

Flip Chart Notes from Meadow Restoration Public Meeting March 29, 2012

- Low Flow Season Effects: Where does the water go?
- Are constructed projects considered wetlands or wet meadows? (designation, use, productivity, possible restriction)
- Increased late season flow downstream versus increased pond / meadow holding capacity: do these effects augment each other or interfere with each other?
- Are these meadow restoration projects or habitat creation projects?
- Relative value of water at different times of the year
- Will funds continue past one year for UNR's study of pond effects?
- Erosion vulnerability associated with constructed plugs
- Dr Sherm Swanson is interested in a study of the geomorphic factors that contribute to the success/failure of restored meadow stream channels
- NEPA and CEQA analysis processes evaluate the potential impacts to downstream water right holders
- Are these projects stream restoration or meadow restoration?
- For Forest Service projects, NEPA analysis of flow effects will be similar to the "Water Rights Analysis" that CRM has produced for CEQA
- "Plan B": referring to the Plumas County resolution to include contingencies or mitigations to offset foreseeable impacts to water supplies
- Meadow restoration is more than effects to water flow. Would like more info / discussion of ecological and biological effects.