

**Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas; Wilderness &
Recommended Wilderness Areas**

Idaho Roadless Rule Areas	
Desired Condition	Objective
Idaho Roadless Rule areas meet desired conditions for all theme areas as defined by the final rule : 36 CFR Part 294 Special Areas; Roadless Area Conservation; Applicability to the National Forests in Idaho; Final Rule	Standard
	Where inconsistent direction exists between the Idaho Roadless Rule and the Forest Plan the Idaho Roadless rule takes precedent. (Per subpart 294.28 (d) The provisions set forth in this subpart shall take precedence over any inconsistent land management plan component.)
	Guideline
	Suitability
	(Note: ROS defines Suitability for recreational access in Idaho Roadless Rule areas)
Non-Component Information	
Distinctive Role/ Other Mgt Direction	
Distinctive Role: Other Management Direction: Idaho Roadless Rule	
MONITORING	

Designated Wilderness	
Desired Condition	Objective
Wilderness areas provide the qualities of wilderness character that were present at the time of designation.	Standard
	Guideline
	Minimum Tool Requirement Guidelines are assessed and documented for all instances authorizing non-conforming uses <i>(includes administrative uses)</i>
	Suitability
	(Note: Wilderness Acts define Suitability of uses for designated wilderness areas)
Non-Component Information	
Distinctive Role/ Other Mgt Direction	
Distinctive Role: Acknowledge the contiguous area of the 3 wilderness areas is the largest area of contiguous wilderness designation in the lower 48 states. Other Management Direction: Within 3 years, all existing wilderness-specific management plans are reviewed and updated. Within 3 years, all wilderness-specific management plans are updated to include: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. cultural resource management and protection plans for historic wilderness structures and facilities 2. schedules for identifying non-conforming management activities and practices with associated rehabilitation schedules; 3. weed management direction that reduces invasive populations x%, annually 4. fire management direction 5. an identification and management strategy for all existing wilderness access portals including season of use and maintenance levels and schedules for roads, trails and trailheads Annually proposed management activities are reviewed for consistency with lead and adjacent NFs in accordance with existing wilderness	

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas; Wilderness & Recommended Wilderness Areas

management plans, for the co-managed SBW, FCRNR and HC wilderness areas.

6. Direction for corrective action if monitoring identifies trends leading to degradation of wilderness character.

For each wilderness area: Identify existing provisions/guidance that address non-conforming uses specific to that wilderness area: i.e. Mining (SBW,GH,FCRNR,HC)

For each wilderness area: Identify wilderness-specific uses where ~~suitability of~~ non-conforming uses ~~have~~ been authorized: (SBW,GH,FCRNR,HC)

For each wilderness area: Identify wilderness-specific fire related management: (SBW,GH,FCRNR,HC)

For each wilderness area: Identify wilderness-specific management direction related to backcountry airstrips, trail management including structure management(bridges, culverts, etc) (SBW,GH,FCRNR,HC)

- (NOTE: or provide in updated wilderness-specific mgt plans)

Education Efforts:

Emphasize public education by utilizing wilderness education techniques, providing useful interpretive materials on wilderness values and ethics, and developing and distributing materials on no-trace camping and travel.

Enlist national, regional, and local media in presenting wilderness management issues and options to the public. Enlist employees of outfitters, concessionaires, and commercial recreation firms ~~as trainers to deliver wilderness education to~~ ~~for~~ wilderness users.

Educate and train managers by conducting comprehensive in-service wilderness management training, focusing on the value of the wilderness resource, wilderness ethics, and low-impact camping. Utilize agency and non-agency expertise.

Collaborative Efforts

Provide the public the opportunity to ~~join-participate in~~ planning and stewardship activities~~management through appropriate use.~~ Involve the public in preparing wilderness management plans and in monitoring implementation of these plans.

Share ideas, concerns, and techniques relating to wilderness management with other agencies, educational institutions, and interest groups on national, regional, and local levels.

Provide input for wilderness management as a course in university natural resource curricula. Establish a basic course on wilderness as a resource, including management of visitors. Encourage accrediting groups (like the Society of American Foresters) to include it in their curricula requirements.

Use "limits of acceptable change" or similar principles in wilderness management direction.

Initiate and assist in efforts to establish information systems for, and cooperate with research efforts of, wilderness management techniques.

Promote consistency and reduce management costs through interagency cooperation and coordination of wilderness management.

Ensure that wilderness management practices manage indigenous plant and animal communities to sustain natural processes with emphasis on preserving endangered and threatened species, assuring that levels of human uses are compatible rather than detrimental, as required by the Wilderness Act.

MONITORING

Comment [KSJ-1]: Suggested- WSC requires plan direction to address degradation of wilderness resources (W.. character).

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Font: Calibri, 9 pt

Comment [KSJ-2]: Suggested edit

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input: Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas; Wilderness & Recommended Wilderness Areas

Within x years establish a schedule of Wilderness Character Monitoring for the following Wilderness Areas to prevent degradation of wilderness character. Establish as part of the monitoring scheme actions that will be taken if a trend towards degradation of wilderness character is established.

1. Selway-Bitterroot (SBW): Coordinate with Bitterroot & Lolo NFs
2. Gospel Hump
3. Frank Church River of No Return (FCRNR) : Coordinate with Salmon-Challis or lead NF for the FCRNR
4. Hells Canyon (HC): Coordinate with R6 lead NF for the HC

Recommended Wilderness

Desired Condition	Objective
Recommended wilderness areas preserve opportunities for inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System. They provide qualities. Preserve of current wilderness character qualities. (Note: include final list of recommended areas with acreage here): i.e. Great Burn Mallard Larkins Selway-Bitterroot Additions	Standard
	Guideline
	Minimum Tool Requirement Guidelines are assessed and documented for all instances authorizing non-conforming uses <i>(includes administrative uses)</i>
	Suitability
	<i>(Note: ROS define Suitability for recreational access in recommended wilderness areas)</i>

Non-Component Information

Distinctive Role/ Other Mgt Direction

Distinctive Role:

Other Management Direction:

See Designated Wilderness areas: Education and Collaborative Efforts are same/similar

MONITORING

Within x years establish a schedule of Wilderness Character Monitoring for the following Recommended Wilderness Areas to prevent degradation of wilderness character. Establish as part of the monitoring scheme actions that will be taken if a trend towards degradation of wilderness character is established.

NOTE: include final list of recommended areas with acreage i.e.

5. Great Burn: Coordinate with Lolo NFs
6. Mallard Larkins Pioneer Area; Coordinate with IPNFs
7. Selway-Bitterroot Additions
8. Other?

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas

05/19/2013 Component Input for Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas			FS Response
	Desired Future Condition: Commonality	Commonality	
	Objectives: Commonality		
	Standards: Commonality		
	Guidelines: Commonality		
	Suitability: Commonality Compromise/study WINTER use in GB: take historical into account—may not be “W” but retain special characteristics—no development	✓ X 4	
	GENERAL “NEED”: Do we have enough vs nat’l value? Rec Wilderness: AGREEMENT: Mallard Larkins White Paper Requested: Alternate designations for GB Coordinate with Lolo re: stateline and joint mgmt. of GB	✓ X 3 ✓ X 3 ✓ X 3 ✓ X 4	
Working Group Input			
	Desired Future Condition	Working Group	
	DFC.Oro1&Boi.a	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	
	DFC.Oro2&MPLL.a ROS Rec Wild: Change GB to ROS Primitive NM summer. Historic winter motorized rec use areas to SPM with designated snowmobile areas. Managed as a dispersed rec model (no services) DFC.Oro2&MPLL.b Great Burn RW: Within the GB Rec Wild..recommend changing to National Protection Area or combination of NPA and recommended wilderness: Intention: allow snowmobile use in specified areas & bicycle use on designated trails DFC.Oro2&MPLL.c SBW Additions RW: use geographical boundaries vs sections	Orofino 2 & MPLL	
	DFC.Gvil1&2.a	Grangeville 1 & 2	

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas

05/19/2013 Component Input for Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas			FS Response
	DFC.KKL.a	Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	
	Objectives		
	OBJ.Oro1&Boi.a	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	
	OBJ.Oro2&MPLL.a	Orofino 2 & MPLL	
	OBJ.Gvll1&2.a	Grangeville 1 & 2	
	OBJ.KKL.a	Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	
	Standards		
	STD.Oro1&Boi.a	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	
		Orofino 2 & Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston	
		Grangeville 1 & 2	
	STD.KKL.a Rec Wild: add...protect wild values/character that make it recommended for wild designation	Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	
	Guidelines		
	GDL.Oro1&Boi.a Designated Wilderness: ADD: Develop plan for sustainable trail system & maint level for all "W" ---guideline	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	
	GDL.Oro2&MPLL.a	Orofino 2 & MPLL	
	GDL.Gvll1&2.a	Grangeville 1	
	GDL.KKL.a	Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas

	05/19/2013 Component Input for Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas		FS Response
	Suitability		
	SUI.Oro1&Boi.a Rec Wild: add: if an area has current or historical uses that degrade wilderness character, he area is not suitable as Rec Wild...or something like this	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	
		Orofino 2 & Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston	
		Grangeville 1&2	
		Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	
	<p>COMMENTS</p> <p>Roadless Rule Input</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR: Monitoring: Empty for now-Holder • IRR: Proposed Wildland Rec & Primitive ROS..could be combined..for summer & winter (winter no concensus) • IRR: Portions of wildland theme should be primitive..some should be semi-primitive motorized • RW: GB: common agreement to all areas not surrounded by black on GB snowmobile closure map • Wait until ROS better defined to comment on specific locations • Non-conforming uses not well backed with data—concern about this and future designations <p>Designated Wilderness Input</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other Mgmt Direction: #3: Weed mgmt. x%--would like to recommend 30% (concensus) • Other Mgmt Direction: Cultural Res Mgmt: stabilize retained to preserve & protect cabins, native American, lookouts, etc <p>Recommended Wilderness</p>	Orofino 1 w/Boise Satellite	

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas

	05/19/2013 Component Input for Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas		FS Response
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyze in Plan: establish boundaries for alt mgmt. of historic motorized winter uses..so either adjust GB RW boundary to exclude historic WINTER motorized areas..or..come up with alternative designation based on GB snowmobile map (approx. 10% of GB area) • Would like all wild rec theme into Rec Wild—Ex. Would like Meadow Crk • AGREEMENT: Mallard Larkin—would like to include n. surveyor ridge into Rec Wild---using original 87 plan area (approx. 7000 acres); minesaka cr also in rec wild • Consider NPA (Nat'l Protection Area) or other for Rec Wild areas; provide larger box of mgmt. tools • Hoodoo—Id roadless rule & 87 plan.. are boundaries different? Need to select 1 (needs analysis will get to this) • Share mgmt. w Lolo NF..stateline is managed by the lolo—closed to bikes right now 		
	<p>COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR: No Comment on Components • Designated Wilderness: No Comment on Components • Rec Wild: do we need more wild. Designation on this forest? Do we need to meet some regional/national niche or not? • Great Burn: Focus is on Winter use: exclude historical snowmobile use (map) from rec wild area (not consensus) • SBW Additions: exclude historical snowmobile use (map) in Beaver Ridge Area from rec wild area • Cayuse Drainage (eastern portion Bighorn-Weitas): Consider for Rec Wild (non-consensus) • Moose Mtn IRA: Consider for Rec Wild (non-consensus) • Meadow Cr/Upper N. Fork: Consider for Rec Wild (non-consensus) • Mallard Larkins and SBW Additions: Keep as Rec Wild (CONSENSUS) • What would an NRA/NPA in the Great Burn look like or an NRA/RW 	Kamiah/Kooskia w/ Missoula Satellite	

Forest-wide Direction (Components): Revision Collaborative Input:
Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas

	05/19/2013 Component Input for Designated Areas: Idaho Roadless Rule Areas & Wilderness and Recommended Wilderness Areas		FS Response
	hybrid? Prohibited vs allowed uses		
	<p>COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommended Wilderness: Like as mapped, but expanded to include all Inventoried Wildland Recreation Areas. Maintain wilderness character • Monitoring: Remove coordinate with Lolo NF 	Orofino 2 & Potlatch, Moscow, Lapwai, Lewiston	
	<p>COMMENTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR: Good: Note to add: Notify IRR commission of project proposals in roadless (in Other Mgt Direction) • Designated Wilderness: Good • Recommended Wilderness: Winter use in GB: Boundary change or other designation; asking FS to help with definitions for compromise 	Grangeville 1&2	