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## PART II. DIVISION 100 - GENERAL REQUIREMENTS GENERAL INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS

Revisions and Updates.
A review of the Cost Guide is conducted bi-annually. Adjustments will be made as needed.
Policy.
This Cost Guide is based on direction provided in FSH 7709.56 - Road Preconstruction Handbook; Chapter 70 - Plans, Specifications, and Estimates.

## Specifications and Section Numbers.

The Cost Guide has been written using FP-14 (Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Bridges on Federal Highway Projects) and Forest Service Supplemental Specifications (FSSS) as work item descriptions. The Specifications are referred to by Section Numbers. Supplemental Specifications are referred to by FSSS. The FSSS's replace or modify the parent specification.

Costs associated with these specifications are for construction and reconstruction activities and is not intended for maintenance activities. Estimator should make appropriate adjustments for maintenance activities.

## Time and Equipment Estimates.

On some items, it may be necessary to develop estimates by "time and equipment." When making time and equipment estimates, be sure to include allowances for:

Supervision. On very small jobs this may be provided by an operator supervisor at essentially no additional cost.

Taxes. On purchase of material.
Bonding cost. May be included in MOBILIZATION.
"Standby time" for equipment and operators. That are part of a "spread" performing a segment of work, but who are not working at full capacity all the time. For example, during placement of aggregate, a grader, roller, and water truck are needed. The grader and roller may be operating full time; the water truck only part time. The estimate should include standby time for the water truck to compensate for having it available on the job during the entire time of placing aggregate.

Support Equipment. Fuel trucks, pickups, crew transportation, and so forth.
Permit fees.
Note: labor and equipment rates shown in this Cost Guide include applicable "payroll loading" and profit and overhead.

## Rounding of Unit Costs.

Round off the unit price to the nearest significant figure. A good rule of thumb is to compare the rounding of unit costs with the significant figure of the quantity or value of the item. For example, clearing costs measured to a tenth of an acre generally should be rounded to the nearest $\$ 10$ or $\$ 25$.

Excavation costs should be rounded to the nearest $\$ .10$ per cubic yard, and culvert costs should be rounded to the nearest $\$ .50 /$ lineal foot

## Use of Average Cost in Project.

Use average cost for individual roads within the project whenever possible unless there are significant variations in the character of work from one road to another. Variations are sometimes appropriate for clearing, excavation, hauling, or other unique situations. In these situations, each road should have separate and distinct unit costs for those items; otherwise, the use of overall project unit costs may create problems with design changes, alternate facilities (timber sale), and so forth.

## Profit and Risk Factor.

The profit and risk factor used in this Cost Guide is 6 percent. All unit prices shown in the Guide include this allowance, including the EQUIPMENT RATES tables. Payroll overhead costs of 10 percent are used in all rates in addition to the 6 percent profit and risk factor.

## Time Estimates.

In accordance with Section 52.211-10 of the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR's), contract time for public works contracts must be calculated based on a continuous run of contract time. The contract time must include an estimate of the winter shutdown time. If the midpoint of construction is computed, it should be based on the midpoint of work or the midpoint of estimated cash flow, not the midpoint of contract time.

Public Works \& Timber Sale Estimates.
All engineer's estimates for construction, with the exception of quality control and bonding, are prepared as if construction is to be accomplished by a Public Works (PW) contract. For Timber Sale (TS) estimates, the PW estimate is adjusted to reflect Purchaser Wage Rates.

## Davis-Bacon Wage Decisions (Construction Wage Rates) and Service Contract Act Wage Determinations.

Use current Davis-Bacon Wage Decisions issued by the U.S. Department of Labor under Davis-Bacon and related Acts. The Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor determines prevailing wage rates to be paid on federally funded or federally assisted construction projects. Obtaining the wage rates from the Department of Labor is the responsibility of the Federal agency that funds or provides financial assistance to Davis-Bacon covered construction projects. Ensure that the proper Davis-Bacon wage decisions are applied to such construction contracts (29 CFR 1.5-1.6(b)).

Wage rates for a survey crew comprised of party chief, instrument person, and/or chain person are contained in the Service Contract Wage Determinations.

Davis-Bacon and Service Contract Wage Rates are found at Davis-Bacon Wage Rate Web site and Service Contract Act Wage Rate Web site

Timber Sale Purchaser Wage Rate Adjustments.
The specified road cost is the road cost estimate for a public works contract adjusted to reflect the difference between public works wage rates and local logging industry wage rates. Do not adjust the cost for items that purchasers are required to perform if both of the following conditions apply: (a) the work is likely to be subcontracted and (b) the subcontractors are likely to pay Davis Bacon wage rates.

Determine the specified road cost for a pay item by applying the Labor Percentage and Wage Ratio.
Labor Percentage. The labor percentage is that portion of each construction pay item cost attributable to labor as determined by analyzing the costs of labor, materials, and equipment for each item.

Wage Ratio. The wage ratio (100 percent labor value) is the public works wage rate divided by the local logging industry weighted average wage rate for an equivalent skill or group of skills

Further explanation and examples can be found in the ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE and TIMBER SALE PURCHASER WAGE RATE ADJUSTMENTS. Sections of this Cost Guide.

Fuel Prices.
Fuel costs include Federal and State taxes and can be quite variable over a period of time due to geopolitical conditions. Contractors may be purchasing off-highway fuel. Equipment prices in this Cost Guide may need to be adjusted by the estimator to compensate for these variations. Other equipment that uses fuel or propane such as asphalt plant dryers, generators, etc. may also cost more or less to operate. The overall effect on the typical road construction project is that $30-40 \%$ fuel price increases will increase the total cost of construction about 2-5\%. The estimator should be aware of big (10\% plus) fuel price increases/decreases that would affect the unit bid prices shown in this Cost Guide. Fuel price variations will have more effect on items that are equipment oriented such as excavation, than those that are material and labor oriented such as signs.

## Contractor Quality Control (QC) and Quantity Measurement.

Section 153. - CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL is applicable for Quality Control for all contracts. Costs are to be included as an allowance in their associated pay items. Do not have a separate pay item for quality control. Estimating procedures and unit costs for contractor QC are outlined in Section 153. CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL of this Cost Guide. Estimator is reminded to stay current with policy regarding timber sale QC requirements.

## Adjustments of Inflation and Deflation.

Until further notice, no adjustments to unit costs should be made for inflation or deflation.
Use of Costs Other Than Shown in the Cost Guide.
When local experience indicates unit costs are different than those shown in this Guide, local costs should be considered. Cost deviations from this Guide shall be documented and included in the project file.

## Small Quantity Adjustments.

Estimates should consider all roads that are included in a contract package that are within a five mile radius as one project for the purposes of small quantity adjustments. Therefore, small quantity factors should not be applied to individual road costs when the individual roads are part of a larger group of road projects in the same vicinity and part of the same contract. On the other hand, where small quantities are involved, estimators should increase allowances due to the inefficiencies generally encountered in small projects. Of particular concern, are projects with small quantities of aggregate or asphalt materials. Mobilization of equipment may exceed the direct costs of the material itself. Small
construction projects may have a relatively high mobilization cost for transport of dozers, excavators, and other specialized equipment.

## Signs.

On public works contracts the contract should require the contractor to furnish and install all signs in accordance with the project sign plan. For Timber Sales (TS) and 14i (turnback) contracts, the purchaser may be required to furnish and install (or install only) permanent warning and regulatory signs. Signs for closure devices (gates, barricades, etc.) on TS contracts (including 14i contracts) are considered as a part of the closure device and should be furnished and installed by the purchaser (or 14i contractor). This includes advance warning signs for such closures. Route markers are part of the road work and are furnished by the purchaser (mile markers are also required road work signs).

## Engineering Services.

Deposits for engineering on road reconstruction may be allowed refer to TS contract provision C5.213\# DEPOSIT FOR RECONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING SERVICES and FSH 2409.18 43.43. Follow guidance provided in the DRES calculation form found on the R1 engineering sharepoint site.

Post-award engineering (PAE) includes purchaser and Forest Service surveying, slopestaking, and/or designing. Specified roads with PAE need to be listed in the Timber Sale (TS) contract provision A7 (AT7) for Purchaser Engineering and A8 (AT8) for FS engineering

Salvage sale funds for engineering work may be used for salvage sales.

## Change Orders \& Design Changes.

The principles, costs, etc. listed in this Cost Guide can be used to assist in determining unit costs for contract design changes and change orders; however, site specific and project related information should be used to the maximum extent possible.

North Dakota / South Dakota / Washington.
Costs estimates for road construction in these States should be adjusted by local equipment and material costs, applicable Davis-Bacon Wage Decisions and Service Contract Act Wage Determinations. This Cost Guide is oriented to activity in Montana and Idaho. Information under 'Equipment Rates' gives information on adjusting equipment rates for North and South Dakota.

## Specifications.

All cost in this guide are associated with Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Bridges on Federal Highway Projects FP-14 and FSSS. Specifications may change and users of this guide should verify that the costs are associated with the correct type of work.

## 404 Permitting.

Federal regulations require 404 permits for all activities that will result in the discharge or placement of dredged or fill material into water bodies and wetlands. Road decommissioning, the replacement of a culvert or bank stabilization (i.e. rip rap) that is identical in size and extent of the existing/failed structure, and road construction or stored road reconstruction for a timber sale are the only forestry activities that are exempt from needing a 404 permit. The US Army Corps of Engineers provides 404 permits, and state agencies provide a corresponding 401 water quality certification. Fees are typically not required from the federal agencies. There is a 45 day review period.

## Storm Water Permitting.

EPA regulations require NPDES permits for road construction activity with more than 1 acre or rock pits and quarries. Timber sale road construction is exempt from needing NPDES permits, but rock pits or quarries for timber sale roads must be permitted.

Permit regulating agency by State:
Idaho: EPA
Montana: Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) Water Protection Bureau
South Dakota: Department of Environmental and Natural Resources
North Dakota: Division of Water Supply and Pollution Control.
Permits must be obtained by the contractor before construction begins. Fees may apply. Consult permit regulating agency for cost estimating permit fees.

Montana Stream Protection Act (SPA 124) and 318 Authorization Permits.
SPA 124 permits issued by the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks are required for any project including the construction of new facilities or the modification, operation, and maintenance of an existing facility that may affect the natural existing shape and form of any stream or its banks or tributaries. Expect a 30 to 90 day review period. There is no application fee.

Any activity in any state water that will cause unavoidable short term violations of water quality standards will require a 318 Authorization Permit. The 318 permits are administered by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality with an application fee may apply. There is usually 30 to 60 day review period.

## Internet.

The cost guide can be found on the Forest Service Northern Region sharepoint: R1 engineering sharepoint. If you do not have access to the internet, you can request a copy from U. S. Forest Service, Northern Region Engineering.

## Summary.

This is a guide and not a cookbook. Estimators need to use judgement and knowledge of the specific project and local conditions when preparing cost estimates.

## ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

The preliminary estimated unit costs using the Public Works Davis-Bacon Wage Decisions rates may need to be adjusted. Determine the county and/or zone and adjust the unit costs per instructions of this section.

## Determination of Wage Rate Zone

## IDAHO

## Bonner County \& Kootenai County

Zone pay only applies to specific equipment operators and because of proximity to the zone centers the highest zone pay is assumed for operators working for the forest service. Subsequently the labor rates given reflect highest zone pay.

Nez Perce County:
Zone 1: Within 45 radius miles from the main Post Offices of Spokane and Lewiston
Zone 2: Outside 45 radius miles from the main Post Offices of Spokane and Lewiston

## Rest of Idaho:

The portion of Region 1 that lies in the following Idaho Counties: Benewah, Boundary, Clearwater, Idaho, Latah, Lewis, Shoshone. Zones are not applicable for the classifications for highway construction used in this guide.

## MONTANA

In Montana there are three (3) wage rate zones based on the shortest practical route over maintained roads from the center of the project to the nearest County Court House located in the following listed towns:

Billings, Butte, Helena, Missoula, Bozeman, Great Falls, Kalispell
The zones are defined as:
Zone 1: 0-25 miles
Zone 2: 25-50 miles
Zone 3: over 50 miles
NORTH DAKOTA / SOUTH DAKOTA / WASHINGTON
Adjust the preliminary unit costs by applicable Construction Wage Rates (Davis-Bacon) Wage differential. Contact the Regional Office for necessary data.

## Adjustment Factors for Unit Costs

Adjust the preliminary estimated unit prices by multiplying them by the appropriate factor in the following table. The factors are based on the appropriate Construction Wage Rates (Davis-Bacon) with fringes and overhead loading for a mixed work force of equipment operators, laborers, and truck drivers.

Table 1. Location Adjustment Factor for Public Works Davis-Bacon Zones

|  | IDAHO |  |  |  |  | MONTANA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { LABOR } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Rest of Idaho | Kootenai County | Bonner County | Nez Perce County ZONE 1 | Nez <br> Perce <br> County <br> ZONE <br> 2 <br> 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} \text { MT } \\ \text { ZONE } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MT } \\ \text { ZONE } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MT } \\ \text { ZONE } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 15 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 20 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 25 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 30 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 35 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 40 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 45 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 50 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 55 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 60 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 65 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 70 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 75 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 80 | 0.93 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 85 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 90 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 95 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.00 |
| 100 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.00 |

## Examples of Applying Location Adjustment Factors for the Unit Costs

Example No. 1:
Idaho, Kootenai County
18" culvert (new construction), Percent labor = 35\%
Cost Guide unit cost = \$32.00/lf
Kootenai County uniit cost $=\$ 32.00 \times 1.00=\$ 32.00 / \mathrm{lf}$
Example No. 2:
Rest of Idaho
18" culvert, Percent Labor = 35\%
Cost Guide unit cost $=\$ 32.00 / l f$
Rest of Idaho unit cost $=\$ 22.00 \times 0.97=\$ 21.34 / \mathrm{lf}$
Example No. 3:
Montana, Zone 1
18" culvert, Percent Labor = 35\%
Cost Guide unit cost $=\$ 34.00 / 1 f$
Zone 1 unit cost $=\$ 34.00 \times 0.97=\$ 32.98 / \mathrm{lf}$

## TIMBER SALE PURCHASER WAGE RATE ADJUSTMENTS.

The specified road cost is the road cost estimate for a public works contract adjusted to reflect the difference between public works wage rates and local logging industry wage rates.

Do not adjust the cost for items that purchasers are required to perform if both of the following conditions apply: (a) the work is likely to be subcontracted and (b) the subcontractors are likely to pay Davis Bacon wage rates.

Determine the specified road cost for a pay item by applying the Labor Percentage and Wage Ratio.
Labor Percentage. The labor percentage is that portion of each construction pay item cost attributable to labor as determined by analyzing the costs of labor, materials, and equipment for each item.

Wage Ratio. The wage ratio (100 percent labor value) is the public works wage rate divided by the local logging industry weighted average wage rate for an equivalent skill or group of skills

To determine the Specified Road Cost allowance for any item, the following procedure must be followed:

- Determine the Construction Wage Rate area and/or zone. Refer to the ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Section of this Cost Guide.
- Determine labor percentage for applicable item under each section of this Cost Guide or from Table 2. Labor Percentages.
- Select the appropriate labor factor from Table 1. Location Adjustment Factor for Public Works Davis-Bacon Zones
- Determine Specified Road Cost for applicable item by dividing the Engineers Estimate by the labor factor determined from Table 3. Adjustment Factor for Construction Wage Rate Differentials.


## Example:

Excavation cost $=\$ 2.85 / \mathrm{CY}$

Project Location: Bonner County of Idaho
Excavation labor percentage from Table 2. Labor Percentages $=25$ percent
Labor Factor from Table 1. Location Adjustment Factor for Public Works Davis-Bacon Zones= 0.99

Labor Factor Wage Adjustment from Table 3. Adjustment Factor for Construction Wage Rate Differentials = 1.03

```
Engineers Estimate = $2.85 x 0.99 = $2.82
Specified Road Cost = $2.82/1.03 = 2.74/CY
```

The following labor percentage ranges are typical and include equipment operators, truck drivers and laborers. The actual percentage selected should be documented. Use of percentages different than those indicated and the reason for the selection should also be documented.

Table 2. Labor Percentages

| Work Item | Labor \% <br> Range | Low Percent Factors | High Percent Factors |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Clearing \& Grubbing | $20-55$ | Small or scattered <br> timber, light ground <br> cover gentle terrain, <br> scattering | Large timber, <br> "doghair", heavy <br> ground cover, rugged <br>  <br> burning |
| Excavation | $20-45$ | Gentle terrain, good <br> soils, easy <br> construction, wide <br> tolerance, sidecast <br> type construction | Rugged terrain, poor <br> soils and rock, difficult <br> construction, rip/ <br> blasting, close <br> tolerances, end-haul |
| Base and Surfacing | $30-50$ | Crushed pit rock, wide <br> gradation tolerance | Crushed quarry rock, <br> close gradation <br> tolerance |
| Asphalt | $20-40$ | Large project, road <br> mix, wide tolerance, <br> surface treatments | Small project, plant <br> mix, close tolerance, <br> labor intensive |
| Mobilization | $20-40$ | Minimum labor <br> required on project <br> preparation | Project preparation is <br> very labor intensive |
| Culverts | $30-60$ | Flat slopes, soil with <br> little rock, minimal <br> labor requirements, <br> small dia, dry | Steep slopes, soil with <br> large amount of rock, <br> labor intensive, large <br> dia, wet |
| Stabilization | $35-70$ | Hydromulch, flatter <br> slopes, large projects | Hand placed mulch, <br> multiple processes, <br> steeper slopes, small <br> projects |

Refer to individual Sections of this Cost Guide for other labor percentages.

Table 3. Adjustment Factor for Construction Wage Rate Differentials

|  | IDAHO |  |  |  |  | MONTANA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LABOR <br> \% | Rest <br> of <br> Idaho | Kootenai <br> County | Bonner <br> County | Nez <br> Counte <br> ZONE <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | Nez <br> Perce <br> County <br> ZONE <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | MT <br> ZONE <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | MT <br> ZONE <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | MT <br> ZONE <br> $\mathbf{3}$ |
| 5 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.04 |
| 15 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| 20 | 1.00 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.08 |
| 25 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| 30 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.12 |
| 35 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 |
| 40 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.03 | 1.04 | 1.15 | 1.17 | 1.17 |
| 45 | 1.01 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.17 | 1.19 | 1.20 |
| 50 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.04 | 1.05 | 1.19 | 1.22 | 1.23 |
| 55 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.21 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 60 | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 1.06 | 1.24 | 1.28 | 1.28 |
| 65 | 1.02 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.26 | 1.31 | 1.32 |
| 70 | 1.02 | 1.08 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.29 | 1.34 | 1.35 |
| 75 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.31 | 1.37 | 1.38 |
| 80 | 1.02 | 1.10 | 1.07 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.34 | 1.41 | 1.42 |
| 85 | 1.02 | 1.10 | 1.08 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.37 | 1.44 | 1.46 |
| 90 | 1.02 | 1.11 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.40 | 1.48 | 1.50 |
| 95 | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.43 | 1.52 | 1.54 |
| 100 | 1.02 | 1.12 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.47 | 1.56 | 1.58 |

## PART III. DIVISION 150 PROJECT REQUIREMENTS

## SECTION 151. - MOBILIZATION

Mobilization costs are those for preparatory work and operation including bonding and tasks necessary for the movement of personnel, equipment, supplies, and incidentals to the project site, and for all other work and operations which must be performed or costs incurred including obtaining all permits (such as storm water permits) prior to beginning work at the project site.

The average project in the database from which the information for this section was derived has two construction seasons; however, many are built in one season.

Use 7.0 percent for contracts between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 500,000$ in Idaho and Montana and round to two or three significant figures (i.e. if calculation is $\$ 8,234.56$, round off to $\$ 8,200$ or $\$ 8,250$ ). Allowances for moving in and out of specialized equipment (rock crusher, paving equipment, etc.), may be made under Mobilization, included in their respective pay item, or proportioned under both. Note that under FP14 Section 151. - MOBILIZATION, the portion of mobilization over 10\% of the original contract amount (Engineer's Estimate) can't be paid until after final acceptance. See Example 2 in this section for an illustration.

For contracts under $\$ 100,000$, and for more complex projects (aggregate, paving, etc.) the actual costs should be estimated based upon moving normal components of machinery, personnel, etc., to/from the project, and the number of seasons for the operation. For actual costs use $\$ 6.00$ a loaded mile per load. Round off calculated cost to two or three significant figures (see note above).

For projects over $\$ 500,000$ in Idaho and Montana, use 6.0 percent with additional allowances for specialized equipment if applicable. Round off calculated cost to two or three significant figures (see note above).


The mobilization of the rock crusher may be placed under Section 151. - MOBILIZATION, Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES, or portioned under both. Under this example, place $\$ 12,700$ (rounded) under Section 151. - MOBILIZATION and \$4,000 under Section 301. UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES so the $\$ 4,000$ can be paid before final acceptance (FP14, Section 151).

Mobilization of specific items may be placed under their specific section. In Example 2 the allowance for the rock crusher may be placed under Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES.

## SECTION 152. - CONSTRUCTION SURVEY AND STAKING

(Contract Item)
Survey
Survey accuracy classes including High, Medium, and Low are defined in FSH 7709.5639 Exhibit 01. Survey for linear road work in region one is typically accomplished with a "Low" accuracy class using either a laser rangefinder (ie trupulse ©) device or measuring tape, compass, and inclinometer. Survey for bridges, major culverts, AOPs, retaining structures, and occasionally facility related work is typically accomplished with a "Medium" or "High" accuracy class using a total station, survey grade gps, and sometimes lidar or photogrammetry.

## Low Accuracy Survey

Low accuracy surveys can typically be classified into the following two categories:

- Minor Reconstruction survey (i.e Road log) - Minor reconstruction projects involve little or no earthwork. Survey Methods typically involve recording stations or mileposts along an existing route to designate intermittent work sites. Individual work sites may require low accuracy class survey involving a few survey shots or measurements to quantify prescribed work. Methods for minor reconstruction vary and costs are highly variable. Determine costs based on anticipated hourly production rates.
- New Construction and Major Reconstruction survey (i.e. P-line survey) - Projects involve earthwork related to construction of specified alignments, road sections, or other design standards. Survey includes locating, traversing, profiling, and cross sectioning.

Table 4. Base cost for P-line surveys

| Survey phase | Cost $\boldsymbol{\$} / \mathbf{\text { mile }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Road Location | $1150 \mathbf{\$} / \mathrm{mile}$ |
| Traverse, Profile, X-section (P-line) | $5150 \$ / \mathrm{mile}$ |

Table 5. P-line survey base cost adjustment factors

| Criteria for Adjustment factor | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| P-line over existing road template | 1.1 |
| \% slope along cross sections >50 percent | 1.3 |
| \% slope along cross section is 0-30 percent | 0.9 |
| Heavy vegetation (e.g. visibility limited to $25^{\prime}$ ) | 1.5 |
| Medium vegetation (e.g. visibility limited to $50^{\prime}$ ) | 1.2 |
| No vegetation (e.g. vegetation rarely causes sight distance issues) | 0.9 |
| Density of combined stream crossings and switchbacks (X per mile) | $1+X \div 20$ |

Additional fieldwork may include special site investigation, and stream flow estimates.

## Medium and High Accuracy Survey

Medium and high accuracy surveys are typically used for site surveys associated with replacement or modification of bridges, major culverts, AOPs, retaining structures, and occasionally facility related work. A standard topographic site survey for the project types listed above includes occupying 3-5 control point setups. Cost estimates for these site surveys average $\$ 4000$ each.

To determine costs for medium and high accuracy surveys involving locating, traversing, profiling, and cross sectioning apply a factor of 1.5 to Table 6. Base cost for new construction and major reconstruction staking. Apply adjustments according to Table 7. P-line staking base cost adjustment factors.

## Construction Staking:

Survey accuracy classes are described in the section above. Construction staking accuracy should be consistent with the class of survey accuracy used during preconstruction. To control costs it may not be necessary to require a licensed surveyor to perform construction staking. Construction staking related to low accuracy survey may not warrant the extra cost of requiring a licensed surveyor while requiring a licensed surveyor may be appropriate for staking related to medium or high accuracy survey.

## Staking associated with Low Accuracy Survey

Low accuracy staking can typically be classified into the following two categories:

- Minor Reconstruction staking (i.e Road log) - Staking methods for minor reconstruction vary and costs are highly variable. Determine costs based on anticipated hourly production rates. Add $\$ 100$ per culvert for culvert staking on reconstruction roads.
- New Construction and Major Reconstruction staking (i.e. P-line staking) - Staking is categorized into two components. Establishing alignments and establishing cross section construction limits. For base costs refer to Table 6. Base cost for new construction and major reconstruction staking. Apply the appropriate adjustment factors from Table 7. P-line staking base cost adjustment factors

Table 6. Base cost for new construction and major reconstruction staking

| Staking phase | Cost $\mathbf{\$ / m i l e}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Establishing alignments (i.e. P-line or Centerline) | 1150 \$/mile |
| Establishing cross section limits (i.e. slope staking \& clearing limits) | $4100 \$ /$ mile |

Table 7. P-line staking base cost adjustment factors

| Criteria for Adjustment factor | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| X Percent of Original P-line stakes missing (applies to Establishing alignments only) | X/100 |
| \% slope along cross sections $>50$ percent | 1.1 |
| \% slope along cross section is 0-30 percent | 0.9 |
| Establishing cross section limits by measuring the distances shown in the plans or staking <br> notes (i.e. catch point measurement method or projected catch point method) | 1.0 |
| Establishing cross section limits by determining catch points in the field (i.e. Computed <br> method) | 1.5 |


| Criteria for Adjustment factor | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Staking cut slope side only but marking both sides with clearing limits | 1.0 |
| Staking both sides | 1.25 |
| Heavy vegetation or blowdown (e.g. vegetation constantly impedes placing of stakes) | 1.3 |
| No vegetation (e.g. vegetation rarely impedes placing of stakes) | 0.9 |
| Density of combined culverts and switchbacks (X per mile) | $1+X \div 20$ |

## Staking associated with Medium and High Accuracy Survey

Staking associated with Medium and high accuracy surveys for bridges, major culverts, AOPs, retaining structures, and occasionally facility related roads typically requires use of a total station or survey grade gps. Standard construction staking for the project types listed above involves setting vertical and horizontal reference stakes for the structure and slope staking the roadway approaches for a few hundred feet. Average price for this work is $\$ 3500$ each.

To determine staking costs associated with medium and high accuracy survey for linear road projects apply an adjustment factor of 1.3 to Table 6 . Base cost for new construction and major reconstruction staking. Apply adjustments according to Table 7. P-line staking base cost adjustment factors.

Table 8. Wages and Per Diem for Surveying

| Description | Wages and Per Diem |
| :--- | :--- |
| Two-person field party | $\$ 167 /$ Hour |
| Three-person field party | $\$ 232 /$ Hour |
| Registered Land Surveyor | $\$ 123 /$ Hour |
| Per diem avg. | $60 \$ /$ day |
| Transportation avg. | $0.57 \$ / \mathrm{mile}$ |
| Motel, camp expenses avg. | $100 \$ /$ day |

## Supervision

Allow 1 day per week of survey crew time for supervisory engineer @ same rate as a registered land surveyor.

## Materials Investigation and Testing

Refer to Section 153. - CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL or Section 154. - CONTRACTOR SAMPLING AND TESTING for unit costs.

Corner Search, Monument, and Boundary Marking \& Posting
Corner search costs are highly variable, depending on terrain, access, and difficulty of finding evidence. Use hourly field party rates.

## SECTION 153. - CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL

These costs are to be included in their respective pay item.
DO NOT HAVE QUALITY CONTROL AS A SEPARATE PAY ITEM!

## SECTION 154. - CONTRACTOR SAMPLING AND TESTING

## (Contract Item)

There are four aspects of contractor sampling and testing:

1. Certificates of compliance
2. Field and laboratory sampling and testing
3. Field measurements
4. Records of sampling, testing, and measuring

Projects that include controlled compaction for excavation, graded aggregate (not pit run), concrete, asphalt, major drainage structures, and similar work requiring specific sampling and testing (Included in FP-14 Specification 153 as modified by FSSS 153 or FP-14 Specification 154).

- Approximately $\$ 30 /$ day while the above-noted work is in progress.
- Approximately $\$ 20 /$ day while the above-noted work is not in progress but work requiring contractor quantity measurements is in progress.

Projects that basically consist of clearing, excavation (Placement Methods 1 \& 2), and minor culvert installation.

- Approximately $\$ 20 /$ day while work requiring contractor quantity measurements is in progress.

The following table, PROJECT FIELD SAMPLING AND TESTING, gives estimated costs for contractor sampling and testing.

Overall costs for contractor sampling and testing, not including costs for individual tests, should range from $\$ 525 /$ week for relatively simple projects to $\$ 2,100 /$ week for more complex projects if only one technician is required. Add up to $\$ 1,400 /$ week for each additional technician required.

The cost of a mobile lab may be required for more complex projects.
When more than one road project is included in a contract, the costs for Section 154. - CONTRACTOR SAMPLING AND TESTING should be prorated among the individual roads or road segments based on project size and the type of work included in each individual road project.

For those contracts or projects having a small amount of contractor quality control (no specific field tests), all costs are incidental to other items and should not exceed $\$ 55-\$ 110 /$ week. This cost is primarily associated with any contractor measurement that is required. For simplicity, it may be advisable to add this cost to Mobilization rather than spread it over several items.

Table 9. Project Field Sampling and Testing - Labor Cost

| Description | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Manager (Senior) | $\$ 130 /$ hour (Assume 1 visit per month) |
| Civil Engineer | $\$ 105 /$ hour |
| Geotechnical Engineer | $\$ 150 /$ hour |


| Description | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Materials Technician (Journey) | $\$ 80 /$ hour |
| Support Staff | $\$ 65 /$ hour |
| Earth Dams Engineer (Geotechnical) | $\$ 160 /$ hour |
| Geologist | $\$ 120 /$ hour |
| Engineering Geologist | $\$ 145 /$ hour |
| CADD Technician | $\$ 90 /$ hour |
| Eng. Technician | $\$ 80 /$ hour (Required daily for extensive sampling and testing, |
|  | $\$ 480 /$ day or $\$ 2400 /$ week for other projects, 3 days/week or |
|  | $\$ 1440 /$ week) |
| Mileage | Use current federal standard mileage rate |
| Per diem | Use current federal per diem rate |
| Mobile lab | $\$ 500 /$ wk.+ mobilization |

Table 10. Project Field Sampling and Testing - Test Method Cost

| AASHTO Item Or Other | Description | Unit | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T22 | Concrete Compression Test per cylinder | EA | \$25 |
| T22, T23 | Concrete Field test (including air, slump, cast and test 3 cylinders) | EA | \$200 plus travel time |
| T89, T90 | Atterberg Limits | EA | \$115 |
| T27, T11 | Gradation/Sieve Analysis | EA | \$120 |
| T265, T255 | Moisure Content | EA | \$20 |
| T84, 885 | Specific Gravity/Absorption | EA | \$105 |
| T99, T180 | Moisure Density Test | EA | \$165 |
| T96 | Los Angeles Abrasion Tests | EA | \$200 |
| T210 | Durability | EA | \$150 |
| T176 | Sand Equivalent | EA | \$95 |
| T104 | Sodium Sulfate | EA | \$365 |
| Idaho DOT Test T-72 | Idaho Cleaniness | EA | \$80 |
| T2 | Sampling of Aggregates | Hour | \$70 |
| T245 (ASTM D 1559) | Marhall Mix | EA | \$2,805 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { T246, T247 (ASTM D } \\ \text { 1560, 1561) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hveems Mix | EA | \$4,000 |
| R-35 T312 | Superpave Mix | EA | \$4,870 |
| T209 | Rice/Theoretical Specific Gravities | EA | \$105 |
| PCA Procedures | Soil \& Aggregate Stabilization | Hour | \$100 |
| Asphalt Institute MS-13 <br> Appendix C | Chip Seals | Hour | \$115 |
| ASTM D2950 | Density of Bituminous Concrete in Place by Nuclear Method | Hour | \$75 |
| Fed Hwys FLH T508 | Flakiness Index | EA | \$100 |
| T30 | Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate | EA | \$95 |
| R66 | Sampling Bituminous Material | Hour | \$75 |
| T168 | Sampling Bituminous Paving Mixtures | Hour | \$75 |


| AASHTO Item Or Other | Description | Unit | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T164, T308 | Extraction of Bitumen from Bituminous Paving Mixture | EA | \$205 |
| T165 | Effect of Water on Cohesion of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures | EA | \$530 |
| T167 | Compressive Strength of Bituminous Mixtures | EA | \$545 |
| T166, T275 | Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Bituminous Mixture | EA | \$45 |
| T209 | Maximum Specific Gravity of Bituminous Paving Mixtures | EA | \$105 |
| T172 | Bituminous Mixing Plant Inspection | Hour | \$100 |
| ASTM D5821 | Fractured Faces | EA | \$75 |
| T88 | Particle Size Analysis (minus \#200 on sieve) | EA | \$90 |
| T112 | Clay Lumps and Friable Particles | EA | \$80 |
| ASTM D4791 | Flat and Elongated Particles | EA | \$95 |
| T193 | California Bearing Ratio | EA | \$515 |
| ASTM D2166 | Unconfined Compression | EA | \$130 |
| T236 | Direct Shear Test | EA | \$455 |
| ASTM D2850 | Triaxial Shear Tests UU per point (circle) for cohesive soils | EA | \$335 |
| ASTM D4767 | Triaxial Shear Tests | EA | \$1,845 |
| ASTM2435 | Consolidation Test | EA | \$300 |
| T215 | Permiability Tests | EA | \$420 |
| ASTM D5084 | Permeability Tests (fin grained) | EA | \$625 |
| ASTM D4711 | Adherent Coatings | EA | \$120 |
| $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | Pavement Investigation Drill + Crew, Core /Auger Drill, Sample to a maximum depth of 6' | Hour | \$295 |
| n/a | Geotechnical Investigation Drill + Crew, Core /Auger Drill and Sample/SPT test to a maximum depth of $50^{\prime}$ | Hour | \$295 |
| n/a | Mobilization of Drill Crew with Equipment | Mile | \$5 |
| n/a | Geotechnical Investigation <br> Backhoe/Excavator + Operator Test Pit and <br> Samples to a maximum depth of $25^{\prime}$ | Hour | \$210 |
| n/a | Mobilization of Backhoe/Excavator with Operator | Mile | \$5 |

## SECTION 156. - PUBLIC TRAFFIC

## Traffic Control

The cost of opening a road under reconstruction to traffic several times during the day can add as much as 30 percent to the normal cost of excavation, culverts, clearing, etc. This is due to the decrease in work efficiency and production on the part of the contractor and increased liabilities for public safety.

Traffic volumes normally found on most Forest Service roads generally do not justify opening the road more than once during the work shift, and only if the road has significant traffic.

If difficult construction work such as rock blasting or large culvert replacement is anticipated on existing roads, total road closure should be considered in the interest of public safety and cost savings.

All too often road openings are for the convenience of the Forest Service and have little bearing on public use, particularly during weekdays.

Table 11. Adjustment Factor for Traffic Control

| Description | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Open to traffic twice during work shift | Add 30 percent of Base Cost for Common |
|  | Excavation plus added adjustment factors |
|  | (Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND |
|  | EMBANKMENT) |
| Open to traffic once during work shift | Add 15percent of Base Cost for Common <br>  <br>  <br>  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | EMBANKMENT) |
|  | Add 5 percent of Base Cost for Common |
|  | Excavation plus added adjustment factors |
|  | (Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND |
|  | EMBANKMENT) |

## Construction Induced Maintenance (CIM).

Payment for construction induced maintenance can be made in several ways, depending on the situation. CIM shall be included in and made a requirement of the contract, public works or timber sale. Do not make CIM a separate pay item.

- When CIM is required to support a specific construction activity, payment and the cost estimate should be included in that item. Hauling of aggregate or borrow are examples of this.
- If the CIM is required to support general construction access and traffic, CIM can be included in mobilization.
- If the amount of CIM is uncertain or likely to be variable, it may be advisable to estimate and make payment based on actual quantities under Section 622. - RENTAL EQUIPMENT.

In all cases, appropriate Forest Service Supplemental Specifications to

| AASHTO Item Or Other | Description | Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T22 | Concrete Compression Test per cylinder | EA | \$25 |
| T22, T23 | Concrete Field test (including air, slump, cast and test 3 cylinders) | EA | \$200 plus travel time |
| T89, 990 | Atterberg Limits | EA | \$115 |
| T27, T11 | Gradation/Sieve Analysis | EA | \$120 |
| T265, T255 | Moisure Content | EA | \$20 |
| T84, T 85 | Specific Gravity/Absorption | EA | \$105 |
| T99, T180 | Moisure Density Test | EA | \$165 |
| T96 | Los Angeles Abrasion Tests | EA | \$200 |
| T210 | Durability | EA | \$150 |
| T176 | Sand Equivalent | EA | \$95 |
| T104 | Sodium Sulfate | EA | \$365 |
| Idaho DOT Test T-72 | Idaho Cleaniness | EA | \$80 |
| T2 | Sampling of Aggregates | Hour | \$70 |
| T245 (ASTM D 1559) | Marhall Mix | EA | \$2,805 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T246, T247 (ASTM D } \\ & \text { 1560, 1561) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Hveems Mix | EA | \$4,000 |
| R-35 T312 | Superpave Mix | EA | \$4,870 |
| T209 | Rice/Theoretical Specific Gravities | EA | \$105 |
| PCA Procedures | Soil \& Aggregate Stabilization | Hour | \$100 |
| Asphalt Institute MS-13 <br> Appendix C | Chip Seals | Hour | \$115 |
| ASTM D2950 | Density of Bituminous Concrete in Place by Nuclear Method | Hour | \$75 |
| Fed Hwys FLH T508 | Flakiness Index | EA | \$100 |
| T30 | Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate | EA | \$95 |
| R66 | Sampling Bituminous Material | Hour | \$75 |
| T168 | Sampling Bituminous Paving Mixtures | Hour | \$75 |
| T164, T308 | Extraction of Bitumen from Bituminous Paving Mixture | EA | \$205 |
| T165 | Effect of Water on Cohesion of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures | EA | \$530 |
| T167 | Compressive Strength of Bituminous Mixtures | EA | \$545 |
| T166, T275 | Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Bituminous Mixture | EA | \$45 |
| T209 | Maximum Specific Gravity of Bituminous Paving Mixtures | EA | \$105 |
| T172 | Bituminous Mixing Plant Inspection | Hour | \$100 |
| ASTM D5821 | Fractured Faces | EA | \$75 |
| T88 | Particle Size Analysis (minus \#200 on sieve) | EA | \$90 |
| T112 | Clay Lumps and Friable Particles | EA | \$80 |
| ASTM D4791 | Flat and Elongated Particles | EA | \$95 |
| T193 | California Bearing Ratio | EA | \$515 |


| AASHTO Item Or Other | Description | Unit | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASTM D2166 | Unconfined Compression | EA | \$130 |
| T236 | Direct Shear Test | EA | \$455 |
| ASTM D2850 | Triaxial Shear Tests UU per point (circle) for cohesive soils | EA | \$335 |
| ASTM D4767 | Triaxial Shear Tests | EA | \$1,845 |
| ASTM2435 | Consolidation Test | EA | \$300 |
| T215 | Permiability Tests | EA | \$420 |
| ASTM D5084 | Permeability Tests (fin grained) | EA | \$625 |
| ASTM D4711 | Adherent Coatings | EA | \$120 |
| n/a | Pavement Investigation Drill + Crew, Core /Auger Drill, Sample to a maximum depth of $6^{\prime}$ | Hour | \$295 |
| n/a | Geotechnical Investigation Drill + Crew, Core /Auger Drill and Sample/SPT test to a maximum depth of 50' | Hour | \$295 |
| n/a | Mobilization of Drill Crew with Equipment | Mile | \$5 |
| n/a | Geotechnical Investigation <br> Backhoe/Excavator + Operator Test Pit and Samples to a maximum depth of $25^{\prime}$ | Hour | \$210 |
| n/a | Mobilization of Backhoe/Excavator with Operator | Mile | \$5 |

Section 156. - PUBLIC TRAFFIC are required to define the work and indicate how payment will be included in the contract. Be sure to follow directions regarding commensurate shares when estimating and specifying this work.

## SECTION 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

This work consists of temporary and permanent measures incorporated into the project to reduce and control soil erosion and water pollution. The estimator should consider all measures used to provide this protection. Measures taken may be in areas that in the past have been considered "normal practice", i.e., water bars constructed on roads during construction, or they may be items that have been designed specifically for erosion control. Timing may impact costs, i.e., if rock blankets are required prior to constructing a road to pit run borrow source, an alternate source which may be more costly is necessary.

Costs may be estimated directly under Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL and shown on the Schedule of Items or may be an indirect cost to other pay items.

Some examples of cost item determination are:

## Section 157.09 Diversions, Earth Berms

The purpose of the berm is for a reduction of erosion. Payment for this item may be included in other items or paid for under Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

## Section 157.05 Filter Barriers, Silt Fence

This is a specialized pay item and would not fall under other items of work. It should be used in the contract specifically as a soil erosion item, under Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.

## Section 157.11 Temporary Turf Establishment

This work is accomplished solely for the purpose of erosion control. The cost of this work is directly related to Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL. This cost should not be considered under Section 625. - TURF ESTABLISHMENT.

## Windrow Slash Disposal

If the primary purpose of the windrow is slash disposal, this work should be priced under Section 201. - CLEARING AND GRUBBING. When all or part of this cost is exclusively for erosion control, it should be shown as a cost under Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.

For items not listed here or covered under other items, estimate by time, material and equipment. After calculating cost, determine labor percentage and make appropriate reductions for timber sales.

Cost of preparing storm water permit applications or turbidity permits for EPA or State agencies should be included in Section 151. - MOBILIZATION.

Table 12. Costs and Percent Labor Associated With Soil Erosion and Water Pollution Control

| Parent Specification | Description of Work | Pay Unit | Estimated Cost | Percent Labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 | Temp Seeding \& Fertilizing: Seed @ 25 lbs ./acre, seed \& fertilizer in one application. Fertilizer @ 200 lbs./acre . Material Price/Acre $=\$ 180-\$ 340$ | Acre | \$250-\$550 | 20-70\% |
| 157 | Dry Mulching (Straw or Hay): Seed @ 25 Ibs./acre. Fertilizer @ $200 \mathrm{lbs} . / \mathrm{acre}$. Straw or Hay @ 2 tons/acre = 80 bales/acre | Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 600- \\ & \$ 1000 \end{aligned}$ | 20-70\% |
| 157 | Hydro mulching (Wood Cellulose): Seed @ 25 lbs./acre. Fertilizer @ $200 \mathrm{lbs} . /$ acre. J-TACK H-S @ 120-160 lbs./acre. Wood Cellulose Fiber @ 150-300 lbs./acre Hay or Straw @ 2 tons/acre. Water as Necessary | Acre | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3500- \\ & \$ 5500 \end{aligned}$ | 20-50\% |
| 157 | Netting: Price using specific Material / Labor, etc. Material Price/SY = \$2-\$5 | S.Y. | \$7-\$9 | 40-90\% |
| 157 | Straw/Hay Bales (Weed free required): Bales placed by hand below CMP's prior to installation at live water; also used below outlet of crossdrains in highly erosive soil areas and in ditches. Material Price/bale = \$4-\$7 | Each | \$15-\$30 | 60-90\% |
| 157 | Gravel Blanket: Sheathing | C.Y. | Estimate by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |


| Parent Specification | Description of Work | Pay Unit | Estimated Cost | Percent Labor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 | Silt Fence: Used 8' long Steel Posts @ 6' centers with 36 " Welded Wire, Geotextile Fabric. Material Price/LF = \$3 | L.F. | \$4-\$10 | 30-60\% |
| 157 or 201 | Brush Barrier: Method 12 In R-1 Cost Guide This work consists of placing brush on the fill slope to reduce sediment erosion. | L.F. | Estimate using Cost Guide, Section 201. - <br> CLEARING <br> AND <br> GRUBBING |  |
| 157 or 201 | Sediment Basin: Scoop native material from stream bed below live water prior to installation of new CMP. Place brush and straw bales on down-stream end of sediment basin. Can also place bales w/brush to impede sediment flow. Use Time \& Equip, estimate 15-30 min. w/excavator | Each | Estimate by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |
| 157 or 201 | Berm: Earth Berm | L.F. | Estimate <br> by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment | 30\% |
| 157 or 201 | Dam | Each | Estimate by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |
| 157 or 201 | Temporary Water Bars: Constructed very shallow upgrade, but near CMPs and also midway between CMPs. Should be constructed just prior to compaction. Aggregate may be placed over temp water bars, w/o removal. Temp water bars will not provide adequate protection when installed with soil in overly saturated state. Not intended for permanent use. Small Excavator rate of production is 15-25 bars/hr. Estimate construction just prior to compaction, no additional allowance made for compaction. | Each | Estimate <br> by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |
| 204 | Permanent Water Bars: Constructed to design depth and location. Dozer rate of production is 3-4 bars/hour | Each | Estimate by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |


| Parent <br> Specification | Description of Work | Pay <br> Unit | Estimated <br> Cost | Percent <br> Labor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 204 | Construction Dips | Each | Estimate <br> by <br> Materials, <br>  <br> Equipment |  |

## SECTION 158. - WATERING FOR DUST CONTROL

Develop Water Supply and Watering (Labor 40-70 percent)
Estimated Quantity of Water for Compaction

- For embankment - 5-10 gal/CY
- For base and surface courses - 35-44 gal/CY or 20-25 gal/ton.

Cost to Develop Water Supply
Watering cost includes installing either a pump or gravity system to fill the tanker, filling time, and haul.
Estimator is cautioned that designs including this section as a separate pay item require additional inspection and control by FS contract administration personnel during construction-coordinate with them when costing for this item.

- Estimating development of water source. If any other work or factor is required such as digging a basin or inspections for biological control, constructing a large check dam or constructing a spur road, compute these costs by using time and equipment methods.
- Haul Costs (Includes truck and driver time). Calculate haul costs from the source to the center of project using Table 13. Fixed Haul Cost (\$/M Gallon and \$/Ton) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana or Table 14. Variable Haul Cost ( $\$ / \mathrm{M}$ Gallons-Mile and $\$ /$ Ton-Mile) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana (refer to HAUL in Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT for more information related to fixed and variable haul.). Center of project is the center of embankment mass for excavation and linear center of project for base and surfacing.

Table 13. Fixed Haul Cost (\$/M Gallon and \$/Ton) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana

$\left.$| Truck Type | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{\$ / M}$ <br> Gallon |  | Idaho $\mathbf{\$ / T o n}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / M}$ <br> Gallon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Montana |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{\$ / T o n}$ | \right\rvert\,

Table 14. Variable Haul Cost (\$/M Gallons-Mile and \$/Ton-Mile) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana

| $\mathbf{m p h}$ | Idaho <br> \$/MGallons-Mile | Idaho <br> \$/Ton-Mile | Montana <br> \$/MGallons-Mile | Montana <br> \$/Ton-Mile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\$ 6.51$ | $\$ 1.56$ | $\$ 6.81$ | $\$ 1.63$ |


| $\mathbf{m p h}$ | Idaho <br> \$/MGallons-Mile | Idaho <br> \$/Ton-Mile | Montana <br> \$/MGallons-Mile | Montana <br> \$/Ton-Mile |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | $\$ 4.34$ | $\$ 1.04$ | $\$ 4.54$ | $\$ 1.09$ |
| 20 | $\$ 3.25$ | $\$ 0.78$ | $\$ 3.41$ | $\$ 0.82$ |
| 25 | $\$ 2.60$ | $\$ 0.62$ | $\$ 2.73$ | $\$ 0.65$ |
| 30 | $\$ 2.17$ | $\$ 0.52$ | $\$ 2.27$ | $\$ 0.54$ |
| 40 | $\$ 1.63$ | $\$ 0.39$ | $\$ 1.70$ | $\$ 0.41$ |
| 50 | 41.30 | $\$ 0.31$ | $\$ 1.36$ | $\$ 0.33$ |

The rates for ton-mile has been figured using 240 gallons/ton.

## PART IV. DIVISION 200 EARTHWORK

SECTION 201. - CLEARING AND GRUBBING
(Labor 20-55 percent)
Note that timber volume units have changed from previous Editions of Cost Estimating Guide for Road Construction.

Timber volumes are expressed in CCF (100 cubic feet) since timber volumes in the Northern Region are usually expressed in CCF or Tons. Approximate conversion is 1 CCF $=0.5 \mathrm{MBF}$.

There are too many variables reflected in the bids to use them solely as a basis for costs. Therefore costs provided in this Section are given as a starting point for an "average" new construction project. The designer will need to consider the uniqueness of the project and estimate accordingly. Also consider the amount of vacant (no clearing) area in relation to the acreage being cleared. The factors used are based on the use of the hydraulic excavator for clearing/pioneering. Clearing may need to be adjusted to meet total mechanical clearing.

## Clearing Classification

There are two methods of classification.

1. Classification by volume per acre of timber within clearing limits
2. Classification by Stand Description

## Classification by volume per acre of timber within clearing limits

The classification of clearing by volume per acre are shown on Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, and Figure 4. It is essential that timber volumes be estimated within accuracy standards. Estimators should request gross volume figures for estimating use.

Clearing cost estimates should compensate for down material as well as that which is standing. In some cases the down volume is insignificant while in others it may be more difficult to handle than standing volume. Therefore, an adjustment factor for down material of 0.0 to 1.2 is appropriate.

Example:
Gross volume from timber cruise $=24$ CCF/Acre
Gross down volume = 12 CCF/Acre
Factor for down material $=0.5$
Volume for classification: $24 C C F /$ Acre $+(12$ CCF/Acre $\times 0.5$ ) $=30$ CCF/Acre

## Base Cost

Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 provide the base \$/Acre. Estimate includes a hydraulic excavator for clearing/pioneering. Clearing may need to be adjusted to meet total mechanical clearing. Slash Treatment factor was based on windrow.

Figures 1 and 2 differ from 3 and 4 by allowances for logging the Right-of-way timber. Logging cost for right-of-way timber on timber sales is included as a logging cost in timber sale appraisals. Therefore the
only logging cost included in the Timber to Timber Sale Purchaser (Figure 1 and 2) is an allowance for the decking of the right-of-way timber.


Figure 1. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate - Idaho R/W Timber to Timber Sale Purchaser (\$/Acre)

| Right of <br> Way <br> Volume (CCF/Acre) | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$/Acre | \$2,190 | \$2,240 | \$2,290 | \$2,340 | \$2,390 | \$2,440 | \$2,490 | \$2,540 | \$2,590 | \$2,640 | \$2,690 |

Montana Timber to Timber Sale Purchaser


Figure 2. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate - Montana R/W Timber to Timber Sale Purchaser (\$/Acre)

| Right of <br> Way <br> Volume <br> (CCF/Acre) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Idaho Timber to Government or Cooperator


Figure 3. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate-Idaho R/W Timber to Government or Cooperator (\$/Acre)

| Right of Way <br> Volume <br> (CCF/Acre) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Montana Timber to Government or Cooperator


Figure 4. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate - Montana R/W Timber to Government or Cooperator (\$/Acre)

| Right of <br> Way <br> Volume <br> (CCF/Acre) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Classification by Stand Description

Clearing classification by stand description is based on a uniform mixture of large and small trees. The classification can be based on the stem spacing and average diameter as shown on Figure 5. Additional items to be considered are the amount and size of down material and the size of stumps and limbs.


Figure 5. Equivalent Volume Using Average Diameters and Stem Spacing

## Description of Classification

EXTRA LIGHT: Few tops and limbs. Few, if any, cull logs. Low scattered brush. Little or no falling or yarding of unmerchantable timber required.

LIGHT: Light to moderate amount of tops and limbs. Few cull logs. Light brush. Little to moderate falling or skidding of unmerchantable required.

MEDIUM: Light to moderate amount of cull logs. Many tops and limbs. Tall brush or dense unmerchantable trees requiring falling. Some unmerchantable material requiring skidding.

HEAVY: Many tops and limbs from dense stand of unmerchantable timber. Tall, heavy brush or dense unmerchantable pole stand requiring falling and bucking numerous cull logs. Yarding of unmerchantable necessary.

EXTRA HEAVY: Much cull material requiring falling. Many large, downed cull trees. Area may be swampy or wet. Closely spaced extra-large stumps. Thick duff and other organic material.

## Base Cost

Refer to Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 for base \$/Acre.

## Topographic Factor

The cost-per-acre figures should be adjusted by the following topographic factors:

Table 15. Adjustment Factor for Percent Ground Slope

| Ground Slope | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gentle (under 20 percent) | 1.1 |
| Moderate (20 to 45 percent) | 1.0 |
| Steep (over 45 percent) | $1.1-1.3$ |

Areas that require more than one pioneer or a long boom machine due to high cut/fill - Factor $=1.4-2.0$

## Slash Treatment Factor (Disposal of Clearing and Grubbing Debris)

Clearing estimates must be based on the lowest cost treatment option allowable to the contractor. Clearing and grubbing must also be adjusted by the slash cleanup factor if the required treatment method is other than windrowing. Care must be exercised in applying this factor. For example, "scattering" in steep terrain or in areas of dense undergrowth can result in significantly higher costs than windrowing. The adjustment factor 1.15 for scattering would apply for average side slopes and open understory. If stumps are to be split or partially buried, the factor used for them may need to be adjusted.

Table 16. Adjustment Factor for Slash Treatment Method

| Slash Treatment Method | Factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| (a) Remove from Project | Estimate by Time and Equipment |
| (b) Burn | $1.5-2.0$ |
| (c) Bury | $1.5-2.0$ |
| (e) Windrow | $1.0-1.35$ |
| (f) Scattering | $1.15-1.35$ |
| (g) Chipping | $1.75-2.0$ |
| (h) Debris Mat | Estimate by Time and Equipment |
| (i) Decking | 1.1 |
| (j) Remove to Designated Location | 2 |
| (k) Piling | 1.35 |

Different treatment methods may be specified for Tops and Limbs, Logs, and Stumps. When this occurs, the following table should be used to prorate costs based on the treatment method specified for each type of slash. Adjustments can be made to meet local project conditions.

Table 17. Adjustment Factor for Slash Treatment of Tops \& Limbs, Logs, and Stumps

| Description | Tops \& Limbs | Logs | Stumps |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Typical | $40 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| If Burn is designated for Stumps: | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $50 \%$ |

Example - Slash Treatment of tops \& limbs, logs, and stumps
Given: Slash Treatment Methods for Tops and Limbs = Burn; Logs = Windrow; Stumps = Scatter Solution:

Adjustment Factor for Slash Treatment
$=(\%$ Tops $\&$ Limb x Method factor $)+(\%$ Logs x Method factor $)$
$+(\%$ Stumps $x$ Mehtod factor $)$

$$
(0.40 \times 1.6)+(0.35 \times 1.0)+(0.25 \times 1.15)=1.28
$$

## Other Factors:

Additional areas and/or strips may need to be cleared, but not grubbed, for burning bays, decking areas, and for windrowing right-of-way slash in dense lodge pole pine stands. The cost allowances for these situations should consider the treatment of tops and limbs, and logs, but not stumps. The factors for each of these is shown above. Clearing costs associated with campgrounds will normally be higher.

Example - Clearing and Grubbing Cost:

## Given:

Idaho, Kootenai County, labor 40\%
Timber Volume: standing volume 24 CCF/acre, down volume 12 CCF/acre; $24+12 / 2=30$ CCF/acre
Slope 15\%. Factor = 1.1
Slash Treatment per previous example. Factor $=1.28$
Adjustment factor from Table 1. Location Adjustment Factor for Public Works Davis-Bacon Zones=1.00 Adjustment factor from Table 3. Adjustment Factor for Construction Wage Rate Differentials $=1.05$

## Solution:

Engineers Estimate (Public Works) -
Base Rate is from Figure 3. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate-Idaho R/W Timber to Government or Cooperator (\$/Acre)

Clearing Cost/Acre = Base Rate x Slope Factor x Slash Disposal x DB Factor

$$
(\$ 2,970 \times 1.1 \times 1.28 \times 1.00=\$ 4,181.76 / \text { Acre }
$$

Timber Sale -
Base Rate is from Figure 1. Clearing and Grubbing Base Rate - Idaho R/W Timber to Timber Sale Purchaser (\$/Acre)

Clearing Cost/Acre $=($ Base Rate x Slope Factor x Slash Disposal x DB Factor $) / W R$ Factor
$(\$ 2,340 \times 1.1 \times 1.28 \times 1.00) / 1.05=\$ 3137.83 /$ Acre

## Clearing and grubbing cost per mile

Clearing and grubbing may be measured by the mile and is routinely associated with Section 212. LINEAR GRADING.

## Assumptions used for Clearing and Grubbing Tables

- Clearing limits are from the top of cut plus 5 feet and to toe of fill plus 5 feet. The minimum width is 22 feet.
- There are different tables for cut slopes of $3 / 4: 1$ and cut slopes of $1: 1$. All fill slopes are $112: 1$.
- There is a cost allowance for the logging of the R/W timber on Timber Sales in the timber sale appraisal, therefore they should not be included in the Specified Road Costs. The logging cost of R/W timber as a road cost factor is appropriate for Engineer's Estimates (Public Works projects). Therefore there are differing values depending upon the disposition of the R/W timber.
- The columns for Specified Road Costs allow for the extra cost for decking the R/W timber. This is an additional cost for unit timber.
- The columns for Engineers Estimates include an allowance for the logging and decking of the R/W timber by the contractor to be sold by the Forest Service. See Section 201. - CLEARING AND GRUBBING for topographic factors


## Estimating Procedure - Clearing and Grubbing \$/mile associated with New Construction

To determine costs, use the procedure outlined below. Be sure to use the correct table for the appropriate road back slope, and road template.

Step 1 Determine base Clearing and Grubbing Costs in dollars per mile by entering known values for side slopes and right-of-way volume per acre.

Step 1a Adjust the clearing cost by multiplying the base clearing and grubbing cost by the slash disposal adjustment and the widening factors.

- For Slash Disposal refer to Section 201. - CLEARING AND GRUBBING
- Table 16. Adjustment Factor for Slash Treatment Method and
- Table 17. Adjustment Factor for Slash Treatment of Tops \& Limbs, Logs, and Stumps.
- For additional clearing width due to widening apply the factors show in Table 18. Adjustment Factor for Additional Clearing Features

Table 18. Adjustment Factor for Additional Clearing Features

| Method | Factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| No additional widening | 1.0 |
| Slough widening, turnouts, curve widening, turnarounds | 1.2 |

Step 2 Add allowance for Individual Removal of Trees refer to section in Section 202. - ADDITIONAL CLEARING AND GRUBBING. Include this in the Engineer's Estimate but not in the Specified Road Cost if the trees are merchantable.

Example
Given: 14 FT w/o ditch, 3/3:1 back slope, self-balanced sections, no through fills or free haul.
Length: 1.7 miles
Average side slope: 30 percent
R/W timber classification: Light-Med 30 CCF/Acre
Windrow construction slash
Hazard Trees: 12 (Total), all merchantable
Turnouts every 1,000 feet
Normal curve widening
One turnaround per mile
Four drainage dips.
Find: $\quad \$ /$ Mile Location: Montana Zone 2
Step 1 Base Clearing Cost from Table 20. Montana Clearing \& Grubbing \$/Mile with Back Slope 3/4:1

Engineers Estimate: \$10,740 /Mile
Specified Road Cost: \$10,110 /Mile
Step 1a Adjustment Factors

- Slash Disposal Factor $=1.0$
- Widening Factor $=1.2$

Engineers Estimate: $\$ 10,740 /$ Mile $\times 1.0 \times 1.2=\$ 12,888 /$ Mile
Specified Road Cost: \$10,110/Mile x $1.0 \times 1.2=\$ 12,132 /$ Mile
Step 2 Allowance for Individual Removal of Trees \$30/tree.
Engineers Estimate: $(12$ trees $x \$ 30 /$ tree $) \div 1.7$ Miles $=\$ 212 /$ Mile
Specified Road Cost: \$0/Mile (Cost allowance is in the Timber Sale Appraisal)
Step 3 Total Unit Cost
Engineers Estimate: $\$ 12,888+\$ 212=\$ 13,100 /$ Mile
Specified Road Cost: $\$ 12,132+\$ 0=\$ 12,132 /$ Mile
Step 4 Apply location and wage adjustments (labor 50\%)
Engineer's Estimate: $\$ 13,100 \times 0.99=\$ 12,969$ \$/Mile
Specified Road Cost: $\$ 12,132 \times 0.99 \div 1.22=\$ 9,845 \$ /$ Mile

Table 19. Idaho Clearing \& Grubbing \$/Mile with Back Slope 3/4:1

| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/ Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 14 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | \$5,860 | \$6,380 | \$6,910 | \$5,860 | \$6,380 | \$6,910 |
| 10 | 0 | \$6,380 | \$6,910 | \$7,720 | \$6,380 | \$6,910 | \$7,720 |
| 20 | 0 | \$7,170 | \$7,720 | \$8,250 | \$7,170 | \$7,720 | \$8,250 |
| 30 | 0 | \$8,250 | \$8,770 | \$9,560 | \$8,250 | \$8,770 | \$9,560 |
| 40 | 0 | \$9,830 | \$10,380 | \$11,430 | \$9,830 | \$10,380 | \$11,430 |
| 50 | 0 | \$12,240 | \$13,560 | \$13,820 | \$12,240 | \$13,560 | \$13,820 |
| 0 | 10 | \$5,910 | \$6,430 | \$6,960 | \$6,120 | \$6,640 | \$7,170 |
| 10 | 10 | \$6,430 | \$6,960 | \$7,770 | \$6,640 | \$7,170 | \$7,980 |
| 20 | 10 | \$7,220 | \$7,770 | \$8,300 | \$7,430 | \$7,980 | \$8,510 |
| 30 | 10 | \$8,300 | \$8,820 | \$9,610 | \$8,510 | \$9,030 | \$9,820 |
| 40 | 10 | \$9,880 | \$10,430 | \$11,480 | \$10,090 | \$10,640 | \$11,690 |
| 50 | 10 | \$12,290 | \$13,610 | \$13,870 | \$12,500 | \$13,820 | \$14,080 |
| 0 | 20 | \$5,960 | \$6,480 | \$7,010 | \$6,380 | \$6,900 | \$7,430 |
| 10 | 20 | \$6,480 | \$7,010 | \$7,820 | \$6,900 | \$7,430 | \$8,240 |
| 20 | 20 | \$7,270 | \$7,820 | \$8,350 | \$7,690 | \$8,240 | \$8,770 |
| 30 | 20 | \$8,350 | \$8,870 | \$9,660 | \$8,770 | \$9,290 | \$10,080 |
| 40 | 20 | \$9,930 | \$10,480 | \$11,530 | \$10,350 | \$10,900 | \$11,950 |
| 50 | 20 | \$12,340 | \$13,660 | \$13,920 | \$12,760 | \$14,080 | \$14,340 |
| 0 | 30 | \$6,010 | \$6,530 | \$7,060 | \$6,640 | \$7,160 | \$7,690 |
| 10 | 30 | \$6,530 | \$7,060 | \$7,870 | \$7,160 | \$7,690 | \$8,500 |
| 20 | 30 | \$7,320 | \$7,870 | \$8,400 | \$7,950 | \$8,500 | \$9,030 |
| 30 | 30 | \$8,400 | \$8,920 | \$9,710 | \$9,030 | \$9,550 | \$10,340 |
| 40 | 30 | \$9,980 | \$10,530 | \$11,580 | \$10,610 | \$11,160 | \$12,210 |
| 50 | 30 | \$12,390 | \$13,710 | \$13,970 | \$13,020 | \$14,340 | \$14,600 |
| 0 | 40 | \$6,060 | \$6,580 | \$7,110 | \$6,900 | \$7,420 | \$7,950 |
| 10 | 40 | \$6,580 | \$7,110 | \$7,920 | \$7,420 | \$7,950 | \$8,760 |
| 20 | 40 | \$7,370 | \$7,920 | \$8,450 | \$8,210 | \$8,760 | \$9,290 |
| 30 | 40 | \$8,450 | \$8,970 | \$9,760 | \$9,290 | \$9,810 | \$10,600 |
| 40 | 40 | \$10,030 | \$10,580 | \$11,630 | \$10,870 | \$11,420 | \$12,470 |
| 50 | 40 | \$12,440 | \$13,760 | \$14,020 | \$13,280 | \$14,600 | \$14,860 |
| 0 | 50 | \$6,110 | \$6,630 | \$7,160 | \$7,160 | \$7,680 | \$8,210 |
| 10 | 50 | \$6,630 | \$7,160 | \$7,970 | \$7,680 | \$8,210 | \$9,020 |
| 20 | 50 | \$7,420 | \$7,970 | \$8,500 | \$8,470 | \$9,020 | \$9,550 |
| 30 | 50 | \$8,500 | \$9,020 | \$9,810 | \$9,550 | \$10,070 | \$10,860 |
| 40 | 50 | \$10,080 | \$10,630 | \$11,680 | \$11,130 | \$11,680 | \$12,730 |
| 50 | 50 | \$12,490 | \$13,810 | \$14,070 | \$13,540 | \$14,860 | \$15,120 |
| 0 | 60 | \$6,160 | \$6,680 | \$7,210 | \$7,420 | \$7,940 | \$8,470 |
| 10 | 60 | \$6,680 | \$7,210 | \$8,020 | \$7,940 | \$8,470 | \$9,280 |
| 20 | 60 | \$7,470 | \$8,020 | \$8,550 | \$8,730 | \$9,280 | \$9,810 |
| 30 | 60 | \$8,550 | \$9,070 | \$9,860 | \$9,810 | \$10,330 | \$11,120 |
| 40 | 60 | \$10,130 | \$10,680 | \$11,730 | \$11,390 | \$11,940 | \$12,990 |


| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre <br> (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 60 | $\$ 12,540$ | $\$ 13,860$ | $\$ 14,120$ | $\$ 13,800$ | $\$ 15,120$ | $\$ 15,380$ |
| 0 | 70 | $\$ 6,210$ | $\$ 6,730$ | $\$ 7,260$ | $\$ 7,680$ | $\$ 8,200$ | $\$ 8,730$ |
| 10 | 70 | $\$ 6,730$ | $\$ 7,260$ | $\$ 8,070$ | $\$ 8,200$ | $\$ 8,730$ | $\$ 9,540$ |
| 20 | 70 | $\$ 7,520$ | $\$ 8,070$ | $\$ 8,600$ | $\$ 8,990$ | $\$ 9,540$ | $\$ 10,070$ |
| 30 | 70 | $\$ 8,600$ | $\$ 9,120$ | $\$ 9,910$ | $\$ 10,070$ | $\$ 10,590$ | $\$ 11,380$ |
| 40 | 70 | $\$ 10,180$ | $\$ 10,730$ | $\$ 11,780$ | $\$ 11,650$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 13,250$ |
| 50 | 70 | $\$ 12,590$ | $\$ 13,910$ | $\$ 14,170$ | $\$ 14,060$ | $\$ 15,380$ | $\$ 15,640$ |
| 0 | 80 | $\$ 6,260$ | $\$ 6,780$ | $\$ 7,310$ | $\$ 7,940$ | $\$ 8,460$ | $\$ 8,990$ |
| 10 | 80 | $\$ 6,780$ | $\$ 7,310$ | $\$ 8,120$ | $\$ 8,460$ | $\$ 8,990$ | $\$ 9,800$ |
| 20 | 80 | $\$ 7,570$ | $\$ 8,120$ | $\$ 8,650$ | $\$ 9,250$ | $\$ 9,800$ | $\$ 10,330$ |
| 30 | 80 | $\$ 8,650$ | $\$ 9,170$ | $\$ 9,960$ | $\$ 10,330$ | $\$ 10,850$ | $\$ 11,640$ |
| 40 | 80 | $\$ 10,230$ | $\$ 10,780$ | $\$ 11,830$ | $\$ 11,910$ | $\$ 12,460$ | $\$ 13,510$ |
| 50 | 80 | $\$ 12,640$ | $\$ 13,960$ | $\$ 14,220$ | $\$ 14,320$ | $\$ 15,640$ | $\$ 15,900$ |
| 0 | 90 | $\$ 6,310$ | $\$ 6,830$ | $\$ 7,360$ | $\$ 8,200$ | $\$ 8,720$ | $\$ 9,250$ |
| 10 | 90 | $\$ 6,830$ | $\$ 7,360$ | $\$ 8,170$ | $\$ 8,720$ | $\$ 9,250$ | $\$ 10,060$ |
| 20 | 90 | $\$ 7,620$ | $\$ 8,170$ | $\$ 8,700$ | $\$ 9,510$ | $\$ 10,060$ | $\$ 10,590$ |
| 30 | 90 | $\$ 8,700$ | $\$ 9,220$ | $\$ 10,010$ | $\$ 10,590$ | $\$ 11,110$ | $\$ 11,900$ |
| 40 | 90 | $\$ 10,280$ | $\$ 10,830$ | $\$ 11,880$ | $\$ 12,170$ | $\$ 12,720$ | $\$ 13,770$ |
| 50 | 90 | $\$ 12,690$ | $\$ 14,010$ | $\$ 14,270$ | $\$ 14,580$ | $\$ 15,900$ | $\$ 16,160$ |
| 0 | 100 | $\$ 6,360$ | $\$ 6,880$ | $\$ 7,410$ | $\$ 8,460$ | $\$ 8,980$ | $\$ 9,510$ |
| 10 | 100 | $\$ 6,880$ | $\$ 7,410$ | $\$ 8,220$ | $\$ 8,980$ | $\$ 9,510$ | $\$ 10,320$ |
| 20 | 100 | $\$ 7,670$ | $\$ 8,220$ | $\$ 8,750$ | $\$ 9,770$ | $\$ 10,320$ | $\$ 10,850$ |
| 30 | 100 | $\$ 8,750$ | $\$ 9,270$ | $\$ 10,060$ | $\$ 10,850$ | $\$ 11,370$ | $\$ 12,160$ |
| 40 | 100 | $\$ 10,330$ | $\$ 10,880$ | $\$ 11,930$ | $\$ 12,430$ | $\$ 12,980$ | $\$ 14,030$ |
| 50 | 100 | $\$ 12,740$ | $\$ 14,060$ | $\$ 14,320$ | $\$ 14,840$ | $\$ 16,160$ | $\$ 16,420$ |

Table 20. Montana Clearing \& Grubbing \$/Mile with Back Slope 3/4:1

| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Engineers Estimate 14 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | \$6,650 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$6,650 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 |
| 10 | 0 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$8,760 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$8,760 |
| 20 | 0 | \$8,140 | \$8,760 | \$9,360 | \$8,140 | \$8,760 | \$9,360 |
| 30 | 0 | \$9,360 | \$9,960 | \$10,850 | \$9,360 | \$9,960 | \$10,850 |
| 40 | 0 | \$11,150 | \$11,770 | \$12,970 | \$11,150 | \$11,770 | \$12,970 |
| 50 | 0 | \$13,890 | \$15,380 | \$15,680 | \$13,890 | \$15,380 | \$15,680 |
| 0 | 10 | \$6,700 | \$7,290 | \$7,890 | \$6,910 | \$7,500 | \$8,100 |
| 10 | 10 | \$7,290 | \$7,890 | \$8,810 | \$7,500 | \$8,100 | \$9,020 |
| 20 | 10 | \$8,190 | \$8,810 | \$9,410 | \$8,400 | \$9,020 | \$9,620 |
| 30 | 10 | \$9,410 | \$10,010 | \$10,900 | \$9,620 | \$10,220 | \$11,110 |
| 40 | 10 | \$11,200 | \$11,820 | \$13,020 | \$11,410 | \$12,030 | \$13,230 |


| Side Slope (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate <br> 14 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 10 | \$13,940 | \$15,430 | \$15,730 | \$14,150 | \$15,640 | \$15,940 |
| 0 | 20 | \$6,750 | \$7,340 | \$7,940 | \$7,170 | \$7,760 | \$8,360 |
| 10 | 20 | \$7,340 | \$7,940 | \$8,860 | \$7,760 | \$8,360 | \$9,280 |
| 20 | 20 | \$8,240 | \$8,860 | \$9,460 | \$8,660 | \$9,280 | \$9,880 |
| 30 | 20 | \$9,460 | \$10,060 | \$10,950 | \$9,880 | \$10,480 | \$11,370 |
| 40 | 20 | \$11,250 | \$11,870 | \$13,070 | \$11,670 | \$12,290 | \$13,490 |
| 50 | 20 | \$13,990 | \$15,480 | \$15,780 | \$14,410 | \$15,900 | \$16,200 |
| 0 | 30 | \$6,800 | \$7,390 | \$7,990 | \$7,430 | \$8,020 | \$8,620 |
| 10 | 30 | \$7,390 | \$7,990 | \$8,910 | \$8,020 | \$8,620 | \$9,540 |
| 20 | 30 | \$8,290 | \$8,910 | \$9,510 | \$8,920 | \$9,540 | \$10,140 |
| 30 | 30 | \$9,510 | \$10,110 | \$11,000 | \$10,140 | \$10,740 | \$11,630 |
| 40 | 30 | \$11,300 | \$11,920 | \$13,120 | \$11,930 | \$12,550 | \$13,750 |
| 50 | 30 | \$14,040 | \$15,530 | \$15,830 | \$14,670 | \$16,160 | \$16,460 |
| 0 | 40 | \$6,850 | \$7,440 | \$8,040 | \$7,690 | \$8,280 | \$8,880 |
| 10 | 40 | \$7,440 | \$8,040 | \$8,960 | \$8,280 | \$8,880 | \$9,800 |
| 20 | 40 | \$8,340 | \$8,960 | \$9,560 | \$9,180 | \$9,800 | \$10,400 |
| 30 | 40 | \$9,560 | \$10,160 | \$11,050 | \$10,400 | \$11,000 | \$11,890 |
| 40 | 40 | \$11,350 | \$11,970 | \$13,170 | \$12,190 | \$12,810 | \$14,010 |
| 50 | 40 | \$14,090 | \$15,580 | \$15,880 | \$14,930 | \$16,420 | \$16,720 |
| 0 | 50 | \$6,900 | \$7,490 | \$8,090 | \$7,950 | \$8,540 | \$9,140 |
| 10 | 50 | \$7,490 | \$8,090 | \$9,010 | \$8,540 | \$9,140 | \$10,060 |
| 20 | 50 | \$8,390 | \$9,010 | \$9,610 | \$9,440 | \$10,060 | \$10,660 |
| 30 | 50 | \$9,610 | \$10,210 | \$11,100 | \$10,660 | \$11,260 | \$12,150 |
| 40 | 50 | \$11,400 | \$12,020 | \$13,220 | \$12,450 | \$13,070 | \$14,270 |
| 50 | 50 | \$14,140 | \$15,630 | \$15,930 | \$15,190 | \$16,680 | \$16,980 |
| 0 | 60 | \$6,950 | \$7,540 | \$8,140 | \$8,210 | \$8,800 | \$9,400 |
| 10 | 60 | \$7,540 | \$8,140 | \$9,060 | \$8,800 | \$9,400 | \$10,320 |
| 20 | 60 | \$8,440 | \$9,060 | \$9,660 | \$9,700 | \$10,320 | \$10,920 |
| 30 | 60 | \$9,660 | \$10,260 | \$11,150 | \$10,920 | \$11,520 | \$12,410 |
| 40 | 60 | \$11,450 | \$12,070 | \$13,270 | \$12,710 | \$13,330 | \$14,530 |
| 50 | 60 | \$14,190 | \$15,680 | \$15,980 | \$15,450 | \$16,940 | \$17,240 |
| 0 | 70 | \$7,000 | \$7,590 | \$8,190 | \$8,470 | \$9,060 | \$9,660 |
| 10 | 70 | \$7,590 | \$8,190 | \$9,110 | \$9,060 | \$9,660 | \$10,580 |
| 20 | 70 | \$8,490 | \$9,110 | \$9,710 | \$9,960 | \$10,580 | \$11,180 |
| 30 | 70 | \$9,710 | \$10,310 | \$11,200 | \$11,180 | \$11,780 | \$12,670 |
| 40 | 70 | \$11,500 | \$12,120 | \$13,320 | \$12,970 | \$13,590 | \$14,790 |
| 50 | 70 | \$14,240 | \$15,730 | \$16,030 | \$15,710 | \$17,200 | \$17,500 |
| 0 | 80 | \$7,050 | \$7,640 | \$8,240 | \$8,730 | \$9,320 | \$9,920 |
| 10 | 80 | \$7,640 | \$8,240 | \$9,160 | \$9,320 | \$9,920 | \$10,840 |
| 20 | 80 | \$8,540 | \$9,160 | \$9,760 | \$10,220 | \$10,840 | \$11,440 |
| 30 | 80 | \$9,760 | \$10,360 | \$11,250 | \$11,440 | \$12,040 | \$12,930 |
| 40 | 80 | \$11,550 | \$12,170 | \$13,370 | \$13,230 | \$13,850 | \$15,050 |


| Side Slope <br> $(\%)$ | Vol/Acre <br> (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 14 $\mathbf{~ F T} \mathbf{w / o}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~} /$ <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 80 | $\$ 14,290$ | $\$ 15,780$ | $\$ 16,080$ | $\$ 15,970$ | $\$ 17,460$ | $\$ 17,760$ |
| 0 | 90 | $\$ 7,100$ | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,290$ | $\$ 8,990$ | $\$ 9,580$ | $\$ 10,180$ |
| 10 | 90 | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,290$ | $\$ 9,210$ | $\$ 9,580$ | $\$ 10,180$ | $\$ 11,100$ |
| 20 | 90 | $\$ 8,590$ | $\$ 9,210$ | $\$ 9,810$ | $\$ 10,480$ | $\$ 11,100$ | $\$ 11,700$ |
| 30 | 90 | $\$ 9,810$ | $\$ 10,410$ | $\$ 11,300$ | $\$ 11,700$ | $\$ 12,300$ | $\$ 13,190$ |
| 40 | 90 | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 12,220$ | $\$ 13,420$ | $\$ 13,490$ | $\$ 14,110$ | $\$ 15,310$ |
| 50 | 90 | $\$ 14,340$ | $\$ 15,830$ | $\$ 16,130$ | $\$ 16,230$ | $\$ 17,720$ | $\$ 18,020$ |
| 0 | 100 | $\$ 7,150$ | $\$ 7,740$ | $\$ 8,340$ | $\$ 9,250$ | $\$ 9,840$ | $\$ 10,440$ |
| 10 | 100 | $\$ 7,740$ | $\$ 8,340$ | $\$ 9,260$ | $\$ 9,840$ | $\$ 10,440$ | $\$ 11,360$ |
| 20 | 100 | $\$ 8,640$ | $\$ 9,260$ | $\$ 9,860$ | $\$ 10,740$ | $\$ 11,360$ | $\$ 11,960$ |
| 30 | 100 | $\$ 9,860$ | $\$ 10,460$ | $\$ 11,350$ | $\$ 11,960$ | $\$ 12,560$ | $\$ 13,450$ |
| 40 | 100 | $\$ 11,650$ | $\$ 12,270$ | $\$ 13,470$ | $\$ 13,750$ | $\$ 14,370$ | $\$ 15,570$ |
| 50 | 100 | $\$ 14,390$ | $\$ 15,880$ | $\$ 16,180$ | $\$ 16,490$ | $\$ 17,980$ | $\$ 18,280$ |

Table 21. Idaho Clearing and Grubbing Cost per Mile with Back Slope 1:1

| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre <br> (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~}$ <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | $\$ 5,860$ | $\$ 6,380$ | $\$ 6,910$ | $\$ 5,860$ | $\$ 6,380$ | $\$ 6,910$ |
| 10 | 0 | $\$ 6,380$ | $\$ 6,910$ | $\$ 7,720$ | $\$ 6,380$ | $\$ 6,910$ | $\$ 7,720$ |
| 20 | 0 | $\$ 7,170$ | $\$ 7,980$ | $\$ 8,510$ | $\$ 7,170$ | $\$ 7,980$ | $\$ 8,510$ |
| 30 | 0 | $\$ 8,510$ | $\$ 9,040$ | $\$ 9,830$ | $\$ 8,510$ | $\$ 9,040$ | $\$ 9,830$ |
| 40 | 0 | $\$ 10,110$ | $\$ 11,170$ | $\$ 11,960$ | $\$ 10,110$ | $\$ 11,170$ | $\$ 11,960$ |
| 50 | 0 | $\$ 13,290$ | $\$ 14,630$ | $\$ 15,420$ | $\$ 13,290$ | $\$ 14,630$ | $\$ 15,420$ |
| 0 | 10 | $\$ 5,910$ | $\$ 6,430$ | $\$ 6,960$ | $\$ 6,120$ | $\$ 6,640$ | $\$ 7,170$ |
| 10 | 10 | $\$ 6,430$ | $\$ 6,960$ | $\$ 7,770$ | $\$ 6,640$ | $\$ 7,170$ | $\$ 7,980$ |
| 20 | 10 | $\$ 7,220$ | $\$ 8,030$ | $\$ 8,560$ | $\$ 7,430$ | $\$ 8,240$ | $\$ 8,770$ |
| 30 | 10 | $\$ 8,560$ | $\$ 9,090$ | $\$ 9,880$ | $\$ 8,770$ | $\$ 9,300$ | $\$ 10,090$ |
| 40 | 10 | $\$ 10,160$ | $\$ 11,220$ | $\$ 12,010$ | $\$ 10,370$ | $\$ 11,430$ | $\$ 12,220$ |
| 50 | 10 | $\$ 13,340$ | $\$ 14,680$ | $\$ 15,470$ | $\$ 13,550$ | $\$ 14,890$ | $\$ 15,680$ |
| 0 | 20 | $\$ 5,960$ | $\$ 6,480$ | $\$ 7,010$ | $\$ 6,380$ | $\$ 6,900$ | $\$ 7,430$ |
| 10 | 20 | $\$ 6,480$ | $\$ 7,010$ | $\$ 7,820$ | $\$ 6,900$ | $\$ 7,430$ | $\$ 8,240$ |
| 20 | 20 | $\$ 7,270$ | $\$ 8,080$ | $\$ 8,610$ | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,500$ | $\$ 9,030$ |
| 30 | 20 | $\$ 8,610$ | $\$ 9,140$ | $\$ 9,930$ | $\$ 9,030$ | $\$ 9,560$ | $\$ 10,350$ |
| 40 | 20 | $\$ 10,210$ | $\$ 11,270$ | $\$ 12,060$ | $\$ 10,630$ | $\$ 11,690$ | $\$ 12,480$ |
| 50 | 20 | $\$ 13,390$ | $\$ 14,730$ | $\$ 15,520$ | $\$ 13,810$ | $\$ 15,150$ | $\$ 15,940$ |
| 0 | 30 | $\$ 6,010$ | $\$ 6,530$ | $\$ 7,060$ | $\$ 6,640$ | $\$ 7,160$ | $\$ 7,690$ |
| 10 | 30 | $\$ 6,530$ | $\$ 7,060$ | $\$ 7,870$ | $\$ 7,160$ | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,500$ |
| 20 | 30 | $\$ 7,320$ | $\$ 8,130$ | $\$ 8,660$ | $\$ 7,950$ | $\$ 8,760$ | $\$ 9,290$ |
| 30 | 30 | $\$ 8,660$ | $\$ 9,190$ | $\$ 9,980$ | $\$ 9,290$ | $\$ 9,820$ | $\$ 10,610$ |
| 40 | 30 | $\$ 10,260$ | $\$ 11,320$ | $\$ 12,110$ | $\$ 10,890$ | $\$ 11,950$ | $\$ 12,740$ |


| Side Slope (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/ Ditch | Engineers Estimate <br> 12 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate <br> 14 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 30 | \$13,440 | \$14,780 | \$15,570 | \$14,070 | \$15,410 | \$16,200 |
| 0 | 40 | \$6,060 | \$6,580 | \$7,110 | \$6,900 | \$7,420 | \$7,950 |
| 10 | 40 | \$6,580 | \$7,110 | \$7,920 | \$7,420 | \$7,950 | \$8,760 |
| 20 | 40 | \$7,370 | \$8,180 | \$8,710 | \$8,210 | \$9,020 | \$9,550 |
| 30 | 40 | \$8,710 | \$9,240 | \$10,030 | \$9,550 | \$10,080 | \$10,870 |
| 40 | 40 | \$10,310 | \$11,370 | \$12,160 | \$11,150 | \$12,210 | \$13,000 |
| 50 | 40 | \$13,490 | \$14,830 | \$15,620 | \$14,330 | \$15,670 | \$16,460 |
| 0 | 50 | \$6,110 | \$6,630 | \$7,160 | \$7,160 | \$7,680 | \$8,210 |
| 10 | 50 | \$6,630 | \$7,160 | \$7,970 | \$7,680 | \$8,210 | \$9,020 |
| 20 | 50 | \$7,420 | \$8,230 | \$8,760 | \$8,470 | \$9,280 | \$9,810 |
| 30 | 50 | \$8,760 | \$9,290 | \$10,080 | \$9,810 | \$10,340 | \$11,130 |
| 40 | 50 | \$10,360 | \$11,420 | \$12,210 | \$11,410 | \$12,470 | \$13,260 |
| 50 | 50 | \$13,540 | \$14,880 | \$15,670 | \$14,590 | \$15,930 | \$16,720 |
| 0 | 60 | \$6,160 | \$6,680 | \$7,210 | \$7,420 | \$7,940 | \$8,470 |
| 10 | 60 | \$6,680 | \$7,210 | \$8,020 | \$7,940 | \$8,470 | \$9,280 |
| 20 | 60 | \$7,470 | \$8,280 | \$8,810 | \$8,730 | \$9,540 | \$10,070 |
| 30 | 60 | \$8,810 | \$9,340 | \$10,130 | \$10,070 | \$10,600 | \$11,390 |
| 40 | 60 | \$10,410 | \$11,470 | \$12,260 | \$11,670 | \$12,730 | \$13,520 |
| 50 | 60 | \$13,590 | \$14,930 | \$15,720 | \$14,850 | \$16,190 | \$16,980 |
| 0 | 70 | \$6,210 | \$6,730 | \$7,260 | \$7,680 | \$8,200 | \$8,730 |
| 10 | 70 | \$6,730 | \$7,260 | \$8,070 | \$8,200 | \$8,730 | \$9,540 |
| 20 | 70 | \$7,520 | \$8,330 | \$8,860 | \$8,990 | \$9,800 | \$10,330 |
| 30 | 70 | \$8,860 | \$9,390 | \$10,180 | \$10,330 | \$10,860 | \$11,650 |
| 40 | 70 | \$10,460 | \$11,520 | \$12,310 | \$11,930 | \$12,990 | \$13,780 |
| 50 | 70 | \$13,640 | \$14,980 | \$15,770 | \$15,110 | \$16,450 | \$17,240 |
| 0 | 80 | \$6,260 | \$6,780 | \$7,310 | \$7,940 | \$8,460 | \$8,990 |
| 10 | 80 | \$6,780 | \$7,310 | \$8,120 | \$8,460 | \$8,990 | \$9,800 |
| 20 | 80 | \$7,570 | \$8,380 | \$8,910 | \$9,250 | \$10,060 | \$10,590 |
| 30 | 80 | \$8,910 | \$9,440 | \$10,230 | \$10,590 | \$11,120 | \$11,910 |
| 40 | 80 | \$10,510 | \$11,570 | \$12,360 | \$12,190 | \$13,250 | \$14,040 |
| 50 | 80 | \$13,690 | \$15,030 | \$15,820 | \$15,370 | \$16,710 | \$17,500 |
| 0 | 90 | \$6,310 | \$6,830 | \$7,360 | \$8,200 | \$8,720 | \$9,250 |
| 10 | 90 | \$6,830 | \$7,360 | \$8,170 | \$8,720 | \$9,250 | \$10,060 |
| 20 | 90 | \$7,620 | \$8,430 | \$8,960 | \$9,510 | \$10,320 | \$10,850 |
| 30 | 90 | \$8,960 | \$9,490 | \$10,280 | \$10,850 | \$11,380 | \$12,170 |
| 40 | 90 | \$10,560 | \$11,620 | \$12,410 | \$12,450 | \$13,510 | \$14,300 |
| 50 | 90 | \$13,740 | \$15,080 | \$15,870 | \$15,630 | \$16,970 | \$17,760 |
| 0 | 100 | \$6,360 | \$6,880 | \$7,410 | \$8,460 | \$8,980 | \$9,510 |
| 10 | 100 | \$6,880 | \$7,410 | \$8,220 | \$8,980 | \$9,510 | \$10,320 |
| 20 | 100 | \$7,670 | \$8,480 | \$9,010 | \$9,770 | \$10,580 | \$11,110 |
| 30 | 100 | \$9,010 | \$9,540 | \$10,330 | \$11,110 | \$11,640 | \$12,430 |
| 40 | 100 | \$10,610 | \$11,670 | \$12,460 | \$12,710 | \$13,770 | \$14,560 |


| Side Slope (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified Road Cost 12 FT w/ Ditch | Engineers Estimate <br> 12 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 14 FT w/o Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 100 | \$13,790 | \$15,130 | \$15,920 | \$15,890 | \$17,230 | \$18,020 |

Table 22. Montana Clearing and Grubbing Cost per Mile with Back Slope 1:1

| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre (CCF) | Specified Road Cost <br> 12 FT w/o Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Specified Road Cost 12 FT w/ Ditch | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/o Ditch | $\begin{gathered} \text { Engineers } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ 14 \text { FT w/o } \\ \text { Ditch } \end{gathered}$ | Engineers Estimate 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | \$6,650 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$6,650 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 |
| 10 | 0 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$8,760 | \$7,240 | \$7,840 | \$8,760 |
| 20 | 0 | \$8,140 | \$9,060 | \$9,660 | \$8,140 | \$9,060 | \$9,660 |
| 30 | 0 | \$9,660 | \$10,250 | \$11,150 | \$9,660 | \$10,250 | \$11,150 |
| 40 | 0 | \$11,470 | \$12,670 | \$13,560 | \$11,470 | \$12,670 | \$13,560 |
| 50 | 0 | \$15,080 | \$16,600 | \$17,500 | \$15,080 | \$16,600 | \$17,500 |
| 0 | 10 | \$6,700 | \$7,290 | \$7,890 | \$6,910 | \$7,500 | \$8,100 |
| 10 | 10 | \$7,290 | \$7,890 | \$8,810 | \$7,500 | \$8,100 | \$9,020 |
| 20 | 10 | \$8,190 | \$8,810 | \$9,410 | \$8,400 | \$9,320 | \$9,920 |
| 30 | 10 | \$9,410 | \$10,010 | \$10,900 | \$9,920 | \$10,510 | \$11,410 |
| 40 | 10 | \$11,200 | \$11,820 | \$13,020 | \$11,730 | \$12,930 | \$13,820 |
| 50 | 10 | \$13,940 | \$15,430 | \$15,730 | \$15,340 | \$16,860 | \$17,760 |
| 0 | 20 | \$6,750 | \$7,340 | \$7,940 | \$7,170 | \$7,760 | \$8,360 |
| 10 | 20 | \$7,340 | \$7,940 | \$8,860 | \$7,760 | \$8,360 | \$9,280 |
| 20 | 20 | \$8,240 | \$8,860 | \$9,460 | \$8,660 | \$9,580 | \$10,180 |
| 30 | 20 | \$9,460 | \$10,060 | \$10,950 | \$10,180 | \$10,770 | \$11,670 |
| 40 | 20 | \$11,250 | \$11,870 | \$13,070 | \$11,990 | \$13,190 | \$14,080 |
| 50 | 20 | \$13,990 | \$15,480 | \$15,780 | \$15,600 | \$17,120 | \$18,020 |
| 0 | 30 | \$6,800 | \$7,390 | \$7,990 | \$7,430 | \$8,020 | \$8,620 |
| 10 | 30 | \$7,390 | \$7,990 | \$8,910 | \$8,020 | \$8,620 | \$9,540 |
| 20 | 30 | \$8,290 | \$8,910 | \$9,510 | \$8,920 | \$9,840 | \$10,440 |
| 30 | 30 | \$9,510 | \$10,110 | \$11,000 | \$10,440 | \$11,030 | \$11,930 |
| 40 | 30 | \$11,300 | \$11,920 | \$13,120 | \$12,250 | \$13,450 | \$14,340 |
| 50 | 30 | \$14,040 | \$15,530 | \$15,830 | \$15,860 | \$17,380 | \$18,280 |
| 0 | 40 | \$6,850 | \$7,440 | \$8,040 | \$7,690 | \$8,280 | \$8,880 |
| 10 | 40 | \$7,440 | \$8,040 | \$8,960 | \$8,280 | \$8,880 | \$9,800 |
| 20 | 40 | \$8,340 | \$8,960 | \$9,560 | \$9,180 | \$10,100 | \$10,700 |
| 30 | 40 | \$9,560 | \$10,160 | \$11,050 | \$10,700 | \$11,290 | \$12,190 |
| 40 | 40 | \$11,350 | \$11,970 | \$13,170 | \$12,510 | \$13,710 | \$14,600 |
| 50 | 40 | \$14,090 | \$15,580 | \$15,880 | \$16,120 | \$17,640 | \$18,540 |
| 0 | 50 | \$6,900 | \$7,490 | \$8,090 | \$7,950 | \$8,540 | \$9,140 |
| 10 | 50 | \$7,490 | \$8,090 | \$9,010 | \$8,540 | \$9,140 | \$10,060 |
| 20 | 50 | \$8,390 | \$9,010 | \$9,610 | \$9,440 | \$10,360 | \$10,960 |
| 30 | 50 | \$9,610 | \$10,210 | \$11,100 | \$10,960 | \$11,550 | \$12,450 |
| 40 | 50 | \$11,400 | \$12,020 | \$13,220 | \$12,770 | \$13,970 | \$14,860 |


| Side Slope <br> (\%) | Vol/Acre <br> (CCF) | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Specified <br> Road Cost <br> $\mathbf{1 2 ~ F T ~ w / ~}$ <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> 12 Fstimate <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Engineers <br> Estimate <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 50 | $\$ 14,140$ | $\$ 15,630$ | $\$ 15,930$ | $\$ 16,380$ | $\$ 17,900$ | $\$ 18,800$ |
| 0 | 60 | $\$ 6,950$ | $\$ 7,540$ | $\$ 8,140$ | $\$ 8,210$ | $\$ 8,800$ | $\$ 9,400$ |
| 10 | 60 | $\$ 7,540$ | $\$ 8,140$ | $\$ 9,060$ | $\$ 8,800$ | $\$ 9,400$ | $\$ 10,320$ |
| 20 | 60 | $\$ 8,440$ | $\$ 9,060$ | $\$ 9,660$ | $\$ 9,700$ | $\$ 10,620$ | $\$ 11,220$ |
| 30 | 60 | $\$ 9,660$ | $\$ 10,260$ | $\$ 11,150$ | $\$ 11,220$ | $\$ 11,810$ | $\$ 12,710$ |
| 40 | 60 | $\$ 11,450$ | $\$ 12,070$ | $\$ 13,270$ | $\$ 13,030$ | $\$ 14,230$ | $\$ 15,120$ |
| 50 | 60 | $\$ 14,190$ | $\$ 15,680$ | $\$ 15,980$ | $\$ 16,640$ | $\$ 18,160$ | $\$ 19,060$ |
| 0 | 70 | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$ 7,590$ | $\$ 8,190$ | $\$ 8,470$ | $\$ 9,060$ | $\$ 9,660$ |
| 10 | 70 | $\$ 7,590$ | $\$ 8,190$ | $\$ 9,110$ | $\$ 9,060$ | $\$ 9,660$ | $\$ 10,580$ |
| 20 | 70 | $\$ 8,490$ | $\$ 9,110$ | $\$ 9,710$ | $\$ 9,960$ | $\$ 10,880$ | $\$ 11,480$ |
| 30 | 70 | $\$ 9,710$ | $\$ 10,310$ | $\$ 11,200$ | $\$ 11,480$ | $\$ 12,070$ | $\$ 12,970$ |
| 40 | 70 | $\$ 11,500$ | $\$ 12,120$ | $\$ 13,320$ | $\$ 13,290$ | $\$ 14,490$ | $\$ 15,380$ |
| 50 | 70 | $\$ 14,240$ | $\$ 15,730$ | $\$ 16,030$ | $\$ 16,900$ | $\$ 18,420$ | $\$ 19,320$ |
| 0 | 80 | $\$ 7,050$ | $\$ 7,640$ | $\$ 8,240$ | $\$ 8,730$ | $\$ 9,320$ | $\$ 9,920$ |
| 10 | 80 | $\$ 7,640$ | $\$ 8,240$ | $\$ 9,160$ | $\$ 9,320$ | $\$ 9,920$ | $\$ 10,840$ |
| 20 | 80 | $\$ 8,540$ | $\$ 9,160$ | $\$ 9,760$ | $\$ 10,220$ | $\$ 11,140$ | $\$ 11,740$ |
| 30 | 80 | $\$ 9,760$ | $\$ 10,360$ | $\$ 11,250$ | $\$ 11,740$ | $\$ 12,330$ | $\$ 13,230$ |
| 40 | 80 | $\$ 11,550$ | $\$ 12,170$ | $\$ 13,370$ | $\$ 13,550$ | $\$ 14,750$ | $\$ 15,640$ |
| 50 | 80 | $\$ 14,290$ | $\$ 15,780$ | $\$ 16,080$ | $\$ 17,160$ | $\$ 18,680$ | $\$ 19,580$ |
| 0 | 90 | $\$ 7,100$ | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,290$ | $\$ 8,990$ | $\$ 9,580$ | $\$ 10,180$ |
| 10 | 90 | $\$ 7,690$ | $\$ 8,290$ | $\$ 9,210$ | $\$ 9,580$ | $\$ 10,180$ | $\$ 11,100$ |
| 20 | 90 | $\$ 8,590$ | $\$ 9,210$ | $\$ 9,810$ | $\$ 10,480$ | $\$ 11,400$ | $\$ 12,000$ |
| 30 | 90 | $\$ 9,810$ | $\$ 10,410$ | $\$ 11,300$ | $\$ 12,000$ | $\$ 12,590$ | $\$ 13,490$ |
| 40 | 90 | $\$ 11,600$ | $\$ 12,220$ | $\$ 13,420$ | $\$ 13,810$ | $\$ 15,010$ | $\$ 15,900$ |
| 50 | 90 | $\$ 14,340$ | $\$ 15,830$ | $\$ 16,130$ | $\$ 17,420$ | $\$ 18,940$ | $\$ 19,840$ |
| 0 | 100 | $\$ 7,150$ | $\$ 7,740$ | $\$ 8,340$ | $\$ 9,250$ | $\$ 9,840$ | $\$ 10,440$ |
| 10 | 100 | $\$ 7,740$ | $\$ 8,340$ | $\$ 9,260$ | $\$ 9,840$ | $\$ 10,440$ | $\$ 11,360$ |
| 20 | 100 | $\$ 8,640$ | $\$ 9,260$ | $\$ 9,860$ | $\$ 10,740$ | $\$ 11,660$ | $\$ 12,260$ |
| 30 | 100 | $\$ 9,860$ | $\$ 10,460$ | $\$ 11,350$ | $\$ 12,260$ | $\$ 12,850$ | $\$ 13,750$ |
| 40 | 100 | $\$ 11,650$ | $\$ 12,270$ | $\$ 13,470$ | $\$ 14,070$ | $\$ 15,270$ | $\$ 16,160$ |
| 50 | 100 | $\$ 14,390$ | $\$ 15,880$ | $\$ 16,180$ | $\$ 17,680$ | $\$ 19,200$ | $\$ 20,100$ |

Clearing and grubbing existing roads for roadway widening
Clearing and grubbing associated with widening of existing roads may be measured by the mile. To estimate base cost in Montana and Idaho add widening costs of $\$ 415$ and $\$ 365$ per foot of road widening, respectively to the values from Table 23. Base Costs for Clearing and Grubbing Existing Roads.

Estimating Procedure - Clearing and Grubbing associated with Roadway Widening

Example

Given: Location: Montana Zone 2
Widen existing 11 ' wide road to 14 ' wide road, cut slope 1:1
Average side slope: 40 percent
Roadway vegetation classified as "Heavy"
Pile construction slash

Step 1 Determine existing road clearing and grubbing cost and add clearing and grubbing cost of widening.

C\&G of existing roads from section 202: \$2,600 /Mile
Add cost of widening: $\$ 2,600+3^{\prime} x \$ 415=\$ 3,845 /$ Mile

## Step 2 Adjustment Factors

- Slash Disposal Factor $=1.3$
- Ground Slope Adjustment Factor $=1.0$

Clearing and Grubbing Cost: $\$ 3,845 \times 1.3 \times 1.0=\$ 4,999 /$ Mile
Step 3 Location and Wage Adjustments

- Adjust for MT Zone 2 (labor 50\%); Engineer's Estimate:

$$
4,999 \times 0.99=\$ 4,949 / m i l e
$$

- Adjust for Specified Road Cost woods rates; Specified Road Cost:

$$
\$ 4,949 \div 1.22=\$ 4,057 / \text { mile }
$$

## SECTION 202. - ADDITIONAL CLEARING AND GRUBBING

Individual Removal of Trees
(Labor 80 percent)
This includes falling and treating trees that lie outside of the clearing limits. Tree removal only includes falling and not grubbing. Average cost: $\$ 30$ per tree. Include this in the Engineer's Estimate but not in the Specified Road Cost if the trees are merchantable.

## Clearing and Grubbing Existing Road

(Labor 50-90)
Section 202 items for Roadside Clearing and Roadway Clearing and Grubbing are intended for existing roads with suitable cross section and alignment. Roads requiring alignment or cross section improvements like road widening for example should consider section 201 items to accomplish associated clearing and grubbing.

Light
Few trees and low brush scattered along the shoulders of the roadway. Production rate approximately 1000 feet per hour. Typically associated with pay item for Roadside Clearing.

Medium
Trees and brush along the entire length of the shoulders of the roadway. Production rate approximately 750 feet per hour. Typically associated with pay item for Roadside Clearing.

Heavy
Trees and brush scattered throughout the entire roadway. This cost range considers the grubbing of the roadbed. Production rate approximately 400 feet per hour. Typically associated with pay item for Roadway Clearing and Grubbing.

## Extra Heavy

Trees and brush densely spaced along the entire roadway. This cost range considers the grubbing of the roadbed. Production rate approximately 200 feet per hour. Typically associated with pay item for Roadway Clearing and Grubbing

Table 23. Base Costs for Clearing and Grubbing Existing Roads

| Location of Work | Light <br> ( $\$ /$ mile) | Medium <br> $(\$ /$ mile) | Heavy <br> $(\$ /$ mile) $)$ | Extra Heavy <br> ( $\$ /$ mile) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana | $\$ 460-\$ 860$ | $\$ 860-\$ 1730$ | $\$ 1725-\$ 3450$ | $\$ 3450-\$ 4600$ |
| Idaho | $\$ 420-\$ 790$ | $\$ 790-\$ 1575$ | $\$ 1575-\$ 3150$ | $\$ 3150-\$ 4200$ |

Apply slash treatment factors to the values shown here.

## Topographic Factor

Assume work is being accomplished along an existing roadbed. The unit cost should only be adjusted by the factors shown above in Table 15. ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR PERCENT GROUND SLOPE if it is anticipated the equipment will exit the roadway to perform the work.

## Labor Factor

Labor factor of 1.25 should be applied to projects that do not use a machine to do at least part of the brushing operation.

## Slash Treatment Factor

The unit cost should be adjusted by the factors shown above in Table 16. ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR SLASH TREATMENT METHOD.

## SECTION 203. - REMOVAL OF STRUCTURES AND OBSTRUCTIONS

(Labor 30-50 percent)

## Removal of Existing Bridges

This item should be estimated on an individual basis. Cost of equipment, labor, disposal, move-in and move-out of any special equipment, etc., needs to be considered. Use the equipment and labor costs in computing the cost (see Equipment Rates and Labor Rates).

## Removal and Stockpiling/Disposing of Cattleguards

This item must be estimated on an individual basis. Cost of equipment, labor, disposal, move-in and move-out of any special equipment, etc., needs to be considered. Use the equipment and labor costs in computing the cost (see Equipment Rates and Labor Rates).

## Removal and Disposal of Pipe Culverts

This should be estimated using time and equipment. Consideration should be given to the salvage value and disposal method of the culvert. Also consider if the culvert is being replaced at the same location.

Note: In addition to the above costs for removal of bridges, pipes, etc.; additional allowances may be necessary for removal of approach fills, reclamation and rehabilitation work, and for disposal of hazardous and toxic materials such as creosoted beams.

## Disposal of Clearing and Grubbing Debris

Refer to Slash Treatment Factor (Disposal of Clearing and Grubbing Debris) in Section 201. - CLEARING AND GRUBBING.

## SECTION 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT

(Labor 20-45 percent)
Localized conditions (slope, classification, etc.) have more impact on costs for small jobs because a full range of conditions may not exist as in a larger job.

## Conservation of Topsoil

Excavated material conserved from the roadway excavation and embankment foundation areas that is suitable for growth of grass, cover crops, or native vegetation.

Table 24. Conservation of Top Soil (\$/STA)

| Method | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stripping topsoil and windrowing with grader, relatively flat ground: | \$12.62/STA | \$14.68/STA |
| Stripping topsoil with tracked loader and placing in stockpile within 300 ft. | $\$ 24.93 /$ STA | $\$ 28.69 /$ STA |

## Drainage Feature

(Labor 30\%)
Drainage feature includes construction of all ditches, minor channel changes, drainage dips, catch basins, surface water deflectors, and other minor drainage structures.

## Catch Basin

Excavation for constructing catch basins on reconstruction projects which add drainage should have the same unit cost as the culvert excavation. Both jobs will be done using the same equipment; therefore, costs should be similar. See Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS.

Separate pay items should be used, one for construction of catch basins and one for culvert installation.

## Ditch

Drainage excavation can be estimated most easily by the linear foot. The same piece of equipment is required for small quantities or larger amounts; but one may use something less efficient for very small amounts. Site conditions govern more than size considerations; estimate by time and equipment procedures.

## Drainage Dips

Drainage dips on reconstruction can be estimated at $\$ 150$ to $\$ 400$ each depending on material and distance between dips.

## Earth Berms

(Labor 30\%)
Idaho \$0.17/LF and Montana \$0.20/LF

## Base Cost for Common Excavation

The average BASE COST of common excavation is $\mathbf{\$ 2} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{0} / \mathbf{C Y}$ for Idaho and $\mathbf{\$ 2} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{3} / \mathrm{CY}$ for Montana.
Add the following adjustment factors to the Base Cost for Common Excavation as needed.
Table 25. Material Adjustment Factor

| Material Type | Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Common | 1.0 |
| Loose rock | $1.5-1.75$ |
| Talus rock | 1.5 |
| Small glacial boulders | 1.75 |
| Rippable rock | 3.0 |
| Large glacial boulders | 5.0 |
| Solid/Shot rock | $5.0-8.0$ |

Table 26. Loading Material into Trucks (\$/CY)

| Material Type | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Common and loose rock | $\$ 1.05$ | $\$ 1.20$ |
| Ripped rock | $\$ 1.52$ | $\$ 1.74$ |
| Blasted rock and large boulders | $\$ 1.81$ | $\$ 2.08$ |

Conservation of Rock:
Conservation of rock is included in Base Cost for Common Excavation when excavating with a dozer or excavator and placing in small stockpile within 300 ft .

When excavating and hauling to central stockpile or use point, add the Loading Material into Truck rate.
Preparing Foundation for Embankment Construction
Table 27. Scarifying (\$/STA)

| Description | Idaho <br> Single Lane | Montana <br> Single Lane | Idaho <br> Double Lane | Montana <br> Double Lane |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Light (<6"depth) | \$13.00/STA | $\$ 14.80 /$ STA | $\$ 17.24 /$ STA | $\$ 20.06 /$ STA |
| Average (6" depth) | $\$ 17.34 /$ STA | $\$ 19.73 /$ STA | $\$ 22.99 /$ STA | $\$ 26.76 /$ STA |
| Heavy $\left(>6^{\prime \prime}\right.$ depth) | $\$ 27.10 /$ STA | $\$ 30.84 /$ STA | $\$ 35.94 /$ STA | $\$ 41.82 /$ STA |

Table 28. Adjustment Factor for Bench Fill Slope

| Slope | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $30-45$ percent | $\$ 1.08 / \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.20 / \mathrm{LF}$ |
| $45-60$ percent | $\$ 1.71 / \mathrm{LF}$ | $\$ 1.90 / \mathrm{LF}$ |

Note - If hydraulic excavators are used, there will be no adjustment factor applied for bench fill slope because work will be done during clearing/pioneering.

## Embankment - Compaction Placement Method

Adjustment factor does not include water for adjusting moisture content, make an allowance or estimate under Section 158. - WATERING FOR DUST CONTROL.

Table 29. Adjustment Factor for Compaction Placement Method

| Compaction Placement Method | Idaho <br> $\$ / C Y$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Placement Method 1(1) - More than 80\% retained on a No.4 Sieve | $\$ 1.16$ | $\$ 1.32$ |
| Placement Method 1(2) - 50\% to 80\% retained on a No.4 Sieve | $\$ 1.30$ | $\$ 1.50$ |
| Placement Method 1(3) - Less than 50\% retained on a No. 4 Sieve | $\$ 1.46$ | $\$ 1.68$ |
| Placement Method 2 - Roller Compaction, no testing | $\$ 1.01$ | $\$ 1.15$ |
| Placement Method 3 - Hauling and Spreading Equipment, three passes | $\$ 0.64$ | $\$ 0.74$ |
| Placement Method 4 - Hauling and Spreading Equipment (Cost Range <br> Given) | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 0.73$ |
| Placement Method 5 - Excavator Bucket | $\$ 0.99$ | $\$ 1.06$ |
| Placement Method 6 - Mechanical Tamper | $\$ 7.86$ | $\$ 9.15$ |

Compaction Prior to Aggregate Base and Surfacing.
Costs are based upon grading and compacting based Placement Method 2:

Table 30. Costs for Compaction Prior to Aggregate Base and Surfacing

| Lanes | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Lane | $\$ 6.98 /$ STA | $\$ 8.07 /$ STA |
| Double Lane | $\$ 8.99 /$ STA | $\$ 10.38 /$ STA |

## Sloping

## Slope Blending:

Depending on material and type of slope blending specified, additional costs of $\$ 0.05 / \mathrm{LF}$ to $\$ 0.15 / \mathrm{LF}$ are applicable. This assumes the work being done at start of excavation immediately following pioneering. Slope rounding is a more deliberate practice.

## Rounding Cut Slopes

This work, if specified, applies to sophisticated "rounding" after initial pioneering and excavation, and not to blending of the cutslope with the natural ground during initial excavation which is can be done by a hydraulic excavator. Estimate by time and equipment

## Shaping and Finishing

The cost of Shaping and Finishing is related to the required construction tolerances. Construction Tolerance Class is defined in FSSS 204. The number of lanes and if there is a ditch are also variables to consider for Shaping and Finishing.

Table 31. Shaping and Finishing - Single Lane Roads without Ditch (\$/STA)

| Tolerance Class | A | B/C | D/E | F/G/H | I/J/K/L/M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate (days/mi) | 2.14 | 1.61 | 0.81 | 0.53 | 0.28 |
| Idaho $(\$ /$ STA) | $\$ 50.88$ | $\$ 38.37$ | $\$ 19.19$ | $\$ 12.52$ | $\$ 6.67$ |
| Montana (\$/STA) | $\$ 55.56$ | $\$ 41.90$ | $\$ 20.96$ | $\$ 13.67$ | $\$ 7.29$ |

Table 32. Shaping and Finishing - Double Lane Roads without Ditch (\$/STA)

| Tolerance Class | $\mathbf{A}$ | B/C | D/E | F/G/H | I/J/K/L/M |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rate (days/mi) | 1.58 | 1.19 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.21 |
| Idaho $(\$ /$ STA) | $\$ 68.68$ | $\$ 51.79$ | $\$ 25.91$ | $\$ 16.90$ | $\$ 9.01$ |
| Montana (\$/STA) | $\$ 75.01$ | $\$ 56.56$ | $\$ 28.30$ | $\$ 18.46$ | $\$ 9.84$ |

Table 33. Shaping and Finishing - Single Lane Roads with Ditch (\$/STA)

| Tolerance Class | A | B/C | D/E | F/G/H | I/J/K/L/M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate (DAYS/MILE) | 3.71 | 2.38 | 1.05 | 0.81 | 0.53 |
| Idaho (\$/STA) | $\$ 88.39$ | $\$ 56.71$ | $\$ 25.02$ | $\$ 19.19$ | $\$ 12.59$ |
| Montana (\$/STA) | $\$ 96.54$ | $\$ 61.94$ | $\$ 27.32$ | $\$ 20.96$ | $\$ 13.75$ |

Table 34. Shaping and Finishing - Double Lane Roads with Ditch (\$/STA)

| Tolerance Class | A | B/C | D/E | F/G/H | I/J/K/L/M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate (days/mi) | 2.75 | 1.76 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.39 |
| Idaho $(\$ /$ STA) | $\$ 119.33$ | $\$ 76.56$ | $\$ 33.78$ | $\$ 25.91$ | $\$ 17.00$ |
| Montana $(\$ /$ STA) | $\$ 130.32$ | $\$ 83.61$ | $\$ 36.89$ | $\$ 28.30$ | $\$ 18.56$ |

Given: Single lane, aggregate surfaced road with ditch,
Compaction: Placement Method 2, Tolerance Class G, 30\% labor;
Excavation: 80,000 CY; 70 percent common; 15 percent rippable rock; 15 percent blasting rock
Benching: 30-45 \% slope - 1500 LF; 45-60\% - 2500 LF
Scarifying: Light-115 Stations; Average - 72 Stations; Heavy - 15 Stations
Shaping and Finishing: Single Lane with Ditch - 262 Stations
Compaction prior to aggregate base: 262 Stations

Find: \$/CY for Location A: Idaho - Bonner County and Location B: Montana - Zone 3
Solution - Location A. Idaho Kootenai County
Base Cost for Common Excavation


Additions to Base Excavation

| Benching Fill Slopes: | Linear Foot | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{\$ / L i n e a r ~ F o o t ~}$ | $=$ | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-45$ percent: | 1,500 | $x$ | 1.08 | $=$ | $\$ 1620.00$ |
| $46-60$ percent: | 2,500 | $x$ | 1.71 | $=$ | $\$ 4,275.00$ |

Compaction Method 2

| Quantity | $x$ | Adjustment $\$ / c y$ | $=$ | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80,000 | $x$ | 1.01 |  | $\$ 80,800.00$ |

Scarifying:

| Description | Stations | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{\$} /$ Station | $=$ | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Light: | 115 | $x$ | $\$ 13.00$ | $=$ | $\$ 1,495.00$ |
| Average: | 72 | $x$ | $\$ 17.34$ | $=$ | $\$ 1,248.48$ |
| Heavy: | 15 | $x$ | $\$ 27.10$ | $=$ | $\$ 406.50$ |

Shaping and Finishing

| Tolerance Class | Stations | $x$ | \$/Station | $=$ | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $G$ | 262 | $x$ | $\$ 19.19$ | $=$ | $\$ 5027.78$ |

Compaction Prior to Aggregate Base and Surfacing.

| Stations | $x$ | $\$ /$ Station |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |$=$ Cost $\quad$ (

## Total Costs

| Total Engineers Estimate w/o Quality Control | $=$ | $\$ 409821.04$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Quality Control - 1\% of Total Engineers Est. | $=$ | $\$ 4098.21$ |
| Total Engineers Estimate including Quality Control | $=$ | $\$ 413919.25$ |

## Unit Cost Calculation without Quality Control

$\$ / C Y=\$ 409821.04 / 80,000 C Y=\$ 5.12$


Additions to Base Excavation

| Benching Fill Slopes: | Linear Foot | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{\$ / L i n e a r}$ Foot | $=$ | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 30-45 percent: | 1,500 | $x$ | 1.20 | $=$ | $\$ 1800.00$ |
| 46-60 percent: | 2,500 | $x$ | 1.90 | $=$ | $\$ 4750.00$ |

Compaction Method 2

| Quantity | $x$ | Adjustment | $=$ | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80,000 | $x$ | 1.15 |  | $=$ |$\$ 92,000.00$

Scarifying:

| Description | Stations | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\mathbf{\$ / S t a t i o n}$ | $=$ | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Light: | 115 | $x$ | $\$ 14.80$ | $=$ | $\$ 1702.00$ |
| Average: | 72 | $x$ | $\$ 19.73$ | $=$ | $\$ 1420.56$ |
| Heavy: | 15 | $x$ | $\$ 30.84$ | $=$ | $\$ 462.60$ |

Shaping and Finishing

| Tolerance Class | Stations | $x$ | $\$ /$ Station | $=$ | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $G$ | 262 | $x$ | $\$ 20.96$ | $=$ | $\$ 5491.52$ |

Compaction Prior to Aggregate Base and Surfacing.

| Stations | $x$ | $\$ /$ Station | $=$ Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 262 | $x$ | $\$ 8.07$ | $=\$ 2114.34$ |

## Total Costs

| Total Engineers Estimate w/o Quality Control | $=$ | $\$ 463,901.02$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Quality Control - 1\% of Total Engineers Est. | $=$ | $\$ 4639.01$ |
| Total Engineers Estimate including Quality Control | $=$ | $\$ 468,540.03$ |


| Unit Cost Calculation without Quality Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$/CY = \$463,901.02 / 80,000 CY= \$5.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unit Cost Calculation with Quality Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$/CY = \$468,540.03/80,000 CY= \$5.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location B-Calculation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana Zone 3 | \$/CY | $x$ | ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR PUBLIC WORKS DAVISbACON ZONES | = | Adjusted $\$ / C Y$ |
| Unit Cost Calculation without Quality Control | \$5.80 | $x$ | 1.00 | = | \$5.80 |
| Unit Cost Calculation with Quality Control | \$5.86 | $x$ | 1.00 | $=$ | \$5.86 |
| Montana Zone 3 | \$/CY | $x$ | ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CONSTRUCTION WAGE RATE DIFFERENTIALS | $=$ | Adjusted \$/CY |
| Specified Road Cost without Quality Control | \$5.80 | / | 1.15 | $=$ | \$5.04 |

HAUL
(Labor: Cubic yard-Mile 35 percent; Station Yard 25 percent)
Note: Haul is not a pay item, costs need to be included in the appropriate items of work
The haul of asphalt and aggregate may be a contract item. If so, do not reduce, if the subcontractor is expected to pay Construction Wage Rate (Davis-Bacon) wages

Refer to Table 13. Fixed Haul Cost ( $\$ / M$ Gallon and $\$ / T o n$ ) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana and Table 14. Variable Haul Cost (\$/M Gallons-Mile and \$/Ton-Mile) for a 3500 Gallon Water Truck in Idaho and Montana in Section 158. - WATERING FOR DUST CONTROL for hauling of water.

## Freehaul, overhaul, and endhaul

Station Yards is used when material is pushed by a dozer and cubic yard-mile is used when material is hauled by truck. Freehaul and overhaul quantities are typically expressed in Station-Yards. Freehaul is the distance up to which material can be pushed without additional cost and is typically about 200'. Overhaul is the distance up to which material can be pushed with a dozer but at a reduced efficiency, and subsequently an increased haul cost. Overhaul distance is typically around 500'. Cubic yard-mile is used when material is hauled by truck and often referred to as end haul.

Cost for overhaul in Idaho is $\$ 0.20 /$ Station Yard.
Cost for overhaul in Montana is $\$ 0.21$ / Station Yard.
Cost for Cubic Yard-Mile haul (end haul) should be derived with the use of the following procedure.
Haul of material includes the fixed costs (for the truck only) of spotting, load, and turnaround in addition to the variable "underway" cost while hauling equipment is moving.

Loading costs (labor and equipment) should be included under the parent specification for that work.

Haul of excavated material is to be measured (for payment) in terms of excavated cubic yards in the original position (in place). Costs shown below are based on loose cubic yards; therefore, a Compaction Factor (CF) adjustment must be made to provide costs based on excavated cubic yards.

$$
C F=\frac{\text { in place density }}{\text { loose density }}
$$

To compute haul of aggregate, borrow, and riprap, the compaction factor (CF), must be adjusted to fit the method of measurement; such as in place, vehicle quantity, or compacted in place. The costs per ton shown below are based upon 1.35 tons per cubic yard. Note that haul of excavation, when authorized as a pay item, is usually calculated by the cubic-yard-mile. Haul of materials that are weighed in tons are calculated in ton-miles.

When computing variable haul cost, the estimator should consider all the factors that affect the haul over each segment of the haul route. These factors include grade, alignment, road width, surface type, road condition, sight distance, turnout spacing, and other traffic using the road.

Use the correct truck for the type of road used for the haul route (belly dumps are inappropriate for crooked narrow roads).

Variable costs should be increased if load limits (bridges, city streets, etc) on the route preclude loading trucks to rated capacity. The average distance from the point of dumping to the turnaround should be included in the variable cost haul distance. On single-lane roads this may range up to 2-3 miles additional length, on two-lane roads no addition is usually necessary since the trucks can turnaround nearby. Also, if there are similar conditions at the material source which affect travel distance, make the appropriate allowance.

The following are general guidelines the estimator should use in determining average round-trip travel speeds for haul computations.

Table 35. Average Roundtrip Travel Speeds Based on Road Characteristics

| Average <br> Travel <br> Speed | Road Characteristics |
| :---: | :--- |$|$| $5-15 \mathrm{mph}$ | Narrow dirt road, steep grades, numerous sharp curves, poor sight <br> distances and few turnouts |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10-30 \mathrm{mph}$ | Dirt or gravel surface, single lane, grades to 8\%, fair to good <br> alignment, adequate turnouts, and good sight distance |
| $25-50 \mathrm{mph}$ | Gravel or paved surface, double lane, moderate grades to 6\%, good to <br> excellent alignment, excellent sight distance |

## Haul Fixed Cost

Fixed cost include 10 minutes for a 10-12 CY End Dump and 15 minutes for an 18 CY Bottom Dump to load, unload, and turn around. Apply it to CY or ton and not Cubic Yard-Mile or Ton-Mile. Fixed costs may need to be increased in difficult or unique situations in loading or dumping material, such as asphalt or riprap.

Table 36. Fixed Cost per Cubic Yard and Ton for Idaho and Montana

| Truck Type | Idaho <br> \$/CY | Idaho <br> \$/Ton | Montana <br> \$/CY | Montana <br> \$/Ton |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-12 CY End Dump | $\$ 1.98$ | $\$ 1.47$ | $\$ 1.98$ | $\$ 1.47$ |
| 18 CY Bottom Dump | $\$ 2.09$ | $\$ 1.55$ | $\$ 2.12$ | $\$ 1.57$ |

## Variable Haul Cost

Variable Haul Cost is a measure of the cost to haul a cubic yard a mile (or a ton a mile).
The following is an example format to be used for computing variable cost.

|  | Average Speed <br> Roundtrip <br> (mph) | Length <br> (Miles) | \$/Cubic Yard-Mile <br> (\$/Ton-Mile) | Loose CY <br> (Tons) | Variable Haul Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Road Segment | Enter the | Enter miles <br> for road <br> description for <br> the road segment | Enter cost from <br> average speed <br> for road <br> segment | Enter the <br> segment | Length $\times \$ / \mathrm{CY}$-Mile $\times \mathrm{CY}$ <br> table |

Table 37. Variable Haul Cost (\$/Cubic Yard-Mile and \$/Ton-Mile) by Truck Type for Idaho And Montana

| mph | Idaho <br> 10-12 CY <br> End <br> Dump <br> \$/CY- <br> Mile | Idaho $10-12 \mathrm{CY}$ <br> End Dump \$/TonMile | Idaho <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/CY- <br> Mile | Idaho <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/Ton- <br> Mile | Montana <br> 10-12 CY <br> End <br> Dump <br> \$/CY- <br> Mile | Montana <br> 10-12 CY <br> End <br> Dump <br> \$/Ton- <br> Mile | Montana <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/CY- <br> Mile | Montana <br> 18 CY <br> Bottom <br> Dump <br> \$/Ton- <br> Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | \$2.38 | \$1.76 | \$1.67 | \$1.24 | \$2.38 | \$1.76 | \$1.70 | \$1.26 |
| 15 | \$1.58 | \$1.17 | \$1.11 | \$0.82 | \$1.58 | \$1.17 | \$1.13 | \$0.84 |
| 20 | \$1.19 | \$0.88 | \$0.83 | \$0.62 | \$1.19 | \$0.88 | \$0.85 | \$0.63 |
| 25 | \$0.95 | \$0.70 | \$0.67 | \$0.49 | \$0.95 | \$0.70 | \$0.68 | \$0.50 |
| 30 | \$0.79 | \$0.59 | \$0.56 | \$0.41 | \$0.79 | \$0.59 | \$0.57 | \$0.42 |
| 40 | \$0.59 | \$0.44 | \$0.42 | \$0.31 | \$0.59 | \$0.44 | \$0.42 | \$0.31 |
| 50 | \$0.48 | \$0.35 | \$0.33 | \$0.25 | \$0.48 | \$0.35 | \$0.34 | \$0.25 |

The total haul cost is the sum of the variable costs plus fixed costs.
Total Haul Cost $=$ Total Variable Cost + Total Fixed Costs

Haul Calculation Example
Given: Montana Zone 1
10-12 End Dump, $30 \mathrm{mph}, 5$ mile haul
500 LOOSE CY

| Road Segment | Average Speed <br> Roundtrip <br> (mph) | Length <br> (Miles) | \$/Cubic Yard-Mile <br> (\$/Ton-Mile) | Loose CY <br> (Tons) | Variable Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MP 5.0 | 30 | 5 | $\$ 0.79$ | 500 | $\$ 1975.00$ |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fixed Cost }=500 C Y x \$ 1.98=\$ 990 \\
\text { Total Haul Cost }=\$ 1975+990=\$ 2965 \\
\text { Unit Haul Cost }=\frac{\$ 2965}{500 C Y}=\$ 5.93 / C Y
\end{gathered}
$$

Engineers Estimated Unit Cost $=\frac{\$}{C Y}$ ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR PUBLIC WORKS DAVIS - BACON ZONES
Engineers Estimated Unit Cost $=\$ 5.93 \times 0.97=\$ 5.75$
Specified Road Unit Cost $=\frac{\$ / C Y}{\text { ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR CONSTRUCTION WAGE RATE DIFFERENTIALS }}$

$$
\text { Specified Road Unit Cost }=\frac{\$ 5.75}{1.13}=\$ 5.09
$$

## SECTION 208. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL FOR SELECTED MAJOR STRUCTURES <br> (Labor 50 percent)

Typical quantities of structure excavation range from 250 CY for small bridges to 2000 CY for deep culvert replacements. Costs range from $\$ 20 / \mathrm{CY}$ for smaller quantities to $\$ 10 / \mathrm{CY}$ for larger quantities. Material type (larger boulders, solid rock, and sandy soils) should be taken into consideration when estimating the cost of structure excavation. If applicable include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

Dewatering and erosion control plans should be include under Section 157. - SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL.

## SECTION 209. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL

(Labor 50 percent)
Costs including excavation are included in the unit cost for culverts up to 36 " in diameter and CMPAs up to $42^{\prime \prime} \times 29$ ". See Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS.

This section is to estimate the excavation, backfill and compaction for larger culverts. As stated in Section 209.12 Measurement and Payment, do not measure structure excavation and backfill for payment. These costs are to be included in the other pay items such Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS or Section 208. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL FOR SELECTED MAJOR STRUCTURES.

## SECTION 211. - ROADWAY OBLITERATION

(Labor 40 percent)
Obliteration may range from ripping and scarifying the road surface, removing culverts, and rounding off the cut slope to complete removal of the road template and recontouring to the original natural profile. A laborer should be included to seed/fertilize behind the equipment.

This work is generally performed from the end of the road to the beginning. Estimator needs to consider the existing condition of the road. Clearing and excavation may be required to access the end of the road to perform the roadway obliteration with the required equipment.

Table 38. Range of Costs per Mile by Closure Device and Mitigation Treatment for Road Obliteration

| Closure Device | Mitigation | Cost Range $^{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> (\$/MILE) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Gate | Outslope, seed, fertilize. Normal drainage. May <br> treat noxious weeds. | $\$ 2,000-\$ 3,000$ |
| Gate, guardrail, <br> concrete or <br> earth barrier, or <br> Recontour at <br> intersection | Drain dips, drivable waterbars, or outslope. <br> Scarify 2-3 inches, seed \& fertilize. May scatter <br> slash on roadway. May treat noxious weeds. | $\$ 2,500-\$ 4,000$ |

[^0]| Closure Device | Mitigation | Cost Range ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ <br> (\$/MILE) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Recontour at <br> intersection or <br> rock or earth <br> barrier | Waterbar or intermittent outslope. Remove <br> CMP's \& restore all watercourses to natural <br> channels \& floodplains. Rip 6-12 inches, seed <br> and fertilize.May scatter slash on road. May <br> treat noxious weeds. | $\$ 4,000-\$ 5,500$ |
| Recontour at <br> intersection or <br> Rock or earth <br> barrier | Waterbar or intermittent outslope. Selective <br>  <br>  <br> floodplains. Rip 12-18 inches, seed \& fertilize. <br> Scatter slash on recontoured slope. May treat <br> noxious weeds. | $\$ 4,000-\$ 8,000$ |
|  | Recontour the entire road prism to almost pre- <br> road conditions. Remove CMP's \& restore all <br> watercourses to natural channels \& floodplains. <br> Seed \& fertilize. Scatter clash on recontoured <br> slope. May treat noxious weeds. | $\$ 8,000$ and up |
|  |  |  |

## SECTION 212. - LINEAR GRADING

(Labor 45 percent)
This section is intended for use on single purpose roads in relatively gentle/moderate and uniform terrain. It can be used in conjunction with most construction control methods. Clearing and grubbing may be included as an indirect cost or may be accomplished as a separate pay item at the discretion of the engineer.

The entire preconstruction effort including location, survey, design, and cost estimating should be consistent with the road standard, desired end product, and risk factor. A high degree of sophistication is not warranted when developing the cost estimate for this work.

## New Construction and Widening of Existing Roads

Tables on the following pages include excavation costs ( $\$ /$ mile) for new road construction and costs for widening existing roads. The assumptions listed below were used in preparing the tables:

## Assumptions used for Excavation Tables.

- Self-balancing sections
- Compaction factor used ( 0.25 to 0.75 ).
- No allowance for drainage features, shaping and finishing, slough widening, curve widening, turnouts, turnarounds, or haul. An additional allowance should be made for these items.


## Estimating Procedure - Linear Grading associated with New Construction

Step 1 Determine base excavation costs in dollars per mile using Table 40. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Linear Grading or Table 41. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Linear Grading with known values for back slopes and side slopes.

Step 1a Adjust the excavation cost for materials and additional widening by multiplying the base excavation cost by the respective adjustment factors. Add additional cost for drainage features, shaping and finishing, or haul as necessary. Refer to Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT for these additional costs.

- For material adjustment factor refer to Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT Table 25. Material Adjustment Factor
- For additional excavation width due to specific features refer to Table 39. Adjustment Factor for Additional Excavation Features

Table 39. Adjustment Factor for Additional Excavation Features

| Method | Factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| No additional widening | 1.0 |
| Slough widening, turnouts, curve widening, turnarounds | 1.15 |

Step 2 Apply location and wage adjustments
Example
Given: 14 FT w/o ditch, ³/:1 back slope, self-balanced sections, no through fills or free haul. Location: Montana Zone 2
Compaction method 3
Average side slope: 30 percent
Turnouts every 1,000 feet
Normal curve widening
One turnaround per mile
Four drainage dips.

Step 1 Base excavation cost from Table 41. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Linear Grading
Excavation Cost: \$7,059/Mile
Step 1a Adjusted excavation cost:

- Material Factor (85 \% common; 15\% rippable rock):

$$
(0.85 \times 1.0)+(0.15 \times 3.0)=1.3
$$

- Curve Widening Factor: 1.15
- Compaction Method Factor: $\frac{(\text { base excavation cost }+ \text { compaction } 3)}{\text { base excavation cost }}=\frac{(2.33+0.74)}{2.33}=1.32$

Excavation Cost: $\$ 7,059 \times 1.3 \times 1.15 \times 1.32=\$ 13,930 /$ Mile
Step 2 Apply location and wage adjustments

- Adjust for Zone 2 (labor, 45\%)

Engineers Estimate: $\$ 13,930 x 0.99=\$ 13,790 /$ Mile

- Adjust Specified Road Cost for wood's rates

Specified Road Cost: $\$ 13,790 \div 1.19=\$ 11,588 /$ Mile

## Estimating Procedure - Linear Grading associated with Road Widening

To determine costs, use the procedure outlined below. Be sure to use the correct table for the appropriate road back slope, and amount of widening.

Step 1 Determine base excavation costs in dollars per mile using tables for road widening:

- Table 42. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 3/4:1 cut slope
- Table 43. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 1:1 cut slope
- Table 44. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, $3 / 4: 1$ cut slope
- Table 45. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 1:1 cut slope

Step 1a Adjust the excavation cost for materials and additional widening by multiplying the base excavation cost by the respective adjustment factors. Add additional cost for drainage features, shaping and finishing, or haul as necessary. Refer to Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT for these additional costs.

- For material adjustment factor refer to Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT Table 25. Material Adjustment Factor
- For additional excavation width due to specific features refer to Table 39. Adjustment Factor for Additional Excavation Features

Step 2 Apply location and wage adjustments.

## Example

Given: Location: Montana Zone 2
Widen existing 11' wide road to 14 ' wide road, cut slope 1:1
Excavation: 85 percent common; 15 percent rippable rock
Compaction Placement method 3
Average side slope: 40 percent
Turnouts every 1,000 feet
Normal curve widening
One turnaround per mile
Four drainage dips.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Step } 1 \quad \text { Base excavation cost from Table 45. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road } \\ & \text { Widening with Linear Grading, 1:1 cut slope }\end{array}$

## Excavation Cost: $\$ 4,391 /$ Mile

Step 1a Adjusted excavation cost:

- Material Factor: 1.3
$(85 \%$ common; $15 \%$ rippable rock $) \rightarrow(0.85 \times 1.0)+(0.15 \times 3.0)=1.3$
- Curve Widening Factor: 1.15
- Compaction Method Factor: $\frac{(\text { base excavation cost }+ \text { compaction 3) }}{\text { base excavation cost }}=\frac{(2.33+0.74)}{2.33}=1.32$

Excavation Cost: \$4,391 x $1.3 \times 1.15 \times 1.32=\$ 8,665 /$ Mile
Step 2 Apply location and wage adjustments

- Adjust for Zone 2 (labor, 45\%)

Engineers Estimate: \$8,665/Mile x 0.99 = \$8,578/Mile

- Adjust Specified Road Cost for wood's rates

Specified Road Cost: $\$ 8,578 \div 1.17=\$ 7,332 /$ Mile

Table 40. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Linear Grading

| Side Slope (\%) | Back <br> Slope $3 / 4: 1$ <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Back Slope $3 / 4: 1$ 14 FT w/o Ditch | Back <br> Slope $3 / 4: 1$ <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 1:1 <br> 12 FT <br> w/o Ditch | $\begin{gathered} \text { Back } \\ \text { Slope 1:1 } \\ 14 \text { FT } \\ \text { w/o Ditch } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Back } \\ \text { Slope 1:1 } \\ 12 \text { FT w/ } \\ \text { Ditch } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \$1,087 | \$1,516 | \$2,238 | \$1,114 | \$1,566 | \$2,319 |
| 5 | \$1,087 | \$1,516 | \$2,238 | \$1,114 | \$1,566 | \$2,319 |
| 10 | \$1,087 | \$1,516 | \$2,238 | \$1,114 | \$1,566 | \$2,319 |
| 15 | \$1,471 | \$2,544 | \$3,627 | \$1,514 | \$2,674 | \$3,845 |
| 20 | \$2,119 | \$3,532 | \$4,840 | \$2,242 | \$3,841 | \$5,244 |
| 25 | \$2,692 | \$4,576 | \$6,220 | \$2,905 | \$5,083 | \$6,705 |
| 30 | \$3,375 | \$5,518 | \$8,289 | \$3,775 | \$6,245 | \$9,077 |
| 35 | \$4,217 | \$6,890 | \$9,900 | \$4,755 | \$7,963 | \$11,216 |
| 40 | \$5,120 | \$10,094 | \$12,666 | \$5,925 | \$12,002 | \$14,684 |
| 45 | \$10,300 | \$11,880 | \$15,757 | \$12,213 | \$14,333 | \$19,062 |
| 50 | \$12,351 | \$14,232 | \$19,666 | \$15,024 | \$17,199 | \$25,213 |

Table 41. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Linear Grading

| Side Slope (\%) | Back Slope $3 / 4: 1$ 12 FT w/o Ditch | Back <br> Slope $3 / 4: 1$ <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 3 /:1 <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch | Back Slope 1:1 12 FT w/o Ditch | Back <br> Slope 1:1 <br> 14 FT <br> w/o Ditch | Back Slope 1:1 12 FT w/ Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \$1,229 | \$1,714 | \$2,530 | \$1,259 | \$1,770 | \$2,621 |
| 5 | \$1,229 | \$1,714 | \$2,530 | \$1,259 | \$1,770 | \$2,621 |
| 10 | \$1,229 | \$1,714 | \$2,530 | \$1,259 | \$1,770 | \$2,621 |
| 15 | \$1,663 | \$2,876 | \$4,100 | \$1,712 | \$3,022 | \$4,347 |
| 20 | \$2,395 | \$3,993 | \$5,471 | \$2,535 | \$4,342 | \$5,928 |
| 25 | \$3,043 | \$5,173 | \$7,031 | \$3,284 | \$5,746 | \$7,579 |
| 30 | \$3,815 | \$6,238 | \$9,371 | \$4,268 | \$7,059 | \$10,261 |
| 35 | \$4,767 | \$7,789 | \$11,192 | \$5,376 | \$9,002 | \$12,680 |
| 40 | \$5,788 | \$11,411 | \$14,319 | \$6,698 | \$13,568 | \$16,600 |
| 45 | \$11,644 | \$13,431 | \$17,813 | \$13,806 | \$16,204 | \$21,549 |
| 50 | \$13,963 | \$16,089 | \$22,232 | \$16,985 | \$19,443 | \$28,503 |

Table 42. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 3/:1 cut slope

| Idaho Base Excavation cost to widen roads without ditches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road widening, Backslope 3/4:1 (\$/mile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| width | 9' to12' | 10' to 12' | 11' to 12' | 9' to 14' | 10' to 14' | 11' to 14' | 12' to 14' | 13' to 14' |
| SS\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | \$468 | \$328 | \$187 | \$796 | \$655 | \$515 | \$328 | \$140 |
| 15 | \$796 | \$562 | \$281 | \$1,357 | \$1,123 | \$842 | \$562 | \$281 |
| 20 | \$983 | \$702 | \$328 | \$1,872 | \$1,591 | \$1,217 | \$889 | \$421 |
| 25 | \$1,404 | \$936 | \$515 | \$2,621 | \$2,153 | \$1,731 | \$1,217 | \$608 |
| 30 | \$1,825 | \$1,217 | \$562 | \$3,463 | \$2,855 | \$2,199 | \$1,638 | \$842 |
| 35 | \$2,480 | \$1,731 | \$936 | \$4,399 | \$3,650 | \$2,855 | \$1,919 | \$1,264 |
| 40 | \$3,135 | \$2,106 | \$1,123 | \$5,662 | \$4,633 | \$3,650 | \$2,527 | \$1,310 |
| 45 | \$3,603 | \$2,433 | \$1,123 | \$6,926 | \$5,756 | \$4,446 | \$3,323 | \$1,638 |
| 50 | \$5,007 | \$3,369 | \$1,638 | \$9,032 | \$7,394 | \$5,662 | \$4,025 | \$2,246 |

Table 43. Idaho Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 1:1 cut slope

| Idaho Base Excavation cost to widen roads without ditches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road widening, Backslope 1:1 (\$/mile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| width | 9' to12' | 10' to 12' | 11' to 12' | 9 t to 14' | 10' to 14' | 11' to 14' | 12' to 14' | 13' to 14' |
| SS\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | \$468 | \$328 | \$187 | \$842 | \$702 | \$562 | \$374 | \$187 |
| 15 | \$749 | \$515 | \$281 | \$1,404 | \$1,170 | \$936 | \$655 | \$328 |
| 20 | \$1,076 | \$796 | \$374 | \$1,965 | \$1,685 | \$1,264 | \$889 | \$421 |
| 25 | \$1,497 | \$1,030 | \$562 | \$2,714 | \$2,246 | \$1,778 | \$1,217 | \$608 |
| 30 | \$1,965 | \$1,357 | \$749 | \$3,557 | \$2,948 | \$2,340 | \$1,591 | \$749 |
| 35 | \$2,574 | \$1,825 | \$889 | \$4,726 | \$3,978 | \$3,042 | \$2,153 | \$1,170 |


| Idaho Base Excavation cost to widen roads without ditches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road widening, Backslope 1:1 (\$/mile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| width | 9' to12' | 10' to 12' | 11' to 12' | 9' to 14' | 10' to 14' | 11' to 14' | 12' to 14' | 13' to 14' |
| 40 | \$3,229 | \$2,199 | \$1,076 | \$6,037 | \$5,007 | \$3,884 | \$2,808 | \$1,451 |
| 45 | \$3,931 | \$2,761 | \$1,310 | \$7,441 | \$6,271 | \$4,820 | \$3,510 | \$1,825 |
| 50 | \$5,382 | \$3,744 | \$1,965 | \$9,827 | \$8,189 | \$6,411 | \$4,446 | \$2,293 |

Table 44. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 3/4:1 cut slope

| Montana Base Excavation cost to widen roads without ditches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road widening, Backslope 3/4:1 (\$/mile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| width | 9' to12' | 10' to 12' | 11' to 12' | 9' to 14' | 10' to 14' | 11' to 14' | 12' to 14' | 13' to 14' |
| SS\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | \$529 | \$370 | \$212 | \$899 | \$741 | \$582 | \$370 | \$159 |
| 15 | \$899 | \$635 | \$317 | \$1,534 | \$1,270 | \$952 | \$635 | \$317 |
| 20 | \$1,111 | \$794 | \$370 | \$2,116 | \$1,799 | \$1,375 | \$1,005 | \$476 |
| 25 | \$1,587 | \$1,058 | \$582 | \$2,963 | \$2,434 | \$1,957 | \$1,375 | \$688 |
| 30 | \$2,063 | \$1,375 | \$635 | \$3,915 | \$3,227 | \$2,486 | \$1,852 | \$952 |
| 35 | \$2,804 | \$1,957 | \$1,058 | \$4,973 | \$4,126 | \$3,227 | \$2,169 | \$1,428 |
| 40 | \$3,545 | \$2,381 | \$1,270 | \$6,401 | \$5,237 | \$4,126 | \$2,857 | \$1,481 |
| 45 | \$4,074 | \$2,751 | \$1,270 | \$7,830 | \$6,507 | \$5,026 | \$3,756 | \$1,852 |
| 50 | \$5,661 | \$3,809 | \$1,852 | \$10,210 | \$8,359 | \$6,401 | \$4,550 | \$2,539 |

Table 45. Montana Base Excavation \$/Mile for Road Widening with Linear Grading, 1:1 cut slope

| Montana Base Excavation cost to widen roads without ditches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road widening, Backslope 1:1 (\$/mile) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| width | 9' to12' | 10' to 12' | 11' to 12' | 9' to 14' | 10' to 14' | 11' to 14' | 12' to 14' | 13' to 14' |
| SS\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | \$529 | \$370 | \$212 | \$952 | \$794 | \$635 | \$423 | \$212 |
| 15 | \$846 | \$582 | \$317 | \$1,587 | \$1,323 | \$1,058 | \$741 | \$370 |
| 20 | \$1,217 | \$899 | \$423 | \$2,222 | \$1,905 | \$1,428 | \$1,005 | \$476 |
| 25 | \$1,693 | \$1,164 | \$635 | \$3,068 | \$2,539 | \$2,010 | \$1,375 | \$688 |
| 30 | \$2,222 | \$1,534 | \$846 | \$4,021 | \$3,333 | \$2,645 | \$1,799 | \$846 |
| 35 | \$2,910 | \$2,063 | \$1,005 | \$5,343 | \$4,497 | \$3,439 | \$2,434 | \$1,323 |
| 40 | \$3,650 | \$2,486 | \$1,217 | \$6,825 | \$5,661 | \$4,391 | \$3,174 | \$1,640 |
| 45 | \$4,444 | \$3,121 | \$1,481 | \$8,412 | \$7,089 | \$5,449 | \$3,968 | \$2,063 |
| 50 | \$6,084 | \$4,232 | \$2,222 | \$11,110 | \$9,258 | \$7,248 | \$5,026 | \$2,592 |

# PART V. DIVISION 250 SLOPE REINFORCEMENT AND RETAINING WALLS 

SECTION 251. - RIPRAP
Hand-Placed Riprap (Labor 45 percent); Dumped Riprap (Labor 30 percent);
Machine-Placed Riprap (Labor 20 percent); Sacked Soil Cement (Labor 60 percent)
Sacked Concrete (Labor 60 percent); Wire-Enclosed Riprap (Labor 75 percent)
Riprap must be estimated on an individual basis due to such a variety in size, shape, and difficulty of installations. Unit costs are to include furnishing, placing, and haul of riprap. Also includes cost of woven wire, lacing or tie wires, stakes, and labor to place and enclose riprap.

- Calculate haul cost using prices listed in Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.
- Development of the pit or source if required should be calculated using time and equipment.
- Royalty charge in private pits obtained from pit owner - refer to Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES or Section 314. - STOCKPILED AGGREGATE.
- Drilling and blasting cost of quarries (if required) - refer to Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES or Section 314. - STOCKPILED AGGREGATE.
- Access road development, if required - use time, and equipment.
- Geotextile, if used - estimate material prices and pay under Section 207. - EARTHWORK GEOSYNTHETICS.
- When applicable, include an appropriate allowance to this pay item for contractor quality control.


## SECTION 253. - GABIONS AND REVET MATTRESSES

This installation cost must be estimated on an individual basis. A general cost is approximately $\$ \mathbf{2 0 0}$ per cubic yard which includes commercial source rock. The variety of sizes available and design needed can change costs. Equipment needed and cost of rock must be considered. Use time, material, and equipment to determine cost and percent labor for this item. When applicable, included an appropriate allowance to this pay item for contractor quality control.

SECTION 255. - MECHANICALLY-STABILIZED EARTH WALLS
(Labor 40 percent)
Each project is unique and may be estimated on material, labor, and equipment basis. A general cost range is $\$ 35$ to $\$ 55$ per foot of wall face provided that fill material is on site or close by. This cost includes fill placement and compaction. When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

This item must be estimated on an individual basis. The variety of types and the site conditions can affect the unit costs. Use time, material, and equipment to determine cost and \% labor for this item. When applicable, included an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

Types available include:

- Steel Bin Retaining Wall •Treated Timber Bins • Reinforced Concrete (tie-back/cantilever) • Culvert Retaining Wall • Treated Timber-Faced Wall • Treated Timber Lag Wall•Chain Link • CRIBLOCK
(Concrete bins) • HILFIKER (Welded Wire) • Gabion • Fabric Wall •


## PART VI. DIVISION 300 AGGREGATE AND BASE COURSES SECTION 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES

Note: If local conditions indicate that aggregate production will be subcontracted, and that aggregate producers will likely pay Construction Wage Rates (Davis-Bacon), no reduction for labor should be made to the basic rock cost. Also, not all contracts require Construction Wage Rates (Davis-Bacon) in basic rock and hauling costs. Check with your Contracting Officer.

The costs shown herein are applicable only for situations closely fitting the stated assumptions. The procedure and work items should be considered and estimated for all projects where that type of work is involved.

On larger base course and surfacing projects of $25,000 \mathrm{CY}$ or more, consideration should be made for additional economies due to the large quantities. Total in place cost for these large jobs will average about $10 \%$ less. On the other hand, for small projects of $5,000 \mathrm{CY}$ or less, costs will be at least $20 \%$ higher. Contact Regional Geotechnical Engineering Staff when determining viability of potential source.

Aggregate costs estimates are broken down by:

- Basic Rock Cost
- Load and Apply
- Haul


## Basic Rock Cost

(Labor: 45 percent)
The following costs assume a production rate of 150 tons/hour. Material weighs 2,800 to $3,000 \mathrm{lbs}$./CY loose. Costs shown are in tons and loose cubic yards. Material Grading C ( 2 inch minus).

- Move-in/move-out. Includes cost to set up and take down equipment. Does not include movement of equipment commonly used on other parts of job. Make cost allowance per instructions under Section 151. - MOBILIZATION. Approximate cost for move-in/move-out are listed below for the different type of rock processing equipment.
- Screened : \$4,000
- Crushed Pit Rock : $\$ 9,500$
- Crushed Quarry Rock : \$16,000
- For platform scale add $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 2,500$ (includes move-in, set-up, ramps, and certification).
- If belt scales will be used, make an allowance of $\$ 500-\$ 1,500$ for certification.
- Pit development. Estimate pit or quarry development under Section 314. - STOCKPILED AGGREGATE. Cost may be included in basic rock cost or as a separate pay item. Costs should include:
- Clearing, grubbing, and slash cleanup
- Access roads
- Conserving topsoil
- Removal of overburden
- Ground control and traffic control
- Restoration
- Seeding
- Royalty charge for private pits. These are highly variable. Contact geotechnical engineer and/or landowner with possible pit development.
- Drilling and shooting. Cost varies depending on the hardness of the rock. The lower costs reflect using ANFO (ammonium nitrate with fuel oil) with 7 foot spacing and the higher costs reflect using rock powder or its equivalent with $6 \times 6$ spacing.

Table 46. Cost Range for Different Methods of Drilling and Shooting in Idaho and Montana

| Method | Idaho Cost <br> Range $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Idaho Cost <br> Range $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ | Montana Cost <br> Range $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Montana Cost <br> Range \$/TON |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal drilling and <br> shooting: (includes tractor <br> for moving material) | $\$ 3.24-\$ 7.66$ | $\$ 2.40-\$ 5.67$ | $\$ 3.36-\$ 7.86$ | $2.49-\$ 5.82$ |
| Breaking oversize | $\$ 5.24-\$ 9.66$ | $\$ 3.88-\$ 7.15$ | $\$ 5.36-\$ 9.86$ | $\$ 3.97-\$ 7.31$ |

- Ripping.

Table 47. Cost For Ripping In Idaho and Montana

|  | Idaho | Idaho |  | Montana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | Cost | Montana | Cost |
|  | Range | Range | Cost Range | Range |
| Method | \$/CY | \$/TON | \$/CY | \$/TON |
| Ripping | $\$ 1.48$ | $\$ 1.10$ | $\$ 1.67$ | $\$ 1.24$ |

- Crushing. For grading other than Grading C as defined in FSSS 703.05, the following multipliers should be applied to crushing costs shown below for pit rock or quarry rock.

Table 48. Cost Adjustment Factor for Gradation Other Than Grading C

| Grading <br> Designation | Max <br> Size <br> (inches) | Adjustment <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 3 | 0.95 |
| B | 2 | 0.95 |
| C | 2 | 1.00 |


| Grading <br> Designation | Max <br> Size <br> (inches) | Adjustment <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| D | 1 | 1.15 |
| E | $3 / 4$ | 1.20 |
| F | $11 / 2$ | 1.10 |
| G | 1 | 1.15 |
| H | $3 / 4$ | 1.25 |
| S | $11 / 2$ | 1.10 |
| T | 1 | 1.15 |
| U | $3 / 4$ | 1.25 |
| L | 6 | 0.60 |
| M | 6 | 0.70 |
| N | 4 | 0.65 |
| O | 4 | 0.70 |
| P | 3 | 0.70 |
| Q | 3 | 0.75 |
| R | 2 | 0.75 |

- Crushed Pit Rock. Drilling and shooting and/or ripping generally not required

Table 49. Cost for Crushed Pit Rock in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> $\$ / C Y$ | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ TON | Montana <br> $\$ /$ CY | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 4.24$ | $\$ 3.14$ | $\$ 5.08$ | $\$ 3.76$ |

- Crushed Quarry Rock. Cost includes loading into crusher.

Table 50. Cost for Crushed Quarry Rock in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> $\$ / C Y$ | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ TON | Montana <br> $\$ /$ CY | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 5.74$ | $\$ 4.25$ | $\$ 5.85$ | $\$ 4.33$ |

- If size-ratio requirements are included in the grading, increase crushing costs approximately $10 \%$.
- If bentonite binder is specified at $2 \%$ of aggregate quantity, add $\$ 5.00$ per ton to rock cost for projects over 10,000 tons and $\$ 7.00$ per ton for smaller projects.
- Screening only

Table 51. Cost for Screening Rock Only in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> \$/CY | Idaho <br> \$/TON | Montana <br> \$/CY | Montana <br> \$/TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 2.69$ | $\$ 2.00$ | $\$ 3.13$ | $\$ 2.32$ |

- Pit Run. No crushing required. Cost includes dozer, loader, and operators.

Table 52. Cost for Pit Run Rock in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> $\$ / \mathrm{CY}$ | Idaho <br> \$/TON | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 2.37$ | $\$ 1.75$ | $\$ 2.73$ | $\$ 1.02$ |

- Stockpiling.

Table 53. Cost for Stockpiling Rock in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> \$/CY | Idaho <br> \$/TON | Montana <br> \$/CY | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 1.15$ | $\$ 0.85$ | $\$ 1.26$ | $\$ 0.93$ |

- Weighing (Platform Scales) Idaho: \$0.29/ton; Montana:\$0.33/ton
- Contractor Quality Control. If required by contract, add the cost of contractor sampling and testing. See Section 153. - CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL


## Production Losses

In computing aggregate costs, one should calculate the total cost of producing the final quantity of aggregate desired. To determine unit costs, the total costs of each major subdivision (basic rock cost, load and apply, and haul) should then be divided by the final desired quantity. By following this procedure, the cost of normal production losses can be included in the unit cost of the final quantity.

The following production losses should be considered:

- On grade process and haul losses: essentially negligible for conscientious operator.
- Stockpiling losses: Approximately 5 percent; use only if stockpiling required by contract, physical arrangement of pit, or work schedule imposed by contract.
- Crushing/screening/blasting. Quarry operation approximately 5-10 percent. Gravel or rock pit -20-30 percent.

These seemingly high losses result from a high percentage of fines found in such pits. Technically, it is not "lost" material, but "reject" necessitated by graduation requirements. Actual estimate of losses should be based on field tests or experience.

## Small Quantities

Increase costs for small projects as calculated above by about $20 \%$ for jobs where the quantities are less than 5,000 tons or 3,500 CY.

Example Basic Rock Calculation
Given: Grading D, compact by hauling equipment.
Quantity required on the road -10,000 tons
Location: Idaho, Kootenai County
Assume hard rock quarry with stockpiling and weighing required.
Stockpiling loss - 5 percent
Crushing loss - 10 percent
To obtain 10,000 tons for the road, the contractor will have to drill, shoot, and process
approximately 11,500 tons (10,000 $+15 \%=11,500$ tons).
Contractor will stockpile 10,000 tons $+5 \%=10,500$ tons.
Move in-out (includes platform scale) \$17,000-Include under Section 151. - MOBILIZATION.

Answer:
Drill and shoot: $\$ 4.04 /$ TONs $x$ 11,500 TONs $=\$ 46,460$
Crushing and screening: $\$ 4.25 /$ TONs $x 11,500$ TONs $=\$ 48,875$
Stockpiling: $\$ 0.85 /$ TONs $x$ 10,500 TONs $=\$ 8,925$
Weighing: $\$ 0.29 /$ TONs $x 10,000$ TONs $=\$ 2,900$
Contractor sampling \& testing (lump sum): $=\$ 3,000$
Total Cost: $\$ 46,460+\$ 48,875+\$ 8,925+\$ 2,900+\$ 3,000=\$ 110,160$
Unit Cost for Base Rock: $\$ 110,160 / 10,000$ TONs $=\$ 11.02 /$ TON
Adjust for Idaho, Kootenai County, and 45\% Iabor; \$12.32/TON x $1.00=\$ 12.32 /$ TON
Engineer's Estimate: \$12.69/TON
Specified Road Cost: $\$ 12.69 /$ TON $\div 1.05=\$ 12.09 /$ TON

Load and Apply
(Labor $=40$ percent)
All costs for loading and applying shall be calculated based on loose volume of rock and converted to inplace quantity for payment.

- Loading costs are variable depending on procedures at pit. These vary depending on loading method.

Table 54. Cost per Loose CY and Ton by Loading Method in Idaho and Montana

| Loading Method | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from belt (included in basic rock cost) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| from hopper (included in basic rock cost) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| pit run (included in basic rock cost) | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| from stockpile | $\$ 0.97$ | $\$ 0.72$ | $\$ 1.15$ | $\$ 0.85$ |

- Initial Spreading (knocking down piles and rough grading if needed)

Table 55. Cost per Loose CY and Ton for Initial Spreading in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> $\$ / C Y$ | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ TON | Montana <br> $\$ / \mathrm{CY}$ | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 0.58$ | $\$ 0.43$ | $\$ 0.67$ | $\$ 0.50$ |

- Grid rolling. Cost is approximate.

Table 56. Cost per Loose CY And Ton for Grid Rolling in Idaho and Montana

| Idaho <br> $\$ / \mathrm{CY}$ | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ TON | Montana <br> $\$ / \mathrm{CY}$ | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 0.77$ | $\$ 0.57$ | $\$ 0.88$ | $\$ 0.65$ |

- Grading (Blading) of Aggregate Base or Surface Course. Cost is approximate.

Table 57. Cost Per Loose CY and Ton for Grading of Aggregate Base or Surface Course in Idaho and

| Idaho <br> \$/CY | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ TON | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Montana <br> $\$ /$ TON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 0.83$ | $\$ 0.61$ | $\$ 0.96$ | $\$ 0.71$ |

- Compaction. Cost is approximate.

Table 58. Cost Per Loose CY and Ton by Compaction Method in Idaho and Montana

| Method | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / C Y}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{\$ / T O N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With hauling equipment | $\$ 0.18$ | $\$ 0.13$ | $\$ 0.19$ | $\$ 0.14$ |
| With rollers | $\$ 0.71$ | $\$ 0.52$ | $\$ 0.81$ | $\$ 0.60$ |

- Watering: Estimate under Section 158. - WATERING FOR DUST CONTROL; water should be included in the cost estimate of Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES, unless Forest has sufficient contract administration personnel for inspection of watering as separate pay item.


## Aggregate Haul

(Labor $=30-50$ percent)
Estimate haul under Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT. These costs are based on loose cubic yards. Use appropriate weight conversion factor to convert to \$/TON-MILE. If measurement for payment or credit is on another basis, appropriate adjustment factors must be made.

If construction induced maintenance is needed, it should be included in aggregate haul costs.
Example Aggregate Haul Calculation
Given: Variable costs of haul (based on road characteristics) and average round trip travel speed 3.0 miles @ 30 mph
6.0 miles @ 15 mph
2.5 miles @ 10 mph (include distance to turnaround)

Belly dump trucks (18 CY)
Density: 1.35 tons per cubic yard
Basis of payment: Ton
Location: Idaho - Rest of Idaho
Labor: 35\%
Answer:
Haul Cost $=$ Fixed Cost $+($ Variable Haul Cost $x$ Haul Distance $)$
See HAUL Section for unit costs

$$
\text { Haul Cost }=\$ 1.55+(\$ 0.41 \times 3.0)+(\$ 0.82 \times 6.0)+(\$ 1.24 \times 2.5)=\$ 10.80 / \text { TON }
$$

Engineer's Estimate:
Adjust for Location (35\% Labor)

$$
\$ 10.80 \times 0.97=\$ 10.48 / \text { TON }
$$

Specified Road Cost:
Adjust Engineer's. Estimate for Construction Wage rate Differential

$$
\$ 10.48 \div 1.01=\$ 10.38 / \mathrm{TON}
$$

## SECTION 303. - ROAD RECONDITIONING

(Labor 40-60 percent)
Road Reconditioning is broken into the following categories in FP14:

- Ditch Reconditioning
- Shoulder Reconditioning
- Roadbed Reconditioning
- Aggregate Surface Reconditioning, and
- Roadway Reconditioning (combines all the above into one)

Normally, the majority of "reconditioning" work should be done with a grader with some minor blasting and/or tractor work for localized rock problems. More extensive work should be covered under a more appropriate section. For example, removing slides when haul is required should be estimated under Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.

## Ditch Reconditioning

Table 59. Ditch Reconditioning Costs

| Ditch Reconditioning $\$ /$ Mile |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Idaho | Montana |
| $\$ 362$ | $\$ 425$ |

## Shoulder Reconditioning

The travelled way and shoulder are often indiscernible on native or aggregate roads. Subsequently shoulder reconditioning is typically indirect to other reconditioning items.

## Roadbed Reconditioning

Roadbed reconditioning applies to native surface roads. The cost of roadbed reconditioning may vary by road condition but is typically relative to the prevalence of oversize rock (ie > 6 ") and the availability of fine or gravelly material. Fine and gravelly material may be found within the roadbed or the adjacent cut slope and when available can be efficiently used for shaping and filling voids during the reconditioning process. The presence of fine and gravelly material relative to oversize rock material should be considered when choosing between the cost ranges of roadbed reconditioning.

Table 60. Roadbed Reconditioning Costs

| Road Width | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single Lane | $\$ 1,069-\$ 1,666$ | $\$ 1,249-\$ 1,928$ |
| Double Lane | $\$ 1,417-\$ 2,213$ | $\$ 1,656-\$ 2,579$ |

## Aggregate Surface Reconditioning

Aggregate surface reconditioning applies to roads with an improved or imported aggregate surface with maximum particle size of $21 / 2$ inches.

Table 61. Aggregate Surface Reconditioning Costs

| Road Width | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single Lane | $\$ 413$ | $\$ 483$ |
| Double Lane | $\$ 557$ | $\$ 652$ |

## Roadway Reconditioning

Roadway reconditioning includes ditch, shoulder, and roadbed or aggregate surface reconditioning. Combine the costs of individual reconditioning components to determine the cost of roadway reconditioning.

## Compaction.

Refer to FP14 FSSS 204.00 for compaction methods.
Table 62. Compaction Cost for Reconditioning

| Compaction Method | Idaho <br> Single Lane | Idaho <br> Double Lane | Montana <br> Single Lane | Montana <br> Double Lane |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Placement Method 2 | $\$ 395$ | $\$ 658$ | $\$ 453$ | $\$ 755$ |
| Placement Method 3 | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 368$ | $\$ 255$ | $\$ 429$ |
| Placement Method 4 | $\$ 149$ | $\$ 249$ | $\$ 174$ | $\$ 293$ |

Example

Given: Single Lane Native surface road (>6" rock intermittently present and onsite fine and gravel material available)
Location: Montana Zone 2
Compaction method 4

Determine Roadway Reconditioning Cost:

- Table 59. Ditch Reconditioning Costs: \$425/Mile
- Table 60. Roadbed Reconditioning Costs: \$1400/Mile
- Table 62. Compaction Cost for Reconditioning: \$174/Mile

$$
\$ 425+\$ 1,400+\$ 174=\$ \mathbf{1}, 999 / M i l e
$$

Engineer's Estimate (50\% labor):

$$
\$ 1,999 \times 0.99=\$ 1,979 / \text { Mile }
$$

Specified Road Cost (50\% labor):

$$
\$ 1,979 \div 1.22=\$ 1,622 / \text { Mile }
$$

## SECTION 312. - DUST PALLATIVE

(Contract Item)
Refer to current dust palliative manufacturer and geotechnical engineering information for detailed information on product characteristics, application rates, estimating procedure, conversion factors and calculations. If product is unavailable locally, recommendations and information are available from the RO Materials Engineering. The following is a summary of essential information.

Application Rates. See Application Rates in Standard Specifications for Construction of Roads and Bridges on Federal Highway Projects, FP-14 Error! Reference source not found.

Rates for liquid products are based on the solid contents shown in Table 63. Approximate WeightVolume Factors @ $60^{\circ}$. These products may be furnished with varying amounts of water and if so, adjustments based upon the weight of solids may be necessary on the application rates and payment. Rates will vary depending on the type and condition of the surface and the amount of residual dust abatement material present. For example, more dust abatement material will be required for loose pitrun gravel and less for unsurfaced roads in clay material.

Due to leaching of the chloride products, it is recommended that the product be applied slightly narrower in width than the surfacing, particularly along riparian areas.

Table 63. Approximate Weight-Volume Factors @ 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$

| Material | Gallons/ <br> Ton | Pounds/ <br> Gallon | Average <br> Application Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lignin Sulfonate, 50 percent solids, 1.20 min Specific <br> Gravity | 190 | 10.51 | $0.4 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |
| Magnesium Chloride, 30 percent minimum solids, <br> $1.29-1.33$ Specific Gravity. | 182 | 10.98 | $0.5 \mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |
| Calcium Chloride Dry flake (83\%-87\% concentration) | NA | NA | $1.6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |
| Calcium Chloride Dry pellet (90\%-94\% concentration) | NA | NA | $1.3 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |
| Calcium Chloride Liquid, 38 percent solids Specific <br> Gravity 1.39 | 173 | 11.57 | $0.27 \mathrm{gal}^{2} / \mathrm{yd}^{2}$ |

Unit Material Cost. Prices can be extremely variable, particularly for dust oils. Up-to-date quotes should be obtained from local suppliers for each project.

Shipping Costs: Shipping costs are variable and should be verified for each project.
Road Preparation: Road preparation costs will depend on the existing surface condition.
Application Cost: Typical liquid application costs are $\$ 25$ to $\$ 85$ per M-Gals ( $\$ 5$ to $\$ 15$ per ton) of liquid, depending on the type of distributor. Call distributor for additional information.

General Costs: General costs to apply dust abatement to a road including blading, watering, applying dust palliative, and compacting range from $0.50 \$ / \mathrm{ft}$ to $0.75 \$ / \mathrm{ft}$ for single lane roads and $0.75 \$ / \mathrm{ft}$ to $1.30 \$ / \mathrm{ft}$ for double lane roads.

## SECTION 314. - STOCKPILED AGGREGATE

## Development of Pits and Quarries

(Labor percent and reduction as per sections used in estimating)
Clearing, grubbing, and slash clean-up should be estimated as recommended for Section 201. CLEARING AND GRUBBING, include an additional allowance for difficult terrain.

Access roads may be estimated as lump sum based upon equipment and labor hours or unit prices for construction items as covered in Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT. Pay particular attention to materials and terrain encountered in access road construction that will affect cost of construction.

Quarry stripping, slope rounding, restoration, and clean-up should be estimated as lump sum based upon equipment and labor hours or unit prices for construction items as covered in Section 204. EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.

Turf establishment may be estimated per instructions in Section 625. - TURF ESTABLISHMENT.
Ground and traffic control estimated per requirements in Section 633. - PERMANENT TRAFFIC CONTROL.
Consider making development costs indirect to the items requiring the pit or quarry. Estimator should pay close attention to requirements shown on the pit development plan and 30 CFR part 56.

## PART VII. DIVISION 400 ASPHALT PAVEMENTS AND SURFACE TREATMENTS

General: Contractor Quality Control and Testing - All materials and tasks, as applicable, need to be certified and all contractor control and sampling need to be accomplished per Specification FP-14 Section 106 - ACCEPTANCE OF WORK and Section 154. - CONTRACTOR SAMPLING AND TESTING.

## SECTION 403. - ASPHALT CONCRETE

## (Contract Item)

Estimates should be based upon current local prices, remoteness and size of project, haul distance of materials, adequacy of worksite, etc.

Compare the cost of on-site production with feasible commercial sources in the area. Move-in and move-out costs of a portable plant will often control prices on small projects. In the absence of local prices, use $\$ 90$ to $\$ 120$ per TON for estimates of in-place asphalt concrete. If separate estimates for asphalt cement and asphalt pavement are needed, use $\$ 300$ to $\$ 450$ per TON for asphalt cement and $\$ 70$ to $\$ 100$ per TON for asphalt concrete.

The in-place compacted density and asphalt content used for calculating quantities should be based on a preliminary mix design. In lieu of other information, use 145 pounds per cubic foot for in-place compacted density and 6.0 percent asphalt cement based on weight of total mix.

Lower air voids (3\%) and high asphalt content ( $\sim 6 \%$ by weight) are generally better for most low volume roads. It may be helpful to separate asphalt cement and asphalt pavement as separate pay items so that the contractor is fairly compensated for extra asphalt cement.

Increase cost of asphalt if anti-strip additive is required.
SECTION 407. - CHIP SEAL
(Contract Item)
Aggregate. Costs are dependent upon quantity and location. Include the following:

- Crush and Stockpile. Refer to Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES. Crushing costs can vary widely depending on the gradation selected, whether aggregates are produced as a byproduct of other crushing operations or produced separately, and availability of commercial sources.
- Chips. Average weight is 2300 lbs./CY (loose).
- Loading Aggregate. Refer to Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES.
- Weighing. (platform scales) Include cost for scale move-in, set-up and certification (Refer to Section 151. - MOBILIZATION). If bin or belt scales are used, allow $\$ 500-\$ 1000$ for certification. For small projects consider using lump sum or cubic yard measurement.
- Hauling. Refer to Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.
- Road Surface Preparation, Brooming \& Other Prep Work. Estimate the number of passes for power broom. Travel speed of 5-7 miles per hour.
- Aggregate Application. Include cost of self-propelled aggregate spreader and truck time while waiting and spreading.
- Rolling. Immediately after application of aggregate. Estimate using pneumatic-tired roller (selfpropelled).
- Traffic control. Determine if traffic count and type of traffic warrant the need for pilot car and/or flag persons. Special or unusual construction signing should also be considered.
- Surface Maintenance. Determine maintenance and brooming during and at the end of the curing period.
- Temporary Centerline Marking. Refer to Section 634. - PERMANENT PAVEMENT MARKINGS.

Bituminous Material. Obtain current quotes from local suppliers. Asphalt costs are dependent upon quantity and location.

Refer to the FP-14 specifications for Application Rates for emulsified and liquid asphalts.
Consider location of tanker trucks or temporary storage tank and time to re-fill distributor. Small, irregular areas such as in campgrounds or parking areas should be estimated using hourly rates for a distributor and hand spraying.

Increase cost of asphalt if anti-strip additive is required for cut-back asphalts.
Bituminous Surface Treatments and Seal Coats Using Emulsified Asphalts. Refer to the FP-14 specifications and FSSS for Application Rates for emulsified and liquid asphalts.

For estimating use the highest rates for aggregate and asphalt emulsion shown for the type of treatment desired.

Final rates should be determined by testing the aggregate after contract award. Coordinate with RO Geotechnical Engineer for assistance.

Use rock size (gradation) that is similar to local State requirements to obtain more competitive bids.
For campgrounds and administrative sites that have a buildup of dirt and pine needles along the shoulder, increase the costs for cleanup.

In absence of local costs, use $\$ 2$ to $\$ 5$ per square yard.

## SEAL FOR LIGHT TRAFFIC PAVEMENTS

Application rates depend on your project conditions and the desired surface. Cost vary contingent on cost of materials, size of project and condition of pavement. Unit prices should be less for projects over 10,000 square yards and higher for smaller irregular shaped areas that are at least partially covered with duff and pine needles.

Contacting local contractors is recommended if an accurate estimate is desired. Contractors are listed in the Yellow Pages under "Asphalt and Asphalt Products", and under "Pave Materials". When discussing your project with them, the following items should be reviewed.

- The specification requires seal material conforming to FP-14 Section 702 - ASPHALT MATERIAL. Proprietary products like Seal Master, Tuff Coat, and PermaSeal have met this spec on past projects. If they are not familiar with the spec, you should FAX them a copy.
- Your project size and payment quantity is expressed in square yards, and not square feet.
- The specification application rates for aggregate are in pounds solids per square yard and for emulsified asphalt and asphalt binder are in gallons per square yard.
- A site visit by a local contractor is preferred, so they can get a better idea of the amount of the crack filling, pavement surface cleanup required, etc.


## SECTION 410. - SLURRY SEAL <br> (Contract Item)

Obtain costs from supplier.

## SECTION 411. - ASPHALT PRIME COAT

(Contract Item)
Obtain current quotes from local suppliers. Asphalt costs dependent upon quantity and location.

## SECTION 412. - ASPHALT TACK COAT

(Contract Item)
$\$ 1.75$ to $\$ 3.00$ per square yard. Check with local suppliers. Costs is dependent upon quantity and location. Ensure surface preparation and brooming cost are included in quoted price, if not make allowances for this work. In absence of local costs, estimate.

## SECTION 414. - ASPHALT PAVEMENT CRACK SEALING AND FILLING

(Contract Item)
$\$ 2.00$ to $\$ 5.00$ per lineal foot or $\$ 3.00$ to 6.00 per lb. in place. Check with local suppliers.
SECTION 415. - PAVING GEOTEXTILES
(Contract Item)
Obtain costs from local suppliers.
SECTION 418. - ASPHALT CONCRETE PAVEMENT PATCHING
(Contract Item)
$\$ 100$ to $\$ 150$ per ton in place. Check with local suppliers.

## PART VIII. DIVISION 550 BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATING BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION FOR PROGRAMMING

Use the methods and unit costs shown in this section to estimate bridge costs. Cost figures include bridge superstructure and substructure costs, "curbs only" railing system (no approach guardrail), riprap, bridge removal, normal erosion and pollution control work, and nominal approach roadway work ( $\sim 5 \%$ to $10 \%$ of bridge costs).

Currently, $90 \%$ of new or replacement bridges have a spill-thru type configuration (trapezoidal stream channel opening). For spans up to 40 feet, concrete, timber or steel bridges are all competitive alternatives. For spans above 40 feet, concrete and steel are the most competitive alternatives.

For spill thru bridge configurations, the span length (S), can be estimated if the "bankfull" dimension (BF), and height (H) from finish grade to stream bed is known. Span length will be approximately, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{BF}$ $+5+\left(3^{*} H\right)$. If scour potential is low, abutments are typically concrete caps perched above the stream in the approach fill. If scour potential is high, abutments will be piling or deep spread footing founded below the stream bed.

Use the following to estimate bridge costs.
Spans up to 40 feet (\$/LF).

- Single Lane $\$ 3000$ to $\$ 4000$ per Linear Foot
- Double Lane \$4000 to \$5500 per Linear Foot

Spans greater than 40 feet (\$/LF).

- Single Lane $\$ 3250$ to $\$ 4250$ per Linear Foot
- Double Lane $\$ 4250$ to $\$ 5250$ per Linear Foot

Bridge Rail/Guardrail.
Add $\$ 200 /$ LF of bridge for bridge rail and $\$ 35,000$ for approach guardrail if needed.
Piling/Deep Spread Footings.
Needed due to high scour potential, add \$40,000 for single lane bridges and \$50,000 for double lane bridges.

A/E Design.
Add $15 \%$ for $A / E$ design costs to include site surveys, preliminary report, and final design.
Costs can vary greatly depending on the general approach conditions, BMP work, and stream channel work that might be included. Questions should be directed to the Regional Transportation Structures Group Bridge Engineer.

## SECTION 551. - DRIVEN PILES

(Contract Item)
Table 64. Furnished Pile Cost, Drive Cost and Shoe Cost for Driven Piles by type of Pile

| Type of Pile | Furnished Pile Cost | Drive Cost | Shoe Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Treated Timber | $\$ 35 /$ LF | $\$ 55-70 /$ LF | $\$ 150 /$ ea |
| Steel - HP10×42 | $\$ 42 /$ LF | $\$ 55-70 /$ LF | $\$ 350 /$ ea |
| Steel - HP12 $\times 53$ | $\$ 53 /$ LF | $\$ 55-70 /$ LF | $\$ 350 /$ ea |

When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

## SECTION 552. - STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

(Contract Item)
$\$ 600$ to $\$ 1000$ per cubic yard - Depending on haul and quantity
When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

## SECTION 553. - PRESTRESSED CONCRETE

(Contract Item)
Multi-Beams (Includes Installation).

- Tri-Deck: \$110/SF
- Bulb Tee - 3' to $4^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ : $\$ 110 /$ SF
- Bulb Tee - $5^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ : $\$ 130 /$ SF
- Concrete curb: Add $\$ 125 / \mathrm{LF}$

When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

## SECTION 554. - REINFORCING STEEL

(Contract Item)

- Large jobs\$1.75/lb.
- Small jobs (under 1000 lbs.$) \$ 2.00 / \mathrm{lb}$.


## SECTION 555. - STEEL STRUCTURES

(Contract Item)
SECTION 556. - BRIDGE RAILING
(Contract Item)

- Approach Rail: \$ 175/LF
- T101 W-beam rail (TL-3): \$200/LF
- Glue Lam. "curb only" (TL-1): \$115/LF
- Concrete "curb only" (TL-1): \$125/LF

SECTION 557. - TIMBER STRUCTURES
(Contract Item) or (R-I Treated Timber Standards - Labor 45 percent of installation cost only)

Table 65. Timber Structures Material Cost per Thousand Board Foot Measure

| Material Description | \$/MBFM |
| :--- | :---: |
| Solid sawn (up to 3 inches thick) | $\$ 2000$ |
| Solid sawn (up to 3 inches thick) - Heavy | $\$ 4000$ |
| Solid sawn (up to 3 inches thick) - Treatment | add $20 \%$ |
| Glue Laminated | $\$ 4000$ |
| Glue Laminated - Treatment | add $35 \%$ |
| Timber Hardware | $\$ 5000$ |
| Treated Structural Timber (installed) | $\$ 7000$ |
| Treated Structural Glu-lam Superstructure <br> (installed) | $\$ 8000$ |
| Treated Structural Glu-lam Substructure (installed) <br> (vertical timber wall abutments) |  |

When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

## PART IX. DIVISION 600 INCIDENTAL CONSTRUCTION SECTION 601. - MINOR CONCRETE STRUCTURES <br> (Labor 40 percent)

Concrete for minor structures (about 30 CY or less). Unit price may vary from $\$ 400$ to $\$ 500$ per CY , depending upon quantity, distance from concrete source, forming difficulty, etc. When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

Very small quantities of concrete for fence posts, gate post, etc. (no forms required). Cost will be about $\$ 100 / \mathrm{CY}$ or greater, depending on number of sites, access, etc.

## SECTION 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS

General Information. Average unit costs for metal culverts in this guide may be used without adjustment for projects having culverts in excess of 10,000 pounds total. For quantities less than 10,000 pounds, an additional allowance for material only (not installation costs) should be made using the following factors:

- Up To 5,000 lbs. use a factor of 1.35
- 5,000-10,000 lbs. use a factor of 1.25

Quality Control. When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.

Compaction is under Section 209. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL.
Include costs for all required permits under Section 151. - MOBILIZATION. Refer to 404 Permitting., Storm Water Permitting., and Montana Stream Protection Act (SPA 124) and 318 Authorization Permits. under Error! Reference source not found..

Culverts up to $36^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter and CMPAs up to $42^{\prime \prime} \times 29^{\prime \prime}$ :
Costs including excavation are included in the table below. Unit cost for culverts installed in existing roads and "after grade" will normally be higher than those for new construction due to the increased amount of excavation. The following culvert prices includes bands and should be used for the condition indicated.

Table 66. Cost per LF to Furnish and Install Culverts up 36" in Diameter by installation type for Idaho and Montana.

|  | New <br> Construction <br> Idaho |  <br> Reconstruction <br> (Shallow Installation) <br> Idaho | New <br> Construction <br> Montana |  <br> Reconstruction <br> (Shallow Installation) <br> Montana |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $15^{\prime \prime}$ | $\$ 29$ | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 31$ | $\$ 34$ |
| 18 " | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 35$ | $\$ 34$ | $\$ 37$ |
| 24 " | $\$ 37$ | $\$ 40$ | $\$ 39$ | $\$ 43$ |
| $30 "$ | $\$ 48$ | $\$ 51$ | $\$ 51$ | $\$ 54$ |
| 36 " | $\$ 59$ | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 62$ | $\$ 65$ |

Longer lengths or Steep side slopes.
Increase the above costs by a factor of 1.1 to 1.3 to reflect steepness of side slopes.
Adjustment to cost in Table 66 for Compaction Method.

Table 67. Compaction Method Cost Adjustment for installation of Culverts up to 36" in Diameter.

| Compaction Method Description - FP14 National FSSS 209.10 | Labor \% | Cost <br> Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Compaction Method 1 | $40-60$ | 1.2 |
| Compaction Method 2 and 6 | $40-60$ | 1.0 |
| Compaction Method 3,4, and 5 | 30 | 0.9 |

Culverts 42" in diameter and larger and CMPAs 49" $\times 33^{\prime \prime}$ and larger:
(Labor: 40-60\%)
Estimate larger pipes by time and equipment methods. Following are some items that should be considered under Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS, Section 208. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL FOR SELECTED MAJOR STRUCTURES and Section 209. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL when estimating installation of larger pipes:

- Analyze the cost of materials for different culvert corrugations. Often a lighter metal thickness can be used with the wider corrugations which may result in a savings in materials costs.
- Allow costs for metal end sections, culvert end treatments, shop ellipse, special coatings, and adjustment for pipe arches if required. Call culvert suppliers for quotes.
- Estimate the amount of time and equipment required (excavation equipment, compaction equipment, labor, operators, etc.) to excavate and construct the culvert bed including excavation below the invert elevation for removal of unsuitable or unstable material and to bed and backfill the pipe. Allow time for diversion of the stream and cost for special materials or equipment needed for diversion such as plastic sheeting, piping, pumps, etc. Check results against bid history.
- If springs, seeps, or underground flows are expected in the culvert area, allowance should be made for filter cloth, drain rock, cutoffs, special bedding, or special backfill material.
- Section 208. - STRUCTURE EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL FOR SELECTED MAJOR STRUCTURES. Bedding material for culvert backfill ranges from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 25 / C Y$, actual cost will depend on haul distance, excavation conditions, etc.

Culvert Material Base Price
Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP)
Table 68. Cost for CMP with 2-2/3 in. x 1/2in. Corrugations and 0.064 (16ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | $\$ 10.60$ | 10 |
| 15 | $\$ 12.63$ | 12 |
| 18 | $\$ 16.20$ | 15 |
| 24 | $\$ 20.52$ | 19 |
| 30 | $\$ 25.92$ | 24 |
| 36 | $\$ 31.32$ | 29 |
| 42 | $\$ 36.72$ | 34 |
| 48 | $\$ 41.04$ | 38 |

Table 69. Cost for CMP with 2-2/3 in x 1/2in. Corrugations and 0.079 (14ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $\$ 19.16$ | 18 |
| 24 | $\$ 25.54$ | 24 |
| 30 | $\$ 31.93$ | 30 |
| 36 | $\$ 38.32$ | 36 |
| 42 | $\$ 44.70$ | 42 |
| 48 | $\$ 51.09$ | 48 |
| 54 | $\$ 57.47$ | 54 |

Table 70. Cost for CMP with 2-2/3 in. x 1/2in. Corrugations and 0.109 (12ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $\$ 35.12$ | 33 |
| 30 | $\$ 43.64$ | 41 |
| 36 | $\$ 52.15$ | 49 |
| 42 | $\$ 60.67$ | 57 |
| 48 | $\$ 69.18$ | 65 |
| 54 | $\$ 77.70$ | 73 |
| 60 | $\$ 86.21$ | 81 |
| 66 | $\$ 94.73$ | 89 |

Table 71. Cost for CMP with 2-2/3 in. x 1/2in. Corrugations and 0.138 (10ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | $\$ 109.63$ | 103 |
| 66 | $\$ 120.27$ | 113 |
| 72 | $\$ 130.91$ | 123 |

Table 72. Cost for CMP with 3"x1" and 5"x1" Corrugations and 0.064 (16ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | $\$ 41.51$ | 39 |
| 48 | $\$ 46.83$ | 44 |
| 54 | $\$ 53.22$ | 50 |
| 60 | $\$ 58.54$ | 55 |
| 66 | $\$ 63.86$ | 60 |
| 72 | $\$ 70.25$ | 66 |
| 78 | $\$ 75.57$ | 71 |
| 84 | $\$ 81.95$ | 77 |

Table 73. Cost for CMP with 3"x1" and 5"x1" Corrugations and 0.079 (14ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | $\$ 50.02$ | 47 |
| 48 | $\$ 57.47$ | 54 |
| 54 | $\$ 64.92$ | 61 |
| 60 | $\$ 71.31$ | 67 |
| 66 | $\$ 78.76$ | 74 |
| 72 | $\$ 86.21$ | 81 |
| 78 | $\$ 92.60$ | 87 |
| 84 | $\$ 100.05$ | 94 |
| 90 | $\$ 106.43$ | 100 |
| 96 | $\$ 113.88$ | 107 |
| 102 | $\$ 121.33$ | 114 |
| 108 | $\$ 127.72$ | 120 |

Table 74. Cost for CMP with 3"x1" and 5"x1" Corrugations and 0.109 (12ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | $\$ 88.34$ | 83 |
| 60 | $\$ 97.92$ | 92 |
| 66 | $\$ 107.50$ | 101 |
| 72 | $\$ 117.08$ | 110 |
| 78 | $\$ 126.66$ | 119 |
| 84 | $\$ 136.24$ | 128 |
| 90 | $\$ 145.81$ | 137 |
| 96 | $\$ 156.46$ | 147 |
| 108 | $\$ 175.62$ | 165 |
| 120 | $\$ 194.77$ | 183 |

Table 75. Cost for CMP with 3 "x1" and 5"x1" Corrugations and 0.138 (10ga) Thickness.

| Size (inches) | $\mathbf{\$ / f t}$ | $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{f t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | $\$ 224.58$ | 211 |
| 120 | $\$ 249.06$ | 234 |
| 132 | $\$ 275.66$ | 259 |
| 144 | $\$ 300.14$ | 282 |

## Coupling Bands - Equivalent cost.

- The cost of coupling bands up to and including $48^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to 1.5 feet of pipe.
- The cost of coupling bands greater than $48^{\prime \prime}$ diameter is equal to 2.5 feet of pipe

Arched pipe.

- More than 100' of one diameter, add 15 percent.
- Between 30 to 100 ' of one diameter, add 25 percent,
- Less than 30 ' of one diameter add 40 percent.


## Culvert Pipe End Treatment

Does not include material.
Table 76. Cost per Cut for Labor and Equipment to Cut a Skew or Bevel Culvert Pipe End

| Diameter or equivalent <br> Span \& Rise (inches) | Price per cut <br> (skew or bevel) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $\$ 27.00$ |
| 24 | $\$ 36.00$ |
| 30 | $\$ 45.00$ |
| 36 | $\$ 54.00$ |
| 42 | $\$ 63.00$ |
| 48 | $\$ 72.00$ |
| 54 | $\$ 81.00$ |


| Diameter or equivalent <br> Span \& Rise (inches) | Price per cut <br> (skew or bevel) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 60 | $\$ 90.00$ |
| 66 | $\$ 99.00$ |
| 72 | $\$ 108.00$ |

Five Percent Shop Ellipse
Same cost additions as for arch pipe. Call for quotes.
Special Coatings
Call culvert distributor for quotes.
End Sections (Flared End Terminal Sections)
Table 77. Cost per EACH End Section for Round Pipe

| Diameter of <br> pipe (inches) | Gage | Price (ea.) | Galvanized <br> Weight (lbs.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 16 | $\$ 90.17$ | 28 |
| 15 | 16 | $\$ 114.21$ | 36 |
| 18 | 16 | $\$ 153.75$ | 50 |
| 24 | 16 | $\$ 223.97$ | 76 |
| 30 | 14 | $\$ 449.81$ | 157 |
| 36 | 14 | $\$ 683.55$ | 209 |
| 42 | 12 | $\$ 1,102.20$ | 430 |
| 48 | 12 | $\$ 1,280.27$ | 509 |
| 54 | 12 | $\$ 1,515.29$ | 630 |
| 60 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 2,039.04$ | 826 |
| 72 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 2,461.35$ | 998 |
| 84 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 2,989.48$ | 1200 |

Table 78. Cost per EACH End Sections for Arch Pipe

| Dimensions <br> of Arch <br> (inches) | Equivalen <br> t Round <br> (inches) | Gage | Price (ea.) | Galvanized <br> Weight (lbs.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $17 \times 13$ | 15 | 16 | $\$ 123.76$ | 30 |
| $21 \times 15$ | 18 | 16 | $\$ 144.00$ | 37 |
| $28 \times 20$ | 24 | 16 | $\$ 214.58$ | 60 |
| $35 \times 24$ | 30 | 14 | $\$ 359.51$ | 109 |
| $42 \times 29$ | 36 | 14 | $\$ 577.61$ | 165 |
| $49 \times 33$ | 42 | 12 | $\$ 861.93$ | 276 |
| $57 \times 38$ | 48 | 12 | $\$ 875.64$ | 361 |
| $64 \times 43$ | 54 | 12 | $\$ 2,003.11$ | 520 |
| $71 \times 47$ | 60 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 2,443.48$ | 790 |
| $77 \times 52$ | 66 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 3,166.89$ | 818 |
| $83 \times 57$ | 72 | 10 or 12 | $\$ 3,408.67$ | 887 |

## Timber Cross Drains and Drainage Deflectors

(Labor 30 percent)
Designer and estimator are reminded that extreme caution is warranted for designing and/or use of open-top culverts due to relatively high initial costs and potential maintenance problems.

Open-tops are not recommended for surfaced roads, particularly Level of Service A through H. Use on low-standard roads where nothing else is practical on a short-term basis may be warranted. Costs nearly equal conventional polyethylene or metal culverts including catch basin construction over the longterm.

Drainage deflectors with rubber belting have usually proven to be better than open-tops for diverting water off the road surface on steeper grades. They generally require less maintenance, except on heavily traveled roads where the belting needs replacement on a periodic basis. The in-place cost of these deflectors is about the same as cost per foot of an $18^{\prime \prime}$ installed CMP, plus riprap for slope protection if required.

## SECTION 603. - STRUCTURAL PLATE STRUCTURES

(Labor 20 percent)
Costs do not include the cost of the footing, structural excavation, embankment, or riprap. Each project should be estimated on material, time, and equipment basis.

When applicable, include an appropriate allowance in this pay item for contractor quality control.
Call for quotes on material cost.

## SECTION 604. - MANHOLES, INLETS, AND CATCH BASINS

(Labor 25 percent)
Call culvert manufacturer for prices. Use time and equipment for installation.

## SECTION 605. - UNDERDRAINS, SHEET DRAINS, AND PAVEMENT EDGE DRAINS

(See items below for labor and reductions)

## Underdrains

Perforated pipe $\$ / L F$ (Labor 40 percent). Add 15 percent to standard culvert price.

## Porous backfill (filter material)

(Labor 10 percent)
Develop cost by using same criteria as used for Section 301. - UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES. Haul cost to be estimated from the nearest point of manufacture.

## Geotextiles

(Labor 10 percent)
When using geotextiles, the pipe must be placed in open graded porous material.

## Granular underdrain

(Labor 25 percent)
The cost of granular underdrain is normally on a CY basis which includes cost of production, loading, hauling, spreading, and compaction. Develop cost by using same criteria as used for Section 301. UNTREATED AGGREGATE COURSES (screened material).

Sheet Drains
(Labor 30 percent)
Due to the variable nature of availability, type and gradation of the rock, the different geotextile materials that may be specified, and the different site conditions that may be encountered this work should be estimated using the "time and equipment" estimating procedures.

## SECTION 606. - CORRUGATED METAL SPILLWAYS

(Labor 20 percent)
Use time, material, and equipment.

## Round Pipe

If round pipe is used, 70 percent of the unit price in Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS will apply, unless difficult slope conditions are encountered.

## Elbows

Include two connecting bands.

## Anchors

Estimate by material and time.

## Berm Drain

Unit cost consists of installation of prefabricated corrugated metal catch basin 12" diameter with slip joint and 20 feet of 8 " corrugated metal downspout with downspout anchors.

## Flexible Downdrain

Lowest price for larger quantity of 200 or more lineal feet.

## Inlet assemblies

Estimated the same as Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS, End Sections. Inlet assemblies are measured by the number installed and accepted.

## Downpipe

Measure the quantity of lineal feet installed including accessories except inlets. Estimates should include gaskets and anchors. An $18^{\prime \prime}$ downpipe with all accessories will cost about $\$ 60 / \mathrm{LF}$ installed.

## Anchors

Required for downpipes. Anchors should be placed approximately every 10 feet and at the outlet. A culvert anchor installation may consist of stakes and bands or two metal fence posts and wire. The metal fence post culvert anchor may be used for downipe up to $30^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter. $30^{\prime \prime}$ diameter pipe and larger will require anchors especially designed for them.

SECTION 607. - CLEANING, RELAYING, AND REPAIRING EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURES (Labor 75 percent)

Caution needs to be taken in using this item on metal culverts that have any significant age and or deterioration. Unit price should take into consideration costs related to removing, cleaning, relaying and/or stockpiling pipe.

Excavation for removing pipe should be estimated at the unit price for culvert excavation, or use time and equipment. Removing, cleaning, and relaying of pipe should cost approximately 70 percent of the in place price per foot for new construction for a given size of pipe as listed in Section 602. - CULVERTS AND DRAINS.

## SECTION 609. - CURB AND GUTTER

(Labor 40-50 percent)
Use time, materials, and equipment estimate.

## SECTION 615. - SIDEWALKS, PADS, AND PAVED MEDIANS

(Labor 40-50 percent)
Use time, materials, and equipment estimate.

## SECTION 617. - GUARDRAIL

(Contract Item)
Use approximately $\$ 50$ per lineal foot (installed). Cost includes posts on 6 ' 3 " centers and regular sections.

Add $20 \%$ to unit price for curved rail sections.
Estimate about \$1000-\$3000 each for end anchorage or terminal sections based on type of system used.

Add 40\% for Rustic guardrail.
Call manufacturer for price quotes on material prices.
When guardrail is required on both sides of the roadway, include the total length of rail on both sides.
The length of the rail is determined by measuring the length necessary where it is installed adjacent to the road shoulder, and not from the road centerline length. Also, the length of guardrail is determined by slope distance, not horizontal distance.

## SECTION 618. - CONCRETE BARRIERS AND PRECAST GUARDWALLS (Contract Item)

Concrete barriers (Jersey) will cost about \$110 per lineal foot plus installation.
Call manufacturer for price quotes on material prices.

SECTION 619. - FENCES, GATES, CATTLE GUARDS, AND BOLLARD POST
Signs should be estimated under Section 633. - PERMANENT TRAFFIC CONTROL.
Fences
(Labor 60 percent)
Four strand barbed wire - Estimate by time, equipment and material. Costs average about \$4.00/LF.

## Gates

(Labor 15 percent metal gates, 65 percent wire gates, 10 percent concrete barriers, 30 percent guardrail barriers).

Check with supplier for current cost and estimate installation using time and equipment based on actual installation site.

- General cost of Double Lane Metal Gate - \$3500-\$5000 EACH.
- General cost of Single Lane Metal Gate - \$2500-\$3500 EACH.
- Concrete Barriers - Estimate by time, equipment and material. Call for quotes.
- Guardrail Barriers - Estimate by time, equipment and material. Call for quotes.


## Cattleguards

(Labor 10 percent)
Installed Cost is approximately $\$ 12,000$ for 16 ' $\mathbf{- 0 "}$ width steel cattle guard. Price includes wings and base. Check with supplier for current cost and estimate installation using time and equipment based on actual installation site.

Note: Precast concrete base weighs 5,250 pounds/side. Ensure appropriate equipment for hauling and unloading is included.

Table 79. Cost Adjustment Factor for Steel Cattleguard

| Width <br> (Feet) | Adjustment <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 0.90 |
| 14 | 0.95 |
| 16 | 1.00 |
| 24 | 1.50 |
| 28 | 1.75 |

## SECTION 621. - MONUMENTS AND MARKERS

(Labor 25 percent)
Estimate by time, equipment, and material.

## SECTION 622. - RENTAL EQUIPMENT

Equipment rental includes the equipment rate and the operator rate. Equipment rates can be found in PART X. EQUIPMENT RATES. Operator rates can be found in PART XI. LABOR RATES. The cost of moving most equipment to the job is included in Section 151. - MOBILIZATION

Ensure a description of the type of anticipated work is include in the contract language.
SECTION 624. - TOPSOIL
(Labor 50 percent)
Topsoil needed on disturbed areas of backslopes and fillslopes to establish vegetation will be estimated from a known source before the contract is awarded. Include the following in cost estimates:

- Loading costs - Use time and equipment.
- Spread - Use time and equipment.
- Haul - see HAUL Section in Section 204. - EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT.
- Clearing and development of pit area - refer to Section 314. - STOCKPILED AGGREGATE.
- Move-in Move out - refer to Section 151. - MOBILIZATION
- Purchase price for topsoil on other than USFS lands.


## SECTION 625. - TURF ESTABLISHMENT

(Labor: Dry Method = 30-40\%, Dry Method W/Mulch = 60\%, Hydraulic Method=40-50\%)
Note: The costs for seeding are based on applying seed at 25lb/acre and in one application. There are no allowances in the costs for watering or compacting the seedbed. If you include these requirements an additional allowance will have to be made.

## Dry seeding Method

- Idaho - $\$ 430$ per ACRE
- Montana - \$450 per ACRE

Cost of fertilizer, where required, should be included in the base item. Fertilizer should be used only for supplemental applications.

If native grass seed is required, get a quote from a supplier.
Call supplier for quote on hydraulic seeding.
Seeding cost for New Construction (\$/mile)
Assumptions used for Seeding Tables.

- Seeding cost per mile consider dry seeding method only
- Seeding cost considers cut slopes plus 5 feet and fill slopes plus 5 feet but not the roadbed.

Table 80. Idaho Seeding ${ }^{2}$ \$/Mile

| Side <br> Slope <br> $(\%)$ | Back <br> Slope 3/:1 <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 3/:1 <br> $\mathbf{1 4 ~ F T ~ w / o ~}$ <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 3/4:1 <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 1:1 <br> 12 FT <br> w/o Ditch | Back <br> Slope 1:1 <br> 14 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Back <br> Slope 1:1 <br> 12 FT w/ <br> Ditch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ |
| 5 | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ | $\$ 590$ |
| 10 | $\$ 710$ | $\$ 710$ | $\$ 710$ | $\$ 770$ | $\$ 770$ | $\$ 770$ |
| 15 | $\$ 880$ | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 880$ | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 880$ |
| 20 | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 940$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000$ |
| 25 | $\$ 1,180$ | $\$ 1,060$ | $\$ 1,180$ | $\$ 1,180$ | $\$ 1,120$ | $\$ 1,180$ |
| 30 | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 1,240$ | $\$ 1,350$ | $\$ 1,240$ |
| 35 | $\$ 1,410$ | $\$ 1,410$ | $\$ 1,410$ | $\$ 1,410$ | $\$ 1,530$ | $\$ 1,410$ |
| 40 | $\$ 1,650$ | $\$ 1,590$ | $\$ 1,650$ | $\$ 1,710$ | $\$ 1,710$ | $\$ 1,890$ |
| 45 | $\$ 1,590$ | $\$ 1,890$ | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 1,770$ | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 2,180$ |
| 50 | $\$ 2,060$ | $\$ 2,180$ | $\$ 2,360$ | $\$ 2,240$ | $\$ 2,360$ | $\$ 2,530$ |

Table 81. Montana Seeding ${ }^{2}$ \$/Mile

| Side Slope (\%) | Back <br> Slope $3 / 4: 1$ <br> 12 FT w/o <br> Ditch | Back Slope $3 / 3: 1$ 14 FT w/o Ditch | Back Slope $3 / 4: 1$ $12 \mathrm{FT} \mathrm{w/}$ Ditch | Back Slope 1:1 12 FT w/o Ditch | Back Slope 1:1 14 FT w/o Ditch | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Back } \\ \text { Slope 1:1 } \\ 12 \mathrm{FT} \text { w/ } \\ \text { Ditch } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 |
| 5 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 | \$720 |
| 10 | \$860 | \$860 | \$860 | \$930 | \$930 | \$930 |
| 15 | \$1,070 | \$1,140 | \$1,140 | \$1,070 | \$1,140 | \$1,070 |
| 20 | \$1,140 | \$1,220 | \$1,220 | \$1,140 | \$1,220 | \$1,220 |
| 25 | \$1,430 | \$1,290 | \$1,430 | \$1,430 | \$1,360 | \$1,430 |
| 30 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,640 | \$1,500 |
| 35 | \$1,720 | \$1,720 | \$1,720 | \$1,720 | \$1,860 | \$1,720 |
| 40 | \$2,000 | \$1,930 | \$2,000 | \$2,070 | \$2,070 | \$2,290 |
| 45 | \$1,930 | \$2,290 | \$2,500 | \$2,150 | \$2,500 | \$2,650 |
| 50 | \$2,500 | \$2,650 | \$2,860 | \$2,720 | \$2,860 | \$3,080 |

${ }^{1}$ Seeding cost is not for native seed. If native seed is required, contact supplier for costs and availability. The roadbed is not included in the area to be seeded.

## SECTION 629. - ROLLED EROSION CONTROL PRODUCTS AND CELLULAR CONFINEMENT SYSTEMS

Costs for erosion control blankets and netting materials range from $\$ 2$ to $\$ 4$ per SY. Call supplier for additional quote information.

## SECTION 633. - PERMANENT TRAFFIC CONTROL

(Labor 60 percent)
Prices are for estimating only. Call for quotes.
Table 82. Rough Cost Estimate for Different Permanent Traffic Control Materials

| Materials | Material Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wood Post | $\$ 1.50$ to \$4/LF |
| Steel u-channel post (2 lbs./ft) | $\$ 5.10 / \mathrm{LF}$ |
| Signs | $\$ 100$ to \$200/EACH |
| Route Markers | $\$ 20$ to \$30/EACH |
| Aluminum Sign Panels | $\$ 20 / \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Fiberglass Sign Panels | $\$ 20$ to \$25/SF |
| Wood Sign Panels | $\$ 20 / \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Regulatory/Warning Signs | $\$ 170$ to \$225/ EACH |
| Sign and Post(s) | $\$ 125$ to \$300/EACH |
| Delineators w/ posts | $\$ 15$ to \$25/ EACH |
| Delineator only Double Sided | $\$ 10 \mathrm{EACH}$ |

One Sign and Post - Installation Only - \$35-\$60 EACH. Increased the cost of installation if sign posts are to be installed in rocky fills or other situations requiring difficult excavation.

## SECTION 634. - PERMANENT PAVEMENT MARKINGS

(Contract Item)
Call local suppliers for current materials cost or local contractors for a project specific estimate.
Costs can be estimated on the basis of the gallons of paint including the glass beads, cleaning surface to be painted, application, and protection of markings until dry. Typical amounts are 16.5 gallons of paint (including beads) per mile of broken stripes.

A rough estimate of a typical mile of broken stripped markings is $\$ 2,500$ per Mile.
Estimator should use designed lengths of single solid, single dashed, and double solid to make estimate; estimate using time, equipment, and materials.

Campground and parking area striping will cost more due to the short lengths, intermittent markings, and tighter working areas.

## PART X. EQUIPMENT RATES

The cost per hour shown in this section are for equipment rates only. Operator rates are not included. Operator rates can be found in PART XI. LABOR RATES. The equipment rates include fuel, oil, lubrication, repairs, maintenance, insurance, profit and overhead. Profit and overhead included herein is 16 percent. The cost of moving most equipment to the job is included in Section 151. - MOBILIZATION.

The rate shown were derived from the Rental Rate Blue Book for Construction Equipment. Rates are given for Idaho and Montana. For North and South Dakota, decrease the Montana rates by 1.0 percent and 0.5 percent respectively. The models shown should be considered typical and their rates can be applied to similar equipment. The rates reflect the variations between national averages and states caused by the differences in construction seasons and the costs of labor, freight, taxes, etc.

Rates for most equipment are for the same model for the years 2005 and 2015.
Under most situations, the estimator should use the equipment rates listed for the older equipment with compatible production rates. Newer equipment rates may be used when they are expected to be used for the work being estimated. Local rates should be used if local equipment is generally available at a rate different than those shown herein.

The use of brand names is for the ease of identification of the type and size of equipment and does not constitute an endorsement of any product.

Equipment rates are based on the following on-highway fuel prices and adjustments may be required.

- Diesel price: $\$ 2.40 /$ gallon, Gasoline price: $\$ 2.17 /$ gallon.

Note that off-highway fuel may be used for some operations. Use the following table to make adjustment.

Table 83. Federal and State Fuel Taxes per Gallon for Idaho, Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota

| Fuel Taxes Federal and State | Idaho | Montana | North Dakota | South Dakota |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline | $\$ 0.514$ | $\$ 0.5065$ | $\$ 0.4143$ | $\$ 0.484$ |
| Diesel | $\$ 0.574$ | $\$ 0.546$ | $\$ 0.4743$ | $\$ 0.544$ |

## EQUIPMENT RATES (COST/HR WITHOUT OPERATOR)

2020 Rental Rate Blue Book $+10 \%$ overhead +6 \% profit

| DOZERS |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## EXCAVATORS



Excavators and their associated costs are classified by weight in metric tons (MTONS)

1 MTON = 2204 pounds

| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.1-8.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 0.4 cy , approx. 46.7 HP (e.g. CAT 307D, Deere 60, Hitachi ZAXIS 60) | \$51.98 | \$50.22 | \$55.04 | \$53.07 |
| 11.1-12.0 MTONS, approx. bucket -0.5 cy , approx. 79.0 HP <br> (e.g.CAT 311, Hitachi EX110-5, Komatsu PC120-6) | \$71.05 | \$68.54 | \$75.39 | \$72.59 |
| 12.1-14.0 MTONS, approx. bucket -0.62 cy , approx. 92.4 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 312D, Hitachi ZAXIS 120, Komatsu PC 130-8) | \$77.24 | \$74.62 | \$81.78 | \$78.86 |
| 14.1-16.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 0.8 cy , approx. 100.0 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 312E, Deere 135G, Komatsu PC 138 ) | \$88.22 | \$85.23 | \$93.39 | \$90.06 |
| 19.1-21.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 1.2 cy, approx. 132 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 318EL \& 316EL, Komatsu PC 200-8, Hitachi Zaxis 200LC) | \$105.25 | \$101.62 | \$111.17 | \$107.14 |
| 21.1-24.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 1.3 cy, approx. 150 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 321D LCR, Deere 210G LC, | Commonly used size for road reconstruction |  |  |  |
| Komatsu HB15LC-1, Komatsu PC210LC-11, Kobelco SK210LC-9) | \$107.16 | \$103.45 | \$113.03 | \$108.93 |
| 24.1-28.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 1.4 cy, <br> approx. 167 HP <br> (e g. CAT 320 LRR \& 324EL \& 320FL Deere 250G LC | Commonly used size for road reconstruction and major excavations |  |  |  |
| Hitachi Zaxis 250LC-5, Komatsu PC220LC-8 \& PC228USLC-10, Kobelco SK260LC ) | \$121.64 | \$117.46 | \$127.32 | \$122.73 |
| 28.1-33.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 1.5 cy , approx. 185 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 330FL \& 329EL \& 329FL, Deere 290G LC, | Commonly used size for major excavations and production dirt moving |  |  |  |
| Komatsu PC270LC-8 \& PC290LC-10, <br> Kobelco SK295LC M9) | \$141.78 | \$136.79 | \$147.29 | \$141.83 |
| 33.1-40.0 MTONS, approx. bucket -1.75 cy , <br> approx. 246 HP <br> (e.g.CAT 336F \& 336EL, Deere 350G LC, <br> Hitachi zax 350LC-5, Komatsu PC350LC-8 \& PC360LC-11) | 175.28 | \$169.22 | \$184.87 | \$178.14 |
| 40.1-50.0 MTONS, approx. bucket - 2.2 cy , approx. 289 HP <br> (e.g. CAT 335FLCR \& 345DL, Deere 470G LC, Komatsu PC450LC-8 \& PC490LC-10) | 216.25 | \$209.36 | \$228.09 | \$220.44 |


| HYDRAULIC IMPACT BREAKERS ATTACHMENTS (i.e. ROCK HAMMER) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rock hammers are classified by ft-lb. Costs shown are for the rock hammer only and should be added to the associated excavator costs. |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| CAT H120CS hydraulic impact breaker; 2,001-3,000 ft-lb; Attaches to 19-24 MTON excavator | \$35.08 | \$37.32 | \$35.08 | \$37.32 |
| CAT H130S hydraulic impact breaker; 3,001-4,000 ft-lb; Attaches to 19-33 MTON excavator | \$44.15 | \$41.52 | \$44.15 | \$41.52 |
| CAT H140CS hydraulic impact breaker; 4,001-5,000 ft-lb; Attaches to 24-40 MTON excavator | \$53.68 | \$50.41 | \$53.68 | \$50.41 |
| CAT H160CS hydraulic impact breaker; 7,001-7,999 ft-lb; Attaches to 33-55 MTON excavator | \$76.15 | \$71.57 | \$76.15 | \$71.57 |


| 4WD ARTICULATED LOADERS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Loaders and their associated costs are classified by horsepower |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture Equipment (model year if different) | of Idaho <br> 2015  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | Montana 2005 |
| 40-59 HP, approx. bucket 0.8 cy | \$30.62 | \$29.26 | \$32.14 | \$30.64 |
| 60-69 HP, approx. bucket 1.0 cy | \$37.02 | \$35.32 | \$38.92 | \$37.03 |
| 70-79 HP, approx. bucket 1.3 cy | \$38.06 | \$36.40 | \$39.92 | \$38.07 |
| 80-99 HP, approx. bucket 1.6 cy | \$43.81 | \$41.86 | \$45.99 | \$43.84 |
| 100-109 HP, approx. bucket 2.0 cy | \$51.70 | \$49.22 | \$54.50 | \$51.72 |
| 110-119 HP, approx. bucket 2.3 cy | \$52.01 | \$49.57 | \$54.75 | \$52.04 |
| 120-134 HP, approx. bucket 2.4 cy | \$51.55 | \$49.27 | \$54.11 | \$51.57 |
| 135-149 HP, approx. bucket 2.8 cy | \$58.44 | \$55.77 | \$61.43 | \$58.48 |
| 150-174 HP, approx. bucket 3.1 cy | \$52.48 | \$55.19 | \$58.24 | \$55.23 |
| 175-199 HP, approx. bucket 3.8 cy | \$74.11 | \$70.71 | \$77.93 | \$74.15 |
| 200-224 HP, approx. bucket 4.1 cy | \$62.33 | \$58.67 | \$66.44 | \$62.37 |
| 225-249 HP, approx. bucket 4.5 cy | \$84.47 | \$80.28 | \$89.05 | \$84.40 |
| 250-274 HP, approx. bucket 5.2 cy | \$103.96 | \$109.30 | \$109.19 | \$115.13 |
| 275-349 HP, approx. bucket 5.9 cy | \$118.34 | \$124.32 | \$124.21 | \$130.85 |


| SKID STEER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Skid steers and their associated costs are classified by weight in pounds. |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 976-1250 LBS, approx. hp 33 | \$28.70 | \$27.84 | \$30.37 | \$29.41 |
| 1351-1600 LBS, approx. hp 48 | \$32.49 | \$31.56 | \$34.30 | \$33.26 |
| 1751-2200 LBS, approx. hp 66 | \$38.51 | \$37.43 | \$40.59 | \$39.39 |


| Crawler Loader |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crawler Loaders and their associated costs are classified by horsepower. |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture Equipment (model year if different) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | Montana 2015 | Montana 2005 |
| 75-104 HP, approx. bucket 1.5 cy |  | \$90.00 | \$87.11 | \$94.80 | \$91.59 |
| 105-129 HP, approx. bucket 2.0 cy |  | \$108.00 | \$103.73 | \$115.12 | \$110.37 |
| 130-189 HP, approx. bucket 2.7 cy |  | \$126.46 | \$122.12 | \$133.69 | \$128.86 |


| Tractor-Loader-Backhoe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tractor-Loader-Backhoes and their associated costs <br> are classified by dig depth. |  |  |  |  |  |


| Articulated Frame Grader |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Articulated Frame Graders and their associated costs are classified by horsepower. |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture Equipment (model year if different) |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | Montana 2015 | Montana 2005 |
| 115-129 HP, avg 12' moldboard |  | \$77.16 | \$73.56 | \$81.94 | \$77.94 |
| 130-144 HP, avg 12' moldboard |  | \$80.49 | \$77.59 | \$84.37 | \$81.12 |
| 145-169 HP, avg 12' moldboard |  | \$95.14 | \$91.00 | \$100.65 | \$96.04 |
| 170-199 HP, avg 12' moldboard |  | \$99.63 | \$95.32 | \$105.36 | \$100.56 |
| 200-249 HP, avg 12-14' moldboard |  | \$108.90 | \$104.21 | \$115.11 | \$109.91 |
| 250+ HP, avg 14-16' moldboard |  | \$126.08 | \$120.23 | \$133.84 | \$127.33 |


| Air Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Air Equipment includes: Track Drills, Impact <br> Breakers, Air Compressors. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of <br> Equipment (model year if different) | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| Air Track Drill - Atlas Copco VL140/CM345, 4" hole size, <br> Drill Type: Drifter | $\$ 64.45$ | $\$ 60.45$ | $\$ 68.80$ | $\$ 64.36$ |
| Air Track Drill - Atlas Copco YD90/LM100A, 2-1/2" hole <br> size, Drill Type: Drifter | $\$ 53.45$ | $\$ 50.29$ | $\$ 56.90$ | $\$ 53.38$ |
| Hand-Held Pavement Breaker 25-30 Ibs | $\$ 1.28$ | $\$ 1.19$ | $\$ 1.33$ | $\$ 1.25$ |
| Pneumatic Impact Breaker - 801-1500 FT-LB | $\$ 12.93$ | $\$ 12.39$ | $\$ 13.82$ | $\$ 13.84$ |
| Pneumatic Impact Breaker - 801-1500 FT-LB | $\$ 15.16$ | $\$ 14.50$ | $\$ 16.23$ | $\$ 15.49$ |
| Portable Rotary Screw Air Compressor - Diesel, 125-249 <br> cfm, avg hp 60, avg 110 psi | $\$ 18.06$ | $\$ 17.67$ | $\$ 18.68$ | $\$ 18.25$ |
| Portable Rotary Screw Air Compressor - Diesel, 250-599 <br> cfm, avg hp 220, avg 130 psi | $\$ 47.41$ | $\$ 46.72$ | $\$ 48.49$ | $\$ 47.73$ |


| Compaction Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Hand-Held Rammer - Gasoline, 3180 lbs/blow, Shoe Size: 4"-13", 4 HP | \$6.09 | \$5.79 | \$6.29 | \$5.97 |
| Hydraulic Compactor for Backhoe Mounting - Allied 1600 W/Swivel, $16000 \mathrm{lbs}, 2100$ cycles/minute | \$14.27 | \$13.47 | \$15.02 | \$14.14 |
| Self-Propelled Pad Foot Compactor - Caterpillar 815F Series 2 Diesel, Wheel size: 39 "x41", Power shift <br> transmission, 232 HP | \$183.40 | \$175.37 | \$190.65 | \$182.05 |
| Self Propelled Pneumatic Compactor - weight: up to 2.4 MTONS, 4 speed, 9 wheels | \$59.74 | \$58.35 | \$63.80 | \$61.48 |
| Self Propelled Pneumatic Compactor - weight: 2.5-3.4 MTONS, 109.5HP, 3 speed, 7 wheels | \$87.55 | \$84.51 | \$91.74 | \$88.50 |
| Single Drum Vibratory Compactor - Caterpillar CP-433E, 5-7.9MTons, Diesel, Drum Type: Pad foot, Drum Width: | \$41.82 | \$39.67 | \$43.01 | \$40.72 |
| Towed Pneumatic Compactor - Hercules PT-9, 9.6tons, 9 wheels | \$19.13 | \$18.42 | \$19.99 | \$19.23 |
| Towed Steel Drum Static Compactor - Hercules GTD 5496, 2 drums, Drum Type: Grid | \$22.79 | \$21.53 | \$23.94 | \$22.59 |


| Miscellaneous Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous Equipment includes: Truck Scale, Trenchers, Horizontal Motors, Pumps, Generators, Pressure Washers, Chain Saw |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Idaho } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ | Montana 2015 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \end{array}$ |
| Truck Scale - Steel Deck, 60 tons, 70'x10' | \$22.78 | \$21.87 | \$22.78 | \$21.87 |
| Walk-Behind Chain Trencher-15-19 HP, Gasoline, trench 33.5" deep X 4.9" wide. | \$11.48 | \$11.18 | \$11.99 | \$11.66 |
| Enclosed Horizontal Motor - 575/460 Volts, 25 HP | \$1.93 | \$1.82 | \$2.06 | \$1.95 |
| Enclosed Horizontal Motor - 575/460 Volts, 75 HP | \$6.45 | \$6.10 | \$6.90 | \$6.51 |
| Heavy Duty Centrifugal Pump - Gasoline, 12M CPB Rating, 2-inch, 12,000 gph, 8 HP | \$10.53 | \$10.38 | \$11.16 | \$10.97 |
| Heavy Duty Centrifugal Pump - Gasoline, 20M CPB Rating, 3-inch, $20000 \mathrm{gph}, 18 \mathrm{HP}$ | \$14.33 | \$14.13 | \$15.09 | \$14.86 |
| Heavy Duty Centrifugal Pump - Diesel, 40M CPB Rating, 4-inch, $40000 \mathrm{gph}, 45 \mathrm{HP}$ | \$22.67 | \$22.35 | \$23.86 | \$23.50 |
| Large Generator Set - Diesel, 60 kW, Open Enclosure, 88 HP | \$32.22 | \$31.53 | \$33.21 | \$32.41 |
| Large Generator Set - Diesel, 100 kW , Open Enclosure, 115 HP | \$41.64 | \$40.63 | \$43.05 | \$41.90 |
| Large Generator Set - Diesel, 125 kW, Open Enclosure, 189 HP | \$59.31 | \$58.34 | \$60.68 | \$59.57 |
| Large Generator Set - Diesel, 300 kW , Open Enclosure, 400 HP | \$114.65 | \$113.09 | \$116.88 | \$115.07 |
| Small Generator Set - Gasoline, 1000 w, 3.5 HP | \$2.71 | \$2.66 | \$2.78 | \$2.71 |
| Pressure Washer Hot - Gasoline, $4 \mathrm{gpm}, 1000$ psi, Portable, 8 HP | \$9.62 | \$9.28 | \$10.10 | \$9.73 |
| Pressure Washer Hot - Gasoline, 6 gpm, 3000 psi, Portable, 24 HP | \$14.47 | \$13.99 | \$15.14 | \$14.63 |
| Chain Saw - Gasoline, 3cu.in., 20" bar length | \$3.10 | \$3.02 | \$3.20 | \$3.11 |


| Asphalt, Paving, \& Chipsealing Equipment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Crawler Mounted Asphalt Paver - up to 19K Ibs average HP 48 | \$93.82 | \$90.58 | \$94.53 | \$91.25 |
| Crawler Mounted Asphalt Paver - 25K-30K Ibs - average HP 116 | \$199.84 | \$193.65 | \$201.20 | \$194.93 |
| Crawler Mounted Asphalt Paver - 29K-35K Ibs -average HP 147 | \$298.31 | \$288.45 | \$300.45 | \$290.46 |
| Pull Type Pavement Broom - Drive Type: Engine, 84-inch broom length, 20 HP | \$16.25 | \$15.02 | \$17.02 | \$15.66 |
| Self Propelled Pavement Broom - Diesel, Hydrostatic Transmission, 84-inch broom length, 34 HP | \$31.68 | \$30.23 | \$33.26 | \$31.67 |
| Self Propelled Chip Spreader - Rosco SPR-H, Diesel, 10ft Spreader Hopper, 152 HP | \$106.38 | \$101.60 | \$111.12 | \$105.85 |
| Towed Chip Spreader - Gasoline, w/ Chain Conveyor, 7ft Spreader Hopper, 7 HP | \$5.03 | \$4.83 | \$5.21 | \$4.98 |
| Wheel Mounted Asphalt Paver - up to 19K Ibs - average HP 46 | \$72.27 | \$70.66 | \$72.64 | \$71.02 |
| Wheel Mounted Asphalt Paver - 25K-30K Ibs - average HP 111 | \$245.86 | \$237.90 | \$247.69 | \$239.64 |
| Wheel Mounted Asphalt Paver - 29K-35K Ibs -average HP 150 | \$335.59 | \$324.51 | \$338.14 | \$326.93 |
| Asphalt Distributors For Truck Mounting - Power Mode: PTO, 1100 Gallon, Includes Burners, insulated tank, and circulating spray bar, propane | \$39.79 | \$37.49 | \$40.11 | \$37.78 |
| Asphalt Distributors For Truck Mounting - Power Mode: PTO, 1600 Gallon, Includes Burners, insulated tank, and circulating spray bar, propane | \$40.48 | \$38.14 | \$40.81 | \$38.43 |
| Asphalt Distributors For Truck Mounting - Power Mode: PTO, 3100 Gallon, Includes Burners, insulated tank, and circulating spray bar, propane | \$45.26 | \$42.69 | \$45.62 | \$43.01 |


| Feller Buncher |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Montana } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| Crawler Mounted Feller Buncher - Deere 753J, Diesel, 241 HP | \$271.64 | \$260.91 | \$271.64 | \$260.91 |
| Wheel Mounted Feller Buncher - Deere 643J,Diesel, Hydrostatic, 2-spd Transmission, 174 HP (2012/2006) | $\begin{gathered} \$ 165.60 \\ (2010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 162.54 \\ (2006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 165.60 \\ (2010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 162.54 \\ (2006) \end{gathered}$ |


| Skidders |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Montana $2015$ | Montana $2005$ |
| Wheel Mounted Cable Log Skidder - Deere 540H, Diesel, Powershift Transmission, 129 HP | \$140.82 | \$136.11 | \$140.82 | \$136.11 |
| Wheel Mounted Cable Log Skidder - Franklin 170 S2, Diesel, Powershift Transmission, 155 HP | \$101.73 | \$98.87 | \$101.73 | \$98.87 |
| Wheel Mounted Cable Log Skidder - Caterpillar 535C, Diesel, Powershift Transmission, 204 HP | \$164.85 | \$160.49 | \$164.85 | \$160.49 |
| Wheel Mounted Grapple Log Skidder - Caterpillar 525C, Diesel, Powershift Transmission, 182 HP | \$155.43 | \$150.82 | \$155.43 | \$150.82 |
| Wheel Mounted Grapple Log Skidder - Deere, 172 HP | \$161.21 | \$157.48 | \$161.21 | \$157.48 |


| Mowers, Brush Cutters, Mulchers, Seeders |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of <br> Equipment (model year if different) | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bare Industrial Tractor 70-79 HP | $\$ 26.19$ | $\$ 25.64$ | $\$ 27.27$ | $\$ 26.65$ |
| Rotary Mower - PTO, Type: Rotary(Side mounted), 5.5ft <br> Blade, 50 HP | $\$ 14.72$ | $\$ 13.84$ | $\$ 15.39$ | $\$ 14.52$ |
| Rotary Mower - PTO, Type: Rotary(Rear mounted), 10ft <br> Blade, 90 HP | $\$ 17.57$ | $\$ 16.53$ | $\$ 18.58$ | $\$ 17.43$ |
| Trailer Mounted Mulcher - Reinco M90, Diesel, 20 tons <br> per hour, 115 HP | $\$ 37.70$ | $\$ 36.18$ | $\$ 39.12$ | $\$ 37.43$ |
| Trailer Mounted Mulcher - Reinco TM-35X Gasoline, 7 <br> tons per hour, 34 HP | $\$ 15.42$ | $\$ 14.73$ | $\$ 16.05$ | $\$ 15.30$ |
| Seed Sprayer for Truck Mounting - Reinco HG-10GX, <br> Gasoline, 1250 Gallon, 34 HP | $\$ 18.07$ | $\$ 17.26$ | $\$ 18.79$ | $\$ 17.90$ |


| Rock Crushers |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of <br> Equipment (model year if different) | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Idaho <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Montana <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| Cone Crusher - Electric, Cone Type: Standard, Head <br> Size:45", 125 HP required | $\$ 62.06$ | $\$ 57.00$ | $\$ 66.56$ | $\$ 60.91$ |
| Double Deck Portable Screening Plant - Gasoline, Screen <br> Width: up to 36", Screen Size: 3'x5', Conveyor Size: <br> 36"x60', 68 HP |  |  |  |  |
| General Purpose Portable Belt Conveyor - Diesel, up to <br> 23" Belt Width, Conveyor Size: 18"x30', 150 tons per <br> hour, 40 HP00 | $\$ 52.44$ | $\$ 50.49$ | $\$ 55.15$ | $\$ 52.97$ |
| Gravel Plant - Pioneer 2036-3024 Duplex, Electric, Roll <br> Crusher Size:30"X24" Triple, Jaw Crusher Size: 20"x36", <br> Screen Size: 48"X14', 295 HP required | $\$ 12.21$ | $\$ 11.53$ | $\$ 13.05$ | $\$ 12.28$ |
| Heavy Duty Apron Feeder - Electric, 3 Chains, Size: <br> 36"X14', 7.5 HP | $\$ 160.18$ | $\$ 151.14$ | $\$ 170.38$ | $\$ 160.29$ |
| Jaw Crusher - Electric, Gape Sizes: 25"-41", Feed Size: <br> 15"x36", 75 HP required | $\$ 49.09$ | $\$ 43.82$ | $\$ 53.12$ | $\$ 47.21$ |
| Radial Stacker - Diesel, up to 23" Belt Width, Conveyor <br> Size: 18"x100', 170 tons per hour, 38 HP | $\$ 41.11$ | $\$ 38.23$ | $\$ 44.07$ | $\$ 40.84$ |
| Roll Crusher - Electric, Roll Type: Double, Rotor Size <br> (DxW): 30"x25", 100-200 HP required | $\$ 41.99$ | $\$ 39.88$ | $\$ 44.45$ | $\$ 42.10$ |
| Triple Deck Portable Screen Plant - Diesel, Screen Width: <br> 37" and over, Screen Size: 5'x10', Conveyor Size: 42"x50', <br> 110 HP | $\$ 38.92$ | $\$ 35.37$ | $\$ 41.99$ | $\$ 38.02$ |


| Trailers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idaho } \\ & 2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Idaho } \\ & 2005 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Montana } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Montana 2005 |
| Fixed Gooseneck Equipment Trailer - 3 Axles, Drop Deck, 17' Deck Length, 35ton | \$18.51 | \$17.55 | \$19.57 | \$18.49 |
| Fixed Gooseneck Equipment Trailer - 3 Axles, Drop Deck, 17'-18' Deck Length, 50ton | \$22.40 | \$21.19 | \$23.69 | \$22.35 |
| Folding Gooseneck Equipment Trailer - 4 Axles, Drop Deck, 16 tires, 75ton | \$34.17 | \$32.18 | \$36.26 | \$34.06 |
| On-Highway Bottom Dump Semi-Trailer - 1 Gate, Capacity: 18cy, Payload: 27ton | \$14.43 | \$13.56 | \$15.32 | \$14.35 |
| Off-Highway Bottom Dump Trailer - Load King 2030, Capacity: 20cy, Payload: 30ton | \$43.62 | \$42.94 | \$45.76 | \$45.02 |
| Off-Highway Bottom Dump Trailer - Load King 2842, Capacity: 28cy, Payload: 42ton | \$43.95 | \$43.28 | \$46.13 | \$45.38 |
| Standard Field Office Trailer - 8'X24' | \$2.53 | \$2.38 | \$2.67 | \$2.51 |


| Trucks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment Class - Model, Description, Picture of Equipment (model year if different) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Idaho } \\ 2005 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Montana 2015 | Montana 2005 |
| Mechanical Drive Rear Dump - 40-54 MTONS, 600 HP, $33 \mathrm{cy}$ | \$221.18 | \$205.11 | \$199.98 | \$185.45 |
| Mechanical Drive Rear Dump - 55-74 MTONS, 43 cy | \$257.90 | \$239.16 | \$276.18 | \$256.11 |
| Articulated Rear Dump - 20-25 MTONS, 14 cy | \$130.65 | \$121.65 | \$138.41 | \$128.49 |
| Articulated Rear Dump - 20-25 MTONS, 18 cy | \$132.89 | \$124.25 | \$140.35 | \$130.82 |
| On-Highway Flatbed Truck - Diesel, 4×2, 15000 maximum gross vehicle weight, 200 HP | \$35.37 | \$34.52 | \$36.05 | \$35.11 |
| On-Highway Flatbed Truck - Diesel, 4×2, 25000 maximum gross vehicle weight, 200 HP | \$31.19 | \$29.96 | \$32.18 | \$30.82 |
| On-Highway Light Duty Truck - 4x4, Crew Cab, 1ton | \$28.63 | \$28.13 | \$29.27 | \$28.71 |
| On-Highway Rear Dump - Diesel, $6 \times 4,40000 \mathrm{lbs}$ maximum gross vehicle weight, $8-10 \mathrm{cy}, 315 \mathrm{HP}$ | \$65.91 | \$63.34 | \$67.78 | \$64.94 |
| On-Highway Rear Dump - Diesel, 6x4, 50000lbs maximum gross vehicle weight, $10-12 \mathrm{cy}, 400 \mathrm{HP}$ | \$65.93 | \$62.70 | \$68.28 | \$64.72 |
| On-Highway Rear Dump - Diesel, $6 \times 4,70000 \mathrm{lbs}$ maximum gross vehicle weight, 12-18cy, 400 HP | \$90.39 | \$86.43 | \$93.25 | \$88.89 |
| On-Highway Truck Tractor - Diesel, 6x4, 50000lbs maximum gross vehicle weight, 310 HP | \$68.18 | \$66.11 | \$68.18 | \$66.11 |
| On-Highway Truck Tractor - Diesel, 6x4, 75000lbs maximum gross vehicle weight, 400 HP | \$83.10 | \$80.53 | \$83.10 | \$80.53 |
| On-Highway Water Tanker - Gasoline, 2500 Gallon, 150 HP | \$35.26 | \$33.96 | \$36.48 | \$35.06 |
| On-Highway Water Tanker - Diesel, 3500 Gallon, 250 HP | \$55.45 | \$53.55 | \$57.23 | \$55.13 |
| On-Highway Water Tanker - Diesel, 4000 Gallon, 250 HP | \$63.86 | \$61.28 | \$66.27 | \$63.43 |

## PART XI. LABOR RATES

## LABOR RATES

Construction Wage Rate (Davis-Bacon) + payroll loading + 10 percent $\mathrm{OH}+6$ percent profit)

Table 84. Labor Rates by Classification for Labor Zones in the State of Idaho

| Classification | Rest of <br> Idaho | Kootenai <br> County | Nez <br> Bonner <br> County | Nez <br> Perce <br> County <br> ZONE | Perce <br> County <br> ZONE 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Laborer | $\$ 42.00$ | $\$ 41.37$ | $\$ 41.43$ | $\$ 42.28$ | $\$ 42.28$ |
| Driller, Air Track | $\$ 42.23$ | $\$ 41.60$ | $\$ 41.66$ | $\$ 42.51$ | $\$ 42.51$ |
| Sawyer | $\$ 44.95$ | $\$ 44.31$ | $\$ 44.35$ | $\$ 45.22$ | $\$ 45.22$ |
| Powderman | $\$ 42.23$ | $\$ 41.60$ | $\$ 41.66$ | $\$ 42.51$ | $\$ 42.51$ |
| Tractor Operator (to D6 or equiv) | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 57.00$ | $\$ 59.65$ |
| Tractor Operator (D6 or larger) | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 47.46$ | $\$ 57.00$ | $\$ 59.65$ |
| Grader Operator | $\$ 46.42$ | $\$ 48.05$ | $\$ 46.42$ | $\$ 48.08$ | $\$ 48.08$ |
| Loader Operator (4 CY and less) | $\$ 46.11$ | $\$ 48.19$ | $\$ 46.11$ | $\$ 48.17$ | $\$ 48.17$ |
| Loader Operator (over 4 CY) | $\$ 46.11$ | $\$ 48.19$ | $\$ 46.11$ | $\$ 48.17$ | $\$ 48.17$ |
| Backhoe Operator (under 3 CY) | $\$ 47.13$ | $\$ 47.79$ | $\$ 59.28$ | $\$ 47.41$ | $\$ 47.41$ |
| Shovel/Hyd Excavator | $\$ 47.13$ | $\$ 47.79$ | $\$ 59.65$ | $\$ 47.41$ | $\$ 47.41$ |
| Lowboy/Semi-Tractor (under 50 T) | $\$ 44.64$ | $\$ 50.60$ | $\$ 44.64$ | $\$ 50.60$ | $\$ 50.60$ |
| Dump Truck Driver (12 CY and less) | $\$ 42.17$ | $\$ 56.14$ | $\$ 43.49$ | $\$ 45.16$ | $\$ 45.16$ |
| Dump Truck Driver (over 12 CY) | $\$ 42.17$ | $\$ 56.14$ | $\$ 43.49$ | $\$ 45.16$ | $\$ 45.16$ |
| Skidder Cat Operator | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.54$ | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.52$ | $\$ 51.52$ |
| Rubber Tired Skidder | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.54$ | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.52$ | $\$ 51.52$ |
| Log Loader Operator | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.54$ | $\$ 49.43$ | $\$ 51.52$ | $\$ 51.52$ |

Table 85. Labor Rates by Classification for Labor Zones in the State of Montana

| Classification | Zone 1 | Zone2 | Zone 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Laborer | $\$ 44.84$ | $\$ 48.25$ | $\$ 48.93$ |
| Driller, Air Track | $\$ 47.74$ | $\$ 51.28$ | $\$ 51.98$ |
| Sawyer | $\$ 51.46$ | $\$ 55.36$ | $\$ 56.14$ |
| Powderman | $\$ 47.74$ | $\$ 51.28$ | $\$ 51.98$ |
| Tractor Operator (to D6 or equivalent) | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Tractor Operator (D6 or larger) | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Grader Operator | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Loader Operator (4 CY and less) | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Loader Operator (over 4 CY) | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Backhoe Operator (under 3 CY) | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Shovel/Hydraulic Excavator | $\$ 52.28$ | $\$ 55.69$ | $\$ 56.38$ |
| Lowboy/Semi-Tractor (under 50 Ton) | $\$ 50.06$ | $\$ 53.47$ | $\$ 54.15$ |
| Dump Truck Driver (12 CY and less) | $\$ 50.06$ | $\$ 53.47$ | $\$ 54.15$ |
| Dump Truck Driver (over 12 CY) | $\$ 50.06$ | $\$ 53.47$ | $\$ 54.15$ |
| Skidder Cat Operator | $\$ 57.91$ | $\$ 61.82$ | $\$ 62.60$ |
| Rubber Tired Skidder | $\$ 57.91$ | $\$ 61.82$ | $\$ 62.60$ |
| Log Loader Operator | $\$ 57.91$ | $\$ 61.82$ | $\$ 62.60$ |

Example
Given: 24.1-28.0 MTONS Excavator
Location: Montana Zone 2

Answer:
Total Hourly Operating Cost $=$ Equipment Cost + Operator cost
Engineer's Estimate:
Adjust for Location
24.1 - 28. MTON Excavator + Operator $\times$ Location Adjustment Factor $\$ 122.73+55.69 \times 0.99=\mathbf{1 7 7 . 8 6} \$ / \boldsymbol{h r}$

Specified Road Cost:
Adjust for Location and Wage rate Differential
24.1-28. MTON Excavator + Operator $\times$ Location Adjustment Factor $\div$ Wage rate adjustment factor $\$ 122.73+55.69 * 0.99 \div 1.56=\mathbf{1 5 8 . 0 7} \$ / \boldsymbol{h r}$

## PART XII. TEMPORARY ROAD COST ESTIMATING

 COST ESTIMATING FOR TEMPORARY ROADSTable 86. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Idaho, Table 87. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Montana, Table 88. Costs for Culverts for Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana, and Table 89. Cost per Mile for Obliteration of Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana have been adjusted to reflect Wood's Rates for Idaho and Montana. Profit (6\%) has been removed since it is included in the timber sale appraisal. No adjustments should be made when using these cost in Timber Sale packages

The decision to construct temporary roads for a timber sale or other activity is based on transportation planning and resource objectives that are documented in a NEPA decision. Temporary roads generally are built for one or two seasons of use for limited traffic. The National Forest Management Act (NFMA) requires that any temporary road built as part of a timber sale or other permit/lease shall be designed with the goal of reestablishing vegetative cover on the roadway and adjacent disturbed area within ten years after the termination of the contract, permit, or lease. In addition to this NFMA requirement, the timber sale contract requires outsloping, removal of culverts and ditches, and building water bars or cross ditches after the road is no longer needed.

For timber sales, FSH 2409.18 Chapter 40 Part 45.36d - Temporary Development, outlines the general procedures for estimating the costs of temporary roads. Temporary road cost estimates shall be based on the data and procedures contained in the current Cost Guide for road construction. The responsibility for the accuracy of temporary road cost estimates rests with Qualified Engineers as designated by the Regional Director of Engineering (FSM 7721.04c). Forest Supervisors are to ensure that a qualified engineer reviews all project cost estimates for the administrative unit (FSM 7721.04d).

The following procedure, or an estimate by time and equipment, should be used to develop temporary road costs. Sideslopes $0 \%$ through $20 \%$ consider a 12 ft roadbed and $30 \%$ through $50 \%$ consider a 14 ft roadbed to allow for cable logging. If time and equipment methods are used, labor rates and equipment rental rates for equipment 15 years and older contained in this Cost Guide should be used. Equipment rates need to be reduced by $6 \%$ to remove the profit. Labor rates need to be adjusted as described in the TIMBER SALE PURCHASER WAGE RATE ADJUSTMENTS. Section.

Step 1: Using Table 86. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Idaho or Table 87. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Montana, determine costs for clearing and grubbing based on side slope (SS \%) and right-of-way volume per acre.

The cost of felling, bucking, and skidding the right-of-way timber on temporary roads is considered a logging cost and not a road cost.

If additional clearing width is desired for windrow placement, etc. make necessary cost allowance.

Step 2: Using Table 86. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Idaho or Table 87. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Montana, determine excavation cost per mile based on side slope (SS \%) and right-of-way volume per acre.

If turnouts or turn-arounds are desired, adjust excavation costs accordingly.

Step 3: Using Table 86. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Idaho or Table 87. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Montana, determine seeding cost per mile based on side slope (SS \%) and right-of-way volume per acre.

The costs of seeding include the road bed.
NOTE: seeding costs do not make allowances for native seed, if native seed is required, contact supplier for cost and availability.

Step 4: Determine the cost of obliteration using Table 89. Cost per Mile for Obliteration of Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana.

This item should be included in every temporary road.
Step 5: Total the unit per mile costs determined in Steps 1-4.
Step 6: Multiply unit cost from Step 5 by the length of the temporary road(s).
Step 7: Determine the total cost of drainage structures:
Dips: $\$ 150$ each
Culverts: Table 88. Costs for Culverts for Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana
Step 8: Add the costs determined in Steps 6 \& 7. Add the allowance for Mobilization for Temporary Roads.

## Example Temporary Road Calculation:

Given:
Location: Idaho (Zone factor is not to be considered)
Average side slope: 30 percent
Estimated length: 1.5 miles
Timber volume: 40 CCF/acre
Drainage structures: 4-18" culverts, slope is 20\%, 2-24" culverts, slope is 20\%
Obliteration: Average scarification
Solution:
Step 1: Clearing and grubbing $=\$ 8,280 /$ mile
Step 2: Excavation = \$5,110/mile
Step 3: Seeding = \$1,750/mile
Step 4: Obliteration $=\$ 1,800 /$ mile
Step 5: $($ Step 1$)+($ Step 2$)+($ Step 3) $+($ Step 4$)=\$ 16,940 /$ mile
Step 6: $\$ 16,940 /$ mile $x 1.5$ miles $=\$ 25,410$
Step 7: Drainage structures:

$$
\begin{gathered}
4 x \$ 740=\$ 2960 \\
2 x \$ 835=\$ 1670 \\
\$ 2520+1410=\$ 4630
\end{gathered}
$$

Step 8: $($ Step 6$)+($ Step 7) $=\$ 25,410+\$ 4,630=\$ 30,040$
Mobilization $=\$ 30,040 \times 0.07=\$ 2103$
Total $=\$ 30,040+\$ 2103=\$ 32,143$
*No adjustments are made or are necessary for Zones, Construction Wage Rates, and Wage differentials. These adjustments are built in the Tables.

Note: Temporary erosion control measures are not included in above example, refer to Section 157. SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL for additional information. Also, this example did not include truck turnouts, turn-arounds or additional clearing for windrows.

Table 86. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Idaho

| Side Slopes\% | R/W Vol CCF/Ac | Clearing R/W Timber to TS Purchaser | Excavation | Seeding 0\% - 20\% SS 12 ft roadbed; 30\% - 50\% SS 14 ft roadbed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | \$5,560 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 0 | \$5,560 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 0 | \$6,060 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 0 | \$8,080 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 0 | \$9,850 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 0 | \$13,060 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 10 | \$5,610 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 10 | \$5,610 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 10 | \$6,110 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 10 | \$8,130 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 10 | \$9,900 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 10 | \$13,110 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 20 | \$5,660 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 20 | \$5,660 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 20 | \$6,160 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 20 | \$8,180 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 20 | \$9,950 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 20 | \$13,160 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 30 | \$5,710 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 30 | \$5,710 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 30 | \$6,210 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 30 | \$8,230 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 30 | \$10,000 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 30 | \$13,210 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 40 | \$5,760 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 40 | \$5,760 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 40 | \$6,260 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 40 | \$8,280 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 40 | \$10,050 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 40 | \$13,260 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 50 | \$5,810 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 50 | \$5,810 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 50 | \$6,310 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 50 | \$8,330 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 50 | \$10,100 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 50 | \$13,310 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 60 | \$5,860 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 60 | \$5,860 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 60 | \$6,360 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 60 | \$8,380 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 60 | \$10,150 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 60 | \$13,360 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |


| Side Slopes\% | R/W Vol CCF/Ac | Clearing R/W Timber to TS Purchaser | Excavation | Seeding 0\% - 20\% SS 12 ft roadbed; 30\% - 50\% SS 14 ft roadbed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 70 | \$5,910 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 70 | \$5,910 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 70 | \$6,410 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 70 | \$8,430 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 70 | \$10,200 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 70 | \$13,410 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 80 | \$5,960 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 80 | \$5,960 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 80 | \$6,460 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 80 | \$8,480 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 80 | \$10,250 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 80 | \$13,460 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 90 | \$6,010 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 90 | \$6,010 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 90 | \$6,510 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 90 | \$8,530 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 90 | \$10,300 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 90 | \$13,510 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |
| 0 | 100 | \$6,060 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 10 | 100 | \$6,060 | \$1,030 | \$1,090 |
| 20 | 100 | \$6,560 | \$2,080 | \$1,310 |
| 30 | 100 | \$8,580 | \$5,110 | \$1,750 |
| 40 | 100 | \$10,350 | \$9,340 | \$2,130 |
| 50 | 100 | \$13,560 | \$13,160 | \$2,560 |

Table 87. Basic Temporary Road Costs by Mile for Montana

| Side Slopes\% | R/W Vol CCF/Ac | Clearing R/W Timber to TS Purchaser | Excavation | Seeding 0\% - 20\% SS 12 ft roadbed; 30\% - 50\% SS 14 ft roadbed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | \$5,650 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 0 | \$5,650 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 0 | \$6,170 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 0 | \$8,220 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 0 | \$10,020 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 0 | \$13,290 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 10 | \$5,700 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 10 | \$5,700 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 10 | \$6,220 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 10 | \$8,270 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 10 | \$10,070 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 10 | \$13,340 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 20 | \$5,750 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 20 | \$5,750 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 20 | \$6,270 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 20 | \$8,320 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 20 | \$10,120 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 20 | \$13,390 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 30 | \$5,800 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 30 | \$5,800 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 30 | \$6,320 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 30 | \$8,370 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 30 | \$10,170 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 30 | \$13,440 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 40 | \$5,850 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 40 | \$5,850 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 40 | \$6,370 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 40 | \$8,420 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 40 | \$10,220 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 40 | \$13,490 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 50 | \$5,900 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 50 | \$5,900 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 50 | \$6,420 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 50 | \$8,470 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 50 | \$10,270 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 50 | \$13,540 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |
| 0 | 60 | \$5,950 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 10 | 60 | \$5,950 | \$1,080 | \$1,210 |
| 20 | 60 | \$6,470 | \$2,160 | \$1,450 |
| 30 | 60 | \$8,520 | \$5,320 | \$1,940 |
| 40 | 60 | \$10,320 | \$9,720 | \$2,360 |
| 50 | 60 | \$13,590 | \$13,700 | \$2,850 |


| Side <br> Slopes\% | R/W Vol <br> CCF/Ac | Clearing R/W Timber <br> to TS Purchaser | Excavation | Seeding 0\% - 20\% SS 12 ft roadbed; <br> $\mathbf{3 0 \%} \mathbf{- 5 0 \%}$ SS 14 ft roadbed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 70 | $\$ 6,000$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 10 | 70 | $\$ 6,000$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 20 | 70 | $\$ 6,520$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 1,450$ |
| 30 | 70 | $\$ 8,570$ | $\$ 5,320$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| 40 | 70 | $\$ 10,370$ | $\$ 9,720$ | $\$ 2,360$ |
| 50 | 70 | $\$ 13,640$ | $\$ 13,700$ | $\$ 2,850$ |
| 0 | 80 | $\$ 6,050$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 10 | 80 | $\$ 6,050$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 20 | 80 | $\$ 6,570$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 1,450$ |
| 30 | 80 | $\$ 8,620$ | $\$ 5,320$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| 40 | 80 | $\$ 10,420$ | $\$ 9,720$ | $\$ 2,360$ |
| 50 | 80 | $\$ 13,690$ | $\$ 13,700$ | $\$ 2,850$ |
| 0 | 90 | $\$ 6,100$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 10 | 90 | $\$ 6,100$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 20 | 90 | $\$ 6,620$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 1,450$ |
| 30 | 90 | $\$ 8,670$ | $\$ 5,320$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| 40 | 90 | $\$ 10,470$ | $\$ 9,720$ | $\$ 2,360$ |
| 50 | 90 | $\$ 13,740$ | $\$ 13,700$ | $\$ 2,850$ |
| 0 | 100 | $\$ 6,150$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 10 | 100 | $\$ 6,150$ | $\$ 1,080$ | $\$ 1,210$ |
| 20 | 100 | $\$ 6,670$ | $\$ 2,160$ | $\$ 1,450$ |
| 30 | 100 | $\$ 8,720$ | $\$ 5,320$ | $\$ 1,940$ |
| 40 | 100 | $\$ 10,520$ | $\$ 9,720$ | $\$ 2,360$ |
| 50 | 100 | $\$ 13,790$ | $\$ 13,700$ | $\$ 2,850$ |

Table 88. Costs for Culverts for Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana

| Side <br> Slope $\%$ | Diameter <br> (Inches) | Length <br> (Feet) | Idaho <br> $\$ / \mathrm{ft}$ | Idaho <br> $\$ /$ culvert | Montana <br> $\$ / \mathrm{ft}$ | Montana <br> $\$ /$ culvert |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 18 | 20 | $\$ 21.82$ | $\$ 440.00$ | $\$ 21.75$ | $\$ 435.00$ |
| 10 | 18 | 26 | $\$ 21.84$ | $\$ 570.00$ | $\$ 21.76$ | $\$ 570.00$ |
| 20 | 18 | 28 | $\$ 22.41$ | $\$ 630.00$ | $\$ 22.33$ | $\$ 630.00$ |
| 30 | 18 | 32 | $\$ 23.08$ | $\$ 740.00$ | $\$ 22.99$ | $\$ 740.00$ |
| 40 | 18 | 52 | $\$ 23.77$ | $\$ 1,240.00$ | $\$ 23.66$ | $\$ 1,235.00$ |
| 50 | 18 | 60 | $\$ 24.50$ | $\$ 1,475.00$ | $\$ 24.39$ | $\$ 1,465.00$ |
| 60 | 18 | 80 | $\$ 26.66$ | $\$ 2,135.00$ | $\$ 26.52$ | $\$ 2,125.00$ |
| 0 | 24 | 20 | $\$ 24.83$ | $\$ 500.00$ | $\$ 24.77$ | $\$ 500.00$ |
| 10 | 24 | 26 | $\$ 24.88$ | $\$ 650.00$ | $\$ 24.82$ | $\$ 650.00$ |
| 20 | 24 | 28 | $\$ 25.37$ | $\$ 715.00$ | $\$ 25.30$ | $\$ 710.00$ |
| 30 | 24 | 32 | $\$ 26.00$ | $\$ 835.00$ | $\$ 25.93$ | $\$ 830.00$ |
| 40 | 24 | 52 | $\$ 26.72$ | $\$ 1,390.00$ | $\$ 26.64$ | $\$ 1,390.00$ |
| 50 | 24 | 60 | $\$ 27.52$ | $\$ 1,655.00$ | $\$ 27.42$ | $\$ 1,650.00$ |
| 60 | 24 | 80 | $\$ 29.68$ | $\$ 2,375.00$ | $\$ 29.56$ | $\$ 2,365.00$ |

Table 89. Cost per Mile for Obliteration of Temporary Roads in Idaho and Montana

| Description | Terrain | Idaho | Montana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface scarification, outslope, <br> revegetation | Gentle | $\$ 550-\$ 775$ | \$550-\$775 |
| Scarification, CMP removal, <br> outslope, waterbars, rounding of <br> backslopes and revegetation | Moderate | $\$ 925-\$ 2,300$ | $\$ 950-\$ 2,325$ |
| Scarification, CMP removal, <br> outslope, waterbars, rounding of <br> backslopes and revegetation | Steep | $\$ 1,400-\$ 2,950$ | $\$ 1,375-\$ 2,900$ |
| CMP removal, recontouring, and <br> revegetation | Gentle | $\$ 2,075-\$ 4,025$ | $\$ 2,100-\$ 4,125$ |
| CMP removal, recontouring, and <br> revegetation | Moderate to Steep | $\$ 2,900-\$ 5,775$ | $\$ 2,950-\$ 5,900$ |

Note: Obliteration requirements are highly variable, ranging from surface scarification and water bar placement to complete recontouring and revegetation of the former roadway. Costs may increase due to difficult or unique conditions. Costs shown above based on small dozer, and excavator.

## Mobilization for Temporary Roads

Mobilization is estimated as 7\% of the total cost.
Cost Estimate Template for Temporary Roads
The following is an example form to be used when costing estimating for temporary roads.

Sale Name $\qquad$ Made by $\qquad$

Unit or Road No. $\qquad$ Checked by $\qquad$
Reference: Cost estimating procedures for temporary roads from
Cost Guide - pages $\qquad$
Average Side Slope:
Length: $\qquad$ Ft. = $\qquad$ Miles

Timber Volume: $\qquad$ MBF/Acre
Drainage Structures: *Dips
$\qquad$ 18" CMP, $\qquad$ 24" CMP

Note: Do not adjust project costs for inflation or deflation.

| Step 1: | Clearing and Grubbing (Table T-1) | = \$ | /Mile (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step 2: | Excavation (Table T-1) | = \$ | /Mile (2) |
| Step 3: | Seeding (Table T-1) | = \$ | /Mile (3) |
| Step 4: | Obliteration (Table T-2) | = \$ | /Mile (4) |
| Step 5: | Total Unit Cost $=(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)$ | = \$ | /Mile (5) |
| Step 6: | Basic Cost $=$ Total (5) $\times$ Length $=\$ \quad$ Mile $\times$ | Mile(s) = $\mathbf{\$}$ | _(6) |
| Step 7: Drainage Structures |  |  |  |
|  | Dips* S \$ /Dip | = \$ |  |
|  | 18" CMPs x \$ /CMP |  |  |
|  | 24" CMPs x \$ /CMP | $=\$$ |  |
|  | Drainage Cost Total | = \$ | (7) |
| Step 8: | Subtotal $=$ Basic Cost (6) + Drainage Cost (7) | = \$ |  |
|  | Mobilization $=$ Subtotal $\times$ Mobilization\% = \$ $\quad$ ¢ _ \% = \$ |  |  |
|  | Subtotal + Mobilization | $=\$$ | _(8) |
|  |  | TOTAL $=$ | (8) |
| *Caution is advised on using Dips where logging and chip trucks, and lowboys are used - they need to be designed in a manner that prevents truck frames from flexing which can damage the truck's undercarriage and frame |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Costs do not include gates, guardrails, and concrete barriers. Cost do not include weed treatment. Costs include minor structure removal when defined under mitigation.

