# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R5SHST** Southwestern Shrub Steppe General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Wendell Hann whann@fs.fed.us Doug Zollner dzollner@tnc.org **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type** ✓ Literature Shrubland California Pacific Northwest Local Data Great Basin **✓** South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **PROS** YUCC **LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains Southwest PLMU **ACGR** 25 N-Cent.Rockies **BOCU OPUN** 26 ARIST LARR

# **Geographic Range**

This PNVG lies in the southwest and southern Great Plains (primarily southeastern Arizona, southern New Mexico, and west Texas).

# **Biophysical Site Description**

This type typically occurs on upland flats, benches, gentle slopes, and in the foothills of the desert mountain ranges. Soils can range from shallow and rocky, to deep loamy, to heavy clay soils.

# **Vegetation Description**

Vegetation in this system can be characterized as an open shrubland with grass dominated by mesquite, catclaw acacia, creosote bush, tarbush, flourensia, opuntia, yucca, black grama, tobosa grass, blue grama, sideoats grama, and various threeawn species, with intermingled forbs. This type correlates with Kuchler's (1964) types 58 and 59.

#### **Disturbance Description**

Naturally, this system experiences frequent, stand replacing fire occurrences that are associated with average to above average herbaceous biomass production cycles that are related to average to above average moisture periods. Mixed fires also may occur. A mixed fire will not kill all the shrubs due to reduced fuel loads. The mean fire interval is approximately 10 years with high variation due to year to year deviation in grass production related to drought and moisture cycles. Fire years are typically bimodal occurring in the late spring (May and June) and fall (September and October) correlated with grass production following spring and summer monsoon moisture. Removal of the fine fuels through grazing activities increases the variation of the fire interval.

## **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

This ecological system is a broadly defined desert grassland, mixed shrub-succulent or xeromorphic tree savanna that is typical of the Borderlands of Arizona, New Mexico, and northern Mexico; it extends west to the Sonoran Desert, north to the Mogollon Rim, and eastward into west Texas throughout much of the

This PNVG is similar to the PNVG R3SHST from the Southwest model zone.

# **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data ✓ Literature Local Data ✓ Expert Estimate

This landscape is adequate in size to contain natural variation in vegetation, soils, and disturbance regimes.

#### Issues/Problems

Fire and climate are the primary factors influencing this ecological system. Drought and lack of fire tend to increase invasive woody species and reduce the herbaceous component. Impacts of historic grazing by buffalo may not have played a significant impact in this system in Arizona and New Mexico. Invasive species such as burrow weed (Isocoma tenuisecta) and broom snakeweed (Gutierrezia sarothrae) can take advantage of cool-season precipitation and dominate on disturbed sites; pricklypear and cholla (Opuntia spp.) can also dominate on disturbed sites and outcompete herbaceous species thereby reducing fuel continuity and reduce the controlling effects of fire.

## **Model Evolution and Comments**

Compare information with NRCS ecological site descriptions; ask for review by NRCS Plant Materials Specialist located at the Tucson Plant Materials Center, 520-292-2999: (Bruce Munda - bruce.munda@az.usda.gov) and NRCS Rangeland Specialist Dan Robinett (dan.robinett@az.usda.gov). Ask for review by U of A professors: George Ruyle and Mitch McClaran. Contact range professors at New Mexico State for review.

# Succession Classes\*\*

Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov).

# Class A 10 %

Early1 All Struct **Description** 

This Class is dominated by resprouts of desert grassland species and post-fire associated forbs and half-shrubs. This Class typically exists where fires have burned relatively hot (replacement fire severity) in Classes B and C. Succession in this Class can quickly progress to either Class B or Class C, depending on soil types.

# Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position PLMU3 Mid-Upper

PLMU3 Mid-Upper BOCU Mid-Upper ARIST Mid-Upper PROSO Upper

# Upper Layer Lifeform

✓ Herbaceous

☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

# Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max		
Cover	10 %		30 %		
Height	Herb Short <0.5m		Shrub Short 0.5-0.9m		
Tree Size Class		no data			

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

The dominant lifeform at this successional stage is primarily comprised of various herbaceous grass and forb species (both annual and perennial).

# Fuel Model 1

#### Class B 5%

Mid1 Closed

#### **Description**

Greater than 15 percent shrub cover and 30-50 percent grass and forb cover; generally associated with more productive soils. Effects of cumulative drought can cause a shift from this class to Class C. Successional progression from

# Dominant Species\* and Canopy Position

PROSO Upper ACGR Upper YUCCA Upper PLMU3 Lower

# **Upper Layer Lifeform**

☐ Herbaceous
☐ Shrub
☐ Tree

# Fuel Model 1

#### Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

		Min	Max		
Cover	15 %		40 %		
Height	Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m		Shrub Tall >3.0 m		
Tree Size Class		no data			

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Class A to this Class occurs on deep, productive soil types.
Surface fires can maintain this Class. Mixed severity fires can move this system to Class C.
Native grazing may have had minimal impact on this landscape.

Class C	85%	Dominant Species* and	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
	<b>30</b> / <b>0</b>	Canopy Position		Min	Max		
Mid1 Open		PROSO Upper	Cover	5 %	15 %		
<u>Description</u>	. 1 1	ACGR Upper PLMU3 Lower	Height	Shrub Medium 1.0-2.9m	Shrub Tall >3.0 m		
Less than 15 percent shrub cover and 20 to 40 percent grass and forb cover generally associated with less productive cobbly and gravelly soils. Successional progression from Class A to this Class occurs on dry, less productive soil types.		BOCU Lower  Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous  Shrub  Tree  Fuel Model 1	Tree Size	Class no data			
				layer lifeform differs from and cover of dominant lif			
Class D	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)				
Late1 All Stri	uctu			Min	Max		
Description			Cover	0 %	0 %		
			Height	no data	no data		
			Tree Size	e Class no data			
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	- Structure Data (for upper layer melorin)				
Late1 All Stru Description	uctu		0	Min	Max		
			Cover Heiaht	% no data	% no data		
			Tree Size		no data		
		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree  Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Disturbances							

 $<sup>^*\</sup>mbox{Dominant}$  Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

#### **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Fire II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ☐ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Native Grazing Competition Other: Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and **Historical Fire Size (acres)** maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: 1000 Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: 25 estimates and not precise. Max: 5000 Min FI Avg FI Max FI Probability Percent of All Fires Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 12 0.08333 76 **✓** Literature Mixed 37 24 0.02703 Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 9 0.11037

# References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

NatureServe. 2005. International Ecological Classification Standard: Terrestrial Ecological Classifications. Terrestrial ecological systems of the South Central US:DRAFT legend for Landfire project. NatureServe Central Databases. Arlington, VA. Data current as of 13 January 2005.

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/.