Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests Wilderness Evaluation Report

Centerfire Potential Wilderness

PW-03-01-060

October 2012

Table of Contents

Introduction 1
Background 2
Capability Evaluation 5
Availability Evaluation 7
Need Evaluation 9
Effects of Recommendations 11
Appendix A: Wilderness Evaluation Process 18
Appendix B: Capability Evaluation Worksheet 21
Appendix C: Availability Evaluation Worksheet 25
Appendix D: Need Evaluation Worksheet 28



Disclaimer Statement

The USDA Forest Service uses the most current and complete data available. GIS data and product accuracy may vary. Using GIS products for purposes other than those for which they were intended may yield inaccurate or misleading results. The USDA Forest Service reserves the right to correct, update, modify, or replace GIS products without notification. This map is not a legal land line or ownership document. Public lands are subject to change and leasing, and may have access restrictions; check with local offices. Obtain permission before entering private land.

Map created June 2009 by etreiman. Modified February 2010 by etreiman.

Introduction

As part of the Forest Plan Revision process for the Apache-Sitgreaves National Forests (Apache-Sitgreaves NFs), the Forest Service has prepared this Wilderness Evaluation Report for the Centerfire potential wilderness.

Purpose
The Forest Service must evaluate all lands possessing wilderness characteristics for potential wilderness during plan revision. Completion of a potential wilderness inventory and evaluation is an essential step in the plan revision process. Wilderness is one of many special area designations the Forest Service considers during plan revision; it is one of three mandatory special area evaluations. If an area is recommended for wilderness designation, then the revised plan would contain desired conditions, objectives, guidelines, and/or standards that would protect its wilderness characteristics.

The Process
The wilderness evaluation process began with an inventory of potential wilderness, which includes areas of federal land over 5,000 contiguous acres and other areas that meet the criteria in FSH 1909.12, Chapter 70, Section 71, and then determined if those areas meet the definition of wilderness. Once a list of potential wilderness areas was created, each area was evaluated for capability, availability, and need. These evaluation factors are described in the introduction to each evaluation step and in Appendix A. This report summarizes the wilderness capability, availability, and need evaluations based on the best available information. This report also presents the potential effects of a wilderness or nonwilderness recommendation.

The Apache-Sitgreaves NFs will use this report to determine whether or not to make a preliminary administrative recommendation for wilderness designation for the Centerfire potential wilderness. The Responsible Official's (Regional Forester) recommendation will be documented in the final revised Plan and the Environmental Impact Statement Record of Decision. Public comments on this report will be accepted and considered throughout the plan revision process. If a potential wilderness is recommended for wilderness, the recommendation will receive further review by the Chief of the Forest Service and the Secretary of Agriculture. If the Chief of the Forest Service intends to move forward with a wilderness recommendation, the Forest Service will complete a detailed analysis of the trade-offs and impacts in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, including further public review and comment. Ultimately, only Congress has the authority to designate wilderness.

Background

Name	Centerfire
Number	PW-03-01-060
Acres	15,269 - 15,239 on Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and 30 on Gila NF
Ranger Districts	Alpine (Apache-Sitgreaves NFs) and Quemado (administered by the Gila NF)
History (if applicable)	The potential wilderness overlaps Centerfire Inventoried Roadless Area (IRA) (2001 Roadless Rule) by 13,075 acres. The area includes RARE II analysis area 03130, Centerfire (13,100 acres) (2000 Roadless Area Conservation FEIS).
Location, Vicinity, and Access	Approximately 8 miles south of Alpine, Arizona, in northern Greenlee County. It is located in the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs in extreme eastern Arizona. Primary access is via U.S. Highway 180 and Forest Road (FR) 281. The western edge of the potential wilderness can also be reached from U.S. Highway 191 and FR 567.
Geography and Topography	The potential wilderness includes Campbell Blue, Centerfire, and Castle Creeks. Campbell Blue Creek borders the area on the north; FR 281 is the eastern border. Milligan Peak is a prominent feature in the area. Elevations range from less than 6,150 feet at the south end to over 8,200 feet along the west boundary. The area is characterized by rugged conifer-covered terrain which is dissected by numerous canyons.
Surroundings	FRs 281[1], 281M, and 567N and private lands[2] delineate the east boundary. A power line corridor, two pieces of private land[3], and FRs 281, 30, and 8882 form the north boundary. The west boundary is defined by activity areas and FRs 58E and 58F. FR 567 defines the southwest boundary. The Blue Range Primitive Area is less than ¼ mile west to 1½ miles south of this potential wilderness. The FR 281 and FR 30 corridors, a power line, and a piece of private land separate this area from Campbell Blue potential wilderness (PW-03-01-041) to the north. The FR 281 corridor separates Centerfire potential wilderness from Mother Hubbard potential wilderness (PW-03-01-040) to the northeast. The FR 567 road corridor separates this area from Blue Range Primitive Area Addition North potential wilderness (PW-03-01-043) to the southwest. The FR 281 corridor and five pieces of private land separate Centerfire potential wilderness from Nolan potential wilderness (PW-03-01-042) to the east.
Special Designations	The Campbell Blue Creek eligible Wild and Scenic River (WSR) is located within and just north of the potential wilderness.

[1] Roads may be bounded on one or both sides by the potential wilderness. Where a road is bounded on both sides, a non-potential wilderness corridor or "cherrystem" surrounds the road. Roads that end at the potential wilderness boundary are not listed.
[2] 1) T 04 N, R 32 E, sections 7, 8, and 18 and 2) T 04 N, R 31 E, sections 25, 35, and 36.
[3] 1) T 04.5 N, R 31 E, sections 34 and 35 and 2) T 04.5 N, R 32 E, section 32 and T 4 N, R 32 E, section 5.

Vegetation

A mosaic of vegetation communities is found within Centerfire potential wilderness. Vegetation types vary with elevation, aspect, and slope and include dry mixed conifer and ponderosa pine forests, Madrean pine-oak and piñon-juniper woodlands, and cottonwood-willow riparian forest. There are some old ponderosa pines and other conifers. Sensitive plant species include Blumer's dock, White Mountains clover, and possibly Arizona alumroot.

Vegetation is a WSR Outstandingly Remarkable Value (ORV) for Campbell Blue Creek because of the diversity of species found within the river corridor.

Appearance and Key Attractions

The area is characterized by rugged conifer-covered terrain which is dissected by numerous canyons. Milligan Peak is a prominent feature in the area. There is a seasonal 500-foot waterfall on Fall Creek.

Scenery is a WSR ORV for Campbell Blue Creek because of remarkable mix of landforms, vegetation, and colors.

CURRENT USES

Recreation

Current recreation activities are primarily hunting and viewing scenery and wildlife. There are no developed trails or recreation sites within the area; two rustic campgrounds are located to the east outside the potential wilderness along the Blue River. Most of the area has a recreation emphasis of Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized, with the remainder Semi-Primitive Motorized and Roaded Natural.

Recreation is a WSR ORV for Campbell Blue Creek because the opportunities have the potential to attract visitors from within and beyond the area of comparison.

Wildlife

Large wildlife species found in the area include elk, mule deer, black bear, and mountain lion. A variety of small animals and birds also inhabit the area. Threatened fish species include loach minnow. The Blue River has been identified in as critical habitat for the loach minnow. Threatened wildlife species include Mexican spotted owl. Candidate wildlife species include western yellow-billed cuckoo, northern Mexican gartersnake, and New Mexico meadow jumping mouse. Sensitive wildlife species include bald eagle, American peregrine falcon, narrow-headed gartersnake, Ferris' copper and four-spotted skipperling butterflies, Arizona toad, and Chiricahua leopard frog, and possibly White Mountains water penny beetle. Sensitive fish species include longfin dace, Sonora sucker, and desert sucker. A northern goshawk post-fledging area is found on lands adjoining the potential wilderness. The potential wilderness is within the primary Mexican wolf recovery zone.

Wildlife species and Fish species and habitat are WSR ORVs for Campbell Blue Creek because of the diversity of special status species and habitat.

Range

The potential wilderness is mostly within the Red Hill, Bobcat-Johnson, Lower Campbell Blue, and Turkey Creek Allotments. A very small portion is in the Upper Campbell Blue Allotment. There are 16.9 miles of fence in the area: 9.9 miles of allotment boundary and 7.0 miles of interior fence.

Forest Products

Some fuelwood gathering has occurred along FR 567 (Red Hill Road), the southwest boundary.

Water

The Recreational section of the eligible Campbell Blue Creek WSR is within the potential wilderness. Other perennial streams include Centerfire and Castle Creeks and a section of Fall Creek. Of the eight springs in the area, five are developed. There are also two stock tanks.

Minerals

As of 4/18/2009 there are no mining claims, mineral districts, mineral withdrawals, or coal, oil, gas, or geothermal leases in the potential wilderness.

Cultural Resources

The potential wilderness is within the area that was used extensively by the prehistoric Mogollon culture.

Fire

Eighty-five fires (most smaller than ¼ acre and the largest approximately 3,065 acres) occurred between 1970 and 2008. The majority, including the largest, were caused by lightning. Campfires were the second highest fire cause. The 2011 Wallow Fire burned over half of this potential wilderness.

Capability Evaluation

Wilderness capability describes the basic characteristics that make the area appropriate and valuable for wilderness designation, regardless of the area's availability or need. Five factors are used to determine capability: naturalness, level of development, opportunities for solitude or primitive and unconfined recreation, special features, and the ability of the Forest Service to manage the area as wilderness. The first four factors consider how the current conditions of the potential wilderness fit the definition of wilderness. Manageability is slightly different because it evaluates features of the area that would make it more or less difficult to manage as wilderness, such as size, shape, and juxtaposition to external influences. The following summarizes the information found in Appendix B.

Summary

Natural

High

The potential wilderness is essentially natural and the diversity of vegetation and wildlife species is a key feature. Vegetation includes Madrean pine-oak and piñon-juniper woodlands and dry mixed conifer, cottonwood-willow riparian, and ponderosa pine forests. The potential wilderness provides habitat for a wide variety of special status animal and plant species including Blumer's dock, White Mountains clover, Arizona alumroot, loach minnow, Mexican spotted owl, western yellow-billed cuckoo, northern Mexican gartersnake, New Mexico meadow jumping mouse, bald eagle, American peregrine falcon, narrow-headed gartersnake, Ferris' copper and four-spotted skipperling butterflies, Arizona toad, Chiricahua leopard frog, longfin dace, Sonora sucker, and desert sucker. Free-flowing, perennial streams include Campbell Blue, Castle, and Centerfire Creeks and the Blue River. There are no known impoundments or water quality concerns. Campbell Blue Creek is an eligible WSR with a proposed segment classification of Recreational. Mullein, a non-native plant, is found along area roads, but there are no records of the plant within the potential wilderness. Other non-native species may be present, but no surveys have specifically been conducted in the area. The night sky is not affected by ranch lights along Campbell Blue Creek and the Blue River. The Wallow Fire did not affect the burned area's wilderness character because wildfire is considered a natural ecological process.

Undeveloped

High

There is little evidence of human activity within the area, mostly fences. There are no trails.

Outstanding Opportunities for Solitude or Primitive and Unconfined Recreation

Medium

The potential wilderness has outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation because of the rugged terrain and lack of trails. Recreation opportunities include hiking, hunting, wildlife viewing, and photography. There are opportunities for solitude, but the signs of civilization are visible in conjunction with the private lands along the north and east boundaries and roads along the northeast, east, and southwest boundaries.

Special Features and Values
High
Special features and values include 1,000-foot bluffs and a 500-foot seasonal waterfall, outstanding scenic views, high potential for ecological and cultural research, and habitat for threatened, sensitive, and unique or rare plant and animal species (see list above in **Natural**).
Manageability
High
The potential wilderness could be managed to protect its wilderness character. The area's rugged terrain limits access, motor vehicle use, and the effects of outside activities. There are no known encumbrances or resource conflicts.
OVERALL CAPABILITY
High

Potential Boundary Changes

No boundary changes have been identified for this potential wilderness.

Availability Evaluation

Availability criteria indicate the availability of a potential wilderness for wilderness designation by describing other resource and land use potentials for the area. Availability examines the potential impact of designating an area as wilderness to both the current and future land uses and activities. In essence, it is a summary of the trade-offs between wilderness and other uses. The following summarizes the information found in Appendix C. The letters below in parenthesis refer to the following required considerations: **a**. recreation, including tourism; **b**. wildlife species, populations, and management needs; **c**. water availability and use; **d**. livestock operations; **e**. timber; **f**. minerals; **g**. cultural resources; **h**. authorized and potential uses; and **i**. management considerations including fire, insects, disease, and presence of non-Federal lands.

Summary

Water Yield (c)

High

The area is of value for water yield. However, no impoundments are needed.

Habitat Management (b)

High

No wildlife or aquatic animal habitat management activities have been identified.

Aquatic Restoration (b)

High

No aquatic restoration activities have been identified.

Vegetation Restoration (e, h, i)

Medium

Portions of the potential wilderness are within an identified wildland-urban interface, where fuels would be reduced by burning. Areas adjacent to Forest Road 281 may be thinned.

Public Access Needed (a, g)

High

There are no unique characteristics that would require developed public access. Forest roads parallel the southwest, east, and north boundaries.

Land Use Authorizations (d, h, i)

High

Current authorizations, primarily grazing permits, do not conflict with potential wilderness. Power line and road corridors are adjacent to the potential wilderness on the west.

Adjacent Non-FS Lands (i)

Medium

There are several pieces of private land adjacent to the north and east boundaries of the potential wilderness.

Cultural Resources (g)
High
There are no known sites needing stabilization. Motorized vehicle access to any TCPs or sacred sites is not needed.
Minerals (f)
High
There is a low potential for future mineral uses.
OVERALL AVAILABILITY
High

Need Evaluation

The evaluation criteria below indicate how the potential wilderness might fit into the National Wilderness Preservation System, which includes all wilderness areas in the United States. Need is considered at the regional level and must incorporate public participation. The criteria used to evaluate need include consideration of other wilderness and nonwilderness areas that provide opportunities for unconfined outdoor recreation or preservation of certain ecosystem characteristics. The following summarizes the information found in Appendix D.

Summary

Factor 1 - The location, size, and type of other wildernesses in the general vicinity and their distance from the proposed area. Consider accessibility of areas to population centers and user groups. Public demand for wilderness may increase with proximity to growing population centers.

Low

There are significant Wilderness lands (over 2.5 million acres) on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and within a 100-mile radius of Centerfire potential wilderness and the forests. There are no population centers within a 100-mile radius of this potential wilderness.

Factor 2 - Present visitor pressure on other wildernesses, the trends in use, changing patterns of use, population expansion factors, and trends and changes in transportation.

Medium

The potential wilderness would respond to a need for additional wilderness based on projected population increases, high use in two of the three Wilderness areas on the forests, and underrepresentation of northeast Arizona in the National Wilderness Preservation System.

Factor 3 - The extent to which nonwilderness lands on the NFS unit or other Federal lands are likely to provide opportunities for unconfined outdoor recreation experiences.

Low

There are significant nonwilderness lands (over 5.4 million acres) available for unconfined outdoor recreation outside of designated Wilderness and the Blue Range Primitive Area on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and within a 100-mile radius of the forests.

Factor 4 - The need to provide a refuge for those species that have demonstrated an inability to survive in less than primitive surroundings or the need for a protected area for other unique scientific values or phenomena.

Medium

There are 11 Forest Planning Species (FPS) and habitat for 2 additional FPS that would benefit from primitive surroundings.

Factor 5 - Within social and biological limits, management may increase the capacity of established wildernesses to support human use without unacceptable depreciation of the wilderness resource.

Medium

Of the three wilderness areas on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs, only Bear Wallow Wilderness could accommodate some increased use without affecting wilderness resources.

Factor 6 - An area's ability to provide for preservation of identifiable landform types and ecosystems. Consideration of this factor may include utilization of Edwin A. Hammond's subdivision of landform types and the Bailey-Kuchler ecosystem classification. This approach is helpful from the standpoint of rounding out the National Wilderness Preservation System and may be further subdivided to suit local, subregional, and regional needs.

High

Three underrepresented ecosystems (cottonwood-willow riparian forest, dry mixed conifer forest, and Madrean pine-oak woodland) occur across 94 percent of this potential wilderness. There are no underrepresented landforms.

OVERALL NEED

Medium

Effects of Recommendations

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Wilderness Characteristics	**EFFECTS ON WILDERNESS RESOURCES AND VALUES**		
Natural Undeveloped Outstanding Opportunities for Solitude or Primitive and Unconfined Recreation Special Features and Values	Wilderness characteristics would be maintained and protected. The area would remain natural and generally undeveloped. Outstanding opportunities for solitude or primitive and unconfined recreation would continue to be present. Any existing special features and values would be protected.	Wilderness characteristics would be maintained. The area would remain natural and generally undeveloped. Outstanding opportunities for solitude or primitive and unconfined recreation would continue to be present. Any existing special features and values would be protected.	Minimal management activities are expected within the area. It is anticipated that the wilderness character of the area would remain.
Resource/Use	**EFFECTS ON OTHER RESOURCES AND USES**		
Soils	Long-term soil productivity may increase because the acreage is generally not available for management activities. Shorter-term risks to soil productivity may be increased until fire-adapted ecosystems (especially ponderosa pine) are restored. Soil erosion risk would be reduced.	There is a slight potential for soil-disturbing activities related to restoration activities. There may be short-term soil erosion risks. Long-term soil productivity would not be affected. Treatments may reduce soil loss by reducing the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire.	The natural functions of watersheds could be affected by activities. The threat of soil erosion from associated motorized uses and land-disturbing activities would increase with the degree of use. However, mitigation would be required. Compaction from recreation uses in popular areas would likely continue. Treatments may reduce soil loss by reducing the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Water Quality and Quantity	The natural functions of watershed systems would be maintained. The risk of human-caused alterations, other than fire, affecting the watershed condition would be primarily limited to localized recreation activities. There could be short-term risks to watersheds until fire-adapted ecosystems (especially ponderosa pine) are restored. Water quality would more likely be affected by management outside of wilderness.	The effects would be the same as described for wilderness designation, except that restoration activities could result in short-term effects to water quality. Treatments may reduce sedimentation by reducing the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire.	Water quality could be affected by management activities. However, mitigation would be required to reduce effects to water quality. Management activities could be conducted to increase water yield. Treatments may reduce sedimentation by reducing the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire.
Air Quality	There would be no direct effects to air quality specifically from wilderness designation. Wilderness designation would not preclude the use of planned or unplanned ignitions to accomplish specific resource objectives, which may result in short-term air quality impacts.	There may be some short-term direct effects to air quality from burning slash. Planned or unplanned ignitions could result in short-term air quality degradation.	There may be some short-term direct effects to air quality from fugitive dust from product removal and smoke from slash disposal. Planned or unplanned ignitions could result in short-term air quality degradation.
Wildlife Habitat	Wilderness designation would provide greater protection for wildlife and wildlife habitat. Wildlife would not be harassed by motorized uses and habitat fragmentation would be minimized. Repair and replacement of existing wildlife improvements may be allowed, but new improvements and habitat enhancements would be rare and would be authorized only to protect and improve management of the wilderness resource.	The area would provide protection for wildlife and wildlife habitat. Wildlife habitat improvements could occur. Habitat fragmentation would be reduced because of minimal activities, but some short-term displacement could occur.	Vegetation treatments may result in a greater mosaic of habitat types and associated species diversity. Opportunities to restore and/or manipulate habitat would be available. There could be some wildlife harassment from motorized use. Fragmentation and loss of habitat from road construction may occur with increased activities.
Aquatic Restoration	Natural processes would primarily affect aquatic species and their habitat. Motorized and mechanized travel and many management activities would not be allowed. Natural events and climatic variation would influence sedimentation, riparian vegetation, and nutrient cycles. Opportunities to do riparian area restoration may be precluded.	Effects would be similar to those described for wilderness designation. However, riparian area restoration/improvements, including streamside vegetation manipulation or direct habitat improvement of a stream, could be allowed.	Natural processes that affect aquatic habitats would be interrupted to a degree commensurate with activities. Motorized uses, road construction, and other land-disturbing activities may increase sedimentation and potentially adversely affect riparian habitat and nutrient cycles. However, the use of BMPs would mitigate most effects.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Vegetation	Natural ecological succession would be allowed to continue and, over time, restore ecological conditions. Levels of insect infestation and disease could reach endemic levels as ecological systems move toward their historic ranges of variability. Dispersal of non-native plants would generally be limited to trail systems and river corridors. Plant diversity would be slow to change, but would move towards a dominance of mature trees and late successional habitats.	The effects would be similar to wilderness designation. However, activities could be allowed to restore ecological conditions or wildlife habitats. Ecological restoration could occur more rapidly than through natural processes alone.	Natural ecological succession could be interrupted by activities associated with other resource management objectives. Incidents of insects and disease would still occur, but would be more aggressively prevented or managed through vegetation treatment practices. The ability to detect and treat infestations would be greater than in wilderness and thus infestations could be prevented or contained earlier. Plant diversity would depend on the management objectives for the area.
Insects and Disease	Forest stands would be more likely to be over-mature and provide areas suitable for insect and disease outbreaks. Insect or disease control would not be permitted unless necessary to prevent unacceptable damage to resources on adjacent lands or unnatural loss to the wilderness resource from exotic pests. When necessary, control measures would have the least adverse effect on wilderness.	Response to insect and disease outbreaks would be more direct and rapid. A range of control and treatment options would allow more flexibility in containing outbreaks.	Response to insect and disease outbreaks would be more direct and rapid. A range of control and treatment options would allow more flexibility in containing outbreaks.
Non-Native Species	Non-native plants may be treated by grubbing or with chemicals when they threaten lands outside wilderness or when they are spreading within the wilderness, provided there are no serious adverse impacts on wilderness values.	Effects would be similar to those described for wilderness designation. Motorized or mechanized equipment may be used.	All options to address non-native plants would be available, including no treatment, hand pulling, herbicides, and biological control. Motorized and mechanized equipment can be used.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Recreation	Recreation use is managed to minimize the evidence of human use and provide outstanding opportunities for solitude and primitive recreation. Only primitive, non-mechanized access and recreation activities are permitted. Only those facilities required for the safety of users and protection of wilderness resources are provided. The use of mechanized tools for trail construction and maintenance would be restricted. In many cases, wilderness designation has elevated an area's visibility to the public, increasing its popularity and recreation use. Increased use can result in increased damage to trails and other resources, as well as reduced opportunities for solitude and other wilderness values.	Recreation use would be managed to minimize the evidence of human use. Public access would be limited to non-motorized uses and current activities and practices could continue to the extent that they do not adversely affect the roadless character. Mechanized tools could be used for trail maintenance.	Activities can reduce the primitive or semi-primitive recreational character through altered recreation settings, experiences, and access. The sights and sounds of human presence are usually increased by activities. Recreationists seeking a primitive or semi-primitive experience would choose not to visit such an area. Activities may also provide greater recreational access and more motorized and mechanized recreation experiences would be available.
Visual Quality	Visual quality would be protected because ground-disturbing activities would be extremely limited. The Scenic Integrity Objective would be Very High. The long-term scenic characteristics would be representative of how the landscape would appear if relatively unaffected by human activity.	Visual quality would be maintained, but there could be some short-term changes, especially from restoration treatments. The Scenic Integrity Objective would be High to Very High.	The Scenic Integrity Objective would range from Low to High. There would be a greater potential for landscapes to show obvious signs of human activities. Scenic Integrity Objectives would constrain or modify activities to mitigate adverse effects to scenic resources, especially in areas seen from major recreation facilities and Scenic Byways.
Cultural Resources	Cultural resources are already protected by law. Exclusion of ground-disturbing activities lessens threats to known and unidentified cultural resources. Fewer sites or resources may be identified.	Cultural resources are already protected by law. Project-level inventories may increase identification of previously unknown sites or resources. Mitigation measures would be applied at the project level.	Cultural resources are already protected by law. Project-level inventories associated with ground disturbing and other activities may increase identification of previously unknown sites or resources. Mitigation measures would be applied at the project level.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Special Use Authorizations	Structures and other developments would be limited to those actually needed for management, protection, and use of the wilderness for the purposes for which the wilderness was established.	Special use authorizations would be allowed, subject to suitability.	Special use authorizations would be allowed, subject to suitability.
Range	Grazing allotments and developments would be managed under the Congressional Grazing Guidelines and allotment management plans.	Grazing allotments would continue to be managed under current allotment management plans, laws, policies, and regulations.	Grazing allotments would continue to be managed under current allotment management plans, laws, policies, and regulations.
Forest Products	Wilderness would be removed from the suitable timber base. No timber sales or fuelwood cutting would be permitted. Only fuelwood collection incidental to recreation would be allowed.	Management would focus on maintaining the roadless character. Some restoration of ecological conditions could occur. Non-commercial forest products could be a byproduct of the restoration treatments.	Timber production may be allowed, subject to suitability, law, policy, and regulation. Forest products, commercial and non-commercial, could be a byproduct of the restoration treatments.
Minerals	The area would be withdrawn from further mineral entry and leasing. Mineral development is possible in areas with valid existing rights. Consistent with the valid existing rights, operating plans would incorporate reasonable terms and conditions for the protection of the wilderness character, and provide for restoration as near as practicable of the disturbed lands promptly upon abandonment of operations.	Area would be open to mineral entry and leasing. Mechanized equipment and motorized access may be used. However, these activities and the reclamation of all disturbed lands are typically designed to minimize and mitigate impacts to the roadless character. Operating plans should incorporate reasonable terms and conditions for the protection of the roadless character and for the restoration of the disturbed lands.	These lands would be open to oil, gas, geothermal, and mineral development except where specifically withdrawn or restricted for other purposes. Although a full range of activities and methods may be allowed and employed, developments and activities would be mitigated to reduce adverse impacts to other resources.
Special Designations	Wilderness designation would increase the number and diversity of areas within the Southwestern Region and the National Wilderness Preservation System. There would be no effects to other special designations - the most restrictive management would apply.	The opportunity to recommend additional wilderness within the Southwestern Region would be foregone at this time. There would be no effects to other special designations. Any restrictions associated with other special designations could affect restoration activities.	The opportunity to recommend additional wilderness within the Southwestern Region would be foregone at this time. There would be no effects to other special designations. Any restrictions associated with other special designations could affect management activities.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
Fire	Wilderness designation does not preclude the use of planned or unplanned ignitions to accomplish specific resource objectives. Mechanical treatments and timber harvest would not be allowed, which may increase the risk of uncharacteristic wildfires. Suppression actions would be guided by Minimum Impact Suppression Tactics (MIST).	The risk of uncharacteristic wildfires would be reduced because of the opportunity for ecosystem restoration activities. Planned or unplanned ignitions to accomplish specific resource objectives would be primary management approaches. Suppression actions would not be restricted. Tactics available for wildfire suppression would probably be less limited than wilderness, but the emphasis would be towards maintaining the roadless character. MIST should be used.	The risk of uncharacteristic wildfires would be reduced because of the opportunities to treat mechanically and harvest timber. The full range of suppression tactics and management approaches would be available for use.
	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC EFFECTS		
	Local population numbers, income, or employment would generally not be affected.	Local population numbers, income, or employment would not be affected.	Local population numbers, income, or employment would not be affected unless major mineral activity occurs.
	Costs related to the maintenance of range facilities could increase because of limitations on methods.	There could be some increased costs associated with the construction and maintenance of range facilities.	There would be no increased costs associated with the construction and maintenance of range facilities.
	Timber production revenues would be foregone, if any commercial stands are suitable and harvestable.	Timber production revenues would be foregone, if any commercial stands are suitable and harvestable.	Revenues and jobs from timber production could increase, if any commercial stands are suitable and harvestable.
	Local lifestyles would not be affected unless major mineral activity occurs.	Local lifestyles would not be affected unless major mineral activity occurs.	Local lifestyles would not be affected unless major mineral activity occurs.
	Revenues associated with mineral development would be foregone, because the lands would be withdrawn from mineral entry.	Revenues could be generated, if minerals are found and developed.	Revenues could be generated, if minerals are found and developed.

	RECOMMENDATION		
	WILDERNESS	NONWILDERNESS	
		LANDS WITH ROADLESS CHARACTER	NONWILDERNESS
MANAGEMENT DIRECTION	**Manage to protect and maintain wilderness characteristics**	**Manage to protect and conserve the roadless character of lands identified in RARE II**	**Manage for multiple use, ecosystem restoration, and social and economic values**
	Wilderness is recognized as contributing to healthy economies and healthy lifestyles. Direct benefits are derived from primitive recreation and as a “quality-of-life” factor to attract new businesses and residents. The wilderness characteristics/values that attract visitors to the area would be maintained.	The area would contribute to healthy economies and healthy lifestyles. The wilderness characteristics/ values that attract visitors to wilderness would be maintained.	Management activities would contribute to local lifestyles and healthy economies. The wilderness characteristics/values that attract visitors to wilderness would be affected.
	Ecosystem services (natural processes such as the air and water purification functions of undisturbed lands) would be protected and maintained,	Ecosystem services (natural processes such as the air and water purification functions of undisturbed lands) would be maintained,	Ecosystem services could be decreased with increased activities.
	Opportunities for primitive recreation and public awareness of the values associated with wilderness, including spiritual and natural qualities, would be increased.	Opportunities for primitive and semi-primitive non-motorized recreation would be maintained.	Opportunities for primitive recreation would decrease. Opportunities for semi-primitive and more developed recreation could increase.

Appendix A: Wilderness Evaluation Process

The following is summarized from Forest Service Handbook 1909.12, Chapter 70. This process is used by the Forest Service to determine whether there are areas that could be recommended for wilderness designation by Congress. The process includes three steps: an inventory of potential wilderness areas, an evaluation of the potential wilderness areas, and a determination if a recommendation will be pursued for any potential wilderness areas.

Inventory of Potential Wilderness Areas

The first step in the evaluation of potential wilderness is to identify and inventory all areas within National Forest System Lands that satisfy the definition of wilderness found in the 1964 Wilderness Act.

Areas identified through this process are called potential wilderness areas. This inventory of potential wilderness is not a land designation. It is completed with the express purpose of identifying all lands that meet the criteria for being evaluated for wilderness suitability and possible recommendation to Congress for wilderness designation.

The inventory of areas relies on local knowledge and judgment regarding unique, site-specific conditions of each area being considered. The boundaries of areas for the potential wilderness inventory should facilitate easy on-the-ground identification.

Inventory Criteria

Areas qualify for inclusion in the potential wilderness inventory if they meet the statutory definition of wilderness and meet either criteria 1 and 3 or criteria 2 and 3 below.

1. Areas contain 5,000 acres or more.
2. Areas contain less than 5,000 acres, but meet one or more of the following criteria:
   a. Can be preserved due to physical terrain and natural conditions.
   b. Self-contained ecosystems, such as an island, that can be effectively managed as a separate unit of the National Wilderness Preservation System.
   c. Contiguous to existing wilderness, primitive areas, Administration-endorsed wilderness, or potential wilderness in other Federal ownership, regardless of their size.
3. Areas do not contain forest roads (36 CFR 212.1) or other permanently authorized roads, except as permitted in areas east of the 100th meridian (sec. 71.12).

Areas may be included in the potential wilderness inventory even though they include the types of areas or features listed in FSH 1909.12, 71.11.

On the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs, GIS was used to identify those areas that met the inventory criteria. Site-specific information was gathered from Ranger District (District) personnel to provide background information, identify features not shown in GIS, and determine where the Region 3 criteria on roaded areas, fingers, and extrusions should be applied.

Evaluation of Potential Wilderness Areas

An area recommended for wilderness must meet the tests of capability, availability, and need. In addition to the inherent wilderness quality it possesses, an area must provide opportunities and experiences that are dependent upon or enhanced by a wilderness environment. The ability of the Forest Service to manage the area as wilderness is also considered.

Capability

The capability of a potential wilderness is the degree to which an area contains the basic characteristics that make it suitable for wilderness recommendation without regard to its availability for or need as wilderness. The following characteristics are considered in evaluating a potential wilderness area:

1. Natural - an area is substantially free from the effects of modern civilization and generally appears to have been affected primarily by the forces of nature.
2. Undeveloped - the degree to which an area is without permanent improvements or human habitation.
3. Outstanding Opportunities for Solitude or Primitive and Unconfined Recreation - the capability of the area to provide solitude or primitive and unconfined types of recreation. This includes a wide range of experiential opportunities. Solitude is the opportunity to experience isolation from sights, sounds, and the presence of others from developments and evidence of humans.
4. Special Features and Values - an area is capable of providing other values such as those with ecologic, geologic, scientific, educational, scenic, historical, or cultural significance.
5. Manageability - the ability to manage an area as wilderness as required by the Wilderness Act and how boundaries affect manageability of an area.

Responses to the capability questions were drafted at the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs Supervisors Office and reviewed by District personnel. Any changes were incorporated into the capability evaluation.

If an area is found to not be capable of being wilderness (a rating of Low), it is not carried forward into the Availability Evaluation.

Availability

Areas determined to meet wilderness capability requirements are considered potentially available for wilderness designation. The determination of availability is conditioned by the value of and need for the wilderness resource compared to the value of and need for other resources. Other resource potential including current use and potential future use is analyzed for the various resources involved.

Constraints and encumbrances on lands may also govern the availability of lands for wilderness. The degree of Forest Service control over the surface and subsurface of the area is also considered. The Forest Service should have sufficient control to prevent development of incompatible uses that would negatively affect wilderness character and potential.

Responses to the availability questions were drafted by at the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs Supervisors Office and reviewed by District personnel. Any changes were incorporated into the availability evaluation.

Need

The need for an area to be designated as wilderness is determined through an analysis on a regional basis by evaluating such factors as the geographic distribution of areas and representation of landforms and ecosystems to which it contributes to the overall National Wilderness Preservation System. This need is demonstrated through a public involvement process, including public input to the evaluation report.

A set of GIS models, information papers, and analyses were provided by the Southwestern Regional Office. This information was synthesized at the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs Supervisors

Office and reviewed by District personnel. Any changes were incorporated into the need evaluation.

Documentation of Potential Wilderness Areas

Draft wilderness evaluation reports were developed for each potential wilderness; each report includes summaries of the capability, availability, and need assessments. The draft reports were made available for public comment in June 2009. Public comments were incorporated and information on the potential effects of wilderness and nonwilderness recommendations was added to the final evaluation reports. The effects of nonwilderness recommendation may be split to reflect nonwilderness, lands with roadless character, or primitive area categories.

Appendix B: Capability Evaluation Worksheet

Capability Characteristics

Natural

1. Presence of non-native species.
   High - Non-native species are not evident.
   Medium - Non-native species are evident in isolated spots.
   Low - Non-native species are common or scattered throughout the area.

   Rating: **High** - Mullein, a non-native plant, is found along roads near the area, but there are no GIS records of the plant within the potential wilderness. Other non-native species may be present, but no surveys have specifically been conducted in the area.

2. Rivers within the potential wilderness are in free-flowing condition.
   High - Rivers within the area are considered free-flowing.
   Medium - Some rivers have impoundments or other issues that affect their free-flowing character.
   Low - Rivers within the potential wilderness are seasonal or heavily impacted by impoundments.

   Rating: **High** - Perennial streams include Campbell Blue, Castle, and Centerfire Creeks and the Blue River. The remaining drainages are either intermittent or ephemeral. There are no known impoundments. Campbell Blue Creek is an eligible WSR with a proposed segment classification of Recreational.

3. Quality of night-sky as affected by light pollution.
   High - The night sky is clear with little to no interference from light pollution.
   Medium - Some stars are visible and there is moderate degradation from light pollution.
   Low - Few stars are visible at night and the presence of light pollution is evident.

   Rating: **High** - There are no nearby population centers and the lights from Alpine are not visible from the area. Ranch lights along Campbell Blue Creek and the Blue River do not affect the night sky.

4. Presence of pollutants that degrade water.
   High - All rivers/streams have been sampled and there are no water quality issues.
   Medium - There are no known water quality issues within the area but the not all rivers/streams have been sampled.
   Low - There are rivers within the area that are listed on the State Impaired Waters List (303d).

   Rating: **Medium** - Campbell Blue Creek and the Blue River have been sampled. There are no water quality issues with Campbell Blue Creek and this stretch of the Blue River. Other streams have not been sampled. There are no known water quality issues.

5. Area provides elements of biological diversity and naturalness including unique habitats, TES or rare plants and wildlife.

High - Has critical or unique habitats and diverse ecological conditions.
Medium - Has a mix of habitats and ecological conditions.
Low - Has limited ecological conditions and habitats.

Rating: **High** - Biological diversity is high and essentially natural. The potential wilderness provides habitat for a wide variety of special status animal and plant species including Blumer's dock, White Mountains clover, Arizona alumroot, loach minnow, Mexican spotted owl, western yellow-billed cuckoo, northern Mexican gartersnake, New Mexico meadow jumping mouse, bald eagle, American peregrine falcon, narrow-headed gartersnake, Ferris' copper and four-spotted skipperling butterflies, Arizona toad, Chiricahua leopard frog, longfin dace, Sonora sucker, and desert sucker. Vegetation, Wildlife species, and Fish species and habitat are ORVs for the eligible WSR adjacent to and within this potential wilderness.

6. Area contains a variety of natural resources including a variety of tree species and structures, intermingled grasslands or meadows, numerous recreation opportunities, diversity of wildlife habitats, and wildlife, etc.

High - Diverse amount of natural resources.
Medium - Mixed amount of natural resources.
Low - Limited amount of natural resource diversity.

Rating: **High** - Diversity of natural vegetation and wildlife species are key natural features. Vegetation includes Madrean pine-oak woodland, dry mixed conifer forest, cottonwood-willow riparian forest, ponderosa pine forest, and piñon-juniper woodland. The potential wilderness also contains several areas of old ponderosa pine and other conifers. Hiking, hunting, wildlife viewing, and photography are the main recreation opportunities. Wildlife species and habitat are diverse because the varied topographic, soil, and vegetative conditions within the area combine with perennial streams to provide habitat for numerous wildlife species.

Undeveloped

7. Area has current or past evidence of human activity.

High - Little or no evidence of human activity.
Medium - Unnoticeable or unobjectionable human activity.
Low - Obvious evidence of human activity.

Rating: **High** - There is little evidence of human activity within the area, mostly fences. There are no developed trails in the potential wilderness.

Outstanding Opportunities for Solitude or Primitive and Unconfined Recreation

8. Area provides physically and mentally challenging recreation opportunities that promote adventure and self-reliance.

High - Most of the area provides challenging recreation opportunities.
Medium - Some parts of the area have the potential for challenging recreation opportunities.
Low - Few parts of the area can provide challenging recreation opportunities.

Rating: **Medium** - The northern portion of the potential wilderness, especially the bluffs, provides physically challenging recreation opportunities. The physical and mental challenges of the area are increased by the lack of developed trails.

9. Opportunity to experience solitude and isolation from human activities while recreating in the area.

High - Significant feeling of being alone or remote from civilization.
Medium - Feeling of being alone is possible but signs of civilization are likely.
Low - Little opportunity of feeling alone.

Rating: **Medium** - The potential wilderness provides opportunities for solitude throughout the area. However, signs of civilization are visible in conjunction with the private lands along the north and east boundaries and roads along the northeast, east, and southwest boundaries.

10. Opportunity to engage in primitive and unconfined recreation such as backpacking, kayaking, hunting, fishing, etc.

High - There are many opportunities for engaging in primitive recreation.
Medium - There are some opportunities for engaging in primitive recreation.
Low - There are few to no opportunities to engage in primitive recreation.

Rating: **Medium** - There are opportunities for cross-county hiking, hunting, wildlife viewing, and photography in the potential wilderness.

Special Features and Values

11. Area contains outstanding or distinct features like rock formations, panoramic views, etc.

High - Many distinct features or few but exceptional features.
Medium - Some distinct features.
Low - One or no distinct features.

Rating: **High** - The northern part of the potential wilderness has 1,000-foot bluffs and a 500-foot seasonal waterfall. Views from the southwest boundary road (FR 567) are outstanding.

12. Area has potential for scientific research, environmental education, or historic/cultural opportunities.

High - Good potential for two or more types of these opportunities.
Medium - Potential for one type of opportunity.
Low - Little or no potential for this type of opportunity.

Rating: **High** - There is the potential for scientific research because of the ecological diversity of the area and for cultural resources because the Mogollon culture used the Blue River and Campbell Blue Creek corridors.

13. Area contains unique or rare species of plants and/or animals.

High - Area has several unique or rare plants and/or animals.
Medium - Area has a few unique or rare plants and/or animals.
Low - Area has no unique or rare plants and/or animals.

Rating: **High** - The threatened loach minnow is found in Campbell Blue Creek and the Blue River. Threatened Mexican spotted owls are found in the potential wilderness. Blumer's dock, White Mountains (Mogollon) clover, and Arizona alumroot are sensitive plant species found in the potential wilderness. Other unique or rare animal species include western yellow-billed cuckoo, northern Mexican gartersnake, New Mexico meadow jumping mouse, bald eagle, American peregrine falcon, narrow-headed gartersnake, Ferris' copper and four-spotted skipperling butterflies, Arizona toad, Chiricahua leopard frog, longfin dace, Sonora sucker, and desert sucker.

Manageability

14. Ability to manage the area for wilderness character, including distance and influence from outside activities; opportunity to access the area; and resource conflicts or encumbrances.

High - Isolated from areas of activity; controlled or limited access; no encumbrances or resource conflicts.
Medium - Somewhat isolated from areas of activity; adequate access opportunities; some resource conflicts and/or encumbrances.
Low - Areas of activity are nearby; many access opportunities; many resource conflicts and/or encumbrances.

Rating: **High** - The area's terrain limits access and the effects of outside activities. There are no known encumbrances or resource conflicts.

15. Motorized use within the area.

Yes - Has motorized vehicle use.
No - Does not have any motorized vehicle use.

Rating: **No** - The rugged terrain deters motorized vehicle use.

Overall Capability: **High**

Appendix C: Availability Evaluation Worksheet

The letters below in parenthesis refer to the following required considerations: **a**. recreation, including tourism; **b**. wildlife species, populations, and management needs; **c**. water availability and use; **d**. livestock operations; **e**. timber; **f**. minerals; **g**. cultural resources; **h**. authorized and potential uses; and **i**. management considerations including fire, insects, disease, and presence of non-Federal lands.

Availability Characteristics

1. Areas that are of high value for water yield or on-site storage where installation and maintenance of improvements may be required. (**c**)

High - No impoundment needed.
Medium - Minor improvements will have an effect.
Low - Identified impoundment that will have an effect on wild characteristics.

Rating: **High** - The area is of value for water yield. However, no impoundments are needed.

2. Areas needing management for wildlife or aquatic animals that MIGHT conflict with wilderness management. (**b**)

High - Low management requirements with no motorized equipment required to meet objectives and infrequent entries.
Medium - Management requires helicopters but no motorized equipment on the ground and frequency is generally less than 10 years.
Low - Intense management (motorized equipment, helicopters, chainsaws, broadcast burning) and frequent entries (= or <5 yrs.).

Rating: **High** - No wildlife or aquatic animal management activities have been identified.

3. Area needing active aquatic restoration activities. (**b**)

High - Properly functioning with no or little restoration activities needed.
Medium - Site-specific improvements needed.
Low - The majority of watershed needs attention.

Rating: **High** - There are currently no aquatic restoration activities identified. However, should a major flood occur on the Blue River, there would be a need to restore and improve the floodplain.

4. Area needing active vegetative restoration activities due to specific species survival (such as White Bark Pine restoration) or identifiable fuel reduction activity to reduce the risk of uncharacteristic wildfire or known areas of severe insect infestation that will lead to heavy tree mortality. (**e, h, i**)

High - The area needs little vegetative restoration.
Medium - Areas needing high intensity management activities for a short time period (< or = 5 years). These areas could be available for wilderness after those activities are completed (like fuel reduction activities). Some intense restoration work over small areas could be accomplished without conflicting with wilderness management (species conservation work not requiring motorized equipment).
Low - The need for vegetation restoration is a higher priority and requires long-term management and mechanized or motorized equipment.

Rating: **Medium** - Portions of the potential wilderness are within an identified wildland-urban interface (WUI). Fuels in the WUI would be reduced through the use of fire. Areas adjacent to Forest Road 281 may be thinned.

5. Areas having such unique characteristics or natural phenomena that general public access should be developed to facilitate public use and enjoyment. (**a, g**)

High - Does not exist or minimal development will be provided.
Medium - Requires minor development or improvement that does not qualify as a developed recreation site but is a higher development level than is normally found within wilderness.
Low - Has a developed recreation site or features that warrant construction of a developed recreation site.

Rating: **High** - There are no unique characteristics that would require developed public access. There are two small, developed campgrounds adjacent to the east boundary. Forest roads parallel the southwest, east, and north boundaries.

6. Lands committed through contracts, permits or agreements that would be in conflict with wilderness management (some minor permitted uses may be still be allowed). (**d, h, i**)

High - Current authorizations do not conflict with potential wilderness.
Medium - Current authorization(s) but can be terminated or there is long-term authorization or commitment but does not require motorized equipment for access or maintenance.
Low - Currently exists, must be retained (long-term commitments), and requires motorized equipment for access or maintenance.

Rating: **High** - Current authorizations, primarily grazing permits on the Bobcat-Johnson allotment, do not conflict with potential wilderness. There are power line and road rights-of-way adjacent to the potential wilderness on the west. A need has been identified to increase the road rights-of-way from 66 feet to 300 feet; no request has been submitted and the adjacent Inventoried Roadless Areas would need to be considered.

7. Forest Service has sufficient control to prevent development of irresolvable, incompatible use that would lessen wilderness character and potential. (**i**)

High - No inholdings and no non-federal lands adjacent to potential wilderness.
Medium - No inholdings but adjacent lands may be private.
Low - Inholdings exist.

Rating: **Medium** - There are several pieces of private land adjacent to the north and east boundaries of the potential wilderness.

8. Cultural resource sites that may need stabilization are present. Motorized vehicle access to Traditional Cultural Properties (TCP) or scared sites is needed. (**g**)

High - No cultural resources stabilization and/or motorized vehicle access needed.
Medium - Minor stabilization and/or motorized vehicle access needed.
Low - Extensive stabilization of cultural resources needed or motorized vehicle access required.

Rating: **High** - There are no known sites in the area needing stabilization. Motorized vehicle access to any TCPs or sacred sites is not needed.

9. Mineral potential was evaluated using the *R3 Plan Revision Guidance: Minerals Information Related to the Evaluation of Potential Wilderness and Research Natural Areas*. This guidance uses several databases to consider the presence and status of mining claims, mineral leases, and mineral districts. Based on the information contained in the above databases, and as described in the Background section of this evaluation, there is low mineral potential for this potential wilderness. (**f**)

Rating: **High**

Overall Availability: **High**

Appendix D: Need Evaluation Worksheet

Additional information for Factors 1, 3, 4, and 6 can be found in the Wilderness Need Evaluation Tables document.

Factor 1 - The location, size, and type of other wildernesses in the general vicinity and their distance from the proposed area. Consider accessibility of areas to population centers and user groups. Public demand for wilderness may increase with proximity to growing population centers.

There are 21 Wilderness areas and 1 primitive area[4] within a 100-mile radius of PW-03-01-060. The total acreage of these areas is 1,449,546 acres. The Forest Service (FS) manages 13 of these areas, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) manages 8, and the National Park Service (NPS) manages 1.

Potential Wilderness	Wilderness Area within a 100-mile Radius	Wilderness Acreage	Distance from PW	Managing Agency
PW-03-01-060	Dos Cabezas Mountains	11,855	99	BLM
	Peloncillo Mountains	19,244	90	BLM
	Galiuro	75,585	99	FS
	Aravaipa Canyon	19,790	95	BLM
	Santa Teresa	28,769	82	FS
	North Santa Teresa	5,733	78	BLM
	Needle's Eye	6,277	93	BLM
	Aldo Leopold	206,700	78	FS
	Fishhooks	11,400	59	BLM
	Gila	558,549	50	FS
	Blue Range Primitive Area	179,819	9	FS
	Blue Range	35,815	11	FS
	Apache Kid	44,835	98	FS
	Bear Wallow	11,113	20	FS
	Salt River Canyon	27,715	97	FS
	Sierra Ancha	18,198	102	FS
	Withington	18,973	97	FS
	Mount Baldy	7,627	32	FS
	Escudilla	5,210	21	FS
	Cebolla	66,445	99	BLM
	West Malpais	37,836	98	BLM
	Petrified Forest	52,058	90	NPS
	TOTAL	1,449,546		

[4] The Blue Range Primitive Area is the last remaining such area in the United States. It is managed almost like wilderness in accordance with Forest Service Manual 2320.3(11).

Existing Wilderness and Primitive Areas on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs

Wilderness Lands on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs		
Type	**Number**	**Acres***
Wilderness	3	23,233
Primitive Area	1	180,007
TOTAL	4	203,240
* from DEIS for the ASNFs Land Management Plan.		

The following table summarizes information found in the Wilderness Need Assessment Tables document. Only acres within the listed radius are shown; actual areas may be larger.

Wilderness within a 100-mile Radius of the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs	
Number	**Acres**
46	2,355,715

There are no population centers[5] within a 100-mile radius of this potential wilderness.

Rating criteria:

There are significant wilderness lands (over 2.5 million acres) on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and within a 100-mile radius of this potential wilderness and the forests. Because demand for wilderness is generally greater near population centers, potential wilderness within a 100- or 130-mile radius of a population center where there are less than 1 million acres of Wilderness within that radius is rated as **Medium**. Potential wilderness within a 100- or 130-mile radius of a population center where there are more than 1 million acres of Wilderness in that radius is rated as **Low**. Potential wilderness more than 100 or 130 air miles from a population center is rated as **Low**.

Rating: **Low**

Factor 2 - Present visitor pressure on other wildernesses, the trends in use, changing patterns of use, population expansion factors, and trends and changes in transportation.

Item 1

Each Federal agency that manages wilderness collects and reports visitor use information differently. The Forest Service reports wilderness use by each national forest, not each wilderness. The National Park Service collects backcountry visitor use only for overnight stays. The Bureau of Land Management reports use for each wilderness.

According to the 2001 National Visitor Use Monitoring study, approximately two percent of the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs users visited the three wilderness areas on the forests. This figure is similar to other non-urban forests in the Southwestern Region. More urban forests (Cibola and Coronado) reported approximately 25 percent of their users visited wilderness areas.

Most of the wilderness use on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs is concentrated in the two smaller wilderness areas, Mount Baldy and Escudilla. These areas are each less than 10,000 acres, are easily accessible by motor vehicles, and have limited trail systems. Visitor use in Mount Baldy is considered high with use

[5] Southwestern Region population centers are defined in Factor 2 as Flagstaff, Phoenix, Tucson, Santa Fe, Taos, and Albuquerque.

concentrated on two of the three trails. Visitor use in Escudilla is considered moderate to high with use concentrated on one trail. Encounters with other wilderness visitors in both areas are high. Use in Bear Wallow Wilderness is lighter because it is less accessible and is slightly larger. There are no accurate use figures for the Blue Range Primitive Area, which is managed almost like wilderness.

Approximately 70 percent of the Arizona visitors to the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs are from the Phoenix and Tucson metropolitan areas. Populations in these areas have increased much faster than in the more rural areas. Visitors from the four counties where the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs are located account for another 20 percent. In general, there has been no to moderate population growth in these counties. Recently, there have been major highway improvements between Phoenix and the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs.

It can be assumed that with increasing populations and improved transportation features, wilderness use would continue to increase in those wilderness areas on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs that are easily accessible to the recreating public.

Item 2

The Apache-Sitgreaves NFs include three designated wilderness areas, the nation's only remaining primitive area, and 322,000 acres of inventoried roadless areas. Users of designated wilderness areas fit a profile similar to other forests' users: 1) they are predominantly male (81 percent), 2) white (91 percent) or Hispanic/Latino (6 percent), 3) between the ages of 31 and 60, and 4) often travel from the Phoenix and Tucson areas. NVUM data suggest that roughly 45,000 wilderness visits were made during fiscal year 2001 although the error rate on this data is very high (± 56 percent) because of the relatively low number of visitors interviewed (Kocis et al. 2002). There are no use figures specific to the Blue Range Primitive Area or the inventoried roadless areas.

Surrounding national forests (Coconino, Coronado, Gila, and Tonto NFs) all have much higher numbers of wilderness visits than the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs. Use on the Coconino, Coronado, and Gila NFs is high, while use on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs and Tonto NF is medium.[6]

Regional Demand for Wilderness

1. Increased demand for additional wilderness in both Arizona and New Mexico should be anticipated based on population growth during the period of 1990 to 2000, which exceeded the national growth rate.
2. Assuming Arizona continues to grow at a rate greatly outpacing the national rate (predicted to be about 3 times the national rate), the number of visits to existing wilderness will continue to increase, and Arizona in particular could benefit from additional wilderness.
3. Demographics related to visitor race and ethnicity will affect the rate of increase in wilderness visits in the Southwestern Region. Even though the faster growing racial/ethnic groups have relatively low participation rates, wilderness use is still expected to increase because of the overall population growth rate.
4. Public demand increases with proximity to six population centers: Flagstaff, Phoenix, Tucson, Santa Fe, Taos, and Albuquerque. Consider wilderness recommendations within a 100-mile radius of those cities to provide for that demand.
5. Some additional public demand for wilderness in the Southwestern Region will occur from the influx of people moving to communities in the vicinity of the national forests.

[6] This is based on use categories developed by the Forest Service Wilderness Advisory Group, with low use defined as 0 to 10,000 visits, medium as 10,001 to 30,000 visits, and high being greater than 30,000 visits. Total wilderness use for a forest from NVUM was divided by the number of wilderness areas the forest is lead for, to get an average amount of use per wilderness.

6. In terms of geographic distribution of wilderness, the Southwestern Region is underrepresented with five percent fewer wilderness acres as compared with the representation nationally. Additionally, all quadrants in Arizona and New Mexico are underrepresented with the exception of the southwest and southeast quadrants in Arizona. The most underrepresented quadrants are southeast and northwest New Mexico and northeast Arizona, which are at 6 percent or less in the number of wilderness acres (compared with total federal wilderness acres).
7. Desirability of the scenic mountainous settings available in the rural communities within and adjacent to national forests in the Southwestern Region will attract new retirees and others, further contributing to a growth in wilderness visitation.

Rating criteria:

Based on projected population increases, high use in two of the three wilderness areas on the forests, and the underrepresentation of northeast Arizona in the National Wilderness Preservation System, those potential wilderness areas within a 100- or 130-mile radius of a population center are rated as **High**. All other potential wilderness areas are rated as **Medium**.

Rating: **Medium**

Factor 3 - The extent to which nonwilderness lands on the NFS unit or other Federal lands are likely to provide opportunities for unconfined outdoor recreation experiences.

The following tables summarize information found in the Wilderness Need Assessment Tables document. Only acres within the listed radius are shown; actual areas may be larger. The Recreation Opportunity System (ROS) acres are for National Forest System lands only. No ROS information is available for the Gila NF. Many of the ROS acres overlap designated Wilderness, the Blue Range Primitive Area, and IRAs.

Like Wilderness Lands on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs		
Type	**Number**	**Acres**
Inventoried Roadless Area	17	321,949
ROS-Primitive		228,954
ROS-Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized		452,486
TOTAL ACRES		1,003,389

Like Wilderness Lands within a 100-mile Radius of the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs		
Type	**Number**	**Acres**
Inventoried Roadless Area	85	1,571,867
BLM Wilderness Study Area	17	279,320
NPS proposed wilderness	1	116,769
ROS-Primitive		833,442
ROS-Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized		1,697,112
TOTAL ACRES		4,498,510

Rating criteria:

There are significant nonwilderness lands (over 5.4 million acres) available for unconfined outdoor recreation outside of designated Wilderness and the Blue Range Primitive Area on the Apache-

Sitgreaves NFs and within a 100-mile radius of the forests. Therefore, all potential wilderness areas are rated as **Low**.

Rating: **Low**

Factor 4 - The need to provide a refuge for those species that have demonstrated an inability to survive in less than primitive surroundings or the need for a protected area for other unique scientific values or phenomena.

Forest Planning Species (FPS), as determined for Forest Plan Revision, were reviewed to determine if there were species on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs that require primitive surroundings (natural conditions and low human disturbance) to survive. The Forest Planning Species list includes 30 mammals, 22 birds, 6 reptiles and amphibians, 13 fish, 12 invertebrates, and 25 plants. This list includes Endangered Species Act endangered, threatened, and candidate species; Southwestern Region sensitive species; and other species of interest. Only the endangered, threatened, candidate, and sensitive species are considered in this evaluation.

Through coordination with the planning wildlife and fisheries staff, it was determined that there are no endangered, threatened, candidate, or sensitive species that require primitive surroundings. However, there are 2 mammals, 7 birds, 6 reptiles and amphibians, 13 fish, 2 invertebrates, and 1 plant that would benefit from primitive surroundings.

Additional information on species that would benefit from primitive surroundings can be found in the Wilderness Need Evaluation Tables document.

Rating criteria:

High - Species or habitat for species that require primitive surroundings are present.
Medium - Species or habitat for species that would benefit from primitive surroundings are present.
Low - Neither species or habitat for species that require or would benefit from primitive surroundings are present.

There are no FPS on the forests that require primitive surroundings. Each potential wilderness has at least one FPS or has habitat for at least one FPS that would benefit from primitive surroundings. Therefore, all areas receive a **Medium** rating.

Rating: **Medium** - There are 11 FPS and habitat for 2 additional FPS that would benefit from primitive surroundings.

Factor 5 - Within social and biological limits, management may increase the capacity of established wildernesses to support human use without unacceptable depreciation of the wilderness resource.

There are three Wilderness areas on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs, all on the Apache NF. Two, Mount Baldy and Escudilla, are less than 10,000 acres, are easily accessible by motor vehicles, and have limited trail systems. Visitor use in Mount Baldy is considered high with use concentrated on two of the three trails. Visitor use in Escudilla is considered moderate to high with use concentrated on one trail. Encounters with other wilderness visitors in both areas are high. For these two areas there are limited management opportunities to accommodate additional use. The third wilderness, Bear Wallow, is slightly larger, is more difficult to access, and has five trails. Visitor use is considered low. Here, additional use could be accommodated without affecting the wilderness.

Rating criteria:

High - Management of all wilderness areas on the forests could not increase capacity without further affecting the wilderness resource.

Medium - Management of one or more wilderness areas on the forests could increase capacity without further affecting the wilderness resource.

Low - Management of all wilderness areas on the forests could increase capacity without affecting the wilderness resource.

Because use in Bear Wallow Wilderness is relatively low, this wilderness could accommodate some increased use without affecting wilderness resources. Therefore, all potential wilderness areas are rated as **Medium**.

Rating: **Medium**

Factor 6 - An area's ability to provide for preservation of identifiable landform types and ecosystems. Consideration of this factor may include utilization of Edwin A. Hammond's subdivision of landform types and the Bailey-Kuchler ecosystem classification. This approach is helpful from the standpoint of rounding out the National Wilderness Preservation System and may be further subdivided to suit local, subregional, and regional needs.

The Southwestern Regional Office used the process outlined in Loomis and Echohawk (1999)[7] to determine the underrepresented landforms and ecosystem types in Wilderness within Region 3.

The following underrepresented landforms (ecoregion subsection) are found on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs: Burro Mountains oak-juniper woodland, Coconino Plateau woodland, and White Mountains scarp woodland-coniferous forest.

The following underrepresented ecosystems (PNVTs) are found on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs: cottonwood-willow riparian forest (CWRF), dry mixed conifer forest (DMCF), interior chaparral (IC), Madrean pine-oak woodland (MPOW), mixed broadleaf deciduous riparian forest (MBDRF), montane willow riparian forest (MWRF), montane/subalpine grasslands (MSG), spruce-fir forest (SFF), wet mixed conifer forest (WMCF), and wetland/cienega riparian areas (WCRA). The wet mixed conifer forest PNVT is used as a proxy for the aspen forest/woodland ecosystem because most of the aspen on the Apache-Sitgreaves NFs is found in this PNVT.

Tables detailing the acres of underrepresented landforms and ecosystems can be found in the Wilderness Need Evaluation Tables document.

Rating criteria:

High - Underrepresented ecosystems and landforms occur across 67 percent or more of the potential wilderness.

Medium - Underrepresented ecosystems and landforms occur across 34 to 66 percent of the potential wilderness.

Low - Underrepresented ecosystems and landforms occur across 33 percent or less of the potential wilderness.

[7] Loomis, John and Echohawk, J. Chris. 1999. Using GIS to identify under-represented ecosystems in the National Wilderness Preservation System in the USA. *Environmental Conservation*. 26 (1): 53–58.

Rating: **High** - Underrepresented ecosystems occur across 94 percent of this potential wilderness. This potential wilderness contains three underrepresented ecosystems: cottonwood-willow riparian forest, dry mixed conifer forest, and Madrean pine-oak woodland. There are no underrepresented landforms.

Overall Need: **Medium**