North Umpqua Hydroelectric Project
FERC Project No. 1927
Douglas County, Oregon

North Umpqua Cooperative Watershed Analysis

Synthesis Report

Volume Two

PacifiCorp
Portland, Oregon
March 1998

North Umpqua Cooperative Watershed Analysis

Synthesis Report

Prepared by: Stillwater Sciences, Inc.
Berkeley, California

Prepared for: PacifiCorp
Portland, Oregon

March 1998

Table of Contents

BRIEF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS LP-1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ES-1

VOLUME TWO

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS LP-1
1. BACKGROUND 1-2
2. FLUVIAL GEOMORPHIC PROCESSES, CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY, AND AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN HABITATS 2-1
3. AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN HABITAT CONNECTIVITY 3-1
4. INSTREAM FLOWS 4-1
5. RESERVOIR AND FOREBAY MANAGEMENT 5-1
6. WATER QUALITY 6-1
7. ANADROMOUS FISH PASSAGE AND OFFSITE MITIGATION 7-1
8. TERRESTRIAL HABITAT CONNECTIVITY/WILDLIFE ENTRAPMENT 8-1

VOLUME THREE

1. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WATERSHED 1-1
2. WATERSHED HISTORY— MAJOR EVENTS 2-1
3. ATTACHMENTS TO SYNTHESIS REPORT 3-1
4. REFERENCES R-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME ONE

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS LP-1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ES-1

LIST OF TABLES - VOLUME ONE

Table ES-1 Relative current status of anadromous fish populations in the North Umpqua River basin, based on species abundance and resiliency ES-45

LIST OF FIGURES - VOLUME ONE

Figure ES-1 Project location ES-3
Figure ES-2 Watershed analysis study area ES-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME TWO

1. BACKGROUND..... 1-1

1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION..... 1-2
1.2 STUDY AREA..... 1-2
1.3 APPROACH TO THE WATERSHED ANALYSIS 1-4
1.3.1 Scoping Discussions..... 1-4
1.3.2 Sources of Information..... 1-5
1.3.3 Scientific Approach and Assumptions 1-7

2. FLUVIAL GEOMORPHIC PROCESSES, CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY, RIPARIAN AND AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN HABITATS..... 2-1

2.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 2-1
2.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 2-2
2.2.1 Fluvial and Hillslope Geomorphology..... 2-4
2.2.2 Riparian and Large Woody Debris Conditions 2-7
2.2.3 Hydrology..... 2-7
2.3 OVERVIEW OF REFERENCE CONDITIONS 2-8
2.3.1 Fluvial and Hillslope Geomorphology..... 2-8
2.3.2 Riparian and Large Woody Debris Conditions 2-11
2.3.3 Hydrology..... 2-15
2.4 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT CONDITIONS 2-15
2.4.1 Fluvial and Hillslope Geomorphology..... 2-16
2.4.2 Riparian and Large Woody Debris Conditions 2-24
2.4.3 Hydrology..... 2-25
2.5 SUBBASIN/WATERSHED AREA NARRATIVE..... 2-27
2.5.1 North Umpqua River Upstream of Toketee Lake..... 2-28
2.5.2 Clearwater River..... 2-36
2.5.3 Fish Creek..... 2-40
2.5.4 North Umpqua River from Toketee Lake to Soda Springs Powerhouse……2-42
2.5.5 North Umpqua River from Soda Springs Powerhouse to Rock Creek……...2-44
2.5.6 Tributaries Downstream of Soda Springs Dam..... 2-46
2.6 ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF GEOMORPHIC CHANGES 2-49
2.6.1 Anadromous Fish..... 2-50
2.6.2 Resident Salmonids..... 2-51
2.6.3 Amphibians..... 2-51
2.6.4 Aquatic Macroinvertebrates 2-53
2.6.5 Other Analysis Species..... 2-55

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME TWO (continued)

2.7 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 2-55
2.7.1 Fluvial and Hillslope Geomorphology 2-56
2.7.2 Riparian and Large Woody Debris Conditions 2-61
2.7.3 Hydrology 2-63
2.8 OVERLAPPING ISSUES 2-64

3. AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN HABITAT CONNECTIVITY 3-1

3.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 3-2
3.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 3-2
3.3 REFERENCE CONDITIONS 3-3
3.4 CURRENT CONDITIONS 3-5
3.4.1 Dams and Other Hydroelectric Project Facilities 3-6
3.4.2 Reservoirs 3-6
3.4.3 Flows in Bypass Reaches and Full-Flow Reaches 3-7
3.4.4 Diverted and Intercepted Tributaries 3-7
3.4.5 Culverts and Road or Waterway/Stream Crossings 3-10
3.4.6 Disturbances to Riparian Vegetation 3-11
3.4.7 Roads and Waterways in Riparian Areas 3-13
3.4.8 Changes to Water Quality 3-13
3.4.9 Modifications of Natural Obstacles 3-14
3.5 ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS 3-15
3.5.1 Potential Effects of Dams and other Hydroelectric Project Facilities on Habitat Connectivity 3-15
3.5.2 Potential Effects of Reservoirs on Habitat Connectivity 3-16
3.5.3 Potential Effects of Flow Changes in Bypass and Full-Flow Reaches on Habitat Connectivity 3-17
3.5.4 Potential Effects of Diversions and Interceptions of 18 Tributaries 3-18
3.5.5 Potential Effects of Culverts and Road or Waterway/Stream Crossings on Habitat Connectivity 3-18
3.5.6 Potential Effects of Disturbances to Riparian Vegetation on Habitat Connectivity 3-19
3.5.7 Potential Effects of Roads and Waterways in Riparian Areas 3-19
3.5.8 Potential Effects of Changes to Water Quality on Habitat Connectivity 3-20
3.5.9 Potential Effects of Modifications of Natural Obstacles on Habitat Connectivity 3-21
3.5.10 Potential Impacts of Changes to Aquatic and Riparian Habitat Connectivity on Analysis Species 3-22

3.6 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 3-29
3.6.1 Dams 3-29
3.6.2 Reservoirs 3-34
3.6.3 Flows in Bypass and Full-Flow Reaches 3-34
3.6.4 Diverted and Intercepted Tributaries 3-35
3.6.5 Culverts and Road or Waterway/Stream Crossings 3-52
3.6.6 Disturbances to Riparian Vegetation 3-54
3.6.7 Roads in Riparian Areas 3-55
3.6.8 Changes to Water Quality 3-55
3.6.9 Modifications of Natural Obstacles 3-55
3.7 OVERLAPPING ISSUES 3-56

4. INSTREAM FLOWS 4-1

4.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 4-3
4.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 4-4
4.2.1 Hydrology Studies 4-4
4.2.2 Studies of Ecological Effects 4-7
4.3 OVERVIEW OF REFERENCE CONDITIONS 4-9
4.4 OVERVIEW OF CURRENT CONDITIONS 4-11
4.4.1 Changes in Climate and Land Use 4-11
4.4.2 Effects of the North Umpqua Project on Instream Flows 4-12
4.5 COMPARISON OF REFERENCE AND CURRENT CONDITIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL REACHES 4-18
4.5.1 Bypass Reaches 4-18
4.5.2 Full-Flow Reaches 4-27
4.5.3 Diverted Tributaries 4-29
4.5.4 Tributaries Not Affected by the North Umpqua Project 4-31
4.6 ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS 4-32
4.6.1 Resident Trout 4-33
4.6.2 Amphibians 4-37
4.6.3 Aquatic Macroinvertebrates 4-39
4.6.4 Riparian Vegetation 4-42
4.6.5 Other Analysis Species 4-42
4.7 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 4-43
4.7.1 Minimum Flows: Instream Flow Incremental Methodology Analysis 4-43
4.7.2 High Flows 4-62
4.7.3 Ramping Rates 4-64
4.8 OVERLAPPING ISSUES 4-70

5. RESERVOIR AND FOREBAY MANAGEMENT..... 5-1

5.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 5-1
5.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 5-3
5.2.1 Fisheries 5-3
5.2.2 Stillwater Amphibians 5-7
5.3 REFERENCE CONDITIONS 5-8
5.3.1 Fisheries 5-9
5.3.2 Stillwater Amphibians 5-9
5.4 CURRENT CONDITIONS 5-10
5.4.1 Fisheries 5-10
5.4.2 Stillwater Amphibians 5-12
5.5 ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS 5-13
5.5.1 Fisheries 5-13
5.5.2 Stillwater Amphibians 5-19
5.6 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 5-22
5.6.1 Fisheries 5-22
5.6.2 Stillwater Amphibians 5-39
5.7 OVERLAPPING ISSUES 5-46

6. WATER QUALITY..... 6-1

6.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 6-1
6.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 6-3
6.3 REFERENCE CONDITIONS 6-5
6.3.1 Temperature 6-5
6.3.2 Turbidity 6-7
6.3.3 Eutrophication 6-7
6.3.4 Dissolved Gases 6-8
6.4 CURRENT CONDITIONS & COMPARISON TO ODEQ REGULATORY REGULATORY STANDARDS 6-8
6.4.1 Temperature 6-8
6.4.2 Turbidity 6-19
6.4.3 Eutrophication 6-24
6.4.4 Total Dissolved Gases 6-32
6.5 ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS 6-33
6.5.1 Temperature 6-34
6.5.2 Turbidity 6-40
6.5.3 Eutrophication 6-41
6.5.4 Total Dissolved Gases 6-44
6.5.5 Potential Sublethal and/or Synergistic Effects 6-45

6.6 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 6-46
6.7 POTENTIAL FUTURE STUDIES 6-54
6.8 OVERLAPPING ISSUES 6-56

7. ANADROMOUS FISH PASSAGE AND OFFSITE MITIGATION 7-1

7.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 7-2
7.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 7-4
7.3 ASSESSMENT OF ANADROMOUS FISH SPECIES STATUS 7-6
7.3.1 Review of Historical and Current Abundance 7-6
7.3.2 Possible Causes of Anadromous Species Declines in the North Umpqua River Watershed 7-10
7.4 ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE ABUNDANCE AND DISTRIBUTION OF ANADROMOUS FISH SPECIES IN THE NORTH UMPQUA RIVER WATERSHED 7-34
7.4.1 Spring Chinook Salmon 7-35
7.4.2 Steelhead 7-43
7.4.3 Sea-Run Coastal Cutthroat Trout 7-48
7.4.4 Coho Salmon 7-54
7.4.5 Pacific Lamprey 7-59
7.5 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS 7-63
7.5.1 Fish Passage at Soda Springs and/or Slide Creek Dams 7-64
7.5.2 Habitat Restoration 7-79
7.5.3 Habitat Conservation Strategies 7-94

8. TERRESTRIAL RESOURCES 8-1

8.1 OVERVIEW OF RESULTS 8-1
8.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS 8-2
8.3 TERRESTRIAL HABITAT CONNECTIVITY 8-3
8.3.1 Analytical Methods 8-3
8.3.2 Reference Conditions 8-5
8.3.3 Current Conditions 8-6
8.3.4 Impacts and Trends 8-10
8.3.5 Management Options 8-13
8.3.6 Overlapping Issues 8-29

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME TWO (continued)

8.4 WILDLIFE ENTRAPMENT..... 8-30
8.4.1 Analytical Methods 8-30
8.4.2 Reference Conditions 8-30
8.4.3 Current Conditions 8-30
8.4.4 Ecologic Impacts and Trends..... 8-31
8.4.5 Management Options 8-32
8.4.6 Overlapping Issues..... 8-46

LIST OF TABLES - VOLUME TWO

Table 2-1 Road densities in selected areas of the North Umpqua River basin 2-23
Table 2-2 Hillslope and channel characteristics under reference conditions 2-29
Table 2-3 Summary of issues related to restoration of bedload delivery downstream of project dams 2-58
Table 3-1 Information on diverted tributary streams 3-8
Table 3-2 Potential culvert obstacles to upstream fish migration 3-10
Table 3-3 Information on tributary streams in the North Umpqua Hydroelectric Project area that pass under elevated flume trestles and associated road culverts 3-12
Table 3-4 Changes made to natural obstacles to facilitate anadromous fish Passage 3-14
Table 3-5 Comparison of benefits and costs of management options for restoring aquatic and riparian habitat connectivity at tributary streams 3-36
Table 4-1 North Umpqua River basin reach designations and gaging stations used in hydrology analysis 4-6
Table 4-2 Baseflows and minimum flow releases in North Umpqua bypass reaches and Deer Creek, a diverted tributary 4-13
Table 4-3 Flood frequency analysis for selected gaging stations in the North Umpqua River basin 4-14
Table 4-4 Ramping rates under reference and current conditions 4-15
Table 4-5 Number of waterway failures during summer (June through October) and winter (November through May) for various periods between 1947 and 1996 4-18
Table 4-6 Information on diverted tributary streams in the North Umpqua River Basin 4-30
Table 4-7 Revised IFIM results 4-50
Table 4-8 Minimum flow release alternatives for Lemolo No. 1 bypass reach 4-53
Table 4-9 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Lemolo No. 2 bypass reach 4-54
Table 4-10 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Toketee bypass reach 4-55
Table 4-11 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Slide Creek bypass reach 4-56
Table 4-12 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Soda Springs bypass reach 4-57
Table 4-13 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Clearwater No. 1 bypass reach 4-58
Table 4-14 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Clearwater No. 2 bypass reach 4-59
Table 4-15 Minimum flow release alternatives for the Fish Creek bypass 4-60

LIST OF TABLES - VOLUME TWO (continued)

Table 4-16 Minimum flow release alternatives for Deer Creek.....4-61
Table 4-17 Preferred timing of annual facilities maintenance for project bypass reaches.....4-62
Table 5-1 Fishery characteristics of project impoundments..... 5-11
Table 5-2 Summary of potential effects of screens for larger fish, by fish routing system and location.....5-23
Table 5-3 Current (1995) and potential future (2010) values of reservoir and forebay fisheries.....5-25
Table 5-4 Potential benefits and concerns related to screening fish at the Lemolo Lake outlet.....5-29
Table 5-5 Rating of management alternatives for Toketee Lake, compared to the 1995 value of H.....5-31
Table 5-6 Rating of management alternatives for Soda Springs reservoir, compared to the 1995 value of M+.....5-32
Table 5-7 Rating of management alternatives for Lemolo No. 2 forebay, compared to the 1995 value of H.....5-34
Table 5-8 Ratings of management alternatives for Clearwater No. 1 and No. 2 forebays, compared to the 1995 value of M..... 5-35
Table 5-9 Rating of management alternatives for Stump Lake, compared to the 1995 value of L.....5-36
Table 5-10 Rating of management alternatives for Fish Creek forebay, compared to the 1995 value of L.....5-37
Table 5-11 Rating of management alternatives for the proposed Lemolo No. 1 forebay.....5-38
Table 5-12 Comparison of management options for stillwater amphibians.....5-39
Table 6-1 Oregon administrative rules for water quality in the North Umpqua River basin.....6-9
Table 6-2 Dissolved oxygen and intergravel dissolved oxygen criteria.....6-10
Table 6-3 Upper and lower lethal temperatures for adult salmonids.....6-35
Table 6-4 Recommended temperatures for spawning and incubation of salmonids.....6-37
Table 6-5 Effects of proposed minimum flow releases on water temperatures in bypass reaches.....6-50
Table 7-1 Examples of potential culvert and road barriers to anadromous fish migration in North Umpqua River basin tributaries.....7-30
Table 7-2 Relative current status of anadromous fish populations in the North Umpqua River basin, based on population abundance and resiliency.....7-64
Table 7-3 Aquatic Subgroup assessment of issues regarding fish passage at Soda Springs dam.....7-71
Table 7-4 Aquatic Subgroup assessment of issues regarding fish passage at Slide Creek dam 7-72

LIST OF TABLES - VOLUME TWO (continued)

Table 7-5 Summary assessment of habitat restoration measures which may be applicable in the North Umpqua River basin..... 7-82

Table 7-6 Methods of introducing LWD into stream channels and associated benefits and drawbacks..... 7-85

Table 7-7 Examples of modifications of natural barriers in the North Umpqua River watershed..... 7-93

Table 7-8 Summary of benefits, drawbacks, critical uncertainties, and suitable areas for implementation of various habitat conservation strategies..... 7-96

Table 8-1 Summary of waterway crossings and underpasses..... 8-8

Table 8-2 Comparison of benefits and costs of management options for terrestrial habitat connectivity..... 8-14

Table 8-3 Cost, durability, aesthetics, and constructability of five alternative crossing structure designs for small mammals and amphibians 8-21

Table 8-4 Suitability of slopes immediately adjacent to gunite canal sections for providing access to small mammals and amphibians..... 8-21

Table 8-5 Average cost, damage risk, accessibility, aesthetics, and constructability of alternative canal cover designs..... 8-24

Table 8-6 Details of management option 10 by project development 8-28

Table 8-7 Comparison of benefits and costs of management options for wildlife entrapment 8-33

LIST OF FIGURES - VOLUME TWO

Figure 1-1 Project location..... 1-3
Figure 1-2 Watershed analysis study area 1-6
Figure 2-1 Sketch of undisturbed channel morphology in confined, 2-4 percent gradient, forced pool-riffle channel..... 2-18
Figure 2-2 Sketch of disturbed channel morphology in confined, 2-4 percent gradient, plane-bed channel 2-19
Figure 4-1 Daily average annual hydrograph for the North Umpqua River at Winchester, 1909 to present……...4-71
Figure 4-2 Daily average annual hydrograph for the Clearwater No. 1 bypass reach, 1928-1952…….4-71
Figure 4-3 Daily average annual hydrograph for Rock Creek near Glide, 1958-1973 4-72
Figure 4-4 Average winter and summer baseflows, showing flow accretion along the mainstem North Umpqua River 4-72
Figure 4-5 Daily average annual hydrograph for the Clearwater No. 1 bypass reach comparing instream flows with and without diversions…….4-73
Figure 4-6 Flow duration curves for the Lemolo No. 1 bypass reach comparing pre- and post-regulation conditions…….4-74
Figure 4-7 Flow duration curves for the Fish Creek bypass reach comparing pre-and post-regulation conditions 4-75
Figure 4-8 Daily average annual hydrograph for the Lemolo No. 1 bypass reach for the period 1928-1953 4-76
Figure 4-9 Daily average annual hydrograph and average annual hydrograph of flow accretion for the North Umpqua River..... 4-77
Figure 4-10 Flow duration curves for the Clearwater No. 1 bypass reach comparing pre-and post-regulation conditions 4-78
Figure 4-11 Daily average annual hydrograph for the Fish Creek bypass reach comparing instream flows with and without diversions 4-79
Figure 4-12 Actual vs. modeled trout growth for 21 sites in project-affected reaches of the North Umpqua River watershed 4-80
Figure 4-13 Predicted effects of increasing flows to No Diversion conditions on maximum trout size 4-83
Figure 4-14 Rainbow trout preferred flow velocity winter habitat utilization..... 4-84
Figure 4-15 Rainbow trout/macroinvertebrate curve for the upper Lemolo No. 2 bypass reach..... 4-85
Figure 5-1 Comparisons of MEI and TP model predictions with actual trout harvest in North Umpqua River basin reservoirs and forebays 5-14
Figure 5-2 Comparisons of modeled trout growth rates with actual growth rates in North Umpqua River basin impoundments 5-17

LIST OF FIGURES - VOLUME TWO (continued)

Figure 6-1 Distribution of daily turbidity, July Sept., 1981 1996, for the mainstem North Umpqua River upstream of the Steamboat Creek confluence 6-21
Figure 6-2 Hourly turbidity for the mainstem North Umpqua River upstream of the Rock Creek confluence and hourly discharge for the mainstem North Umpqua River downstream of the Steamboat Creek confluence, Summer 1995 6-22
Figure 6-3 Simulated Maximum Water Temperature - Lemolo Nos. 1 and 2 6-47
Figure 6-4 Simulated Maximum Water Temperature - Clearwater Nos. 1 and 2 6-48
Figure 6-5 Simulated Minimum Water Temperature - Clearwater Nos. 1 and 2 6-49
Figure 7-1 Cutthroat trout counts at Winchester dam, 1946–1996 7-8
Figure 7-2 Coho salmon counts at Winchester dam, 1946–1997 (wild fish only) 7-8
Figure 7-3 Pacific lamprey counts at Winchester dam, 1965–1997 7-9
Figure 7-4 Spring chinook salmon counts at Winchester dam, 1946–1997 (wild fish only) 7-9
Figure 7-5 Summer steelhead counts at Winchester dam, 1946–1997 (wild fish only) 7-11
Figure 7-6 Winter steelhead counts at Winchester dam, 1946–1997 (wild fish only) 7-11
Figure 7-7 Shear stress field for a 204 cms (7,200 cfs) event on the North Umpqua River, Copeland gaging station, based on four methods of calculating shear stress, a synthetic shear stress curve, and the critical shear stress threshold 7-18
Figure 7-8 Synthetic shear stress fields for various discharges at Copeland gaging station, North Umpqua River 7-19
Figure 7-9 Percent bed mobility vs. recurrence interval, Copeland gauge 7-21
Figure 7-10 Comparison between LWD key piece frequency in relatively undisturbed stream reaches versus reaches where streamside road construction and/or riparian harvest has occurred 7-26
Figure 7-11 Relationship between spawner abundance and percent egg mortality for spring chinook salmon in the North Umpqua River from Soda Springs dam to Rock Creek 7-39
Figure 7-12 Area under consideration for providing passage for anadromous fish 7-65
Figure 8-1 Waterway crossings and underpasses 8-9

LIST OF MAPS - VOLUME TWO

Map 2-1 Geomorphic terrains in the North Umpqua River basin study area 2-66
Map 2-2 Channel type distribution in the North Umpqua River basin study area 2-67
Map 2-3 Subwatersheds in the North Umpqua River basin study area used for reference model analysis purposes 2-68
Map 2-4 Geomorphology locations in the North Umpqua River basin study area 2-69
Map 3-1 Tributary streams diverted into project waterways 3-57
Map 3-2 Tributary streams and seeps intercepted by project waterways 3-58
Map 3-3 Tributary streams passed under concrete flumes via culverts 3-59
Map 3-4 Streams passed under elevated flume trestles and through associated road culverts 3-60

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME THREE

1. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE WATERSHED 1-1

1.1 INTRODUCTION 1-1
1.2 METHODS 1-1
1.3 CLIMATE 1-2
1.4 TOPOGRAPHY 1-2
1.5 GEOLOGY AND SOILS 1-2
1.6 HYDROLOGY 1-4
1.7 WATER QUALITY 1-5
1.8 AQUATIC AND RIPARIAN SYSTEMS 1-6
1.9 TERRESTRIAL SYSTEMS 1-8
1.10 SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS 1-10

2. WATERSHED HISTORY — MAJOR EVENTS 2-1

2.1 INTRODUCTION 2-1
2.2 METHODS 2-1
2.3 GEOMORPHIC HISTORY 2-1
2.3.1 Overview 2-1
2.3.2 Pleistocene Glaciation and Early Holocene 2-2
2.3.3 Eruption of Mount Mazama 2-3
2.3.4 Recent Holocene Climate Variations and Associated Debris Flow Activity 2-3
2.4 HUMAN HISTORY 2-4
2.4.1 Human Occupation 2-5
2.4.2 River Alterations 2-7
2.4.3 Road Construction 2-8
2.4.4 Agriculture and Livestock 2-9
2.4.5 Timber Harvest 2-10
2.4.6 Mining 2-10
2.4.7 Fisheries 2-11
2.4.8 Wildlife and Recreation 2-14
2.4.9 Hydroelectric Power Development 2-14

3. ATTACHMENTS TO THE SYNTHESIS REPORT 3-1

1-1 Agency Legal Mandates 3-2
1-2 Key Resource Issues and Questions 3-5
2-1 Geographic Information System/Digital Terrain Model 3-19
2-2 Hillslope Stability Model 3-22

TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME THREE (continued)

2-3 Analysis of Sediment Storage and Residence Time in the North Umpqua River 3-24
2-4 Large Woody Debris Frequency in Project-Affected Reaches 3-25
3-1 Documented Natural Barriers to Anadromous Salmonid Migration Downstream of Toketee Falls 3-27
3-2 Current Distributions of Steelhead, Coho Salmon, and Chinook Salmon and Barriers Affecting These Distributions 3-30
3-3 Baseflows and Minimum Flow Releases in North Umpqua River Bypass Reaches 3-34
3-3 Tributary Streams Intercepted by or Diverted into Project Waterways 3-35
3-5 Tributary Streams Passed Under Concrete Flumes Via Culverts 3-40
3-6 Tributary Streams Passed Under Elevated Flume Trestles and through Associated Road Culverts 3-43
3-7 Meeting Notes: Potential Benefits of Restoring Habitat Connectivity at Project Dams 3-45
3-8 Meeting Notes: Potential Benefits of Alternative Management Strategies for Restoring Aquatic and Riparian Habitat Connectivity at Soda Springs Dam 3-47
3-9 Meeting Notes: Potential Benefits of Alternative Management Strategies for Restoring Aquatic and Riparian Habitat Connectivity at Clearwater No. 1 Dam 3-48
3-10 Costs Associated with Management Options for Restoring Habitat Connectivity at Diverted or Intercepted Tributaries 3-49
5-1 Comments from ODFW on Trout Growth and Harvest Models and Response from J. Hayes 3-58
6-1 Existing Sources of Water Quality Data 3-64
6-2 Lowest Dissolved Oxygen Levels 3-68
6-3 Exceedences of ODEQ Dissolved Oxygen Criteria 3-69
6-4 Report by Kurt Carpenter Report on Algal Bloom in Lemolo Lake 3-70
7-1 Ken Homolka, ODFW Comment on Hatchery Issues in the North Umpqua River Basin 3-73
7-2 Jeffrey J. Dose, U.S. Forest Service, Comment on Hatchery Issues in the North Umpqua River Basin 3-82

8-1 Cost Estimates for Terrestrial Resources Management Options 3-88

4. REFERENCES R-1

TECHNICAL APPENDICES

Available on Request

Appendix 1-1 Reference Model
Appendix 2-1 Sediment Budget Report
Appendix 4-1 Daily Average Hydrographs for Instream Flows Studies
Appendix 4-2 Methods for Trout Growth Model
Appendix 7-1 Bed Substrate Mobility in the North Umpqua River, Copeland Gaging Station
Appendix 7-2 Spawning Gravel Availability and Redd Superimposition Among Spring Chinook Salmon in the North Umpqua River
Appendix 7-3 Minimum Flow Release Alternatives for Slide Creek, Toketee, and Fish Creek Bypass Reaches under Fish Passage Scenarios
Appendix 8-1 Analysis Species

List of Participants

March 16, 1998

Acknowledgments

PacifiCorp would like to formally acknowledge and thank everyone involved who helped make the North Umpqua Cooperative Watershed Analysis Synthesis Report a success. We have included a listing of individuals who have been major contributors to the process. This watershed analysis could never have been completed without the dedication and support of all those involved in the process.

This watershed analysis exemplifies the true spirit of cooperation based on locally driven solutions to complex natural resource issues. This also represents the first time a private company has embarked on conducting watershed analysis on federal forest lands and is the first regional scale analysis encompassing nearly a thousand square miles of the upper North Umpqua River basin. The technology advancements made by those involved in the preliminary conceptual design, as well as the detailed modeling and analysis, have made major contributions to the field of watershed analysis. These efforts will go far to advance the science and understanding of regional scale ecosystem processes.

The level of information and science embodied by this process has enabled a high degree of scientific understanding to be the basis for making natural resource decisions.

I would like to especially acknowledge the following individuals:

> Jim Wieman from the Umpqua National Forest for his tireless commitment to the relicensing process and the North Umpqua Hydroelectric Project.
>
> Kate Sullivan from Weyerhaeuser for her contributions and commitment to the science of watershed analysis and, specifically, to the early conceptual design of the process.

Frank Ligon, Christine Champe and the rest of the staff at Stillwater Sciences for their seemingly tireless efforts to reach consensus with the diverse interests and issues in the basin.

Kathleen McFall for her technical writing skills and the significant effort she has made pulling the Synthesis Report together.

Richard T. Grost from RTG Fisheries Research and Photography for providing the photographs used on the covers and sections dividers.

I would also like to personally thank all those who have contributed to this effort but were not formally listed. Thanks again to all those who have helped to make this process a success.

Sincerely,

David Schwall
Hydro Licensing Project Manager,
North Umpqua Project

PARTICIPANTS

REFERENCE MODEL DEVELOPMENT TEAM

Team Leader: Frank Ligon, Stillwater Sciences
John Buffington, University of Washington
Bob Danehy, Boise Cascade Corporation
William Dietrich, University of California at Berkeley
Jeff Light, Plum Creek Timber Company
Dave Montgomery, University of Washington
Mary Power, University of California at Berkeley
David Schuett-Hames, Northwest Indian Fish Commission
Kate Sullivan, Weyerhaeuser

GEOMORPHOLOGY SUBGROUP

Team Leader: Matt O'Connor, Stillwater Sciences
Craig Burns, Umpqua National Forest
William Dietrich, University of California, Berkeley
Jeff Dose, Umpqua National Forest
Mikeal Jones, Umpqua National Forest
Frank Ligon, Stillwater Sciences
Scott McBain, McBain and Trush
Dirk Pedersen, Stillwater Sciences
Martin Trso, Stillwater Sciences
Bill Trush, McBain and Trush
Paul Uncapher, Umpqua National Forest
Andrew Wilcox, Stillwater Sciences

WATER QUALITY SUBGROUP

Team Leader: Robert Coats, Stillwater Sciences
Chauncey Anderson, U. S. Geological Survey
Mike Bonoff, Harza Northwest
Craig Burns, Umpqua National Forest
Mikeal Jones, Umpqua National Forest
Lynne Kennedy, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Brian Mattax, Harza Northwest
Todd Olson, PacifiCorp
Mark Powell, Colliding Rivers
Linda Prendergast, PacifiCorp

AQUATIC SUBGROUP

Team Leader: Frank Ligon, Stillwater Sciences
Cindy Barkhurst, Umpqua National Forest
Craig Burns, Umpqua National Forest
Christine Champe, Stillwater Sciences
Dan Couch, Bureau of Land Management
Jeff Dose, Umpqua National Forest
Lou Fredd, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Rick Golden, Umpqua National Forest
Richard Grost, RTG Fishery Research and Photography
John Hayes, Cawthron Institute
Mike Henry, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Ken Homolka, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Mikeal Jones, Umpqua National Forest
Peter Lickwar, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Dave Loomis, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Dirk Pedersen, Stillwater Sciences
Angela Percival, Stillwater Sciences
Linda Prendergast, PacifiCorp
Terry Roelofs, Humboldt State University
Lance Smith, National Marine Fisheries Service
Andrew Wilcox, Stillwater Sciences

TERRESTRIAL SUBGROUP

Team Leader: Christine Champe, Stillwater Sciences
Keith Aubry, U. S. Forest Service
Cindy Barkhurst, Umpqua National Forest
Brian Biswell, U. S. Forest Service
Mike Black, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Bruce Bury, National Biological Survey
Monte Garrett, PacifiCorp
John P. Hayes, Oregon State University
Marc Hayes, Portland State University
Larry Jones, U. S. Forest Service
Debra Kinsinger, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Colleen McShane, EDAW
Bob Progulske, Umpqua National Forest
Jerry Roppe, PacifiCorp
Bill Zielinski, U. S. Forest Service

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS (GIS) SUPPORT

Douglas Allen, Stillwater Sciences
Bryan Flaig, Stillwater Sciences
Ed Hall, Umpqua National Forest
Rafael Real de Asua, Stillwater Sciences
Kim Vieira, Umpqua National Forest
Terri Webster, VESTRA Resources