

Hebo Stewardship Group Meeting

April 13th, 2011

Driftwood Public Library

Attendees were asked to introduce themselves and their affiliation.

Name	Affiliation	Name	Affiliation
Alex Sifford	Nestucca-Neskowin WC	Wendy Sleeten	NNWC
Dave Martin	Westwind Stewardship Group	Paul Robertson	Devils Lake Water Improvement District
Luke Gmazel	City of Lincoln City	Guy Sievert	NNWC
Paul Katen	SDWC	Anne Walker	USFWS
Guy Holzworth	NNWC	Mike Kennedy	Siletz Tribe
David Skelton	Hebo RD	Michael Reichenberg	Hebo Ranger District
Jackie Nichols	Cascade Pacific RC&D	Jane Barth	Facilitator

Jane Barth reviewed the agenda. Objectives for the meeting included:

1. Draft final language for the group Charter, including stewardship boundary area.
2. Draft final language for group norms, decision-making procedure and local economic area definition.

Jane reviewed draft meeting notes from last month and asked if there were any suggested changes. Meeting notes were accepted by consensus with 2 minor changes.

Group Charter

Group Norms

#10 Add *"stay on topic"*

#7 *Raising your hand to speak*; recommended to change to *indicate when you want to speak* since raising hand feels a bit formal. Main idea is to help the facilitator manage group participation and allowing people to speak one at a time.

Decision-Making Procedures

Consensus and Participation of attending 2 of 4 last meetings was discussed. No concerns of the decision making tree.

Section – A4

The group discussed the proposal to add a goal of: "Eliminate use of inorganic compounds."

- What is the scope of eliminating the compounds?
- Is Hebo spraying herbicides?
- Knotweed is difficult to remove and inorganics may be the only tool to eliminate invasive species. Will this language allow the continued use of inorganics?

They decided not to adopt this as a separate goal, but rather to incorporate it into the existing goal for control of invasives. That goal will now read as: "Control of invasive species and re-establish native species through natural recolonization or active management (pre-treatment, seeding, planting, release, etc.) **while minimizing the use of herbicides, pesticides, and surfactants.**"

List of Potential Participants

List of potential partners passed out to group by Alex. The group added and removed listings to better reflect the Hebo area. Alex will get the revised list to Jane for integration into final draft.

* Additions to the list:

- Tillamook Estuary Partnership
- Ducks Unlimited
- Nestucca Anglers
- OR Dept of Forestry
- Oregon Parks & Recreation
- Tierra del Mar
- NOAA Fisheries
- Georgia Pacific – remove* (Plum Creek owned)**
- Starker, Thompson, Hull Oakes, Simpson – remove since not in Hebo area**
- Hampton
- Olympic Resource Management
- Pacific City Dory Association (not added since marine focused)
- NRCS
- Oregon Coast Forests

Section - B2.

(Alex) Recommended to change language from past to future tense in section 1 of B.2 Collaboration. Add language to indicate that the group will contact potential collaborators and continue to expand the list.

Group Discussion

(Guy) How will we use the goals in the Charter to evaluate potential stewardship projects? What is the criteria we will use for the council to place a priority on projects, watershed, etc.?

(Mike K.) Group would come up with priorities (e.g.: meadows rest.) FS has technical review of projects for technical merit prior to Stewardship group evaluation of projects.

(Michael R) Approx 1/3 of sales are going to stewardship on CCRD. Forest Supervisor supports changing to 50/50 split between timber receipts.

(Guy) What is an estimated average dollar amount per acre that will go to the stewardship council from Timber receipts? From last meeting \$250-\$2,000 /acre was used as a good baseline of funds.

Mapping the Stewardship Boundaries Discussion

(Michael R) – Recommended to have all land managed by FS to be included in the Stewardship group, because we don't want to leave areas on the table that are potential areas of work. Group concurred.

Mike Kennedy – Added to map CTSI land with federal nexus. Group concurred.

(Paul)– What about streams that flow into FS land rather than have headwaters in FS land?

(Mike K) We may be able to use terrestrial wildlife to show benefits on Federal lands for land that does not immediately adjoin or have aquatic connection to FS land.

(Michael R.) It will be the Stewardship Group's responsibility to prove there is a federal nexus.

Someone recommended to include Grand Ronde lands that have a federal nexus. Group concurred.

With regard to the *Local Economic Area* definition, Michael will make maps with boundaries and a buffer of various numbers of miles so the group can ensure they are capturing all the communities they intend and not ones they do not want to give preference to.

Next Steps

- Is it a requirement that the FS have a paid facilitator at each meeting or can the group meet without a FS facilitator? Is the precedent set by other 3 groups. FS does not have staff resources to provide facilitation for the groups nor do they consider it appropriate since they are not the conveners of these groups. The groups are not official advisory committees covered by FACA rules. Rather they are collaboratives run independently. The neutral facilitator is most important during project prioritization and also provides organizational support to groups.

- April 27th – Round table meeting in Corvallis 10:00a - 12:30p at Siuslaw SO. Michael will attend and Alex will participate via speaker phone. Anyone else interested in participating, please let Jane know. Each stewardship group has 2 representatives on the Roundtable.

- Wendy - Recommended rotating day & evening meetings to allow people to make at least 2 of the 4 meetings even if they are not able to make it due to other commitments. Also recommended to rotate location to allow a higher attendance.

Doodle Poll. Thursday, May 5th or 19th at the Kiwanda Community Center in Pacific City from 6-8pm.