Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#REFI Red Fir General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Diane White dewhite01@fs.fed.us Tom Atzet jatzet@budget.net Tom DeMeo tdemeo@fs.fed.us John Foster ifoster@tnc.org Jim Merzenich imerzenich@fs.fed.us **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Forested ✓ Pacific Northwest California ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **ABMA LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **PSME** 1 8 N-Cent.Rockies **PIMO** 2 9 **ABCO** 7

Geographic Range

This forest type occurs in southwest Oregon, up to and just barely over the Cascades Range. It likely can be used in parts of Northern California.

Biophysical Site Description

High elevation (4,000 to 6,900 ft) species in southern Oregon Cascades. Cool moist to cold moist microclimate. 30-50 in precipitation.

Highly variable geology.

Vegetation Description

Red fir in the late seral stage often occurs with white fir at lower elevations and mountain hemlock at higher elevations. Other common associates include Douglas-fir, western white pine, and lodgepole pine (on wet sites).

Red fir occurs on pumice in the high Cascades. Separate red fir community in the Siskiyous.

Disturbance Description

Mixed severity fires are the most common disturbance, but windthrow and dwarf mistletoe can be major disturbance agents, too.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Northern variant of California red fir. Relied heavily on the red fir model developed for the FRCC Guidebook by Ayn Shlisky (RFCA).

Replaced by white fir (mixed conifer) at lower elevations and mountain hemlock at higher elevations.

This PNVG may be similar to the PNVGs R1RFWP and R1RFWF for the California Model Zone. Where California Red fir (Abies magnifica var. magnifica) is present, consult these two PNVGs.

Scale Description

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	Local Data	✓ E	xpert Estimate
-----------------------	------------	------------	------------	----------------

The mosaic of the forest type is dominated by mixed severity events, each event encompassing 1000s of acres.

Issues/Problems

Two types occur: 1) Cascade type: Pumice soils. Conifers are red fir, mountain hemlock, lodgepole pine and white pine. Occurs between mountain hemlock and white fir zones. Cascade stands are more open. Red fir averages 25-35% cover in late seral stages.

2) Siskiyou type: Granitic soils. Conifers are red fir, white fir, Douglas-fir. Occurs between mountain hemlock and white fir zones. Red fir averages 30-50% cover in late seral stages.

Model Evolution and Comments

Review included one anonymous reviewer.

One reviewer suggested to clearly indicate the differences between California red fir and Shasta red fir models.

Three of four reviewers felt that the frequency of fire was too high. Cope (1993) indicates that Shasta red fir (A. magnifica var. shastensis) has MFRI 70-130 years, and can withstand surface fires, whereas California red fir (A. magnifica var. magnifica) has a return of 10-65 years. In addition, Jim Merzenich had comments on structural inconsistencies in the model. John Foster adjusted the model by using regime parameters derived from the original model, but adjusted to reflect Cope (1993) and research in the Oregon Cascades.

Succession Classes**						
Succession classes are the equivalent of " Class A 10%	Dominant Species* and					
Early1 PostRep Description Small openings created by fires or insects; large openings created by very infrequent stand replacement fire; largely lodgepole pine, white	PICO ABMA ABCO Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) Min Max Cover 0 % 40 % Height no data no data Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
fir, or red fir seedlings. Class B 20 %	Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data	(for upper laye	er lifeform)		
Mid1 Closed	PICO ABMA ABCO		Min	Max		
Description >40% cover lodgepole, white fir or red fir saplings and poles.		Cover	40 %	100 %		
		Height	no data	no data		
		Tree Size Class no data				
	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Fuel Model no data					

Class C	15%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
		ABMA ABCO PICO		Min	Max		
Mid2 Open Description <40% red fir, white fir, and lodgepole pine poles.			Cover 5 %		39 %		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size Class no data				
				<u> </u>			
		Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform.				
		Herbaceous	Height	and cover of dominant I	itetorm are:		
		Shrub					
		☐ Tree					
		Fuel Model no data					
Class D	20%	Dominant Species* and Cappy Position Structure Data (for upper layer life)					
Class D	20 %	Canopy Position	Structure	Min	Max		
Late2 Open		ABMA	Cover	5 %	39 %		
<u>Description</u> <40% large red fir and white fir;		ABCO	Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size		no data		
maintained b	y mortality and low		1166 3126	e Class IIO data			
severity fire.		Upper Layer Lifeform	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform				
		Herbaceous	Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Shrub					
		Tree					
		Fuel Model no data					
Class E	35%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 Closed	İ			Min	Max		
Description >40% multi-layered canopy cover dominated by large red fir over		ABMA ABCO	Cover	40 %	100 %		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size	e Class no data			
		Unner Lever Lifeform	п				
clumps of seedlings, saplings, an poles.	cumigs, sapinigs, and		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
		Herbaceous					
		Shrub					
		□Tree					
		Fuel Model no data					

Disturbances

<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Gr	<u>oup:</u> 3				
✓ Fire	I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity					
✓ Insects/Disease	II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity					
✓ Wind/Weather/Stress	IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity					
☐ Native Grazing	V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
☐ Competition						
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other	Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of					
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data	fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
Sources of Fire Bogime Date		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	400	150	400	0.0025	20
Literature	Mixed	100	80	130	0.01	80
✓ Local Data	Surface					
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	80			0.01251	

References

Atzet, T., D.E. White, L.A. McCrimmon, P.A. Martinez, P.R. Fong, and V.D. Randall. 1996. Field Guide to the Forested Plant Associations of Southwestern Oregon. Portland: USDA Forest Service Technical Paper R6-NR-ECOL-TP-17-96.

Burns, R.M., and B.H. Honkala. 1990. Silvics of North America: Vol. 1, conifers. Washington, DC: USDA For. Serv. Ag. Handbook 654, 675 pp.

Cope, Amy B. 1993. Abies magnifica. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2005, April 14].

Foster, J. 1999. Fire Regime Parameters and their Relationships with Topography in the East Side of the Southern Oregon Cascade Range. M.S. Thesis, Oregon State University. Corvallis, Oregon.