

Heavenly Mountain Resort Master Plan Amendment 2005
Draft Environmental Impact Report/Statement/Statement
Comment Log – Form Letters Received

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|--|---------------|
| Hoffman, David | 5224 Tacoma Common Freemont, CA 94555 thehoff@earthlink.net | 06/27/2006 |
| Vong, Paul | 6905 Arianna Ct. Elk Grove, CA 95757 bavika@yahoo.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Tanner, Dana | P.O. Box 5998 Tahoe City, CA 96145 dantana10@earthlink.net | 06/27/2006 |
| Raymond, Hank | 2443 Tolteca Way South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 racerx1234@sbcglobal.net | 06/27/2006 |
| Bennett, Barbara | Paradise Drive Tiburon, CA 94920 nightowl8@sbcglobal.net | 06/27/2006 |
| Smith, Robert & Joan | P.O. Box 225 Tahoe Vista, CA 96148 tahosmith@intergate.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Lamerdin, Bill | 3939 Kingridge Drive San Mateo, CA 94403 bill@lamerdingraphics.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Giffin, Robert | 4455 Concord Avenue Santa Rose, CA 95407 rgiffin@gmail.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Ligon, Kelsey | 4070 Falling Water Dr. Reno, NV 89509 k_ligon@yahoo.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Mager, Martin | 306 Grove St. Portola, CA 95601 mmager@yahoo.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Richardson, Wallace | 148 Edgewood Tahoe City, CA 96145 wallyjenny@comcast.net | 06/27/2006 |
| Lewis, Jeanne | Walkup South Lake Tahoe, CA 95252 jenecl@aol.com | 06/27/2006 |
| Van Hyfte, Robert | 240 Fencil Ln. Suite 2 Hillside, IL 60162 rjpavh@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Becker, Jody Anne | 39 Allen Avenue P.O. Box 1834 Ross, CA 94957 WhyNott@aol.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Seltzer, Robert | 6465 Kanan Dume Rd. Malibu, CA 90265 rsscpa@earthlink.net | 06/29/2006 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Ponich, Paul | 1251 Bonanza South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Ponich2000@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Schmidt, Cara | 2520 Osborne Avenue South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Caraschmidt04@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Plummer, Gerald | 3825 LaCrosse Tahoe City, CA 96145 Plums2@astound.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Concus, Paul | 218 Yale Avenue Kensington, CA 94708 pconcus@earthlink.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Petrosky, Eric | 377 Smithridge Dr. Reno, NV 89502 Eric.petrosky@igt.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Bauer, Pauline | 245 Pine Cone Rd. Incline Village, NV 89451 Polly5236@aol.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Harband, Newton | 63 Trinidad Dr. Tiburon, CA 94920 | 06/29/2006 |
| Pratt, Roger | 863 Glorene Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Buddhadog863@sbcglobal.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Duisenberg, Jeannie | 1664 Channing Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94303 jeannieduis@mindspring.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Bjork, Arthur S. | 100 Observation Drive Tahoe City, CA 95730 abjork@comcast.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Koldinger, Ralph | 1339 44 th St. Sacramento, CA 95819 KoldinR@sutterhealth.org | 06/29/2006 |
| Donaldson, John | 4559 N. DeWitt Fresno, CA 93727 johnd@csufresno.edu | 06/29/2006 |
| Peterman, Jo Calre | 655 Portsmouth Avenue Davis, CA 95616 jopeterman@worldnet.att.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Phillips, Ross | 908 Clement St., South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Rphillips5058@charter.net | 06/29/2006 |
| De Luchi, Denis | 7849 Tamara Drive Fair Oaks, CA 95628 d.deluchi@comcast.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Bjork, Joanne | 119 Lochinvar Rd. San Rafael, CA 94901 jtbjork@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Corbett, Carla | 1272 Canyoncreek St. Hanford, CA 93230 Ccorbett62@sbcglobal.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Waltner, Julia | 10869 Torrey Pine Truckee, CA 96161 tahoejulz@gmail.com | 06/29/2006 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Matheny, Amber | 1874 Bakersfield South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 friedchickensundae@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Patterson, Sean | 2518 Knox South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 soulmekanik@sbcglobal.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Thomson, Arran | 4613 NE 19 th Ave Portland, OR 97211 Shamayim11 11@conservebirdhabitat.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Beer, Frank | P.O. Box 132306 Big Bear Lake, CA 92315 tygrbeer@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Hagerty, Bridgette | 3090 Bryan St. Reno, NV 89503 widgette@hotmail.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Mooiweer, Ron | 7136 10 th Avenue Tahoma, CA 96142 romkem@aol.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Rice, William | 1870 Ives Avenue Reno, NV 89503 chewbaccarice@yahoo.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Patterson, Alvina | 7276 N. Lake Blvd. P.O. Box 229 Tahoe Vista, CA 96148 alvina@tahoeholidayhouse.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Cooke, James | 924 Incline Way, Suite H Incline Village, NV 89451 jim@absythmusic.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Sanders, Curtis | P.O. Box 1283 615 Stanley Zephyr Cove, NV 89448 Csand1949@aol.com | 06/29/2006 |
| Pagliughi, Anthony | 16 Halley Ct. Nesconset, NY 11767 abpags@opotonline.net | 06/29/2006 |
| Havilan, Kathleen | 6 Lake Helix Drive La Mesa, CA 91941 Pooka58@cox.net | 06/30/2006 |
| Larson, Lynne | P.O. Box 8126 Truckee, CA 96162 cookiejarlynne@pacbell.net | 06/30/2006 |
| Waller, Peter | 907 Han St. South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 pwaller@sbcglobal.net | 07/01/2006 |
| Brosch, Felix | 2962 Oakland Avenue South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 febrosch@yahoo.com | 07/02/2006 |
| Bassett, John | 3201 Spear Avenue Eureka, CA 95521 johnnylongtrail@hotmail.com | 07/03/2006 |
| Stanislaw, Derek | 631 South Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95407 Mending1@hotmail.com | 07/03/2006 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Maas, Stuart | P.O. Box 3494 Stateline, NV 89449 Stuart_maas@hotmail.com | 07/03/2006 |
| Jamieson, Amber | 3689 Verdon Lane South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 tahoeamber@yahoo.com | 07/04/2006 |
| Tracy, Julie | 1894 Normuk St. South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Julietracy11@hotmail.com | 07/04/2006 |
| Rover, Silke | 2151 Lindenwood South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 billajunk@sbcglobal.net | 07/04/2006 |
| Reed, Martin | 1429 Keller Rd. South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 | 07/05/2006 |
| Hammer, Kristen | 3090 Sacramento Ave. South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 khammer@highstream.net | 07/05/2006 |
| Powell, Joyce | 261 Short Rd. Glenbrook, NV 89413 PO Box 827 Zephyr Cove, NV 89448 joycerobin@msn.com | 07/05/2006 |
| King, J. | 59 Montford Ave. Mill Valley, CA 94941 | 06/30/2006 |
| Lewey, Myron | 36 Prairie Falcon Drive Novato, CA 94949 | 06/30/2006 |
| Alleman, Rodger | 35 College Drive South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 | 6/30/06 |
| Rezia, Jacklyn | 175 Hillside Ave Mill Valley, CA 94941 | 6/30/06 |
| Grayson, Michael | 4256 Caughlin Parkway Reno, NV 89519 | 6/30/06 |
| Boyd, Janice | 850 Montana Dr Reno, NV 89503 | 6/30/06 |
| Vogt, N | 553 Alpine Dr. South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 | 6/30/06 |
| Titus, Frank | 4240 Truckee River Trail Reno, NV 89523 | 6/30/06 |
| Charney, John | 387 Dunster Dr. Campbell, CA 95008 | 7/1/06 |
| Benden, Frank | P.O Box 11430 Reno, NV 89910 | 7/1/06 |
| Hudson, Cheryl | 1951 Denver St. San Diego, CA 92110 leprachaunolight@yahoo.com | 7/7/06 |
| Hall, Heidi | 123 Pine Nut Rd Coeville, CA 96107 heidileehall@yahoo.com | 7/8/06 |
| Pennes, Sied | P.O Box 550941 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96155 | 7/7/06 |
| Lanterman, Denna | 5 Saint Francis Rd Hillsborough, CA 94010 | 7/7/06 |
| Ritsch, Tary | 997 Manton Ct. Galt, CA 95632 | 7/7/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|--|---------------|
| MacFadyen, Gary | 1215 38 th St. Sacramento, CA 95816 | 7/7/06 |
| Zentmyer, May | 1763 Royal Oaks Dr. Bradbury, CA 91010 | 7/7/06 |
| McNary, Donald | 200 Rancho Way Woodland, CA 95695-4530 | 7/7/06 |
| Giambattista, Louie | 2906 26 th St. San Francisco, CA 94110 | 7/7/06 |
| Palmer, Daphne | 11982 Stallion Way Truckee, CA 96161 | 7/7/06 |
| Yodowitz, Joel | 1795 Tahoe Park Heights Dr. Tahoe City, CA 96145 | 7/5/06 |
| Donnally, Marie | 20846 Fargo Dr. Cupertino, Ca 95014 | 7/5/06 |
| Wollish, Wendy | 147 Buena Vista Ave Corte Madera, CA 94925 | 7/5/06 |
| Severino, A | 1554 American Beauty Dr. Concord, CA 94521 | 7/5/06 |
| Cohen, Eleanor | 907 Glendome Cir Oakland, CA 94602 | 7/5/06 |
| Olsen, John | 342 Daytona Dr. Goleta, CA 93117 | 7/5/06 |
| Fury, Joan | 3203 Lake Garda Dr San Jose, CA 95135-1458 | 7/5/06 |
| Daley, Melissa | 850 Susan Rd Weatland, CA 95695 | 7/5/06 |
| Kinda, Margaret | 230 Ross St Santa Cruz, CA 95060 | 7/5/06 |
| Kelly, William | P.O. Box 6512 Tahoe City, CA 96145 | 7/5/06 |
| Meyer, Bruce | P.O Box 3782 Carmel, CA 93921 | 7/5/06 |
| Wood, Peggy | 1326 Egret Drive Sunnyvale, CA 94087 | 7/5/06 |
| Kalange, Tom | P.O Box 8466 Tahoe City, CA 96145 | 7/5/06 |
| Lacemann, Marcel | P.O Box 587 Penryn, CA 95663 | 7/5/06 |
| Harrison, Valerie | 94 Elinor Ave. Mill Valley, CA 94941 | 7/5/06 |
| Ray, R | 160 Stark Rd Rochester Hills, MI 48307 | 7/5/06 |
| Reber, Dan | 7832 Conklin St. Downey, CA 90242 | 7/5/06 |
| Kelleryfn, LC | P.O Box 2246 Olympic Valley, CA 91146 | 7/5/06 |
| Raferly, Maureen | 114 Sycamore Ave Apt 5 San Anselmo, CA 94960 | 7/5/06 |
| Eekstlin, Alan | P.O Box 1192 Tahoe City, CA 96145 | 7/5/06 |
| Moncelle, Ronald | 15 Tenaya Lane Novato, CA 94947 | 7/5/06 |
| Nelson, Helen | 1326 Sylvaner Ave Saint Helena, CA 94574 | 7/5/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|--|---------------|
| Burningham, Kent | P.O Box 11413 Salt Lake City, Utah 84147 | 7/5/06 |
| Collins, Phil | P.O Box 21 Glenbrook, NV 89413 | 7/5/06 |
| Sampson, Stuart | 89 Lafayette Ave. Piedmont, CA 94611 | 7/5/06 |
| Ward, John | 122 Woodland Rd Kentfield, CA 94904 | 7/5/06 |
| Anderson, Lill | 19 Donnidera Ave Piedmont, CA 94611 | 7/5/06 |
| Roualdes, Ed | 556 Montecillo Road San Rafael, CA 94903 | 7/5/06 |
| Simionato, Al | P.O Box 8461 Incline Village, NV 89452 | 7/5/06 |
| Dooley, Glenn | 1187 Fairirew Ave San Jose, CA 95125 | 7/5/06 |
| Rosenzweig, Cary | 3349 Saint Michael Court Palo Alto, CA 94306 | 7/5/06 |
| Swanson, Nancy | P.O Box 8615 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96158 | 7/5/06 |
| Kary, Carol | Kings Beach, CA | 7/5/06 |
| Dickason, Linda | P.O Box 288 Glenbrook, NV 89413 | 7/5/06 |
| Binz, Nancy | 817 Lakeshore Dr Incline Village, NV 89451 | 7/5/06 |
| Walher, Stephen | 17 Gosford San Jose, CA 95139 | 7/5/06 |
| Finch, Waltraud | 800 Westridge Dr. Portola Valley, CA 94028 | 7/5/06 |
| Morris, David | 144 Diablo Ranch Court Danville, CA 94506 | 7/5/06 |
| Binger, Herman | 1119 Havens El Cerrito, CA 94530 | 7/5/06 |
| Finger, Dorothy | 12675 Skyline Blvd. Oakland, CA 94619 | 7/5/06 |
| McHugh, Marie | 422 Iron Wood Rd. Alameda, CA 94502 | 7/5/06 |
| Kubo, Paula | 722 Waxwing, Rd Davis, CA 95616 | 7/5/06 |
| Perman, Harvey | 1264 Commoms Drive Sacramento, CA 95825 | 7/5/06 |
| Hopper, Brian | 3624 Saintsbury Dr Sacramento, CA 95834 | 7/5/06 |
| Breuner, James | 54 Don Gabriel Way Orinda, CA 94563 | 7/5/06 |
| Gard, Marion | 955 Terra California Dr #4 Walnut Creek, CA 94595 | 7/5/06 |
| Whitney, PA | 818 Sharon Cir. West Chester, PA 19382-7181 | 7/5/06 |
| Cordone, Leslie | 413 B St. Davis, CA 95616 | 7/5/06 |
| Johnson, Russell | P.O. Box 3364 Truckee, CA 96160 | 7/5/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|--|---|---------------|
| ??? | Genworth Financial ??? Los Gamos Dr. San Rafael, CA 94903 | 7/6/06 |
| Olivia, Cindy | | 7/6/06 |
| Gurk, Lynn and Jim | P.O Box 87 Homewood, CA 96141 | 7/6/06 |
| Booth, Caroline | 61 Shoreline Cr Incline Village, NV | 7/6/06 |
| Navellier, Denise and Scott McCormick | 1331 Navellier St El Centro CA 94530 | 7/6/06 |
| Jordan, Jacklyn | 150 California St #250 San Francisco, CA 94111 jjordan@capitalaccess.com | 7/13/06 |
| Richmond, Moreland | 125 Via Serena Alamo, CA 94507 | 7/5/06 |
| Senz, Wanda | 34 LaCuesta Rd Orinda, CA 94563 | 7/5/06 |
| Danielson, Walter | 1505 Middle Lane Hayward, CA 945545 | 7/5/06 |
| Otoshi, Duke | 35557 Fisher Pl Fremont, CA 94536-3319 | 7/5/06 |
| Labagh, Christine | 5217 8 th Ave NW Seattle, WA 98107 | 7/10/06 |
| Jones, Deborah | 380 Granite Creek Rd Santa Cruz, CA 95065 | 7/10/06 |
| Burningham, Marilyn | 1340 Shannon St. Salt Lake City, UT 84105 | 7/10/06 |
| Tyson, A.R. | 860 Harvard Ave Menlo Park, CA 94085 | 7/10/06 |
| Schwartz, Allan | P.O Box 210400 San Francisco, CA 94121 | 7/10/06 |
| Engle, Ned | 30 Walnut Ave Mill Valley, CA 94941 | 7/11/06 |
| Kerns, Charles | PO Box 15 Lower Lake, CA 95457 | 7/10/06 |
| Megan, William | 14 Tan Oak Circle San Rafeal, CA 94903 formegans@comcast.net | 7/17/06 |
| Roskoski, Walter | 4940 Plumas St Reno, NV 89509 waltroskoski@yahoo.com | 7/15/06 |
| Hooser, Lee Van | 7655 North Ave Tahoe Vista, CA 96148 leevanhooser@yahoo.com | 7/16/06 |
| Clarke, W.P | 706 Loyola Dr Los Altos, CA 94024 | 7/16/06 |
| Crandall, C. | 105 King Ave Piedmont, CA 94610 | 7/12/06 |
| Blackwood, James | PO Box 108 Stratford, OK 74872 | 7/10/06 |
| Sims, Leslie | 6516 Idlewild Ct San Jose, CA 95120 | 7/17/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|
| Arakawa, Kiyoto | 455 Driver Incline Village, NV 89451 | 7/17/06 |
| Mcguire, Trista | 2200 S. 29 th St. Apt 41N Grand Forks, ND 58201 | 7/17/06 |
| Laing | 827 Tynor Incline Village, NV 89450 | 7/14/06 |
| Phelps, John and Elaine | 1020 Tomahawk Trail Incline Village, NV 89451 | 7/14/06 |
| Kirk, Helen | 9418 Golden Dr Orangevale, CA 95662 | 7/14/06 |
| Siegling, William and Joyce | 5345 Mallard Dr Pleasanton, CA 94566 | 7/14/06 |
| Williams, Joanna | 430 E. 3 rd St Hinsdale, IL 60521 | 7/14/06 |
| Hammer, Alison | 601 4 th St San Francisco, CA 94107 | 7/18/06 |
| Brook, D | 1400 O St Apt A Sacramento, CA 95814 | 7/18/06 |
| High | P.O. Box 12 Crystal Bay, NV 89402 | 7/18/06 |
| Henderson, L | 916 Sunset Dr S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 | 7/18/06 |
| Weston, Elizabeth | 7751 S. Galileo Ln Tucson, AZ 85747-9605 | 7/18/06 |
| Reynolds, Gary and Susan | 4609 Vander Way Sacramento, CA 95821 | 7/17/06 |
| Spittler, Mark | P.O Box 1041 Carnelian Bay, CA 96140 | 7/17/06 |
| Sorrells, Tim | P.O Box 6784 Tahoe City, CA 96145 | 7/17/06 |
| Johanson, Mark | 1723 Grouse Ridge Rd Truckee, CA | 7/17/06 |
| Carter, Tim | P.O Box 1148 Carnelian, Bay, CA 96140 tfcarter@gmail.com | 7/23/06 |
| Hayes, Janet | 1155 Emory St San Jose, CA 95126 janetgrayhayes@sbcglobal.net | 7/23/06 |
| Holzbauer, Bryan | 950 Starlight Ct Gardnerville, NV 89460 Bryan.holzbauer@bentley.com | 7/23/06 |
| Yeazell, Lindsay | P.O Box 1685 Zephyr Cove, NV 89448 linyezell@charter.net | 7/23/06 |
| Walton, Rodney | P.O Box 7296 S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96158 Rodw9012@yahoo.com | 7/23/06 |
| Neeley, Darlene | 4216 Kilt Circle El Dorado Hills, CA 95762 daneeley@yahoo.com | 7/23/06 |
| Zaborsky, Nicole | 584 Kiowa Dr S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 jtcconsulting@sbcglobal.net | 7/23/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Scheidt, Craig | 1158 Highland Dr Novato, CA 94949 rustycds@aol.com | 7/23/06 |
| Finkelstein, Gail | P.O Box 16678 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151 drums5@charter.net | 7/23/06 |
| Hanan, Alfred | 369 Maryanne Dr Stateline, NV 89449 Alhanan@charter.net | 7/23/06 |
| Camille, Pamela | P.O Box 10257 Zephyr Cove, NV 89448 camilleoct@aol.com | 7/24/06 |
| Catalano, Jason | 584 Kiowa Dr S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Belugas1@sbcglobal.net | 7/24/06 |
| Morioka, Karen | 422 Village Dr El Cerrito, CA 94530 tmorioka@aol.com | 7/24/06 |
| Morioka, Thomas | 422 Village Dr El Cerrito, CA 94530 tmorioka@aol.com | 7/24/06 |
| Jones, Alice | P.O Box 8555 S. Lake Tahoe, Ca 96158 agjtahoe@sbcglobal.net | 7/24/06 |
| Garnath, Jennifer | 161 Avalon Dr Los Altos, CA 94022 granathj@sbcglobal.net | 7/21/06 |
| Joynt, Jean | 1560 No Lake Blvd Tahoe City, CA 96145 Jjoynt7370@aol.com | 7/21/06 |
| Sordelet, Flavia | P.O Box 6754 Tahoe City, CA 96145 Naturegr19@aol.com | 7/21/06 |
| Ross, Kelly | 20334 Highway 50 Little Norway, CA 95735 Kelly@changemedia.com | 7/21/06 |
| Peterson, Larry | 2036 Livingston St Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94606 larry@lpmarketing.com | 7/21/06 |
| Maser, Morton | 3600 N. Lake Blvd. Carnelian Bay, CA 96140 docmaser@aol.com | 7/21/06 |
| Willison, Jaymee | 988 Gold Tipp Ave S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150 Jaymeeintahoe@yahoo.com | 7/21/06 |
| Williams, Suzanne | 2360 Deer Ravine Tr Cool, CA 95614 Sultras@hotmail.com | 7/21/06 |
| Lagnado, Shawna | 1675 Sky Mountain Dr Apt 937 Reno, NV 89523 shawnalagnado@hotmail.com | 7/22/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|-----------------------|---|---------------|
| Brown, Barbara | 37406 NE Gerber Rd Yacolt, WA 98675 Barbspets1@excite.com | 7/22/06 |
| Long, James and Diana | 83 De Silva Island Dr Mill Valley, CA 94941 Long-1@paceball.net | 7/21/06 |
| Michael, Frances | 1184 Millbrae Ave Millbrae, CA 94030 franmichl@aol.com | 7/22/06 |
| Harmon, Ann | P.O Box 3539 Stateline, NV 89449 abh@charter.net | 7/24/06 |
| Rahders, Paula | 4344 Bryant Avenue South, Apt #2 Minneapolis, MN 55409 pmrahders@yahoo.com | 7/24/06 |
| Wave, Elana | P.O. Box 671 Zephyr Cove, NV 89448 elanawave@earthlink.net | 7/24/06 |
| Sampson, Brooke | 1138 Lucerne Way Incline Village, NV 89450 brookesampson@yahoo.com | 7/24/06 |
| Levi, James | 286 Bend Ave Kings Beach, CA 96143 | 7/24/06 |
| Mutch, Susan | 401 Mooncrest Ct Newbury Park, CA 91320 | 7/24/06 |
| Wiemiller, Sara | Donner Pass Rd Truckee, CA 96161 | 7/24/06 |
| Kline, Ron | 1069 Aspenwald | 7/25/06 |
| Burrowes, Marion | P.O. Box 345 5690 Sacramento Avenue Homewood, CA 96141 | 7/26/06 |
| Nye, Trudy | 1310 Megan Place Rohnert Park, CA 94928 | 7/28/06 |
| Bragg | P.O. Box 1555 Tahoe City, CA 96148 | |
| Houghlin, John | 24923 S. Cammus Rd. Tracy, CA 95377 | 7/7/06 |
| Gordon, Greta | 63 Knoll View Way San Francisco, CA 94131 | |
| Smith, Susan | P.O. Box 578 Tahoma, CA 96142-0578 | |
| Inagaki, Diana | P.O. Box 18326 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151 | |
| Pecci, Suzanne | 10212 Equestrian Dr. Elk Grove, CA 95624-9465 | 8/4/06 |
| Rose, Gregory | 1872 E. Napa St. Sonoma, CA 95476 | 8/3/06 |
| Bauer, Polly | Box 3861 Incline Village, NV 89450 | 7/7/06 |
| Monahan, Stephen Jr. | Box 7412 South Lake Tahoe, CA 96158 | 7/31/06 |
| Harris, Robert & Enid | 900 Entrada Road Sacramento, CA 95864 | 7/24/06 |

| Author (Last, First) | Address | Date Received |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| McLeod, Anne | 152 Requa Rd. Piedmont, CA 94611 | 7/27/06 |
| O'Toole, Michael | 1356 San Clemente Way Sacramento, CA 95831 | 7/26/06 |
| Block, Trent | Box 5823 Incline Village, NV 89450 | 8/2/06 |

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: David Hoffman [thehoff@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:56 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

David Hoffman
5224 Tacoma Common
Fremont, CA 94555

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Paul Vong [bavika@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:57 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,
Paul Vong

Paul Vong
6905 Arianna Ct
Elk Grove, CA 95757

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Dana Tanner [dantana10@earthlink.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:57 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Dana Tanner
PO Box 5998
Tahoe City, CA 96145

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Hank Raymond [racerx1234@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:50 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Hank Raymond
2443 Tolteca Way
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Barbara Bennett [nightowl8@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:51 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bennett
Paradise Drive
Tiburon, CA 94920

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Robert & Joan Smith [tahoesmith@intergate.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:58 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Robert & Joan Smith
P.O. Box 225
Tahoe Vista, CA 96148

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Bill Lamerdin [bill@lamerdingraphics.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 9:58 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Bill Lamerdin
3939 Kingridge Drive
San Mateo, CA 94403

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Robert Giffin [rgiffin@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 5:26 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Robert Giffin
4455 Concord Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Kelsey Ligon [k_ligon@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:05 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Ligon
4070 Falling Water Dr.
Reno, NV 89509

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Martin Mager [mmager@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:07 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Martin Mager
306 Grove St.
Portola , CA 95601

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Wallace Richardson [wallyjenny@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:15 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,Wallace Richardson

Wallace Richardson
148 Edgewood
Tahoe City, CA 96145

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jeanne Lewis [jlenecl@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 27, 2006 10:17 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Lewis
Walkup
South Lake Tahoe, CA 95252

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Robert Van Hyfte [rjpavh@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:06 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Robert Van Hyfte
1041 Beninford ln
Westmont, IL 60559

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jody Anne Becker [WhyNott@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:14 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jody Anne Becker
39 Allen Avenue
P.O. Box 1834
Ross, CA 94957-

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Robert Seltzer [rsscpa@earthlink.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:18 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Robert Seltzer
6465 Kanan Dume Rd.
Malibu, CA 90265

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Paul ponich [ponich2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:22 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Paul ponich
1251 Bonanza
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Cara Schmidt [caraschmidt04@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:22 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Cara Schmidt
2520 Osborne Avenue
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96158

18 (46)

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Gerald Plummer [plums2@astound.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:42 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

See letter 12

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Gerald Plummer
3825 LaCrosse
Tahoe City, CA 96145

19

48

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Paul Concus [pconcus@earthlink.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 9:56 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

See letter 12

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Paul Concus
218 Yale Ave
Kensington, CA 94708

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Eric Petrosky [eric.petrosky@igt.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:05 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Eric Petrosky
377 Smithridge Drive
Reno, NV 89502

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Pauline Bauer [polly5236@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:16 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Pauline Bauer
245 PineCone Rd.
Incline Village, NV 89451

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Newton Harband [newtcin@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:17 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Newton Harband
63 Trinidad Dr

Tiburon, CA 94920

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: roger pratt [buddhadog863@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:23 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

roger pratt
863 glorene
Lake tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: jeannie Duisenberg [jeannieduis@mindspring.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:30 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

jeannie Duisenberg
1664 Channing Ave
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Arthur S. Bjork [abjork@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:30 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Arthur S. Bjork
100 Observation Drive
Tahoe City, CA 95730

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Ralph Koldinger [KoldinR@sutterhealth.org]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:30 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ralph Koldinger
1339 44th St
Sacramento, CA 95819

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: John Donaldson [johnd@csufresno.edu]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:36 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

John Donaldson
4559 N. DeWitt
Fresno, CA 93727

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jo Calre Peterman [jopeterman@worldnet.att.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:38 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jo Calre Peterman
655 Portsmouth Ave.
Davis, CA 95616, CA 95616

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Ross Phillips [rphillips5058@charter.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:38 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ross Phillips
908 Clement Street
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: denis de luchi [d.deluchi@comcast.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:39 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

denis de luchi
7849 Tamara Drive
Fair Oaks, CA 95628

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Joanne Bjork [jtbjork@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:39 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Joanne Bjork
119 Lochinvar Rd.
San Rafael, CA 94901

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Carla Corbett [ccorbett62@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:39 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Carla Corbett
1272 Canyoncreek St
Hanford, CA 93230

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Julia Waltner [tahoejulz@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:40 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Julia Waltner
10869 Torrey Pine
Truckee, CA 96161

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Amber Matheny [friedchickensundae@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:40 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,
Amber Matheny

Amber Matheny
1874 Bakersfield
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Sean Patterson [soulmekanik@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:45 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Sean Patterson
2518 Knox
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Arran Thomson [shamayim11_11@conservebirdhabitat.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 10:45 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Arran Thomson
4613 NE 19th Ave
Portland, OR 97211

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Frank Beer [tygrbeer@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 11:26 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Frank Beer
PO Box 132306
Big Bear Lake, CA 92315

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Bridgette Hagerty [widgette@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 11:30 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Bridgette Hagerty
3090 Bryan St.
Reno, NV 89503

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Ron Mooiweer [romkem@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 11:33 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ron Mooiweer
7136 10th Avenue
Tahoma, CA 96142

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: William Rice [chewbaccarice@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:07 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

William Rice
1870 Ives Ave
Reno, NV 89503

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Alvina Patterson [alvina@tahoeholidayhouse.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 12:07 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Alvina Patterson
7276 N.Lake Blvd POB.229
Tahoe Vista, CA 96148

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: James Cooke [jim@absynthmusic.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 1:28 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

James Cooke
924 Incline Way
Suite H
Incline Village, NV 89451

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Curtis Sanders [csand1949@aol.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 2:24 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Curtis Sanders
P O Box 1283
615 Stanley
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: anthony pagliughi [abpags@opotonline.net]
Sent: Thursday, June 29, 2006 2:28 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

anthony pagliughi
16 halley court
nesconset , NY 11767

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Kathleen Havilan [pooka58@cox.net]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 3:56 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Havilan
6 Lake Helix Drivee
La Mesa, CA 91941

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Lynne Larson [cookiejarlynn@pacbell.net]
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 4:34 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Lynne Larson
P.O. Box 8126
TGruckee, CA 96162

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: peter waller [pwaller@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Saturday, July 01, 2006 7:39 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

peter waller
907 Han st.
south lake tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: felix brosch [febrosch@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 02, 2006 11:32 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, felix brosch
ps> keep tahoe natural

felix brosch
2962oakland ave.
south lake tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: john bassett [johnnylongtrail@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 12:59 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

john bassett
3201 spear ave
Eureka, CA 95521

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: derek stanislaw [mending1@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 12:13 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

derek stanislaw
631 south ave
santa rosa, CA 95407

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Stuart Maas [stuart_maas@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:10 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Stuart Maas
P.O. Box 3494
Stateline, NV 89449

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Amber Jamieson [tahoeamber@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 04, 2006 7:24 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Amber Jamieson
3689 Verdon Lane
So Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Julie Tracy [julietracy11@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 04, 2006 3:21 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Julie Tracy
1894 Normuk St.
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Silke Rover [billajunk@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, July 04, 2006 10:00 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Silke Rover
2151 Lindenwood
South Lake Tahoe, CA 915-

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Martin Reed [mreed530@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 2:23 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Martin Reed
1429 Keller Rd
So Lake Tahoe, CA 85719

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Kristen Hammer [khammer@highstream.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 2:56 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Kristen Hammer

Kristen Hammer
3090 Sacramento Ave
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Joyce Powell [joycerobin1@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 05, 2006 5:46 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Joyce Powell
261 Short Rd., Glenbrook NV 8941
P. O. Box 827
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


36 PRAIRIE FALCON DR.
NOVATO, CA. 94949

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Rodger N. Alleman
Lorraine C. Alleman



Mr. & Mrs. Rodger Alleman
1640 Elmhurst Dr
Los Altos, CA 94024

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jacklyn Jordan Rezai
175 Hillside Ave, Mill Valley, CA
94941

June 30, 2006

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Michael H. Grayson
4256 Caughlin Parkway
Reno, NV 89519

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

James M. Boyd
850 Montana Dr.
 Reno, NV 89503

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

N. Voegt
(N. Voegt)

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Frank L. Lites
4240 Truckee River Trail
Reno, NV 89523

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


JOHN A. CHARNICK

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Frank Bender
P.O. Box 11430
 Reno NV 89510

92 (159)

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Cheryl Hudson [leprachounlight@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, July 07, 2006 9:37 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Hudson
1951 Denver St.
San Diego, CA 92110

83 162

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Heidi Hall [heidleehall@yahoo.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 08, 2006 4:14 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area: Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Heidi Hall
123 Pine Nut Road
Coleville, CA 96107

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Siegfried Jones

P.O. Box 550 941

SOUTH LAKE TAHOE, CA

96155

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


5 Saint Francis Rd
Hillsborough, CA 94010

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jerry E Ritsch
997 Marston Ct.
Salt, CA 95632-3120

(209) 744-8951

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


Gary MacFadyen
1215 38th St
Sacramento CA 95816

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, *May H. Zentmyer*



May Zentmyer
1763 Royal Oaks Dr. N.
Bradbury, CA 91010

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,





Louie Giambattista
2906 26th St.
San Francisco, CA 94110

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


Daphne Palmer

11982 Stallion Way
Truckee, CA 96161

7-5-06

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



JOEL YODOVITZ
1795 TAHOE PARK HEIGHTS DR.
TAHOE CITY, CA 96145

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Mari and Mark Lonnally
20846 Junco Dr.
Cupertino, CA 95014

July 5, 2006

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Wendy Wollish



Ms. Wendy Wollish
147 Buena Vista Ave.
Corte Madera, CA 94925

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Alfred J. Severino
1554 American Beauty Dr
Oxnard, CA 94521

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150


Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, 

Ms. Eleanor D. Cohen
907 Glendome Cir
Oakland, CA 94602-1413

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,



I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Joan Farry
3203 Lake Linda Dr,
San Jose, CA 95135

Laura Home
in Incline Village

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Melissa Dalby
850. Jansen Rd.
Woodland, CA, 95695

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Margaret L. Pinta
230 Rowlett
Santa Cruz Ca
95060

7006 Miami Ave
Saloma Ca

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

*Return: Kelly
P.O. 6512
Tahoe City, CA
96145*

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

*William R. Kelly
Kelli C. Kelly*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



L. BRUCE MEYER, M.D.
P. O. BOX 3782
CARMEL, CA 93921

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Kumamoto and Wood
1326 Egret Drive
Sunnyvale, CA 94087

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Tom & Laurel Kalange
P.O. Box 8466
Tahoe City, CA 96145

530 591-5826

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


MACEY LACHEMANN

P.O. Box 587
Penryn, CA 95663

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Valerie Ray Harrison

94 Elinor Ave.
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Valerie Harrison
94 Elinor Ave.
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Russell Ray
160 Stark Rd.
Rochester Hills,
MI 48307

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Dan Reber
7832 Conklin St
Downey CA 90242-4106

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


J. C. KELLERMAN
2246
OLYMPIC VALLEY, CA 96156

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Ms. Maureen A. Raftery
114 Sycamore Ave. Apt. 5
San Anselmo, CA 94960

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Alan Eckstein
P.O. Box 1192
Tahoe City, CA 96145

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

7 Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Helen Nelson
1326 Sylvaner Ave.
Saint Helena, CA
94574-23

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



KERIT S. BURNINGHAM

KERIT S. BURNINGHAM
P.O. BOX 11413
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84147

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Phil and Ellen Collins
P.O. Box 21
Glenbrook, NY 89413 -

630-38TH Ave.
Seattle, WA 98122

**STUART H. SAMPSON
89 LAFAYETTE AVE.
PIEDMONT CA 94611
(510) 547-5571**

July 1, 2006

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150


Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Very truly yours,



Stuart H. Sampson

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Mr. John W. Ward
122 Woodland Road
Kentfield, CA 94904

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Lill Anderson
19 Donnidera Ave.
Piedmont, CA 94611


Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:



Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ed & Audrey Roualdes
556 Montecillo Road
San Rafael, CA 94903

633 Tripoli
Avalanche Bay CA

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Mail address

AL SIMIONATO
P.O. Box 8461
Incline Village, NV 89452

Al Simionato
735 Joyce Lane
P.O. Box 8461
Incline Village, NV 89452

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

*Mr. & Mrs. Glenn V. Dooley
1187 Fairview Ave.
San Jose, Ca. 95125-3413*

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Cary & Laura Rosenzweig
3349 Saint Michael Court
Palo Alto, CA 94306-3056

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



P.O. Box 8615
SLT, CA 96158-1615

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, *Carl Kary*
Kings Beach, CA

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Linda Dickason
P.O. Box 288
Glenbrook, NV 89413

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Nancy Binz
Nancy Binz



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Stephen P. Walber
17 GOSFORD CT
SAN JOSE, CA 95139

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Waltraud Finch

Waltraud Finch
800 Westridge Dr.
Portola Valley, CA 94028

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



*Mr. & Mrs. David Morris
144 Diablo Ranch Court
Dannville, California
94506*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Hermin P. Binger Hermin P. Binger

1119 HAVENS PL, EL CERRITO - CA 94530

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Dorothy Finger

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Finger

Mrs. Dorothy Finger
12675 Skyline Blvd.
Oakland, CA 94619

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Marie McHugh
Frank J.M. Hugh
422 IRANWOOD RD
ALAMEDA, CA 94502

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Paula Kuro Homeowner (4038 Saddle Rd, SLT)
722 Waxwing Pl.
Davis, CA 95616

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Harvey H. Perman
1264 Commons Drive, Sacramento, CA
95825

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Harvey H. Perman
1264 Commons Drive,
Sacramento, CA 95825

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Brian Hopper
3624 Saintsbury Dr.
Sacramento, CA 95834

7/4/06

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



James C. Breuner
54 Don Gabriel Way
Orinda, CA 94563

Member, League to Save Lake Tahoe

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,
(M.S.)

Marion S. Gard
955 Tena California Dr #11
Walnut Creek, CA
94595

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

PAWhitney



Ms. P. A. Whitney
818 Sharon Cir
West Chester, PA 19382-7181

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Leslie A. Cordone



Leslie A Cordone
413 B St
Davis CA 95616

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



7/6/06

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

William H. Wier *Cindy Oliver*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



P.O. Box 87
Homewood, CA 96141

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Caroline Booth (Mrs. Corwin Booth)
101 Howard St., Ste 404
San Francisco 94105

*61 Shoreline Circle
Incline Village NV*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Denise M Navellier & Scott G. Mc Cormick


Denise M Navellier & Scott G Mc Cormick
1331 Navellier St
El Cerrito CA 94530-2448

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jacklyn Jordan [jjordan@capitalaccess.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2006 9:08 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jacklyn Jordan
Capital Access Group
150 California St, #250
San Francisco, CA 94111

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

*Jean Richmond (Mrs. Moreland D.)
James & Richard*



Mr & Mrs Moreland D Richmond
125 Via Serena
Alamo, CA 94507

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Wanda Seng

34 LaCuesta Rd
Orinda, CA 94563

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Walter C. Danielson
Constantine Danielson
1505 MIDDLE LANE
HAYWARD
CA 94545-1911

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NO_x loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Duke Otoshi



Mr. Duke S. Otoshi
35557 Fisher Pl
Fremont, CA 94536-3319

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Labagh
5217 8th Ave NW
Seattle, WA 98107

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Delilah Jones
380 Granite Creek Rd.
Santitas CA 95065

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Marilyn K. Birmingham
1340 Shannon Street
Salt Lake City, UT 84105

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



ART TYSON
660 HARVARD AVE
MENLO PARK, CA 94025

4175 NIGHTINGALE RD.
CARNELIAN BAY

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


Allan M Schwartz
PO Box 210400
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Ned Engle
30 Walnut Ave.
Mill Valley, CA 94941

HOMWOOD + HEAVENLY SKIIER

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

7/10/2006

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Charles E. Kerns, Sr.



Charles Kerns, Sr.
P.O. Box 15
Lower Lake, CA 95457-0015

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: William Megan [formegans@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, July 17, 2006 9:37 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Your primary mission MUST be to Keep Tahoe Blue. You must reverse the current long term trend.

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly-- Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

William Megan
14 Tan Oak Circle
San Rafael, CA 94903

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Lee Van Hooser [leevanhooser@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 16, 2006 8:49 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Lee Van Hooser
7655 North Avenue
Tahoe Vista, CA 96148

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Walter P. Clarke
706 Loyola Dr
Los Altos, Ca 94024

W.P. Clarke

9/12/06

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

E. Dale Grandall
Chinquapin #96
Tahoe City.

*alt address
105 King Ave
Piedmont, Ca 94610*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



James Garrett Blackwood
Post Office Box 108
Stratford, OK 74872

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Leslie Sims
6516 Idlewild Ct.
San Jose, CA 95120

455 Naiver
Incline Village, NV
89451

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


Kiyoto Arakawa

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you!

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Trish McGuire

*Apt. 41N
2200 S. 29th St.
Grand Forks, ND
58201*

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

John C. Laing
827 Trent
Muir Vista Blvd 89450
Laing

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

John T. Phelps

Terri Marceron

1020 TOMAHAWK TRAIL
ENGINE VILLAGE, NV.
89451

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, *Mrs. Helen A. Van Kirk*
9418 Golden Drive
Orangetown, CA. 95662

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

William A. Siegling
Joyce Siegling



Ms. Joyce G. Siegling
5345 Mallard Dr
Pleasanton CA 94566-5337

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Joanna Williams
JOANNA WILLIAMS
430 E. 3RD ST
HINSDALE IL 60521

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Alison Hammer

601 4th St.
San Francisco, CA 94107

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

David R. Brooke
1700 O St., Apt. A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Mark C. High
P.O. Box 12
Crystal Bay, NV
89402

physical address:
9872 Pilot Center
Beverly / Kings Beach
CA 96143

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Henderson
916 Sunset Dr.
South Lake Tahoe, CA
96150

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
 - ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
 - ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.
- Further comments:

Elizabeth Weston

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

7751 S. Galileo Ln.
Tucson, AZ 85747-9605

179

~~4/29~~

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Gary Swan Reynolds

4609 Vander Way
Sacramento CA 95821

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



530-546-7438

Mark Spittler
P.O. Box 1041
Carnelian Bay, CA
96140

Tim Surpulis
P.O. Box 6784
Tahoe City, Ca 96145

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



~~Terri~~ Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


1723 Grouse Ridge Road (Northstar)
Truckee, CA

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Tom Carter [tfcarter@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 3:08 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Tom Carter
PO Box 1148
Carnelian Bay, CA 96140

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Janet Gray Hayes [janetgrayhayes@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 5:08 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Janet Gray Hayes
1155 Emory St
San Jose, CA 95126-

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Bryan Holzbauer [bryan.holzbauer@bently.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 5:46 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Bryan Holzbauer
950 Starlight Ct
Gardnerville, NV 89460

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Lindsay Yeazell [linyeazell@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 6:09 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Yeazell
P.O. Box 1685
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: RODNEY WALTON [RODW9012@YAHOO.COM]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 6:26 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

RODNEY WALTON
po box 7296
SO, LAKE TAHOE, CA 96158

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Darlene Neeley [daneeley@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 6:57 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Darlene Neeley
4216 Kilt Cricle
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Nicole Zaborsky [jtconsulting@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 6:57 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Nicole Zaborsky
584 Kiowa Drive
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Craig Scheidt [rustycds@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 8:13 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Craig Scheidt
1158 Highland Drive

Novato, CA 94949

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Gail Finkelstein [drums5@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 10:58 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Gail Finkelstein
Po box 16678
S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96151

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Alfred Hanan [alhanan@charter.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 23, 2006 11:37 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, Alfred Hanan

Alfred Hanan
369 maryanne dr
352 sherwood dr
stateline, NV 89449

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Pamela Camille [camilleoct@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 7:58 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Pamela Camille
P.O. Box 10257
309 Paiute Drive
Zephyr Cove, NV 89448

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jason Catalano [belugas1@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 9:23 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jason Catalano
584 Kiowa Drive
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Karen Morioka [tmorioka@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 9:16 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Karen Morioka
422 Village Dr.
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Thomas Morioka [tmorioka@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 9:14 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Thomas Morioka
422 Village Dr.
El Cerrito, CA 94530

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Alice Jones [agitahoe@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 8:53 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Alice Jones
PO Box 8555
SLT, CA 96158

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jennifer Granath [granathj@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 10:07 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Granath
161 avalon dr
los altos, CA 94022

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Jean Joynt [jjoynt7370@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 9:10 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jean Joynt
1560 No Lake Blvd
Box 1877
Tahoe City, CA 96145

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Flavia Sordelet [NATUREGRL9@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 10:34 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Flavia Sordelet
PO Box 6754
Tahoe City, CA 96145

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Kelly Ross [kelly@changemedia.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 4:46 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Kelly Ross
20334 Highway 50
Little Norway, CA 95735

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Larry Petersen [larry@lpmarketing.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 4:55 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Larry Petersen
2036 Livingston Street, Suite 5
Oakland, CA 94606

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Morton Maser [DocMaser@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 5:41 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Morton Maser
3600 N Lake Blvd
CarnelianBay, CA 96140

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: jaymee Willison [jaymeeintahoe@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 5:46 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Jaymee Willison
988 Gold Tip Ave.
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Suzanne Williams [sultras@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 5:46 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Williams
2360 Deer Ravine Trail
Cool, CA 95614

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Shawna Lagnado [shawnalagnado@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2006 12:30 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Shawna Lagnado
1675 Sky Mountain Drive
Apt. 937
Reno, NV 89523

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: barbara brown [barbspets1@excite.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2006 12:42 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

barbara brown
37406 ne gerber rd
yacolt, WA 98675

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: James and Diana Long [long-1@pacbell.net]
Sent: Friday, July 21, 2006 10:08 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

James and Diana Long
83 De Silva Island Drive
Mill Valley, CA 94941

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Frances Michael [franmich@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, July 22, 2006 9:38 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Frances Michael
1184 Millbrae Avenue
Millbrae, CA 94030

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Ann Harmon [abh@charter.net]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 11:31 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ann Harmon
p.o.b. 3539
Stateline, NV 89449

Jeanne McNamara

From: Paula Rahders [pmrahders@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 11:26 AM
To: Jeanne McNamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Paula Rahders
4344 Bryant Ave. South
Apt. #2
Minneapolis, MN 55409

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Elana Wave [elanawave@earthlink.net]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 10:45 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please Consider the "Kinky" option and save the beautiful old trees. Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Elana Wave
671
ZC, NV 89448

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Brooke Samspon [brookesampson@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 11:20 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- * Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.
- * Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.
- * The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Brooke Samspon
1138 Lucerne Way
Incline Village, NV 89450

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: James Levi [jrlevi2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 2:21 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

James Levi
286 Bend Ave
kings beach , CA 96143

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,


Susan Mutch
401 Moorcrest Ct.
Newbury Park, CA
91320

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: sara Wiemiller [info@dolphinscry.org]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 8:49 PM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

sara Wiemiller
Donner Pass Road
Truckee, CA 96161

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Ron Kline [ronkline@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2006 10:49 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Ron Kline
1069 Aspenwald
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96156

Jeanne Mcnamara

From: Marion Burrowes [mzburrowes@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 26, 2006 8:09 AM
To: Jeanne Mcnamara
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Marion Burrowes
PO Box 345
5690 Sacramento Ave
Homewood, CA 96141



Trudy Nye
<trudy_nye@crpusd.org
>

To: comments-pacificsouthwest-ltbmu@fs.fed.us
cc:
Subject: Attn: Heavenly DEIS

07/28/2006 01:18 PM
Please respond to
trudy_nye

Please consider the following comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly- Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Trudy Nye
1310 Megan Pl.
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

0 100 100

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Bragg
P.O. Box 1555
Tahoe City, CA 96148

24923 S. Lammers Rd
Tracy CA 95377
7/7/06

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,


I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- VERY
→
IMPORTANT
- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
 - ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
 - ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,



Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

GRETA GORDON
63 Knollview Way
San Francisco CA 94131

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

21

Terri Marceron, Forest Supervisor
U.S. Forest Service-LTBMU
Attn: Heavenly DEIS
35 College Drive
South Lake Tahoe, California 96150

Dear Supervisor Marceron,

I have specific comments regarding the Master Plan Amendment (MPA) and accompanying Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Heavenly Mountain Resort. TRPA and the Forest Service have a purpose and need to protect and restore natural resources in the Tahoe Basin which should be better balanced with the stated "purpose and need" of the MPA to enhance recreational experience. The following measures will help ensure that the MPA produces a net environmental improvement at Heavenly, which should be required for approval:

- ◆ Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. To achieve this goal, an improved erosion control and water quality mitigation, monitoring and restoration program must be developed before the approval of the Master Plan. Such a program must contain pre-determined goals and objectives, requirements for performance and consequences if environmental goals and objectives are not achieved. Approval of future projects should be tied to meeting mitigation and restoration goals in the first phase of construction, including the implementation and demonstrated effectiveness of priority road and ski trail restoration projects.
- ◆ The proposed new North Bowl Express ski lift and accompanying runs, which would require the cutting of hundreds of old growth trees, should NOT be permitted. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative. New ski run construction in the degraded Edgewood Creek watershed should be prohibited until its water quality and other ecosystem conditions are improved.
- ◆ Regional resource managers have identified pollution from cars as a primary source of NOx loading to the lake. Heavenly has taken important steps to reduce auto pollution related to their operations, such as helping to spearhead the Coordinated Transit System and building the Gondola to promote pedestrian and transit-friendly access to the Resort. But more needs to be done, because cars already routinely exceed Heavenly's parking capacity and overflow onto city streets and other areas, and because the MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Further comments:

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely, *Susan Smith*

P.O. Box 578
Tahoe, CA 96142-0578

* Water quality must not only be protected, it must be improved by the Master Plan. Heavenly must develop and implement an adaptive monitoring and mitigation plan that has consequences for non-performance. All Phase I projects and mitigations must be tested for effectiveness to be sure there are no negative impacts before Heavenly is allowed to move on to Phase II or III projects.

* Phase I allows major construction in the most degraded of all the watersheds at Heavenly— Edgewood Creek. The proposed North Bowl Express high-speed quad and accompanying new ski trails should not be permitted because they pose unnecessary impacts and insufficient mitigation measures that would further degrade this already impaired area. Alternative 5, which proposes upgrading the existing lifts, is a much better alternative.

* The MPA will increase the number of cars driven into the Tahoe Basin over current levels. The final EIS must show how a combination of incentives to use regional and local clean-fuel transit alternatives, and disincentives for private automobile use, will allow for increased visitation without increasing vehicle miles traveled, auto-related pollution (emissions, entrained dust from road sand, toxic cigarette butts thrown from windows, etc), congestion or un-permitted parking in residential neighborhoods.

Thank your for your consideration of these comments. I look forward to a final Environmental Impact Statement that improves experiences for skiers in a way that offers better protection for Lake Tahoe.

Sincerely,

Diana Inagaki
P.O. Box 18326
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151