Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) R#SBDWIw Low Sagebrush General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers Reviewers** Joe Wagner jawagner@or.blm.gov Jon Bates jon.bates@oregonstate.edu **Bob Hopper** rhopper@or.blm.gov Desi Zamudio dzamudio@fs.fed.us Jeff Rose irose@or.blm.gov Charlie Tackman Charlie Tackman@or.blm.gov **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Shrubland ✓ Pacific Northwest California ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians ARAR **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest **ARRI** 1 8 N-Cent.Rockies **POSE** 2 9 SIHY 7 **Geographic Range**

This occurs in south central & southeast Oregon, central and northern Oregon and eastern Washington.

Biophysical Site Description

Soils are shallow to bedrock or clayey restrictive layer present (4 to 10 inches average).

Precipitation is usually winter snow. Soils are frequently saturated to the surface in the winter. Soil moisture regime is frigid.

Vegetation Description

Potential native plant community is dominated by low sagebrush and Sandberg bluegrass. Bottlebrush squirreltail and Thurbers Needlegrass are other important grasses. A variety of forbs may be present. Eventual cover might be 60% grass, 10% forbs and 30% shrub, but some areas may show bare ground.

Stiff sagebrush may be associated with low sagebrush in some areas at the center of the range, and completely replaces low sage in central Oregon and Washington.

Disturbance Description

Fire kills low sage for long periods of time.

Cheatgrass and Medusahead grasses are likely to invade site when disturbed. Shallow soils and exposed rock limits fire.

Adjacency or Identification Concerns

Associated with Mountain big sagebrush & Wyoming big sagebrush in southeastern Oregon, and Wyoming sagebrush and bunchgrass in Washington and northeastern Oregon. Low sagebrush is usually an island within big sagebrush, or vice-versa.

Scale	Descri	ntion

Sources of Scale Data	Literature	✓ Local Data	✓ Expert Estimate

This type ranges from 1 acre to several thousand acres, and is patchy in nature. High winds, rather than continuous fuels, are the cause of large-extent fires.

Issues/Problems

These types are commonly threatened by invasion from annual grasses such as medusa head and cheatgrass.

Model Evolution and Comments

This PNVG was originally split into two types-- low elevation and high elevation (split at the 12" precipitation isohyet). They were combined for the Rapid Assessment into a single model because that more closely reflected how this type was conceived in adjacent model zones.

Succession classes are the equivalent of	Succession C		nteragency FRCC Guidel	book (www.frcc.gov	
Class A 35%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position				
Early1 PostRep	POSE		Min	Max	
Description	SIHY	Cover	0 %	25 %	
	51111	Height	no data	no data	
0 to 1% low sage cover.		Tree Size C	Class no data		
Herbaceous cover of bunchgrasses & forbs would fill to about 25 % within a few years.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
	Fuel Model no data				
Class B 15%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open	ARAR8	-	Min	Max	
Description	ARRI	Cover	1 %	15 %	
Cover is < 15%.	SIHY	Height	no data	no data	
Composition expected is 60-70%,	POSE	Tree Size Class no data			
Grass, 5-10% Forbs, 5-15% Shrubs.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data		yer lifeform differs from nd cover of dominant li		
		Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Class C 50%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure D			
	_		Min	Мах	
Class C 50 % Late1 Closed Description	Canopy Position	Cover	Min 15 %	<i>Max</i> 35 %	
Late1 Closed Description	Canopy Position ARAR8 ARRI POSE	Cover Height	Min 15 % no data	Max	
Late 1 Closed Description Cover is > 15%. Composition expected is 60-70%	Canopy Position ARAR8 ARRI	Cover	Min 15 % no data	<i>Max</i> 35 %	
Late1 Closed Description Cover is > 15%.	Canopy Position ARAR8 ARRI POSE	Cover Height Tree Size Ci	Min 15 % no data	Max 35 % no data dominant lifeform.	

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Class D 0%	Dominant Species Canopy Position	s* and Structu	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
	Carlopy F Osition		Min			
Late1 Open		Cover		0 %	%	
Description		Height	no d	ata	no data	
		Tree S	ize Class no	data		
	Upper Layer Lifef Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no c	Heigh	r layer lifeform it and cover of		dominant lifeform. eform are:	
Class E 0%	Dominant Species	s* and Structi	ure Data (for u	upper laver li	feform)	
	Canopy Position	<u> </u>		lin	Max	
Late 1 Closed		Cover		0 %	%	
<u>Description</u>		Height	no d	ata	no data	
		Tree S	ize Class no	data		
	□Shrub □Tree <u>Fuel Model</u> no o	data				
	Distu	rbances				
<u>Disturbances Modeled</u>	Fire Regime Grou	<u>p:</u> 3				
✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	II: 0-35 year fre III: 35-200 yea IV: 35-200 yea	quency, low and equency, replace r frequency, low r frequency, replace equency, replace	ement severity and mixed sev acement seve	verity rity		
Other:	Fire Intervals (FI)					
Other			for each fire s	everity class	and for all types of	
Historical Fire Size (acres) Avg: no data	maximum show th inverse of fire inter	e relative range rval in years and	of fire interval I is used in refe	s, if known. F erence condit	ion modéling.	
Min: no data	Percent of all fires estimates and not		of all fires in th	at severity cla	ass. All values are	
Max: no data	ooimatoo ana not	p. 66.66.				
Decision of Fine Benjama Bets	A	vg Fl Min Fl	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fire	
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	180		0.00556	41	
Literature	Mixed	125		0.008	59	
	Surface					
✓ Local Data						
	All Fires	74		0.01357		
✓ Local Data		74 rences		0.01357		

 $^{^*\}mbox{Dominant}$ Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Miller, Richard - History, Ecology, and Management of Western Juniper Woodlands and Associated Shrublands: Annual report of Preliminary Results and Progress (1996, 1997, 1998 and 1999). Eastern Oregon Agricultural Research Center, HC71, 4.51 HWY 205, Burns, OR 97720.

Miller, Richard. ,Chris Baisan, Jeff Rose and Dave Paciorett. 2001. Pre- and Post- Settlement Fire Regimes in Mountain Big Sagebrush Steppe and Aspen: The Northwestern Great Basin. (Final Report 2001 to the National Interagency Fire Center).

Steinberg, Peter D. 2002. Artemisia arbuscula. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [2004, November 30].

Wagner, Joe, and Lance Okeson. - Juniper Mountain - CCC Exclosure - 4 FIREMON Plots in area. (data at the LAKEVIEW INTERAGENCY OFFICE - Lakeview, Oregon).