

Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R0LPDFnr Lower Subalpine Lodgepole Pine

General Information

Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments")

Modelers

Steve Barrett sbarrett@mtdig.net
Cathy Stewart cstewart@fs.fed.us

Reviewers

Pat Green pgreen@fs.fed.us
Kris Hazelbaker khazelbaker@fs.fed.us

Vegetation Type

Forested

General Model Sources

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

Rapid Assessment Model Zones

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> California | <input type="checkbox"/> Pacific Northwest |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Basin | <input type="checkbox"/> South Central |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Great Lakes | <input type="checkbox"/> Southeast |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northeast | <input type="checkbox"/> S. Appalachians |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Northern Plains | <input type="checkbox"/> Southwest |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N-Cent. Rockies | |

Dominant Species*

PICO
PSEU
ABLA
PIEN

LANDFIRE Mapping Zones

10	21
19	22
20	29

Geographic Range

This PNVG spans the entire northern and central Rocky Mountains, from Montana south into Wyoming and eastern Washington east into Montana and Wyoming.

Biophysical Site Description

Lower subalpine zone on gentle to moderately steep terrain (e.g. 10-60% slope).

Vegetation Description

This PNVG corresponds to dry, lower subalpine habitat types (Pfister et al. 1977). Relatively dry sites are generally dominated by lodgepole pine and relatively moist sites are dominated by various combinations of mixed conifers (e.g., lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, Engelmann spruce, and subalpine fir).

Disturbance Description

Fire Regimes IV and II, moderately long- to long-interval (e.g., 50-300 year) stand replacement- and mixed-severity fires.

Mountain pine beetle would affect the system by both replacing patches (causing transitions to early-development, class A) and by opening up the canopy, causing transitions to mid- and late-development open classes (C and D). Blowdown and other weather-related disturbances would also affect this PNVG.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

This type is generally below the upper subalpine PNVGs (e.g., R0WB LP, Whitebark Pine-Lodgepole Pine, Upper Subalpine) in elevation and just above mixed conifer types, including lodgepole pine, Douglas-fir, larch, grand fir, and aspen mixes.

Note that west of the Continental Divide, western larch is also a major seral dominant, and it also occurs in other lower subalpine and mesic montane PNVGs. If larch is present, the PNVG R0WLLPDF-- Western

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Larch, Lodgepole Pine, Douglas-Fir should be examined.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Patch sizes are generally 100's to 1000's acres in variable mosaics.

Issues/Problems

Model Evolution and Comments

Workshop code was LSAL1.

Peer review incorporated on 4/11/2005. Comments note that for mapzone 10 (northern Idaho), the insect and pathogen activity may be higher and the proportion of late-development conditions may be less than in the rest of the Northern and Central Rockies Model Zone. Mixed severity fire may be as frequent as 40 MFI in some parts of the Model Zone.

Succession Classes**

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 20%

Early1 PostRep

Description

Shrub and tree sapling dominated early successional community after replacement and relatively severe mixed severity fires. In some early seral conditions there may be higher fine and coarse fuel loads owing to past fire-generated snags and downed wood, making this class burn more readily.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PICO
PSEUD

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class B 35%

Mid1 Closed

Description

Shade intolerant- and mixed conifer saplings to poles.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PICO
PSEUD

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	40 %	100 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class C 15%

Mid1 Open

Description

Primarily shade intolerant saplings to poles.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

PICO
PSEUD

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min	Max
Cover	0 %	40 %
Height	no data	no data
Tree Size Class	no data	

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Fuel Model no data

Class D 10%

Late I Open

Description

Moderate- to large-diameter, shade intolerant and mixed conifer species in small to moderate-sized patches, generally on south aspects.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

ABLA
PIEN
PSEUD

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>
<i>Cover</i>	0 %	40 %
<i>Height</i>	no data	no data
<i>Tree Size Class</i>	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class E 20%

Late I Closed

Description

Moderate- to large-diameter shade intolerant and mixed conifer species, in moderate- to large-size patches, all aspects.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

ABLA
PIEN
PSEUD

Upper Layer Lifeform

- Herbaceous
- Shrub
- Tree

Fuel Model no data

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>
<i>Cover</i>	40 %	100 %
<i>Height</i>	no data	no data
<i>Tree Size Class</i>	no data	

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.
Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Disturbances

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Disturbances Modeled

- Fire
- Insects/Disease
- Wind/Weather/Stress
- Native Grazing
- Competition
- Other:
- Other

Historical Fire Size (acres)

Avg: no data
 Min: no data
 Max: no data

Sources of Fire Regime Data

- Literature
- Local Data
- Expert Estimate

Fire Regime Group: 4

I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity
 II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity
 III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity
 IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity
 V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity

Fire Intervals (FI)

Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.

	<i>Avg FI</i>	<i>Min FI</i>	<i>Max FI</i>	<i>Probability</i>	<i>Percent of All Fires</i>
<i>Replacement</i>	170	50	200	0.00588	72
<i>Mixed</i>	450	40	500	0.00222	27
<i>Surface</i>					
<i>All Fires</i>	123			0.00811	

References

Agee, James K. 1993. Fire ecology of Pacific Northwest forests. Island Press, Washington DC, 493 p.

Arno, Stephen F. 2000. Fire in western forest ecosystems. In: Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. Wildland fire in ecosystems: Effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 97-120.

Arno, Stephen F.; Reinhardt, Elizabeth D.; Scott, Joe H. 1993. Forest structure and landscape patterns in the subalpine lodgepole pine type: A procedure for quantifying past and present stand conditions. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-294. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 17p.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Altered fire intervals and fire cycles in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(3): 25-29.

Barrett, S. W. 2004. Fire Regimes in the Northern Rockies. Fire Management Today 64(2): 32-38.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1994a. Fire regimes on andesitic mountain terrain in northeastern Yellowstone National Park. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4: 65-76.

Barrett, Stephen W. 1994b. Fire regimes on the Caribou National Forest, Southeastern Idaho. Contract final report on file, Pocatello, ID: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Caribou National Forest, Fire Management Division. 25 p.

Barrett, Stephen W. 2002. A Fire Regimes Classification for Northern Rocky Mountain Forests: Results from Three Decades of Fire History Research. Contract final report on file, Planning Division, USDA Forest Service Flathead National Forest, Kalispell MT. 61 p.

Barrett, Stephen W., Arno, Stephen F., Key, Carl H. 1991. Fire regimes of western larch-lodgepole pine forests in Glacier National Park, Montana. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 21: 1711-1720.

*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit <http://plants.usda.gov>.

Brown, James K.; Arno, Stephen F.; Barrett, Stephen W.; Menakis, James P. 1994. Comparing the prescribed natural fire program with presettlement fires in the Selway-Bitterroot Wilderness. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* 4(3): 157- 168.

Eyre, F. H., ed. 1980. *Forest cover types of the United States and Canada*. Washington, DC: Society of American Foresters. 148 p.

Hawkes, Brad C. 1979. *Fire history and fuel appraisal study of Kananaskis Provincial Park*. Thesis, University of Alberta, Edmonton ALTA. 173 p.

Hessburg, Paul F.; Smith, Bradley G.; Kreiter, Scott D.; Miller, Craig A.; Salter, R. Brion; McNicoll, Cecilia H.; Hann, Wendel J. Historical and current forest and range landscapes in the Interior Columbia River Basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Part I: Linking vegetation patterns and landscape vulnerability to potential insect and pathogen disturbances. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-458. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 357 p. (Quigley, Thomas, M., ed., Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: scientific assessment).

Lesica, Peter. 1996. Using fire history models to estimate proportions of old growth forest in Northwest Montana, USA. *Biological Conservation* 77: 33-39.

Loope, Lloyd L.; Gruell, George E. 1973. The ecological role of fire in the Jackson Hole area, northwestern Wyoming. *Quaternary Research* 3(3): 425-443.

Pfister, R. D., B. L. Kovalchik, S. F. Arno, and R. C. Presby. 1977. *Forest habitat types of Montana*. USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, General Technical Report, INT-34.

Quigley, Thomas M.; Arbelbide, Sylvia J., tech. eds. 1997. *An assessment of ecosystem components in the interior Columbia basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins: volume 1*. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-405. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 4 vol. (Quigley, Thomas M., tech. ed.; The Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project: Scientific Assessment).

Romme, William H. 1982. Fire and landscape diversity in subalpine forests of Yellowstone National Park. *Ecological Monographs* 52(2): 199-221.

Romme, William H.; Dennis H. Knight. 1981. Fire frequency and subalpine forest succession along a topographic gradient in Wyoming. *Ecology* 62: 319-326.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

Smith, Jane Kapler, and Fischer, William C. 1997. *Fire Ecology of the Forest Habitat Types of Northern Idaho*. General Technical Report INT-GTR-363. Ogden, UT: USDA Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 142 pp.

Steele, Robert; Cooper, Steven V.; Ondov, David M.; Roberts, David W.; Pfister, Robert D. 1983. *Forest habitat types of eastern Idaho and western Wyoming*. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-144. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Mountain Research Station. 122 p

Tande, Gerald F. 1979. *Fire history and vegetation pattern of coniferous forests in Jasper National Park*,

Alberta. Canadian Journal of Botany 57: 1912-1931. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/> [Accessed 5/22/03].

Wadleigh, L.; Jenkins, Michael J. 1996. Fire frequency and the vegetative mosaic of a spruce-fir forest in northern Utah. Great Basin Naturalist 56: 28-37.