



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

Chequamegon-Nicolet
National Forests

R9-CN-FEIS-Appendices

April 2004



Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forests

Final Environmental Impact Statement Appendices A-P

*To accompany the 2004 Land and
Resource Management Plan*



Abstract

The Appendix Volume of the Final Environmental Impact Statement presents supporting analysis and documentation prepared during the proposed land and resource management plan revisions for the Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forests.

Please recycle this document when it is ready to be discarded.



Final Environmental Impact Statement



Appendices A-P

*To accompany the 2004 Land and Resource
Management Plan*

**Ashland, Bayfield, Florence, Forest, Langlade, Oconto, Oneida,
Price, Sawyer, Taylor, and Vilas Counties, Wisconsin**

Responsible Official:



Randy Moore, Regional Forester
USDA Forest Service
Eastern Region
626 E. Wisconsin Avenue
Milwaukee, WI 53202
414-297-3428

For Further Information Contact:

Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forests

68 South Stevens Street
Rhineland, WI 54501
Phone: 715-362-1300
Fax: 715-362-1359
TTY: 715-362-1383

1170 4th Avenue South
Park Falls, WI 54552
Phone: 715-762-2461
Fax: 715-762-5179
TTY: 715-762-5701

Layout/Design,  USDA Forest Service  CAT Publishing Arts

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Internet: bookstore.gpo.gov Phone: (202) 512-1800 Fax: (202) 512-2250
Mail: Stop SSOP, Washington, DC 20102-0001

Table of Contents

Appendix A—Forest Plan Revision Issues and Public Involvement

Introduction.....	A-1
Public Participation	A-1
Meetings, Open Houses, and Hearings	A-1
Plan Revision Newsletters	A-4
Plan Revision Website	A-5
Media Contacts	A-5
Legislative Contacts.....	A-5
Consultation with County Governments.....	A-6
The Analysis of Public Comment.....	A-6
Considering Different Types of Comments under the National Environmental Policy Act.....	A-9
Narrative Summary of Public Comment prepared by CAT	A-10
Planning Process and Purpose and Need	A-10
Consideration of Alternatives	A-12
Affected Environment.....	A-14
Vegetation Management	A-18
Transportation Infrastructure	A-21
Recreation	A-22
Landownership and Special Designations	A-23
Natural Resources Management	A-24
Social and Economic Impacts	A-27
Response to Comments	A-28
Purpose and Need	A-28
Decision-making Authority	A-29
Public Involvement.....	A-30
Use of Science	A-32
Agency Organization and Funding	A-35
Alternatives (general).....	A-35
Specific Alternatives.....	A-49
Management Areas	A-65
General Environmental Values	A-78
Environmental Quality	A-79
Physical Environment	A-80
Climate Change.....	A-86
Biological Resources	A-87
Recreation Types/Opportunities	A-129
List of Respondents to the Draft Forest Plan and DEIS by Affiliation	A-198

Appendix B—Description of the Analysis Process

Introduction.....	B-1
Planning Process Framework	B-2
Step 1: Identify the Purpose and Need.....	B-2
Step 2: Develop Planning Criteria	B-2
Step 3: Collect Inventory Data and Information.....	B-5
Step 4: Analyze the Management Situation.....	B-5
Step 5: Formulate Alternatives	B-6
Step 6: Analyze the Effects of the Alternatives	B-6
Step 7: Comparison of Alternatives.....	B-6
Step 8: Recommend the Preferred Alternative	B-6
Step 9: Publish Proposed Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement	B-7

Step 10: Solicit Public Comments	B-7
Step 11: Consider Public Comments and Develop a New Alternative.....	B-7
Step 12: Recommend the Selected Alternative.....	B-7
Step 13: Publish the Record of Decision, 2004 Forest Plan and FEIS	B-7
Inventory Data and Information Collection	B-7
Introduction.....	B-7
Timber Resource Land Suitability Acres.....	B-9
Roadless Area Inventory and Wilderness Evaluation.....	B-10
Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized (SPNM) Area Inventory.....	B-10
All Terrain Vehicle (ATV) Suitability Inventory and Analysis.....	B-11
Forestwide Roads Analysis.....	B-11
Landscape Analysis and Design	B-12
Species Viability Evaluation.....	B-25
Effects of Alternatives.....	B-33
Formulating Alternatives	B-33
Estimating the Effects of Alternatives	B-34
Biological Evaluations	B-35
Transportation Assumptions	B-35
Models	B-35
Social and Economic Analysis.....	B-51
Introduction.....	B-51
Social Analysis	B-51
Economic Analysis	B-52

Appendix C—Roadless Area Inventory and Wilderness Evaluation

Introduction.....	C-1
Part One: The Roadless Inventory Process.....	C-2
A. Direction for Conducting a Roadless Area Inventory and Wilderness Evaluation	C-2
B. The Description of Roadless Area Inventory Criteria.....	C-3
C. Description of Steps in Chequamegon-Nicolet Roadless Area Inventory.....	C-8
Part Two: Results of the Roadless Area Inventory.....	C-10
A. Summary of Newly Inventoried Roadless Areas	C-10
Summary	C-12
Part Three. The Wilderness Evaluation Process.....	C-13
A. Overview of Wilderness Evaluation Process	C-13
B. Specific Criteria Required to Determine an Area’s Capability for Wilderness Designation:	C-13
C. Specific Criteria Required to Determine an Area’s Availability for Wilderness Designation:	C-16
D. Specific Criteria Required to Determine the Need for Additional Wilderness:	C-17
Part Four: Wilderness Evaluation of Inventoried Roadless Areas.....	C-19
1. Porcupine Lake Addition Roadless Area (Great Divide District)	C-19
2. St. Peters Dome Roadless Area (Great Divide District).....	C-27
3. Iron River Roadless Area (Great Divide District).....	C-37
4. Hungry Run Roadless Area (Great Divide District).....	C-46
5. Spring Brook Roadless Area (Great Divide District).....	C-55
6. Schmuland/Popple Creek Roadless Area (Medford/Park Falls District)	C-63
7. Mud Lake Roadless Area (Medford/Park Falls District).....	C-71
8. Stony Creek Roadless Area (Medford/Park Falls District)	C-80
9. Flynn Lake Roadless Area (Washburn District)	C-88
Part Five: Results of Wilderness Evaluation	C-97

Appendix D—General Assessment Historic Range of Variability

Introduction	D-1
Assumptions and Limitations of RNV	D-1
Geologic History of the Northern Wisconsin and Western Upper Michigan Area	D-3
Climate During the Holocene	D-3
Stability and Change in Vegetative Communities	D-4
Natural Disturbance Regimes of Fire, Wind, and Flooding	D-4
Fine-scale Wind Disturbance	D-5
Catastrophic Wind Disturbance	D-6
Wind Disturbance: Age-class Distributions	D-7
Fire Disturbance	D-9
Flood Disturbance	D-9
Relative Impact of Different Natural Disturbances on the National Forests	D-11
Human Disturbance and Long-term Changes in the Range of Natural Variability	D-12
Changes in Natural Disturbance Regimes	D-12
Changes in Forest Composition and Age Structure	D-14
Literature Cited	D-15
Preparers and Contributors	D-18
Prepared by	D-18
Contributors	D-18

Appendix E—Wild and Scenic River Appendix **E-1****Appendix F—Silvicultural Systems**

Uneven-aged and Even-aged Management	F-1
Uneven-aged management	F-1
Even-aged management	F-2
Species managed under an even-aged system	F-4
Aspen	F-4
Jack Pine	F-5
Red Pine	F-6
White Spruce	F-7
Balsam Fir	F-7
Paper Birch	F-8
White Pine	F-9
Northern Red Oak	F-10
Mixed Northern Hardwoods	F-11
References	F-13
Aspen	F-13
Balsam fir	F-14
Red Pine	F-14
Paper Birch	F-14
White Spruce	F-14
White Pine	F-15
Red Oak	F-15
Mixed Northern Hardwoods	F-15

Appendix G—Glossary of Terms **G-1****Appendix H—Acronyms** **H-1****Appendix I—References** **I-1**

Appendix J—Biological Evaluations

Introduction	J-1
Species Listed as Threatened or Endangered by the US Fish and Wildlife Service:	
Explanation of Listing Procedures	J-1
Biological Evaluations (BE): Explanation of the BE Organization and Vocabulary	J-2
Management Area Summary and Possible Effects on TES and RFSS	J-7
Biological Evaluations of TES and RFSS Plants	J-13
Introduction.....	J-13
Biological Evaluations of Habitat Groups	J-28
Biological Evaluations of TES and RFSS Animals	J-60
Introduction.....	J-60
Biological Evaluations of Individual Species	J-63
RFSS Likely To Occur, Not Yet Known From The CNNF.....	J-124
References	J-129
Signature Page	J-132

Appendix K—Forest Scale Roads Analysis K-1

Appendix L—Analysis of Elk Habitat in Relation to Proposed Forest Plan

Background	L-1
Current Management Direction	L-1
Comparison of Revision Alternatives	L-4
Core elk range habitat – 10-year projection.....	L-4
Core elk range habitat – 100-year projection.....	L-6
GDRD elk habitat – 10-year projection	L-7
GDRD elk habitat – 100 year projection	L-7
Conclusion	L-8
Literature Cited	L-8

Appendix M—Timber Land SuitabilityM-1

Appendix N—Existing and Proposed RNAs, SMAs, and Old Growth & Natural Features Complexes (MA 8 E, F, and G)

Ecological Reference Areas	N-1
Chequamegon	N-1
Nicolet.....	N-6
Proposed Special Management Areas with Archeological/ Historical Values	N-10
Eagle River/Florence Ranger District:	N-10
Lakewood/Laona Ranger District:	N-11
Park Falls/Medford Ranger District:.....	N-13
Great Divide Ranger District:	N-13
Washburn Ranger District:	N-14
Proposed Geological Special Management Areas	N-15

Appendix O—Potential Motorized Trail Relocation O-1

Appendix P—Landscape Connectivity Map P-1