



FOREST PLAN REVISION GENERAL PUBLIC MEETING
SEQUOIA NATIONAL FOREST
 February 7, and February 8, 2013
 6:00 pm to 8:00 pm

Locations:

- February 7: Supervisors Office, 1839 South Newcomb, Porterville, CA
- February 8: Oddfellows Hall, 50 Tobias by Circle Park, Kernville, CA

Contact Person: Mary Chislock, Public Affairs Officer 559-784-1500

Meeting Goals:

1. To familiarize participants with the Forest Plan Revision process.
2. To begin to obtain feedback on the characterization of existing conditions on the Forest.

TIME	AGENDA ITEM	PRESENTER(S)
6:00 pm	<p>Welcome, Opening Remarks, Introductions of Forest Leadership</p> <p>Agenda Review and Meeting Ground Rules</p>	<p>Kevin B. Elliott, Forest Supervisor Laura Kaplan, Facilitator, Center for Collaborative Policy</p>
6:15	<p>Overview of the Forest Plan Revision Process</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The National Planning Rule • The Sequoia National Forest Plan and Giant Sequoia National Monument Plan • SQF Plan Revision Steps and Timeline • First Step: The Assessment and Topic Papers • Q and A on the Plan Revision Process 	<p>Maria Ulloa, Forest Planner</p>
6:30	<p>“Our Forest Place”, “Leaving Assessment”, “WIKI”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How to Provide Feedback both In-person and Online • Q and A on Public Involvement 	<p>Annette Fredette, Environmental Coordinator</p>
6:45	<p>Discussion Stations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural Resources and Tribal [Chapters 13, 14] • Ecological Ecosystems, At-Risk-Species, Assessing Carbon, Fish, Wildlife, Plants [Chapters 1, 5, 8] • Water, Range, Timber [Chapters 2, 3, 4, 8] • Energy, Lands, Minerals [Chapters 10, 14] • Recreation, Designated Areas, Infrastructure [Chapters 9, 15, 11] • Benefits-to-People [Chapter 7] • Bioregional Assessment <p>Guiding Questions for Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there any suggestions you have for how to better characterize the existing conditions on the Forest? • Are there any statements about existing conditions that should be clarified, added, or emphasized? 	<p>Topic Team members</p> <p>Resource Specialists</p> <p>Draft topic paper</p>
7:50	<p>Observations Next Steps and Future timeline</p>	<p>Kevin, all</p>
8:00	<p>ADJOURN</p>	

