Other/Common Name: 30345

PN30345

Facilitator(s): Nate Holland
Team Members: Dixie Staff

☐ Principal Feeder/Trunk ☐ Connector ☐ Single Track
☒ Other ☐ Loop ☐ Motorcycle Track
☒ Spur ☐ ATV Route Length: 3.320504 miles
☒ Evidence of Construction: Graded in places ☒ Dual Track Use Level: Low
☐ Regularly Maintained ☐ Graded Track Maint. # (if any)
☒ Infrequently Maintained
☐ Maintained in Past

Jurisdictions: ☐ BLM ☒ USFS ☐ State ☐ Military ☐ Private ☐ Other:

Additional Information:

Maintenance Level: 2 - HIGH CLEARANCE VEHICLES

Official Right-of-Way or Officially-Recognized County or State Route

Is the route an officially-recognized right-of-way or an officially recognized County or State route? **No**

Other Access / Uses

Does the route provide other commercial or administrative access / uses? **Yes**

Does the route provide commercial, private property, or administrative access (e.g. via prescriptive or vested rights)?	Yes
Is the route a regional route that serves more than one planning sub-region?	No
Is the route a principal means of connectivity within a sub-region?	No
Is the route officially recognized as part of a Federal planning document and is subject to maintenance?	No

Access / Uses

Uses	Specifically	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary
Commercial Ranching Facility	Fence	☒	☐	☐
Commercial Ranching Facility	Gate	☒	☐	☐
Range	Road accesses permitee camps, water developments, or other improvements (fences, corrals, salt/mineral blocks, troughs)	☒	☐	☐
Range	Route hinders livestock management (i.e. gated)	☒	☐	☐
Range	Necessary route, long term needed			
Vegetation (Timber and Fuels) - Sales and Management	Not likely to be needed in foreseeable future			
Wildland Fire	Provides convenient access only			
	Range: Water develop, gated, Randy			

Special Resources

Might the continued use of this route impact State or Federal special status species or their habitat or cultural or any other specially-protected resources or objects identified by Agency planning documents, plan amendments or any other special area designations (e.g. National Monuments)? **Yes**

Resource/Concern	Specifically
Engineering	The route is substantially brushed in, in very poor condition or a particular burden for maintenance
Engineering	Not likely to be needed in forseeable future
Hydrology	Route impacts wetlands or riparian areas
Hydrology	Route causes soil erosion or mass failure
Wildlife / Botany	Route impacts specific wildlife habitat or security
Wildlife / Botany	Route could be closed to enhance big game habitat effectiveness by maintaining road density below GA standard. Minimal or no TES/MIS habitat at risk.
Wilderness/Roadless	Route is in an Inventoried Roadless Area (IRA)
Wilderness/Roadless	Not needed for 20-30 years but leave prism
VRM	Route conflicts with scenery objectives
Inventoried Roadless Area	In or Through Atchinson
Streams and Lakes	Within 200' of Stream(s)
Streams and Lakes	Stream Crossing Number of crossings: 4
Wash	In
Wash	Cross
Soils	Route Subject to Erosion Concerns
Route Proliferation Area	Concern
Mule Deer (MIS)	Critical Winter Range
Mule Deer (MIS)	Critical Summer Range
Wild Turkey (MIS)	High Summer Range
	Engineering: (Gate) (Level1); Wildlife Botany: mule deer, wild turkey

Avoidance, Minimization or Mitigation of Impacts

Can the impacts to the above sensitive resources be avoided, minimized or mitigated? **Yes**

Public Uses

Does this route contribute to recreational opportunities, route network connectivity, public safety, or other public multi-use access opportunities enumerated in agency Organic laws? **Yes**

Public Use	Primary	Secondary	Tertiary
Route conflicts with desired condition, ROS class or scenery objectives	☒	☐	☐
Route provides an opportunity for non-motorized recreation activities. Or could be converted to a trail.	☒	☐	☐
There is social conflict associated with this route	☒	☐	☐
ATV Use	☒	☐	☐
Christmas Tree Cutting	☐	☐	☒
Equestrian	☐	☒	☐
Hiking	☐	☒	☐
Hunting	☒	☐	☐
Post / Pole Gathering	☐	☐	☒
Low use			

Route Redundancy

Can the commercial, private-property or public uses of this route be adequately met by another route that minimizes impacts to the sensitive resources identified above or that minimizes cumulative effects on various other resources? **No**

The route is utilized for the following:

Commercial / Administrative	Yes
Private Property	No
Public Uses	Yes
Other	No

Opportunities / Recommendations:

☐ Close ☐ Limit* ☒ Open*

☒ Open to All Uses

☒ Maintenance Recommendations: Improve road and drainage

*Over time, the use of this route may result in effects to some sensitive resources. In accordance with the U.S. Forest Service's Final Rule for off-highway vehicle use on Forest Service land issued in November 2005 (Title 36 of the Code of Federal Regulations Parts 212, 251, 261, and 295), agency management may need to revise the designation of this route (e.g., by utilizing adaptive management techniques, including monitoring and maintenance) in order to avoid, minimize or mitigate effects that may occur.