

EVALUATION
Lincoln National Forest Plan Revision—Community Conversations

PLEASE HAND THIS IN BEFORE YOU LEAVE TONIGHT. THANKS!

(Feel free to write on the back if you need more room)

Thanks for taking time to answer the following questions. Your responses will help the Lincoln National Forest Plan Revision team and facilitators evaluate the effectiveness of the Community Conversations.

Please check the box which indicates your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements about tonight’s meeting.

	STRONGLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE	NEUTRAL	AGREE	STRONGLY AGREE
1. I understand why the Lincoln Nat'l Forest is revising its management plan for the three ranger districts.			6	35	46
2. The presentation helped me understand the revision process and how I can be involved.	1		8	57	18
3. Forest staff members were helpful in answering my questions.			5	31	47
4. There was enough time for me to participate in the conversations and to write comments.	1		5	40	37
5. The Community Conversation process met the desired outcomes.	1		22	43	18
6. There was good interaction between the participants and Forest Service.			1	28	44
7. The facilitators were effective in supporting the participants and the process.			9	38	38
8. In general, the Forest Service was an effective resource during the Community Conversations.	2		2	44	33
9. Overall, the Community Conversation was a valuable use of my time and met my expectations.	2		5	46	29
10. I plan to be involved in the plan revision process.			3	28	48

Do you have any additional comments? Any suggestions? Thanks!

(Please use back side of page to continue.)

1. Multiple use of the forest is a must.

Too much concentration on recreation.
All resources need to be on equal footing

2. Set up a meeting with Ski Apache at Ski Apache.
Flyer not only in Spanish but German, too. Also Holloman has some Asian out there.
3. I do believe there was good interaction this has been helpful.
4. Continue to use all forms communication, present broadcast as well as social media.
Meeting extremely well organized. Never felt bored or useless.
5. Meeting was chaotic but FS made best effort to engage participants. I can't really think of a better format.
6. Forest Advisory council would be fantastic.
7. How do you progress?
8. I am pleased that we came to be involved in the process. Thank you.
9. I am unsure how the community will interact with FS experts. I am sure the experts are busy, but I feel they need to be present. I particularly would like to meet a FS karst hydrology specialist (and discuss issues with a map on the table).
10. Very engaging meeting and Forest Service presenters. Looking forwards to future meetings and developments. Great format for meetings to involve community.
11. Smaller groups more focused topic meeting on land uses.
12. We need to keep our forest healthy and alive. Help provide conservation education to all of the nation.
13. Follow through!
14. Good process.
15. Already done on yellow cards comments form.
16. Have every Forest Service employee read US vs. NM 1978.
18. What is a GIS map?
19. You need a large facility to host these gatherings.
20. Those who want to be here are here.
21. First experience with this FORMAT- ENJOYED
Great to hear from everyone.

22. Great mtg.

23. I like this meeting!

24. There must be communication, both ways.
I would like to be "asked" for a change, not just "told"

25. Thanks for the new approach! Much better than old people meeting.

26. Speed up process of forest thinning projects ! Shorten NEPA. Hold-ups to the process - 3 + years is ridiculous.

27. Is very important - can we see result? Especially the consensus (however small) on website and electric coop, etc.?

28. The handouts are great, sometimes was confused with how I was to use/ read/ review/ write on at times heat for on interaction with us.

29. Its a little early to tell if the community conversation was effective. Will need to experience the follow-up to see if what was shared was heard and valued.

30. Provide participation opportunities i.e. Workshops, subject matter teams small groups that can focus on specific areas more than big townhall meeting where exchange of information often very challenging.