# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

# Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG)

R#MGRA	Idaho Fescue Grasslands			
	General Info	rmation		
Contributors (addition	onal contributors may be listed under "Model E	volution and Comments")		
<b>Modelers</b>	Rev	viewers		
Katie Phillips	cgphillips@fs.fed.us			
Jimmy Kagen	jimmy.kagan@oregonstate.edu			
Vegetation Type	General Model Sources	Rapid AssessmentModel Zones		
Grassland	✓ Literature	California	✓ Pacific Northwest	
	Local Data	Great Basin	South Central	
Dominant Species*	<ul> <li>Expert Estimate</li> </ul>	Great Lakes	Southeast	
FEID	LANDEIDE Monning Zonoo	Northeast	S. Appalachians	
LUPIN	LANDFIRE Mapping Zones	Northern Plains	Southwest	
KOCR	1 8	N-Cent.Rockies		
PSSP6	2 9			
1 2 2 1 0	7			

### **Geographic Range**

Eastern Columbia Basin, Palouse, Okanogan foothills, Blue Matins, Zumwalt Prairie, Yumatilla Plateau, Hells Canyon

## **Biophysical Site Description**

PNVG generally occurs on gentle (< 30%) northerly aspects above 2000 feet, gentle southerly aspects in the montane zone, and steep (>30%) southerly aspects in the upper montane zone (FRCC model MGRA1). It is typically dominated by one or more perennial bunchgrasses (e.g. Festuca idahoensis) but may contain a strong forb component on more mesic sites. Its distribution is largely the product of low precipitation caused by the rain shadow of the Cascades Mountains, though timing of precipitation and soils are also important drivers (Daubenmire 1970, Driscoll 1964). Climatically this vegetation zone is arid to semi-arid with warm to hot dry summers and relatively cold winters (Franklin and Dyrness 1988).

### **Vegetation Description**

It is typically dominated by one or more perennial bunchgrasses including Pseudoroegneria spicata, Agropyron inerma, Festuca idahoensis, Calamagrostis rubescence, Koeleria crestata. This PNVG also includes a strong forb component including Balsamorhiza sagittata, Hieracium cynoglossoides, Lupinus sericeus and Lupinus latifolius.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Grasslands retain little evidence of historic fire regimes. Native Americans likely played a role in fire occurrence near populated areas, but the evidence is inconclusive as to their impact at a larger spatial scale and it is likely that fuel conditions and weather were more important drivers of historic fire regimes (Whitlock and Knox 2002). Grasslands in this area dominated by Idaho fescue may have enough fuel to burn annually, but probably did not because of low flammability early in the season and lack of fire starts across grasslands late in the season (Agee 1994). Response to fire varies, with Idaho fescue susceptible to mortality if fuel load allows smouldering of the root crown to occur. Following fire, this PNVG typically

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Final Document 9-30-2005 Page 1 of 4 exhibits an increase in forb cover (Agee 1994).

The rangelands of the planning area and many of the major perennial grasses (e.g. bluebunch wheatgrass and Idaho fescue) did not evolve with substantial ungulate grazing (Daubenmire 1970).

#### Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Many of the soils are suitable for agriculture and approximately 56 percent of the dry grass zone has been converted to agriculture or urban use (Quigley and Arbelbide 1997).

Fire suppression may lead to a shrub dominated type in some areas, particularly in mesic ecotones.

#### **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data □Literature □Local Data ✓Expert Estimate

This type fingers up into the montane forests on steep southerly slopes and shallow soils. These patches are often too small to map and may be overlooked. Burn size is variable with topography and distribution of rock and riparian areas influencing fire spread.

#### **Issues/Problems**

This PNVG lacks fire history data.

### Model Evolution and Comments

fire. Cover values are high,

ranging from 30 to 80 percent.

## Succession Classes\*\*

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

Class A 10 %	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	<u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>					
Farly1 DoctDop	PSSP6 POSA EPILO FEID	Min			Max		
Early1 PostRep Description		Cover	5 %		20 %		
		Height		no data	no data		
This early seral community follows a topkill event in which cover of		Tree Size Class no data					
bunch grasses and perennial forbs has been reduced. Forb composition is relatively higher in this stage than at later stages with increased occurrence of Colinsia, Lupinus, Epilobium, Balsamorhiza Geum and Potentilla. Poa and Vulpia may also increase.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					
Class B 70 %	ass B 70 % Dominant Species* and Canopy Position			<u>I</u> <u>Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)</u>			
Late1 Closed	FEID			Min	Max		
Description	LUPIN	Cover		40 %	80 %		
	PSSP6	Height		no data	no data		
Very little bare ground, litter bare ground cover is high. Plants are	KOCR	Tree Size Class no data					
vigorous and well established.		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:					

\*Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Tree

Fuel Model no data

Class C 20 % Late2 Closed <u>Description</u> Open shrubland resulting from lo absences of fire. Shrub compone has largely encroached from adjacent deciduous shrublands. These sites are more mesic than the similar Class B.	nt FEID Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous	Min       Max         Cover       20 %       60 %         Height       no data       no data         Tree Size Class       no data       Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D 0% Late1 Closed Description	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Cover Height Tree Size	e Data (for upper layer Min % no data e Class no data ayer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant lif	Max % no data	
Class E 0% Late2 Closed Description	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Cover Height Tree Size	e Data (for upper layer Min % no data e Class no data layer lifeform differs fror and cover of dominant l	Max % no data n dominant lifeform.	
Disturbances					

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire □ Insects/Disease □ Wind/Weather/Stress □ Native Grazing ✓ Competition	Fire Regime Group:2I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severityII: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severityIII: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severityV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severityV: 200+ year frequency, replacement severityY: 200+ year frequency, replacement severityFire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types offire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum andmaximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is theinverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling.Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values areestimates and not precise.					
Other: Other Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data						
		Avg Fl	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	40			0.025	76
✓ Literature	Mixed	125			0.008	24
Local Data	Surface					
✓Expert Estimate	All Fires	30			0.03301	
References						

Agee, J.K. 1994. Fire and weather disturbances in terrestrial ecosystems of the Eastern Cascades. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-320. Portland OR: USDA, Forest Service 52 pp.

Daubenmire, R. 1970. Steppe vegetation of Washington. Wash. Agric. Exp. Stn. Tech. Bull. 62, 131 p., illus.

Driscoll, R.S. 1964. A relict area in the central Oregon juniper zone. Ecology, Vol 45, No. 2, pp. 345-353.

Franklin, J.F. and C.T.Dyrness. 1988. Natual Vegetation of Oregon and Washington. Oregon State University Press, pp 452.

Quigley, T.M. and Arbelbide S.J. tech. Eds. 1997. An assessment of ecosystem components in the interior Columbia Basin and portions of the Klamath and Great Basins: vol. 2. Gen. Tech. Rpt. GTR-PNW-405. Portland OR: USDA, Forest Service pp. 1055.

Whitlock, Cathy and M.A. Knox 2002. Prehistoric burning in the Pacific Northwest : human versus climatic influences. Fire, native peoples, and the natural landscape. Washington, D. C. : Island Press: p. 195-231.