SFSR Hazard Tree Removal Project

Environmental Assessment

Boise National Forest - Cascade Ranger District Valley County, Idaho

Lead Agency:
USDA-Forest Service

Responsible Official:
Boise National
Forest Supervisor
Cascade Ranger District
PO Box 696
Cascade, ID 83611

For More
Information
Contact: Keith Dimmett
Cascade Ranger District
(208) 382-7400

June 2008

CONTENTS

CONTENTS..... 1

LIST OF TABLES..... 3

LIST OF FIGURES..... 3

CHAPTER 1 - PURPOSE AND NEED..... 1-1
1.1 Introduction..... 1-1
1.2 Background..... 1-1
1.3 Project Area Description..... 1-1
1.4 Proposed Action..... 1-1
1.5 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action..... 1-4
1.6 Decisions to be Made..... 1-5
1.7 Forest Plan Direction Relative to the Project Area..... 1-5
1.8 Regulatory Requirements and Required Coordination..... 1-7
1.9 Public Involvement..... 1-9
1.10 Identification of Issues..... 1-10
1.11 Document Organization..... 1-10

CHAPTER 2 - ALTERNATIVES..... 2-1
2.1 Introduction..... 2-1
2.2 Development of Alternatives..... 2-1
2.3 Alternatives Eliminated from Detailed Study..... 2-1
2.3.1 Road Restoration Emphasis..... 2-1
2.3.2 Retention of All Trees in the RCAs..... 2-2
2.3.3 Determine Unit Width by Site Potential Tree Height..... 2-2
2.3.4 Individually Select Hazard Trees..... 2-2
2.4 Alternatives Considered in Detail..... 2-3
2.4.1 Silvicultural Treatments..... 2-3
2.4.2 Design Features Common to All Action Alternatives..... 2-3
2.4.3 Description of Alternatives..... 2-7
2.5 Summary Comparison of Alternatives..... 2-14
2.5.1 Project Objective Indicators..... 2-14
2.5.2 Forest Plan Consistency/Other Key Items..... 2-15
2.5.3 Threatened, Endangered, and Proposed Species..... 2-19
2.5.4 Sensitive Species..... 2-20
2.5.5 Management Indicator Species..... 2-20
2.6 Identification of the Preferred Alternative..... 2-20

CHAPTER 3 - AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES..... 3-1
3.1 Introduction..... 3-1
3.2 Vegetation..... 3-1
3.2.1 Fire-killed Trees..... 3-3
3.2.2 Forest Insects..... 3-6
3.2.3 Potential Vegetation Groups..... 3-9
3.2.4 Tree Size Class..... 3-11
3.2.5 Canopy Closure..... 3-14
3.2.6 Cover Types..... 3-18
3.2.7 Snags..... 3-21
3.2.8 Regeneration/Aspen..... 3-27
3.2.9 Noxious Weeds..... 3-29
3.2.10 Created Openings..... 3-31
3.2.11 Threatened, Endangered, Proposed, Candidate, Sensitive, and Watch Plants..... 3-32
3.2.12 Fuel Loads and Fire Risk..... 3-37
3.3 Roadless Resource..... 3-40
3.3.1 Wilderness Attributes..... 3-41
3.3.2 Roadless Area Characteristics..... 3-44
3.4 Recreation..... 3-47
3.5 Wild and Scenic Rivers..... 3-52

3.6 Scenic Environment 3-59
3.7 Air Quality 3-66
3.8 Cultural Resources 3-68
3.9 Financial Assessment 3-69
3.10 Wildlife 3-72
3.10.1 Threatened, Endangered, and Proposed Terrestrial Species 3-72
3.10.2 Sensitive Species 3-80
3.10.3 Management Indicator Species 3-101
3.10.4 Other Species of Concern 3-104
3.11 Watershed/Soils 3-110
3.11.1 Erosion/Sedimentation 3-110
3.11.2 Water Yield 3-126
3.11.3 Slope Stability 3-130
3.11.4 Long Term Soil Productivity 3-134
3.11.5 Wetlands and Floodplains 3-148
3.12 Fisheries 3-151
3.13 Irreversible and Irretrievable Effects 3-176
3.14 Potential Conflicts with Plans and Policies of Other Jurisdictions 3-177
3.15 Other Disclosures 3-178

CHAPTER 4 - CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION 4-1
4.1 Introduction 4-1
4.2 List of Primary Preparers 4-1
4.3 Summary of Scoping, Public Involvement, and Comments 4-1
4.4 Summary of Changes Made in Preparation of the EA 4-2
4.5 List of Those Receiving Copies of the Decision Notice and EA 4-3

GLOSSARY
INDEX
BIBLIOGRAPHY
APPENDIX A – Summary of BAs/BEs
APPENDIX B – Details of Cumulative Effects Analyses
APPENDIX C – Responses to Comments Received on the Proposed Action Report

LIST OF TABLES

Table #	Name of Table	Page #
Table 2-1	Smoke Management Constraints	2-4
Table 2-2	Comparison of Activities and Effects	2-14
Table 3-1	RAVG Modeled Tree Mortality in the 103,804 Acre Analysis Area	3-3
Table 3-2	Potential Vegetation Groups in the Analysis Area	3-9
Table 3-3	Pre-fire and Post-fire Tree Size Classes within the Analysis Area	3-11
Table 3-4	Pre-fire and Post-fire Canopy Closures within the Analysis Area	3-14
Table 3-5	Pre-fire and Post-fire Cover Types within the Analysis Area	3-18
Table 3-6	Post-fire Snag Densities within the Analysis Area	3-22
Table 3-7	Snag Densities in Year 3	3-24
Table 3-8	Snag Densities in Year 15	3-24
Table 3-9	Snag Densities in Year 25	3-25
Table 3-10	Snag Densities in Year 35	3-25
Table 3-11	Visual Quality Objectives for Sensitive Travel Routes or Use Areas	3-61
Table 3-12	Smoke Sensitive Areas	3-67
Table 3-13	Smoke Management Constraints	3-68
Table 3-14	Financial Comparison	3-71
Table 3-15	Post-fire Existing Lynx Habitat by LAU	3-74
Table 3-16	Burn Severities by Subwatershed	3-112
Table 3-17	Pre-fire and Post-fire BOISED Modeled Sediment Yield by Subwatershed	3-113
Table 3-18	Burn Severities in RCAs by Subwatershed	3-114
Table 3-19	BOISED Modeled Percent ON Sediment Yield by Subwatershed	3-117
Table 3-20	Post-fire Equivalent Clearcut Area (ECA) by Subwatershed	3-127
Table 3-21	Percent Equivalent Clearcut Area (ECA) by Subwatershed	3-128
Table 3-22	Post-fire Coarse Woody Debris within the Analysis Area	3-135
Table 3-23	Coarse Woody Debris in Year 3	3-139
Table 3-24	Coarse Woody Debris in Year 15	3-139
Table 3-25	Coarse Woody Debris in Year 25	3-140
Table 3-26	Detrimental Disturbance (DD)	3-141
Table 3-27	Occurrence of Fish Species of Concern	3-153
Table 3-28	Burn Severities in RCAs by Subwatershed	3-157

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure #	Name of Figure	Page #
Figure 1-1	Vicinity Map	1-2
Figure 1-2	Project Area	1-3
Figure 1-3	Management Prescription Categories	1-6
Figure 2-1	Alternative B – Proposed Action	2-12
Figure 2-2	Alternative C – Response to RCA Concern	2-13
Figure 3-1	SFSR Hazard Tree Removal Project Area	3-2
Figure 3-2	RAVG Modeled Tree Mortality	3-4
Figure 3-3	Potential Vegetation Groups in the Analysis Area	3-10
Figure 3-4	Pre-fire Tree Size Class Distribution	3-12
Figure 3-5	Post-fire Tree Size Class Distribution	3-13
Figure 3-6	Pre-fire Canopy Closure Distribution	3-15
Figure 3-7	Post-fire Canopy Closure Distribution	3-17
Figure 3-8	Pre-fire Cover Type Distribution	3-19
Figure 3-9	Post-fire Cover Type Distribution	3-20
Figure 3-10	Inventoried Roadless Areas in the Analysis Area	3-42
Figure 3-11	Travel Routes in the Analysis Area	3-48
Figure 3-12	Wild and Scenic River Corridor in the Analysis Area	3-53
Figure 3-13	Visually Sensitive Travel Routes and Use Areas in the Analysis Area	3-60
Figure 3-14	Lynx Analysis Units (LAUs)	3-73
Figure 3-15	Northern Idaho Ground Squirrel	3-76
Figure 3-16	6th Field Subwatersheds	3-111
Figure 3-17	Fisheries Analysis Areas	3-152