

## Appendix Q

# Scenic integrity rehabilitation

---

**Introduction** Each of these alternatives, if implemented, has the potential to maintain, alter, or enhance the scenic character of the landscapes on the White River National Forest to varying degrees. The scenery management system (SMS) recognizes the interdependency of aesthetics and ecological systems. A sustainable, healthy forest promotes aesthetic, natural-appearing landscapes. Opportunities for viewing scenery will vary due to many factors. Road and trail construction, and construction of new recreation facilities will create new opportunities for viewing scenery. Some viewing opportunities may decrease due to road closures. All of the alternatives have some degree of road and trail construction and road closures; please refer to the *Effects on scenic resources from travel management* section in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, Volume 1.

Table Q-1 displays the total acreage that will require rehabilitation to implement the 2002 Forest Plan at the desired condition level for scenery, by alternative. Table Q-2 displays all of the specific areas we identified during the forest planning process that need to be rehabilitated, by alternative. These areas are all inventoried on the Historic Activities Coverage geographic information systems layer. This coverage was overlaid with the management areas of each alternative to determine the extent of rehabilitation needed to comply with the alternatives in the revised plan. The forest plan does not establish a timetable for any rehabilitation work. It will occur as the forest budget allows and as project opportunities arise, depending on the management activities are proposed.

**Table Q-1**  
**Total acres of rehabilitation to meet scenic integrity objectives by alternative**

---

	Alternative						
	B	C	D	E	F	I	K
<b>Acres</b>	22,394	18,690	11,240	27,500	13,400	32,770	15,920

**Table Q-2  
Acres of rehabilitation needed to meet scenic integrity objectives at specific areas, by alternative**

Area	Acres	Alternative						
		B	C	D	E	F	I	K
<i>Spine Creek timber sale</i>	3870				L>M		L>M	
<i>Burnt Mtn. timber sale</i>	2140	L>M ~1000 AC	L>M ~1000 AC		L>M			L>H ~500 AC
<i>Hard Rock mining and New York Mtn timber harvest area</i>	360				L>M		L>M	L>M
<i>Dickson timber sale A</i>	830	VL>L/M	VL>L	VL>L	VL>M	VL>L	VL>M	
<i>Buffer Creek timber sale</i>	1810				L>M		L>M ~900 AC	VL>L
<i>Red Sandstone timber sale</i>	380	VL>L	VL>M/L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>M	VL>L
<i>Dickson timber sale B</i>	500				L>M			
<i>Moniger Park clearcuts inside Eagle's Nest Wilderness</i>	560	L>VH	L>VH	L>VH	L>VH	L>VH	L>VH	L>H
<i>Piney timber sale</i>	1020				L>M		L>M	
<i>No Name timber sale</i>	420		L>M		L>M		L>M	
<i>Mahan Lake area north to Forest boundary, timber harvesting in '70's</i>	5350						L>M	
<i>Shoe Basin mining area</i>	710	L>M	L>M	L>M ~350 AC	L>M		L>M	L>M
<i>Wise Mtn timber sale area</i>	2440	L>M	L>M		L>M		L>M	
<i>Parkville mining area</i>	419	L>M	L>M		L>M		L>M	
<i>French Gulch mining area</i>	820	L>M	L>M		L>M	L>M	L>M	L>M
<i>New York Mine area</i>	1690	L>M			L>M	L>M	L>M	L>M
<i>Opher timber sale</i>	1890	L>M					L>M	

Table Q-2 continued

Area	Acres	Alternative						
		B	C	D	E	F	I	K
<i>Wilson Park uranium mining area</i>	200	UL>L	UL>M	UL>L	UL>L	UL>L	UL>M	UL>L
<i>Road cuts on Flattops Scenic Byway</i>	100	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L/M	VL>L	VL>M	VL>L, M
<i>Oyster Lake Trail in Flat Tops Wilderness</i>	480	M>H	M>H	M>H	M>H	M>H	M>H	M>H
<i>Ute Creek Trail</i>								M>H
<i>Uncle Bob Mtn timber sales</i>	100	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L
<i>Ruedi Reservoir road cut</i>	450	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>M	VL>L	VL>M/L	VL>L
<i>McClure Pass road cut</i>	290	VL>L	VL>L/M	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L	VL>L
<i>Coal Basin Mine</i>	7110	UL>VL	UL>M	UL>M	North end UL>L; rest UL>M	UL>L	UL>M	North end UL>L; rest UL>M
<i>Kobey Park timber sale</i>	2470	L>M ~400 AC			L>M ~400 AC		L>M ~2000 AC	
<i>Taylor Pass mining area (some private)</i>	160	UL>VL/M	UL>L/M	UL>M	UL>M	UL>L	UL>M	UL>M
<i>Taylor Pass road cut</i>	240	L>M	L>M	L>M	L>M		L>M	L>M

*Note: The acres (AC) shown are generated from the Existing Scenic Integrity Level Coverage that is based on the Activity Coverage. The Activity Coverage displayed general areas, not site-specific units of impact. When only a portion of an area needed to be rehabilitated an approximation was made for the acres, as shown in the table.*

**KEY:** UL- Unacceptably Low      VL- Very Low      L- Low      M- Moderate      H- High      VH- Very High  
 For example, UL>M means that the area is inventoried as Unacceptably Low in the Existing Scenic Integrity Inventory and needs to be rehabilitated to Moderate to meet the scenic integrity objective for that alternative in that area.

