

Chart 1	Description
Sierra Cascades Dialog 10 (Kevin Elliot & Gina Bartlett)	
Draft <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bioregional Assessment</li> <li>• Context for issues that cross boundaries?</li> </ul>	With open book
Why? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bringing people together</li> <li>• Accountability &amp; Responsibility</li> <li>• Understanding</li> <li>• Trust</li> </ul>	Drawing with Group of people standing together promoting a sense of trust and understanding
We need your help! <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better job integrating socio-economic issues!</li> <li>• What is your reaction to the document?</li> </ul>	Person with conversation bubble
Conversation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What are you thinking?</li> <li>• Why?</li> <li>• Balance – advocacy and inquiry</li> </ul>	Person’s head talking to convey a sense of inquiry

Chart 2	Description
<p>Deb Whittall (Region 5, US Forest Service)</p>	<p>Large, loud type demonstrating confidence</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Forest Plan Revision &amp; Bio Regional Assessment</li> <li>• Plan Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Monitoring → Assessment → Plan Revision (Circular)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Assessment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 15 topic papers</li> <li>○ Bioregional – scale differs</li> <li>○ Forest level – scale differs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Process diagram, conveying cyclical nature of process.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Themes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Water (Quality &amp; Quantity)</li> <li>○ Fire (Resilience)</li> <li>○ Ecological (Integrity)</li> <li>○ Recreation (Sustainable)</li> <li>○ Community (Resilience)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Themes listed with drops of water, a fire, a person enjoying recreation, and a small picture of a town.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• First Step (NOI – notice of intent), with the best available scientific information <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Need to change</li> <li>○ Niche</li> <li>○ Desired conditions</li> <li>○ Analysis</li> <li>○ Draft of environmental impact</li> <li>○ 90 day public comment</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Process diagram points to this next phase</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NRV – natural range variation assessments</li> <li>• Travel analysis is separate</li> </ul>	<p>Pulled out and separate</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sierra Nevada framework may be revised</li> <li>• Boundaries</li> </ul>	<p>Set of trees to illustrate the Sierra Nevada</p>

Chart 3	Description
Questions for Deb	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• How do these work together? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Living assessment</li> <li>○ Bioregional assessment snapshot</li> <li>○ Forest assessment</li> <li>○ Assessment includes all</li> <li>○ WIKI continues to be open</li> <li>○ Help write the document</li> </ul> </li> <li>• How to do this, make it easier to use</li> <li>• Your input (until June 15) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ WIKI</li> <li>○ Email</li> <li>○ Crowdbrite platform</li> </ul> </li> <li>• How? (Forest Service seems isolated without context, timber harvest used to be used for road maintenance)</li> <li>• The system has been dismantled</li> <li>• How will we manage without the system? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Triple bottom line <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Social? Economic?</li> <li>▪ We tried to integrate across themes</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ East side Addendum <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Original focus was West side</li> <li>▪ Additional funding to address east question of time + \$</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ Forest Plan program document <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Site specific concern (be careful of standards and guidelines)</li> <li>▪ Hydrological effects on meadows</li> <li>▪ Trails closed</li> <li>▪ But this does make sense</li> <li>▪ No ability for site-specific action and multi-variable analysis!</li> </ul> </li> <li>○ What about competing priorities –</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Lots of small bubbles with question marks – to convey thinking and pondering. Trees illustrated and some dollar signs tied to how to pay for things.</p>

<p>needs to be better defined</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Scope – think state wide<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Intention is to look across Bio Region</li><li>▪ Social-economic data if county touched boundary we included all data for the county</li></ul></li><li>○ “Recreational uses”<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ What about hunting?</li><li>▪ What about OHV?</li><li>▪ Mentioned in the next meeting</li></ul></li><li>○ Fire resilience<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ This needs to be elevated</li><li>▪ Threat of catastrophic fire is first</li><li>▪ Highlight on the ecological value of fire</li><li>▪ Highlight on species impact</li></ul></li><li>○ Concern for science – what is an OHV visitor?<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Dirt roads</li><li>▪ Hunters</li><li>▪ Rock hound</li><li>▪ Trail heads</li><li>▪ Gather information in higher technical manner – reports vs. grant</li><li>▪ The way data is collected makes a difference</li></ul></li><li>○ Value of regional approach<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ But how will it carry to individual Forest Plans working together</li><li>▪ Regional and Forest level assessments</li><li>▪ Site specific issues will be captured</li></ul></li></ul>	
---	--

Chart 4	Description
<b>Insights &amp; Feedback – Draft Bio Regional Assessment</b>	Picture of a report cover with a oak tree and peaceful landscape
Community Resilience <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Liked recognition communities depend on forest</li> <li>• Forest related industries</li> <li>• The elements together water, sediment, etc.</li> <li>• Acknowledge FS historical presence</li> <li>• Missing               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Quantify CO2 emissions</li> <li>○ Integrate agencies perceptions</li> <li>○ Coordinate plans</li> <li>○ Avoided cost and values</li> <li>○ Methods of success</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Picture of town with trees and cloud over it, sense of warmth and belonging
Sustainable Recreation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good emphasis on partners and collaboration</li> <li>• Neutral on attitude</li> <li>• Role of education</li> <li>• Need better definition</li> <li>• Landownership</li> <li>• Link a recreation and health</li> <li>• Demographics</li> <li>• Economic link</li> </ul>	Picture of tree with lake with tent and person
Missing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access discussion missing</li> <li>• Scientific basis and statistics of how information gathered</li> <li>• Shift in land use for recreation</li> <li>• Enforcement – recreation, impact of budget reduction</li> </ul>	
Water Quality & Quantity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good to start with</li> <li>• Climate</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> </ul>	Rain Drops

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Connect</li> <li>• Missing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Water rights</li> <li>○ Grazing</li> <li>○ Collaboration</li> <li>○ Roads</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<p>Fire Resilience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good subsections</li> <li>• Benefits of fire</li> <li>• Historical fire</li> <li>• Better connection between _____ and _____</li> <li>• Missing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ More on costs and economic trade offs</li> <li>○ Policy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Fire</p>
<p>Ecological Integrity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Liked – look at ecosystem beyond agency boundaries</li> <li>• Strengthen DEFINITION</li> <li>• Give indicators</li> <li>• Management practice and trends better coordinated</li> <li>• Establish a METRIC</li> <li>• How to increase pace and scale</li> <li>• Missing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ What about invasive species</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Picture of earth with exclamation marks conveying importance and urgency</p>