100.

Fire

The combination of warmer climate with higher CO₂ fertilization will likely cause more frequent and more extensive fires throughout western North America (Price and Rind 1994, Flannigan et al. 2000). Fire responds rapidly to changes in climate and will likely overshadow the direct effects of climate change on tree species distributions and migrations (Flannigan et al. 2000, Dale et al. 2001). A temporal pattern of climate-driven increases in fire activity is already apparent in the western United States (Westerling et al. 2006), and modeling studies specific to California expect increased fire activity to persist and possibly accelerate under most future climate scenarios, due to increased growth of fuels under higher CO₂ (and in some cases precipitation), decreased fuel moistures from warmer dry season temperatures, and possibly increased thundercell activity (Price and Rind 1994; Miller and Urban 1999; Lenihan et al. 2003, 2008; Westerling and Bryant 2006). Temperature has been shown to strongly influence fire frequency and area burned, and increased temperatures will lead to increased fire frequency and size (Guyette et al 2012, Spracklen et al. 2009). By 2100, Lenihan et al.'s (2003, 2008) simulations suggest a c. 5% to 8% increase in annual burned area across California, depending on the climate scenario (Fig. 8). Within the Western US, Spracklen et al (2009) found that the Pacific Northwest, including the Sierra Nevada and Cascade regions of California, showed the largest projected increase in area burned over the next half century. Within California, mid-elevation sites on the west side of the Sierras are likely to show the greatest increases in burned area in the next few decades (Westerling et al. 2011). Lutz et al. (2009) project that both the number of lightning ignited fires and the annual area burned at high severity in Yosemite National Park in the Sierra Nevada will increase by about 20% by 2020-2049 (19.1% and 21.9% respectively) due to projected decreases in snowpack. Westerling and Bryant (2008) predict a 10-35% increase in large fire risk by midcentury in California and Nevada. Increased frequencies and/or intensities of fire in coniferous forest in California will almost certainly drive changes in tree species compositions (Lenihan et al. 2003, 2008), and will likely reduce the size and extent of late-successional refugia (USFS and BLM 1994, McKenzie et al. 2004). Thus, if fire becomes more active under future climates, there may be significant repercussions for old growth forest and old growth-dependent flora and fauna.




Figure 7. MC1 outputs for the (A) Sierra Nevada (M261E), (B) Mono (341D), and (C) Southeastern Great Basin (341F) Ecological Sections, current vs. future projections of vegetation extent. These Sections collectively include the INF. The GFDL-B1 scenario = moderately drier than today, with a moderate temperature increase (<5.5° F); PCM-A2 = similar ppt. to today, with <5.5° temp. increase; GFDL-A2 = much drier than today and much warmer (>7.2° higher). All scenarios project significant loss of alpine vegetation and conifer forest types in the montane and subalpine zones, with expansion of broadleaved tree species. Grasslands are projected to expand in area due to fire effects on dryland woody vegetation types including sagebrush and pinyon-juniper woodlands. From Lenihan et al. (2008).

A key question is to what extent future fire regimes in montane California will be characterized by either more or less severe fire than is currently (or was historically) the case. Fire regimes are driven principally by the effects of weather/climate and fuel type and availability (Bond and van Wilgen 1996). 70 years of effective fire suppression in the semiarid American West have led to fuel-rich conditions that are conducive to intense forest fires that remove significant amounts of biomass (McKelvey et al. 1996, Arno and Fiedler 2005, Miller et al. 2009), and most future climate modeling predicts climatic conditions that will likely exacerbate these conditions. As suggested above, several studies suggest that forest fuel conditions in the INF might not yet be as extreme as those found in other forest types across the state, due to a shorter history of effective fire suppression (Stephens 2001, North et al. 2009) and lower site productivity.

Basing their analysis on two GCMs under the conditions of doubled atmospheric CO₂ and increased annual precipitation, Flannigan et al. (2000) predicted that mean fire severity in California (measured by difficulty of control) would increase by about 10% averaged across the state. Vegetation growth models that incorporate rising atmospheric CO₂ show an expansion of woody vegetation on many western landscapes (Lenihan et al. 2003, 2008; Hayhoe et al. 2004), which could feedback into increased fuel biomass and connectivity and more intense (and thus more severe) fires. Use of paleoecological analogies also suggests that parts of the Pacific Northwest (including northern California) could experience more severe fire conditions under warmer, more CO₂-rich climates (Whitlock et al., 2003). Fire frequency and severity (or size) are usually assumed to be inversely related (Pickett and White 1985), and a number of researchers have demonstrated this relationship for Sierra Nevada forests (e.g. Swetnam 1993, Miller and Urban 1999), but if fuels grow more rapidly *and* dry more rapidly – as is predicted under many future climate scenarios – then both severity and frequency may increase. In this scenario, profound vegetation type conversion is all but inevitable. Lenihan et al.'s (2003, 2008) results for fire intensity predict that large proportions of the Sierra Nevada landscape may see mean fire intensities increase over current conditions by the end of the century, with the actual change in intensity depending on future precipitation patterns.




Figure 8. Percent change in projected mean annual area burned for the 2050-2099 period relative to the mean annual area burned for the historical period (1895-2003). INF area is circled. Figure from Lenihan et al. (2008). See Fig. 7 caption for description of the climate and emissions scenarios (PCM-A2, GFDL-B1, GFDL-A2).

Wildlife

Significant changes in California's terrestrial fauna and flora are projected over the next century. Stralberg et al. (2009) developed current and future species distribution models for 60 focal bird species and found that novel avian assemblages with no modern analogue could occupy over half of California. This implies a dramatic reshuffling of avian communities and altered patterns of species interactions, even in the upper elevations of the Sierra Nevada, where only a modest proportion of novel avian communities were projected. Using species distribution modeling, the California Avian Data Center (2011) projected that approximately 60% of coniferous forest bird species in the Sierra Nevada will exhibit substantial range reductions within the next 40 to 90 years (using 21 focal avian species). A total of 128 out of 358 (36%) of California's bird species of "special concern" (rare, threatened, endangered, or experiencing significant decline; Shuford & Gardali 2008) were ranked as vulnerable to climate change, especially species such as the great gray owl, greater sage grouse, and gray-crowned rosy finch (Gardali et al. 2012). Based on bioclimatic models, Lawler et al. (2009a,b) projected high vulnerability of California's amphibian fauna and moderate vulnerability of California's mammalian fauna under a high greenhouse gas emissions scenario by the end of the century; Lawler et al. projected >50% change in the amphibian fauna and 10-40% change in the mammalian fauna.

Direct effects will continue to impact wildlife species in the future, likely at an accelerating pace. Lawler et al (2012) investigated the possible effects of climate change on selected species of the genus *Martes* and found that macroclimate conditions closely correlated with Pacific fisher (*Martes pennanti*) presence in California were likely to change greatly over the next century, resulting in a possibly pronounced loss of suitable habitat. Their results suggested that

martens and fishers will be highly sensitive to climate change, and would probably experience the largest climate impacts at their southernmost latitudes (i.e. in the southern Sierra Nevada). When combined with other stressors, predicted climate changes represent significant threats to aquatic communities (Schindler 1997). Those aquatic species with a competitive advantage in colder waters will also likely suffer losses due to both thermal stress and increased competition as water temperatures rise (Rahel et al. 2008; Kennedy et al. 2009). Diminished flow magnitude is one of the most important predictors of biological integrity of fish and macroinvertebrate communities (Carlisle et al. 2010). Where compounded with human-induced disturbance, increased flooding may negatively impact some aquatic communities (Herbst & Cooper 2010). Additionally, as air temperatures rise, water temperatures are expected to continue to warm as well, potentially resulting in local species extirpations, increased non-native species invasions, declines in macroinvertebrate communities, and temporal disruptions to spawning and larval life stages (Kaushal et al 2010; Viers & Rheinheimer 2011). In the Sierra Nevada, increases in water temperatures will likely reduce ranges for thermally sensitive species like rainbow trout, as physiological limitations eliminate low-elevation habitat options and natural topographic barriers limit dispersal to higher elevation waters (Viers & Rheinheimer 2011).

Indirect effects will also continue to impact wildlife species in complex ways in the future. Species that require older, denser, and more structurally complex forest conditions, like Sierra Nevada Fisher and the Spotted Owl, will likely be negatively impacted by changes in fire regimes associated with climate change (Scheller et al. 2011). Lawler et al. (2012) noted that fisher habitat is driven to a great extent by local vegetation features and thus the authors examined stand-level implications of fire under a series of future fire scenarios, since fire occurrence and behavior, largely driven by climate/weather, have substantial effects on local vegetation. They recommended protecting fisher habitat through targeted forest-fuel treatment, and applying more liberal fire-management policies to naturally ignited fires during moderate weather conditions. Sensitive benthic invertebrate populations may also be reduced by increases in large and severe wildfires that are likely to be associated with climate warming (Oliver *et al.* 2012). Larger effects will likely be observed in small, first-order streams (Oliver et al. 2012). Some fish species in the Sierra Nevada, like the non-native brook trout, will likely decline in abundance due to alterations in frequency, intensity, and seasonal timing of floods in areas like Sagehen Creek, while other species like rainbow trout may subsequently benefit from decreased competition (Meyers et al. 2010).

Loarie et al. (2008) identified the southern Sierra Nevada and the coastal mountains of Northwest California as climate change refugia, defined as areas projected to sustain species with otherwise shrinking ranges. Authors like Loarie et al. (2008) and Lawler et al. (2011) recommend novel adaptive management approaches and large-scale planning efforts that promote landscape/regional habitat connectivity. Loarie et al. (2008) also recommended serious consideration of human-assisted dispersal of California's flora and prioritization of climate change refugia for conservation and restoration.

Literature Cited

- Ababneh, L. and W. Woolfenden. 2010. Monitoring for potential effects of climate change on the vegetation of two alpine meadows in the White Mountains of California, USA. *Quaternary International* **215**:3-14.
- Abatzoglou, J. T. and C. A. Kolden. 2011. Climate Change in Western US Deserts: Potential for Increased Wildfire and Invasive Annual Grasses. *Rangeland Ecology & Management* **64**:471-478.
- Abatzoglou, J.T., K.T. Redmond, L.M. Edwards. 2009. Classification of Regional Climate Variability in the State of California, *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **48**:1527-1541
- Arno, S. F., and C. E. Fiedler. 2005. Mimicking nature's fire. Restoring fire-prone forests in the West. Island Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- Baldwin, C. K., F. H Wagner and U. Lall. 2003. Water resources. Pages 79-112, *in* Wagner, F. H. (editor). Rocky Mountain/Great Basin Regional Climate-Change Assessment. Report of the U.S. Global Change Research Program. Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA. 240 pp.
- Barnett, T. P., D. W. Pierce, H. G. Hidalgo, C. Bonfils, B. D. Santer, T. Das, G. Bala, A. W. Wood, T. Nozawa, A. A. Mirin, D. R. Cayan, and M. D. Dettinger. 2008. Human-induced changes in the hydrology of the western United States. *Science* **319**:1080-1083.
- Beever, E.A., C. Ray, J.L. Wilkening, P.F. Brussard, and P.W. Mote. 2011. Contemporary climate change alters the pace and drivers of extinction. *Global Change Biology* **17**: 2054 – 2070.
- Bond, W. J., and B. W. van Wilgen. 1996. Fire and plants. Chapman and Hall, London, England.
- Bouldin, J. 1999. Twentieth-century changes in forests of the Sierra Nevada, California. Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Davis.
- Brodie, J., E. Post, F. Watson, and J. Berge. 2012. Climate change intensification of herbivore impacts on tree recruitment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* **279**: 1366 – 1370.
- Bunn, A. G., L. J. Graumlich, and D. L. Urban. 2005. Trends in twentieth-century tree growth at high elevations in the Sierra Nevada and White Mountains, USA. *Holocene* **15**:481-488.
- CADC. 2011. Modeling bird distribution responses to climate change: a mapping tool to assist land managers and scientists in California. Data obtained from California Avian Data Center, <http://data.prbo.org/cadc2/> (last accessed on November 22, 2011).
- Carlisle, D. M., D. M. Wolock, and M. R. Meador. 2011. Alteration of streamflow magnitudes and potential ecological consequences: a multiregional assessment. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **9**:264-270.
- Christy, J.R. and J. J. Hnilo. 2010. Changes in snowfall in the southern Sierra Nevada of California since 1916. *Energy and the Environment* **21**: 223-234.

- Coats, R., J. Reuter, M. Dettinger, J. Riverson, ZG. Sahoo, G. Schladow, B. Wolfe, and M. Costa-Cabral. 2010. The effects of climate change on Lake Tahoe in the 21st century. Meteorology, hydrology, loading and lake response. Final project report to the Tahoe Science Program and USDA Forest Service Pacific Southwest Research Station. 208 pp.
- Dale, V. H., L. A. Joyce, S. McNulty, R. P. Neilson, M. P. Ayres, M. D. Flannigan, P. J. Hanson, L. C. Irland, A. E. Lugo, C. J. Peterson, D. Simberloff, F. J. Swanson, B. J. Stocks, and B. M. Wotton. 2001. Climate change and forest disturbances. *BioScience* 51: 723-734.
- Daly, C., R. P. Neilson, and D. L. Phillips. 1994. A statistical-topographic model for mapping climatological precipitation over mountainous terrain. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 33:140–158.
- Das, T., M. D. Dettinger, D. R. Cayan, and H. G. Hidalgo. 2011. Potential increase in floods in California's Sierra Nevada under future climate projections. *Climatic Change* **109**:71-94.
- DeGraff, J., D. Wagner, A. Gallegos, M. DeRose, C. Shannon, and T. Ellsworth, 2011. The remarkable occurrence of large rainfall-induced debris flows at two different locations on July 12, 2008, Southern Sierra Nevada, CA, USA. *Landslides* 8:343-353.
- Dettinger, M. D. 2005. From climate-change spaghetti to climate-change distributions for 21st century California. *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science* Vol. 3, Issue 1, (March 2005), Article 4. <http://repositories.cdlib.org/jmie/sfews/vol3/iss1/art4>
- Diaz, H. F., and J. K. Eischeid. 2007. Disappearing “alpine tundra” Köppen climatic type in the western United States. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34: L18707.
- Dolanc, C. R., J. H. Thorne, and H. D. Safford. 2012. Widespread shifts in the demographic structure of Sierra Nevada subalpine forests over the last 80 years. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*.
- Drost, C. A., and G. M. Fellers. 1996. Collapse of a regional frog fauna in the Yosemite Area of the California Sierra Nevada, USA. *Conservation Biology* 10:414-425.
- Dunn, P.O., and D.W. Winkler. 1999. Climate change has affected the breeding date of tree swallows throughout North America. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* 266: 2487-2490.
- Edwards, L.M., and K.T. Redmond. 2011. Climate Assessment for the Sierra Nevada Network Parks Natural Resource Report NPS/2011/NRR—2011/482. U.S. Department of Interior, National Park Service. Fort Collins, CO.
- Flannigan M. D., B. J. Stocks, and B. M. Wotton. 2000. Climate change and forest fires. *Science of the Total Environment* 262: 221–229.
- Forister, M. L., A. C. McCall, N. J. Sanders, J. A. Fordyce, J. H. Thorne, J. O’Brien, D. P. Waetjen, and A. M. Shapiro. 2010. Compounded effects of climate change and habitat alteration shift

patterns of butterfly diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 107:2088-2092.

Gardali T., N.E. Seavy, R.T. DiGaudio, and L.A. Comrack. 2012. A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment of California's At-Risk Birds. *PLoS ONE* 7(3): e29507.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029507

Gonzalez, P. 2012. Climate change trends and vulnerability to biome shifts in the southern Sierra Nevada. U.S. Department of Interior, National Park Service, Climate Change Response Program Natural Resource Stewardship. Washington, D.C.

Graumlich, L. J. 1991. Subalpine tree growth, climate, and increasing CO₂: an assessment of recent growth trends. *Ecology* 72: 1-11.

Grinnell, J., and T. Storer. 1924. *Animal Life in the Yosemite*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA.

Grundstein, A. and T. L. Mote. 2010. TRENDS IN AVERAGE SNOW DEPTH ACROSS THE WESTERN UNITED STATES. *Physical Geography* 31:172-185.

Gutowski, W. J., Z. Pan, C. J. Anderson, R. W. Arritt, F. Otieno, E. S. Takle, J. H. Christensen, and O. B. Christensen. 2000. What RCM data are available for California impacts modeling? California Energy Commission Workshop on Climate Change Scenarios for California, 12-13 June, 2000. California Energy Commission, Sacramento, CA, USA.

Guyette, R. P., M. C. Stambaugh, D. C. Dey, and R. M. Muzika. 2012. Predicting Fire Frequency with Chemistry and Climate. *Ecosystems* 15:322-335.

Hakkarinen, C., and J. Smith. 2003. Appendix I. Climate scenarios for a California Energy Commission study of the potential effects of climate change on California: summary of a June 12-13, 2000, workshop. *In Global Climate Change and California: Potential Implications for Ecosystems, Health, and the Economy*. EPRI (Electric Power Research Institute), Palo Alto, CA, USA. 38 pp.

Hamlet, A. F., P. W. Mote, M. P. Clark, and D. P. Lettenmaier. 2007. Twentieth-century trends in runoff, evapotranspiration, and soil moisture in the western United States. *Journal of Climate* 20:1468-1486.

Hayhoe, K., et al. (18 co-authors). 2004. Emissions pathways, climate change, and impacts on California. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101: 12422-12427.

Huggel, C., J.J. Clague, and O. Korup. 2012. Is climate change responsible for changing landslide activity in high mountains? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 37: 77-91.

Jiguet, F., R. Julliard, C.D. Thomas, O. Dehorter, S.E. Newson, and D. Couvet. 2006. Thermal range predicts bird population resilience to extreme high temperatures. *Ecology Letters* 9: 1321 – 1330.

- Kattelman, R. 2000. Variability of flow in streams of the eastern Sierra Nevada. Page 17 (abstract) in Workshop summary of Impacts of climate change on landscapes of the eastern Sierra Nevada and western Great Basin, 2000 September 29–October 1, Bishop, California. USGS Open-File Report 01-202.
- Kaushal, S. S., G. E. Likens, N. A. Jaworski, M. L. Pace, A. M. Sides, D. Seekell, K. T. Belt, D. H. Secor, and R. L. Wingate. 2010. Rising stream and river temperatures in the United States. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **8**:461-466.
- Kennedy, T.L., D.S. Gutzler, and R.L. Leung. 2009. Predicting future threats to the long-term survival of Gila trout using a high-resolution simulation of climate change. *Climate Change* **94**: 503 – 515.
- Kim, J. 2005. A projection of the effects of the climate change induced by increased CO₂ on extreme hydrologic events in the western US. *Climatic Change* **68**:153-168.
- Knowles, N., M. D. Dettinger, and D. R. Cayan. 2006. Trends in snowfall versus rainfall in the Western United States. *Journal of Climate* **19**:4545-4559.
- Kupferberg, S.J., A. Catenazzi, K. Lunde, A.J. Lind, and W.J. Palen. 2009. Parasitic Copepod (*Lernaea cyprinacea*) outbreaks in Foothill Yellow-legged Frogs (*Rana boylei*) linked to unusually warm summers and amphibian malformations in Northern California. *Copeia* **3**: 529 – 537.
- Lawler, J. J., H. D. Safford, and E. H. Girvetz. 2012. Martens and fishers in a changing climate. Pp. TBA (In press), in K. B. Aubry (ed). *Biology and Conservation of Martens, Sables, and Fishers: a New Synthesis*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY. In press.
- Lawler, J.J., S.L. Shafer, B.A. Bancroft, and A.R. Blaustein. 2009a. Projected climate impacts for the amphibians of the western hemisphere. *Conservation Biology* **24**: 38-50.
- Lawler, J.J., S.L. Shafer, D. White, P. Kareiva, E.P. Maurer, A.R. Blaustein, and P.J. Bartlein. 2009b. Projected climate-induced faunal change in the western hemisphere. *Ecology* **90**:588-597.
- Lawler, J.J., S.L. Shafer, D. White, P. Kareiva, E.P. Maurer, A.R. Blaustein, and P.J. Bartlein. 2009b. Projected climate-induced faunal change in the western hemisphere. *Ecology* **90**:588-597.
- Lenihan, J.M., D. Bachelet, R.P. Neilson, and R. Drapek. 2008. Response of vegetation distribution, ecosystem productivity, and fire to climate change scenarios for California. *Climate Change* **87**:S215-S230.
- Lenihan, J. M., R. Drapek, D. Bachelet and R. P. Neilson. 2003. Climate change effects on vegetation distribution, carbon, and fire in California. *Ecological Applications* **13**: 1667-1681.
- Littell, J. S., D. McKenzie, D. L. Peterson, and A. L. Westerling. 2009. Climate and wildfire area burned in western U. S. ecoprovinces, 1916-2003. *Ecological Applications* **19**:1003-1021.

- Lloyd, A.H. 1997. Response of tree-line populations of foxtail pine (*Pinus balfouriana*) to climate variation over the last 1000 years. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 27:936-942.
- Lloyd, A.H., and L.J. Graumlich. 1997. Holocene dynamics of treeline forests in the Sierra Nevada. *Ecology* 78:1199-1210.
- Loarie, S.R., B.E. Carter, K. Hayhoe, S. McMahon, R. Moe, C.A. Knight, and D.D. Ackerly. 2008. Climate change and the future of California's endemic flora. *PLoS ONE* 3: e2502.
- Lutz, J. A., J. W. van Wagtenonk, and J. F. Franklin. 2009a. Twentieth-century decline of large-diameter trees in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257:2296-2307.
- Lutz, J. A., J. W. van Wagtenonk, and J. F. Franklin. 2010. Climatic water deficit, tree species ranges, and climate change in Yosemite National Park. *Journal of Biogeography* 37:936-950.
- Lutz, J. A., J. W. van Wagtenonk, A. E. Thode, J. D. Miller, and J. F. Franklin. 2009b. Climate, lightning ignitions, and fire severity in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 18:765-774.
- Malanson, G. P., D. R. Butler, D. B. Fagre, S. J. Walsh, D. F. Tomback, L. D. Daniels, L. M. Resler, W. K. Smith, D. J. Weiss, D. L. Peterson, A. G. Bunn, C. A. Hiemstra, D. Liptzin, P. S. Bourgeron, Z. Shen, and C. I. Millar. 2007. Alpine treeline of Western North America: Linking organism-to-landscape dynamics. *Physical Geography* 28:378-396.
- Martin, T.E. 2007. Climate correlates of 20 years of trophic changes in a high elevation riparian system. *Ecology* 88: 367 – 380
- Martin, T.E. and J.L. Maron. 2012. Climate impacts on bird and plant communities from altered animal-plant interactions. *Nature Climate Change* – advance online publication. Doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1348
- McCabe, G. J. and M. P. Clark. 2005. Trends and variability in snowmelt runoff in the western United States. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 6:476-482.
- McCabe, G. J., M. P. Clark, and L. E. Hay. 2007. Rain-on-snow events in the western United States. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 88:319-+.
- McKelvey, K.S., C. N. Skinner, C. Chang, D. C. Erman, S. J. Husari, D. J. Parsons, J. W. van Wagtenonk, J.W., and C. W. Weatherspoon. 1996. An overview of fire in the Sierra Nevada. *In Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: final report to Congress. Vol. II, Assessments and scientific basis for management options.* University of California, Centers for Water and Wildland Resources, Davis, CA, USA. Pp. 1033-1040.
- McKenzie, D., Z. Gedalof, D. L. Peterson and P. Mote. 2004. Climatic change, wildfire, and conservation. *Conservation Biology* 18: 890-902.

- Meyers, E.M., B. Bobrowski, and C.L. Tague. 2010. Climate change impacts on flood frequency, intensity, and timing may affect trout species in Sagehen Creek, California. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 139: 1657 – 1664.
- Millar, C.I. and R.D. Westfall. 2010. Distribution and Climatic Relationships of the American Pika (*Ochotona princeps*) in the Sierra Nevada and Western Great Basin, U.S.A.; Periglacial Landforms as Refugia in Warming Climates. *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* 42: 76 – 88.
- Millar, C.I., R.D. Westfall, and D.L. Delany. 2007. Response of high-elevation limber pine (*Pinus flexilis*) to multiyear droughts and 20th-century warming, Sierra Nevada, California, USA.
- Millar, C. I., R. D. Westfall, D. L. Delany, J. C. King, and L. J. Graumlich. 2004. Response of subalpine conifers in the Sierra Nevada, California, USA, to 20th-century warming and decadal climate variability. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research* 36:181-200.
- Miller, C., and D. Urban. 1999. Forest pattern, fire and climatic change in the Sierra Nevada. *Ecosystems* 2: 76-87.
- Miller, J.D., and H. Safford. 2012. Trends in wildfire severity: 1984 to 2010 in the Sierra Nevada, Modoc Plateau, and southern Cascades, California, USA. *Fire Ecology* 8(3): 41-57
- Miller, J. D., H. D. Safford, M. Crimmins, and A. E. Thode. 2009. Quantitative evidence for increasing forest fire severity in the Sierra Nevada and southern Cascade Mountains, California and Nevada, USA. *Ecosystems* 12: 16-32.
- Miller, N. L., K. E. Bashford and E. Strem. 2003. Potential impacts of climate change on California hydrology. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 39: 771-784.
- Moritz, C., J. L. Patton, C. J. Conroy, J. L. Parra, G. C. White, and S. R. Beissinger. 2008. Impact of a century of climate change of small-mammal communities in Yosemite National Park, USA. *Science* 322:261-264.
- Moser, S., G. Franco, S. Pittiglio, W. Chou, D. Cayan. 2009. The future is now: An update on climate change science impacts and response options for California. California Climate Change Center Report CEC-500-2008-071, May 2009. California Energy Commission, Sacramento, CA.
- Mote, P.W., A.F. Hamlet, M. P. Clark, and D. P. Lettenmaier. 2005. Declining mountain snowpack in western North America. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 86:39-49.
- North, M.P., K.M. Van de Water, S.L. Stephens, and B.M. Collins. 2009. Climate, rain shadow, and human-use influences on fire regimes in the eastern Sierra Nevada, California, USA. *Fire Ecology* 5(3):20-34.
- Null, S. E., J. H. Viers, and J. F. Mount. 2010. Hydrologic Response and Watershed Sensitivity to Climate Warming in California's Sierra Nevada. *Plos One* 5.

- Oliver, A.A., M.T. Bogan, D.B. Herbst, and R. A. Dahlgren. 2012. Short-term changes in-stream macroinvertebrate communities following a severe fire in the Lake Tahoe basin, California. *Hydrobiologia*- advance online publication. DOI 10.1007/s10750-012-1136-7.
- Pagano, T. and D. Garen. 2005. A recent increase in western US streamflow variability and persistence. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* **6**:173-179.
- Pickett, S. T. A., and P. S. White. 1985. *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*. Academic Press, New York, NY, USA
- Price, C., and D. Rind. 1994. The impact of a 2 x CO₂ climate on lightning-caused fires. *Journal of Climate* **7**: 1484-1494.
- PRISM. 2010. PRISM climate group website. Available online at: <http://www.prism.oregonstate.edu/>
- Rahel, F.J., B. Bierwagen, and Y. Taniguchi. 2008. Managing aquatic species of conservation concern in the face of climate change and invasive species. *Conservation Biology* **22**: 551-561
- Reba, M. L., D. Marks, A. Winstral, T. E. Link, and M. Kumar. 2011. Sensitivity of the snowcover energetics in a mountain basin to variations in climate. *Hydrological Processes* **25**:3312-3321.
- Regonda, S. K., B. Rajagopalan, M. Clark, and J. Pitlick. 2005. Seasonal cycle shifts in hydroclimatology over the western United States. *Journal of Climate* **18**:372-384.
- Rubidge, E.M., W.B. Monahan, J.L. Parra, S.E. Cameron, and J.S. Brashares. 2011. The role of climate, habitat, and species co-occurrence as drivers of change in small mammal distributions over the past century. *Global Change Biology* **17**: 696-708.
- Salzer, M.W., M.K. Hughes, A.G. Bunn, and K.F. Kipfmüller. 2009. Recent unprecedented tree-ring growth in bristlecone pine at the highest elevations and possible causes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* **106**:20348-20353.
- Scheller, R.M., W.D. Spencer, H. Rustigian-Romsos, A.D. Syphard, B.C. Ward, and J.R. Strittholt. 2011. Using stochastic simulation to evaluate competing risks of wildfires and fuels management on an isolated forest carnivore. *Landscape Ecology* **26**: 1491 – 1504.
- Schindler, D. W. 1997. Widespread effects of climatic warming on freshwater ecosystems in North America. *Hydrological Processes* **11**:1043-1067.
- Seavy, N.E., T. Gardali, G.H. Golet, F.T. Griggs, C.A. Howell, R. Kelsey, S.L. Small, J.H. Viers, and J.F. Weigand. 2009. Why climate change makes riparian restoration more important than ever: recommendations for practice and research. *Ecological Restoration* **27**: 330 – 337.
- Shuford, W.D. and T. Gardali (eds). 2008. *California Bird Species of Special Concern: A ranked assessment of species, subspecies, and distinct populations of birds of immediate conservation concern in California*. Western Field Ornithologists and California Department of Fish and Game, California.

Spracklen, D. V., L. J. Mickley, J. A. Logan, R. C. Hudman, R. Yevich, M. D. Flannigan, and A. L. Westerling. 2009. Impacts of climate change from 2000 to 2050 on wildfire activity and carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in the western United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* **114**.

Stephens, S.L. 2001. Fire history differences in adjacent Jeffrey pine and upper montane forests in the eastern Sierra Nevada. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 10:161-167.

Stewart, I.T., D. R. Cayan, and M. D. Dettinger. 2005. Changes toward earlier streamflow timing across western North America. *Journal of Climate* 18: 1136-1155.

Stralberg, D., D. Jongsomjit, C.A. Howell, M.A. Snyder, J.D. Alexander, J.A. Wiens, and T.L. Root. 2009. Re-shuffling of species with climate disruption: a no-analog future for California birds? *PLoS ONE* 4(9): e6825.

Swetnam, T. W. 1993. Fire history and climate change in giant sequoia groves. *Science* 262: 885–889.

Tingley, M. W., W. B. Monahan, S. R. Beissinger, and C. Moritz. 2009. Birds track their Grinnellian niche through a century of climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106:19367-19643.

USFS and BLM. 1994. Record of Decision for amendment to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the northern spotted owl. USDA Forest Service and USDI Bureau of Land Management, Portland, OR, USA.

Van Mantgem, P. J., et al. (10 co-authors). 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States. *Science* 323: 521-524.

Viers, J. H. and D. E. Rheinheimer. 2011. Freshwater conservation options for a changing climate in California's Sierra Nevada. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **62**:266-278.

Wake, D. B., and V. T. Vrendenburg. 2008. Are we in the midst of the sixth mass extinction? A view from the world of amphibians. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105:11466-11473.

Westerling, A. L., and B. Bryant. 2006. Climate change and wildfire in and around California: fire modeling and loss modeling. Report from the California Climate Change Center to the California Energy Commission. CEC-500-2006-190-SF.

Westerling, A. L. and B. P. Bryant. 2008. Climate change and wildfire in California. *Climatic Change* **87**:S231-S249.

Westerling, A. L., B. P. Bryant, H. K. Preisler, T. P. Holmes, H. G. Hidalgo, T. Das, and S. R. Shrestha. 2011. Climate change and growth scenarios for California wildfire. *Climatic Change* **109**:445-463.

Westerling, A. L., H. Hidalgo, D. R. Cayan, and T. Swetnam. 2006. Warming and earlier spring increases western U.S. forest wildfire activity. *Science*, 6 July, 2006 /10.1126/ science.1128834

Whitlock, C., S. L. Shafer, and J. Marlon. 2003. The role of vegetation change in shaping past and future fire regimes in the northwest U.S. and the implications for ecosystem management. *Forest Ecology and Management* 178: 5-21.

Wieslander, A. E. 1935. A vegetation type map of California. *Madroño* 3: 140–144.

WRCC. 2008. Tahoe City climate station record, 1910-2008. Data obtained from Western Regional Climate Center. <http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/summary/Climsmcca.html> (last accessed on 9 February, 2008).

WRCC. 2012. California climate data archive. Data obtained from Western Regional Climate Center, <http://www.calclim.dri.edu/ccacoop.html> (last accessed on Dec 6, 2012).

Young, C. A., M. I. Escobar-Arias, M. Fernandes, B. Joyce, M. Kiparsky, J. F. Mount, V. K. Mehta, D. Purkey, J. H. Viers, and D. Yates. 2009. Modeling the Hydrology of Climate Change in California's Sierra Nevada for Subwatershed Scale Adaptation¹. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* **45**:1409-1423