

*James L. Caswell, Chair (208-365-7420)*  
[jlcaswell@g.com](mailto:jlcaswell@g.com)

*Dale R. Harris, Vice-Chair (406-240-2809)*  
[dharris@bisky.net](mailto:dharris@bisky.net)

Meeting Notes  
June 28-29, 2012  
Grangeville, Idaho

## June 28

### Attendees:

**Commission Members:** Jonathan Oppenheimer, Idaho Conservation League; Brad Gilbert, Outdoor and commercial recreation interests; Robert Cope, Lemhi County Commissioner; Patty Perry, Kootenai Tribe of Idaho (on phone); Jim Caswell, public at large; Alex Irby, Knonkolville Lumber; Alan Prouty, Simplot; Tom Bowman, Blaine County Commissioner; Bill Higgins, Idaho Forest Group, LLC.

**Others Present:** Mike Hanna, Senator Risch; Scott Carlton, Rep. Labrador; Mitch Silvers, Sen. Crapo.

**Forest Service:** Harv Forsgren, R4 Regional Forester; Tom Schmidt, R1 Deputy Regional Forester; Pete Zimmerman (acting for Joan Dickerson, Idaho Roadless Coordinator R1&R4); Barry Ruklic; Ralph Rau, Cindy Lane, Scott Russell, Kathy Rodriguez, Elayne Murphy, Carol Hennessey, Rachel Young, Nez Perce and Clearwater National Forests; Mary Farnsworth, Kent Wellner, Idaho Panhandle National Forests.

### Protocols

The commission agreed to the edited protocol with the following additions:

1. Add that a quorum equals fifty percent plus one.
2. Under "Forming Consensus," edit  
"Skipping a meeting ~~should not~~ cannot be used..."  
"If a member has input but is unable to attend a meeting ~~has input~~, and can present it to the Commission before the..."

The revised protocol is attached.

### Forest Presentation Schedule

The next Forest presentations will be on the Payette, July 17; Sawtooth, July 18; and the Salmon-Challis, July 19.

### Litigation Update

Briefs have been filed with the court. We are waiting for a date for oral arguments; anticipated sometime this fall.

### Summary of Idaho Roadless Projects

The Commission briefly reviewed the list (attached).

## **Husky Mine (Caribou-Targhee NF; Schmid Peak and Dry Ridge IRAs)**

Rob Mickelsen and Brent Larson provided an overview of the anticipated proposal (see briefing paper). Currently they anticipate the need to correct the boundary of the Schmid Peak IRA. The boundary was intended to follow an existing pipeline, excluding the pipeline from this Idaho Roadless Area. The Husky Mine proposal would include a temporary public access road to follow the pipeline outside the roadless area. The exact pipeline location will be surveyed later this summer, but aerial photos indicate there is a discrepancy/overlap with the mapped Schmid Peak boundary. The BLM is anticipated to publish a Notice of Intent to Prepare an EIS within the next two months and are currently looking for a decision in 2016. At the next Commission meeting the Forest will be able to provide the actual proposal as well as the results of the pipeline field mapping.

**Decision.** The commission agreed that aligning the Schmid Peak boundary with the actual pipeline location would constitute an administrative correction under the Idaho Roadless Rule (IRR) (36 CFR 294.27).

## **Gibson Jack Trailhead Relocation (Caribou-Targhee NF; West Mink IRA)**

The Forest is contemplating moving the Gibson Jack Trailhead to decrease sediment delivery to the stream and improve access and safety for trail users. The new trailhead location and access road (requiring reconstruction) are within the West Mink Idaho Roadless Area (IRA) (see briefing paper). As the Backcountry theme does not allow road reconstruction for this purpose, a modification of the rule would be required. Both boundary modification and theme change options were discussed. The Forest does not plan to move forward with the proposal immediately, but wants to know whether the Commission considers it worth pursuing further.

**Decision.** The Commission felt the proposal was worth pursuing. As discussed, the proposal would 1) increase resource protection, 2) improve public access and safety, 3) reduce conflict with adjacent private lands, and 4) could be done with no net reduction in IRA (in this case could result in a minor increase). The commission generally supported the boundary modification approach over the theme change concept in this case, noting that a theme change would result in a tiny map unit of GFRG theme dominated by the trailhead and access road.

## **Dairy Syncline Land Exchange (Caribou-Targhee NF)**

At the last Commission meeting members had asked the Forest whether or not there were reasonable alternatives to a land exchange for this proposal. After further review the Forest believes an exchange is necessary; no reasonable alternative exists. Given this, the next step will be Commission recommendations on theme assignments for the roadless lands that would be acquired through the exchange. The Forest will need this before publishing the DEIS sometime this fall.

**Decision.** The Commission recognized and supported the project's compliance with the IRR as proposed. After discussion, the Commission recommends allocating the entire acquired parcel to the Backcountry theme (as opposed to a split allocation between Backcountry and GFRG). A letter to Governor Otter should be prepared recommending this classification.

## **White Bark Pine Restoration Decision (Caribou-Targhee NF)**

Update: The decision has been issued and it is currently in the appeal period.

## Idaho Panhandle National Forest (IPNF) – Review Forest Plan language

Mary Farnsworth and Kent Wellner briefed the Committee on proposed wording changes to the Revised Forest Plan in response to the Committee’s recommendations and the Regional Forester’s reply to Governor Otter (letters dated June 7, 2012 and June 18, 2012 respectively). As presented, the Plan now makes direct reference to the IRR wherever applicable and the IRR would be included as an appendix to the Plan.

**Decision.** The Commission supports the new wording as presented. The following edits will be made:

1. The IPNF will standardize the language used for mineral guidance in the Management Area Chapter. Not all the standards were written the same and the recommendation was to standardize the language.
2. The IPNF will add the following forest wide standard to Access and Recreation (roads), Timber, and other forest plan topics (minerals) to ensure that the IRR is recognized as the guiding rules for managing these resources within Idaho Roadless Areas:

*The provisions in the Idaho Roadless Rule (36 CFR 294 Subpart C) shall take precedence over any inconsistent land management plan component unless and until the rule is amended. Land management plan components that are not inconsistent with the Rule will continue to provide guidance for projects and activities within Idaho Roadless Areas; as shall those related to protection of threatened and endangered species (36 CFR 294.28(d)).*

3. The IPNF will work to complete the modifications and corrections this summer/fall.
4. The IPNF will correct rounding error anomalies in the plan EIS and subsequent documentation related to Idaho Roadless Areas.
5. The IPNF will add verbiage in the Forest Plan and associated EIS to clarify the difference between the IPNF proposed **Primitive Lands** management area (MA 1e) and the IRR classification of **Primitive**.
6. The IPNF will add verbiage in the FEIS that alternative C is not consistent with the IRR and that if selected, it would not be implemented until the Rule were modified. The IPNF will add the direction to modify the rule, if this alternative were selected.

## Marble Mountain Splash Dam Modification (IPNF; Grandmother Mtn. IRA)

Mary Farnsworth briefed the Commission on this restoration proposal (see briefing paper). It does not involve road construction, reconstruction, or cutting of trees. The Commission did not have any questions needing follow-up.

## Thompson Creek Mine (Salmon-Challis NF)

Karen Dunlap and Karryl Krieger provided an update on this proposal. The NEPA has stalled pending filling some critical personnel positions.

**Decision.** The Commission is interested in a field trip. Pete will set up a “doodle poll” for the Commission covering the first two weeks in September to find a suitable date. Commission members should forward to Joan Dickerson any follow-up questions they have so the Forest can answer them during the field trip.

## Upper North Fork Update (Salmon-Challis NF)

The Draft EIS should be out for public review and comment in August. Commission members will be mailed a copy or a link to where the documents can be found on the internet. Inside the IRA but outside Community Protection Zone (CPZ), only hand treatments are proposed. Several members of the Commission expressed strong concern that helicopter logging was not viable due to the costs of the operation v. the value of the material to be removed. Another member shared their view that we need to evaluate the alternative to demonstrate there is no viable alternative to construction of temporary roads. There was some “wondering” if there was or needed to be some sort of mechanism to deal with this as “alternatives considered, but not in detail.” In the case of Upper North Fork a second alternative is being evaluated that does not include temporary roads in the CPZ. Economic viability will be assessed in the DEIS.

## Role of the Commission in Nez Perce/Clearwater Forest (NP/CNF) Plan Revision

Discussions occurred during the morning as well as at the time noted in the agenda. Notes are consolidated here.

### Topics:

1. What is the role of the Commission in terms of Forest Planning?
2. Per Forest Service reply to Governor Otter (June 18, 2012), can the Commission identify general principles for modifications to the Idaho Roadless Rule?

**Background:** The NP/CNF is beginning the Assessment stage of Forest Plan revision. Roadless and Wilderness are part of that assessment. The collaborative process will begin with a series of meetings beginning near the end of July. The focus at this stage of collaboration will be to engage the public/collaborators on identifying the need for change.

**Conclusion Summary:** Both topics will require further consideration during the next Commissioner’s meeting this fall. The commission noted appreciation for the Forest Service response to Governor Otter per the commissioner’s recommendations for the IPNF Forest Plan Revision. Commissioners recognize they have a role, particularly an early role, in the Forest Plan Revision process to avoid repeating problems encountered with the IPNF revision. At minimum, the NP/CNF will report back to the Commission with the results of the assessment. The Commission may then identify whether there is a need to, and make recommendations on how to, align the proposed Plan with the IRR. Discussions on the extent and nature of Commission involvement beyond this will continue at the next meeting.

As for, “general principles for modifications to the IRR,” there was general agreement on modifications being based on, “new compelling information.” Further refinement of this concept will be discussed at the next meeting this fall.

**Discussion:** In reaching the agreements noted above, several concepts were presented and left on the table for further consideration at the next meeting.

The Commission’s role in Forest Plan revision could range from expansive and active participation throughout the collaborative process to a more focused role of reviewing and validating consistency of the plan with the IRR and, if necessary, recommending means to align the Plan with the IRR.

Towards the more active role/participation, the concept was floated that one or more of the commission members currently participating with the Clearwater Basin Collaborative (CBC) might also serve as primary commission contact and/or representative. It was noted that both the governor’s

office and CBC would need to be apprised of this dual role approach. Also noted, CBC is currently reassessing its own role and capacity related to the revision process.

The following concept was also floated and discussed as an approach to determine the Commissions role and interest regarding Forest Plan actions relative to “recommended wilderness.”

The Commission would not have a role in the following situations:

1. Acres proposed for recommended wilderness by the forest plan align with the Wild Land Recreation theme in an Idaho Roadless Area.
2. Acres proposed for recommended wilderness by the forest plan are located outside an Idaho Roadless Area.

The Commission would provide a recommendation to the Governor in the following situations:

1. Acres proposed for recommended wilderness by the forest plan do not align with the Wild Land Recreation theme in an Idaho Roadless Area.
2. Acres proposed for recommended wilderness by the forest plan partially align with the Wild Land Recreation theme in an Idaho Roadless Area.

### **Liberal Willow (Sawtooth NF)**

**Update:** Objection period is over; no objections. Decision pending.

### **Idaho Powerline #328 Project (Boise NF)**

All but a small portion of this project occurs outside Idaho Roadless Areas. Within the GFRG theme, 0.2 miles of existing non-system road would be added to the Forest road system and maintained. Within the Backcountry Restoration theme, 0.2 miles of existing non-system road and overland routes would be added to the Forest road system and maintained and trees within one acre of the powerline corridor would be felled. Public access on these routes would be restricted through either physical closure or by prohibition through the motor vehicle use map (MVUM).

**Decision.** The Commission felt the project, as briefed, was consistent with the Rule. Members encouraged the Forest to make the cut trees available for personal firewood if feasible.

### **Snowmobile Trail Grooming in Valley, Gem, and Boise Counties (Boise NF)**

As proposed, an estimated 10 to 12 trees would have to be felled to establish the 0.65 miles of groomed trail that would traverse the south end of the Poison Creek IRA within the Backcountry/Restoration theme.

**Decision.** The commission believes the tree cutting is consistent with the rule as it is incidental to an activity not otherwise prohibited. However, they would like to be informed as to the size of the trees to be cut (approximate).

### **Midas Gold, Inc. Golden Meadows Exploration Project (Payette NF)**

This was an informational update. The environmental assessment went out for public comment May 17.

## **JuQ\$ 29**

### **Field Review of the Orogrande Fuels Project (Nez Perce NF)**

The primary purpose of the field review was to consider the need for the proposed temporary road construction within the CPZ on the west side of the town of Orogrande. Members walked to the end of the existing non-system road within the IRA and discussed the location and need for it and the additional proposed new temporary construction. The Forest's interdisciplinary team candidly answered questions.

**Decision.** The committee members recognized the need for the temporary road access in this case. However, they noted that use of existing road templates isn't always the best for resource protection or for conducting fuel reduction activities. Therefore they suggested the Forest takes a close look before committing to reusing the existing road template.

### **Miscellaneous**

Fall meeting – will look at dates, expect a doodle poll soon for dates around the end of November.

Next meeting agenda items:

- Further discussions on Commission involvement with Forest Plan revisions
- Further discussions on general principles for modifications to the Idaho Roadless Rule?

## **Protocols for Governor's Idaho Roadless Implementation Commission**

**June 12, 2012**

**Collaboration.** If an idea or proposal proves unacceptable for someone on the Committee, it is incumbent upon that person to explain this to the group, and the reason why. That person then needs to give a version that satisfies their concerns and needs as well as those articulated by the committee as a whole. This requires that everyone participate in good faith, recognize values, facilitate dialogue, and work together.

**Consensus.** The threshold is that individually and jointly for your respective organization you can support the recommendations of this group. This means "Live with it" consensus.

**Forming Consensus.** As to issues of consensus, if a member is not present for the formulation of a consensus recommendation, that member cannot subsequently block it. Skipping a meeting cannot be used as a blocking strategy. The principle is "play or pass." If a member has input, but is unable to attend a meeting, and can present it to the Commission before the official meeting notes are distributed, the Commission can decide whether to consider that idea, and whether follow-up action is required.

**Quorum.** A quorum is considered fifty percent of the Commission members, plus one.

**Scope.** The committee may have good input to recommend beyond the scope of the Executive Order, but will decide whether to proceed on a case-by-case basis.

**Minority positions.** Characterizing differences is better than voting and creating a minority report.

**Meeting summaries.** As a general rule for meeting minutes, there should be no attribution. If a committee member wishes for a comment to be attributed, they can request it. The whole committee should have a chance to go over meeting notes and make sure they are right before they are made public. We will strive to circulate Meeting minutes within five business days of the meeting, and the committee will have another five business days to respond with any edits or corrections prior to the document becoming public (e.g. posting to the Forest Service's roadless website).

**Public Participation.** The committee will accept written comments. Oral comments at meetings may be accepted at the discretion of the Chair of the Commission on a meeting-by-meeting basis.

**Press.** No Commission member should speak to the press, or represent the Commission, without the express consent of the entire Commission. If a Commissioner is called by a member of the press, they should limit their comments to the topics discussed, consensus recommendations reached if any, but not provide any attribution. Commission members can inform the press that the minutes will be publicly available in 10 business days following the meeting. As a general matter the Chair or Vice Chair are designated as spokespersons for Commission business of a routine nature.

**Chair & Vice Chair.** From the Executive Order, “[t]he Chair and Vice Chair of the Commission shall be selected by a majority vote of the members. The chair and vice chair shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor.”

**Designated Alternates.** Commission members should make every effort to attend and participate at every meeting. There will be no alternates or proxies. Play or pass. If a member knows they will be absent from a meeting that member may provide written comments for the all or specific agenda items and provide them through the Chair to the Commission for consideration. Calling in is also an option but should not become the norm.

# Governor's Roadless Commission



Idaho Roadless  
Rule

*James L. Caswell, Chair (208-365-7420)*

*[jlcaswell@q.com](mailto:jlcaswell@q.com)*

*Dale R. Harris, Vice-Chair (406-240-2809)*

*[dharris@bigsky.net](mailto:dharris@bigsky.net)*

## Summary of Idaho Roadless Projects (36 CFR 294 Subpart C)

June 26, 2012

**Table 1. Accomplishments since October 2008**

| Year     | National Forest | Project name                      | Roadless Area/theme              | Activity                                                                                                      | Acres     | Exception Applied               |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 12/21/09 | Salmon Challis  | Slide Hunter                      | Boulder White Cloud/BCR          | Restore aspen - slash and burn                                                                                | 1,275     | 294.24(c)(1)(iv)                |
| 06/10/11 | Boise           | Cache Creek                       | Red Mountain/BCR                 | Restore whitebark pine - slash; pile burn                                                                     | 164       | 294.24(c)(1)(iv)                |
| 06/24/11 | Sawtooth        | Free Gold                         | Lime Creek /Primitive            | Reduce fuels; restore ecosystems <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thinning</li> <li>• Burn</li> </ul> | 58<br>173 | 294.24(b)(1)(ii)(iii)           |
| 07/27/11 | Nez Perce       | Nut Basin                         | Little Slate Creek /BCR          | Restore whitebark pine - lop and scatter; Prescribe burn                                                      | 480       | 294.24(c)(1)(iv)                |
| 02/14/12 | Nez Perce       | Selway-Winter Range               | Rackliff Gedney/BCR              | Restore shrub fields (slash shrub fields)                                                                     | 3000      | NA – shrub cutting              |
| 03/07/12 | Boise           | Oro Mountain                      | Stoney Meadows/Primitive and BCR | Restore whitebark pine – lop and scatter                                                                      | 1,510     | 294.24(b)(1)(i) and (c)(1)(iii) |
| 05/30/12 | Caribou-Targhee | Whitebark Pine Protection Project | Mt. Jefferson/Primitive          | Restore whitebark pine – lop and scatter (Appeal period ends Mid July)                                        | 180       | 294.24(b)(1)(i)(ii)             |

| Year     | National Forest | Project name | Roadless Area/theme                       | Activity                                                               | Acres | Exception Applied |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 06/22/12 | Sawtooth        | Raymond Mine | Smokey Mountains/ Backcountry Restoration | Reopen two collapsed audits and a collapsed shaft. Underground mining. | 5     | 294.25(a)         |

### Payette Corrections

The Idaho Roadless Rule and associated maps mistakenly identified a Forest Plan Special Area (Wild and Scenic River) along a corridor of Big Creek. The rule and associated maps were corrected in March 2011 (76 FR 17341).

The Idaho Roadless Rule did not identify an existing Forest Plan Special Area for the Wild and Scenic River corridor along Lake Creek in the French Creek Idaho Roadless Area. The rule and associated maps were corrected March 2011 (76 FR 17341).

**Table 2. Proposals – Scoping started**

| Project name                                                | Roadless Area/theme                               | Activity                                                                                                                                                      | Acres | Road construction (Miles) | Exception Applied   | Status                              |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Boise National Forest</b>                                |                                                   |                                                                                                                                                               |       |                           |                     |                                     |
| Snowmobile Trail Grooming in Valley, Gem and Boise Counties | Poison Creek/BCR                                  | Fell 10-12 trees along 0.65 miles of groomed trail                                                                                                            | NA    | 0                         | \$294.24(c)(1)(vii) | Scoped April 2012.<br>Preparing EA  |
| Idaho Power Line #328                                       | Meadow Creek; Caton Lake; Reeves Creek/ BCR; GFRG | 0.12 miles of an existing unauthorized road and 0.2 miles in General Forest would be added to the Forests transportation system. Road maintenance would occur | NA    | 0                         | \$294.23(f)         | Scoping March 2012.<br>Preparing EA |
| <b>Caribou-Targhee National Forest</b>                      |                                                   |                                                                                                                                                               |       |                           |                     |                                     |
| Dairy Syncline                                              | Huckleberry Basin/GFRG                            | Mine, Reclamation Plan and Land Exchange                                                                                                                      |       |                           |                     | Preparing Draft EIS                 |

| Project name                                 | Roadless Area/theme                             | Activity                                                                                                                                            | Acres              | Road construction (Miles) | Exception Applied                                | Status                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                                              |                                                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Road construction</li> <li>Surface Occupancy</li> <li>Land Exchange</li> </ul>                               | 1,798<br>640       | .5                        | 294.25(e)(1)<br>294.25(e)(1)<br>284.27(b)        |                                             |
| <b>Idaho Panhandle</b>                       |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                     |                    |                           |                                                  |                                             |
| Marble Cr Splash Dam Modification            | Grandmother Mtn/BCR                             | Breach 2 splash dams                                                                                                                                | NA                 | 0                         | NA                                               | Scoping                                     |
| <b>Nez Perce/Clearwater National Forest</b>  |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                     |                    |                           |                                                  |                                             |
| Orogrande                                    | West Fork Crooked River/BCR                     | Reduce hazardous fuels <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fuel break (CPZ)</li> <li>Shelterwood (CPZ)</li> <li>Temporary Roads (CPZ)</li> </ul> | 18<br>252          | 2.05                      | 294.24(c)(i)<br>294.24(c)(i)<br>294.23(b)(2)     | Scoped (Sept 2011);<br>EA expected in 2012  |
| <b>Payette</b>                               |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                     |                    |                           |                                                  |                                             |
| Chelsie Lode Exploration Project; Payette NF | Cottontail Point; Pilot Peak/ BCR and Primitive | Sample pits                                                                                                                                         |                    | 0                         | 294.25(a)                                        | Scoped April 2011                           |
| <b>Salmon-Challis</b>                        |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                     |                    |                           |                                                  |                                             |
| Upper North Fork                             | Allan Mountain/BCR                              | Reduce hazardous fuels <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx burn</li> <li>Pre-commercial thin</li> <li>Shaded fuel break (CPZ)</li> </ul>      | 14,941<br>41<br>99 |                           | NA<br>294.24(c)(i)<br>294.23(b)(2)               | Scoped (Aug 2011);<br>DEIS expected in 2012 |
| Upper North Fork (continued)                 | Allan Mountain/FPSA                             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx burn</li> <li>Shaded fuel break</li> <li>Meadow Treatment</li> <li>Temporary roads</li> </ul>             | 409<br>23<br>35    | 0.05                      | 294.28(f)<br>294.28(f)<br>294.28(f)<br>294.28(f) |                                             |
|                                              | Anderson Mt/BCR                                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx burn</li> </ul>                                                                                           | 5,180              |                           | NA<br>294.24(c)(1)(i)                            |                                             |
|                                              |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                     |                    |                           |                                                  |                                             |

| Project name    | Roadless Area/theme        | Activity                                                                                                                                                                               | Acres                       | Road construction (Miles) | Exception Applied                                             | Status                                                                  |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                 | West Big Hole/BCR          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shaded fuel break (CPZ)</li> <li>Commercial harvest (CPZ)</li> <li>Meadow treatments</li> <li>Temporary Roads (CPZ)</li> <li>Rx burn</li> </ul> | 67<br>600<br>1,074<br>1,580 | 2.8                       | 294.24(c)(1)(i)<br>294.24(c)(1)(iv)<br>293.23(b)(2)<br><br>NA |                                                                         |
| <b>Sawtooth</b> |                            |                                                                                                                                                                                        |                             |                           |                                                               |                                                                         |
| Liberal Willow  | Blackhorse Creek/Primitive | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx Burn</li> <li>Aspen restoration</li> <li>Hand thinning</li> </ul>                                                                            | 1289<br>39<br>18            |                           | NA<br>294.24(b)(ii)<br>294.24(b)(ii)                          | Objection period closed.<br>No objections received.<br>Decision pending |
|                 | Buttercup Mtn/Primitive    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx Burn</li> <li>Aspen restoration</li> <li>Hand thinning</li> </ul>                                                                            | 2298<br>24<br>21            |                           | NA<br>294.24(b)(ii)<br>294.24(b)(ii)                          |                                                                         |
|                 | Liberal Mtn/Primitive      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx Burn</li> <li>Aspen restoration</li> <li>Hand thinning</li> </ul>                                                                            | 1498<br>818<br>28           |                           | NA<br>294.24(b)(ii)<br>294.24(b)(ii)                          |                                                                         |
|                 | GFRG                       | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rx Burn</li> <li>Aspen restoration</li> </ul>                                                                                                   | 25<br>12                    |                           | 294.24(d)<br>294.24(d)                                        |                                                                         |

BCR- Backcountry/Restoration

GFRG – General Forest, Rangeland and Grassland

CPZ – Community protection zone