Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) Desert Grassland with Shrub and Tree R3DGRAst General Information **Contributors** (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Mike Babler mbabler@tnc.org Reese Lolley rlolley@tnc.org Tim Christiansen tchristiansen@tnc.org **Vegetation Type General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **✓** Literature Grassland California Pacific Northwest ✓ Local Data Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **BOGR** LANDFIRE Mapping Zones Northern Plains **✓** Southwest **PLMU** 14 24 N-Cent.Rockies **PLEU** 15 25 23 27 Geographic Range Interior Southwest, AZ, NM and Southern Great Plains to West TX. **Biophysical Site Description** This type typically occurs in foothills where the plains transition to foothills and mountain landforms. **Vegetation Description** Vegetation is grassland dominated by blue gramma, tobosa grass, and galleta grass with intermingled forbs and half-shrubs. Within the natural disturbance and succession regime trees (pinyon, juniper, long needle pines, oak, mahogany, mesquite) are a minor component (less than 5%) of this type, typically occurring on rock outcrops or edges of steep draws and ravines. However, if fire is substantially reduced or excluded trees and shrubs will encroach and substantially increase. **Disturbance Description** Fire regime group II, frequent replacement. The mean fire interval is about 10 years with high variation due to drought, which reduces fire frequency and moist periods that increase fire frequency. Grazing of the grassy fuels by large ungulate herds (buffalo) also substantially influenced fire mosaic patterns in this type. This type typically burns during the late spring (May, June, early July) and fall (late September, October, November) in association with the hot, dry periods that follow the winter and late spring (December through April) rainy season and summer (late July, August, early September) monsoon season. **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

Sources of Scale Data

Scale Description

Issues/Problems

Large Patch, 50-2000 ha.

Expert Estimate

Local Data

Literature

^{*}Dominant Species are from the NRCS PLANTS database. To check a species code, please visit http://plants.usda.gov.

Model Evolution and Comments

This model is based on DGRA2 and DGRA3, Wendel Hann 9/25/2005. Original models were reviewed by Tim Christiansen and Reese Lolley, Albuquerque, Oct 2004. The two models were combined by Mike Babler, mbabler@tnc.org, as suggested by Tim Christiansen to create R3DGRAst.

Succession Classes**						
Succession classes are the equivalent of "			e Interagency FRCC Guid	lebook (www.frcc.gov).		
Class A 5%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structur	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Early1 All Struct	BOGR2 Upper		Min	Мах		
Description	PLMU3 Upper	Cover	0 %	40 %		
Dominated by resprouts of desert	PLEUR Upper	Height	Herb Short <0.5m	Herb Short <0.5m		
grassland species and post-fire associated forbs and half-shrubs. This type typically occurs where fires burn relatively hot in classes B, D, or E.	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree	Tree Size Class no data Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
	Fuel Model 1 Dominant Species* and		D. #			
Class B 15 %	Canopy Position	Structur	e Data (for upper layer			
Mid1 Closed	BOGR2 Upper	Carrar	Min 40 %	Max 100 %		
<u>Description</u>	PLMU3 Upper	Cover Height	40 % Herb Short <0.5m	Herb Medium 0.5-0.9m		
Greater than 40 percent grasses and	PLEUR Upper	Tree Size		Hero Medium 0.3-0.9m		
forbs; generally associated with productive soils on gentle slopes, flats, and mesa tops.	Upper Layer Lifeform ✓ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree Fuel Model 1	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:				
Class C 60%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure	Data (for upper layer	lifeform)		
Mid1 Open	BOGR2 Upper		Min	Max		
<u>Description</u>	PLMU3 Upper	Cover	0 %	40 %		
Less than 40 percent grasses and	PLEUR Upper	Height	NONE	NONE		
forbs generally associated with		Tree Size	Class no data			
gravelly and cobbly soils of the steeper more rugged slopes.	Upper Layer Lifeform ✓ Herbaceous ☐ Shrub ☐ Tree		Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
	Fuel Model 1					

Class D 15%

Late 1 Open **Description**

5-15 percent cover of mature pinyon, juniper, mature oaks, mahogany, mesquite, sagebrush, yucca, opuntia, saltbush, and other shrub species.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

BOGR2 Middle PLMU3 Middle PLEUR Middle

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min		Max		
Cover		5 %	15 %		
Height		None	Tree Short 5-9m		
Tree Size Class		Medium 9-21"DBH			

Upper Layer Lifeform

Herbaceous \square_{Shrub} **✓** Tree

✓ Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Grasses and forbs are dominant cover. Trees and woody shrubs included at <15% cover.

Fuel Model 1

Class E 5%

Late 1 Closed Description

Greater than 15 percent cover of pinyon, juniper, long needle pines, oaks, mahogany, mesquite, oaks, mahogany, mesquite, sagebrush, yucca, opuntia, saltbush, other tree and shrub species; typically have multiple layers with young ingrowth and thick litter/duff accumulation; often associated with small areas that escape 1-3 fire cycles because of grazing patterns or terrain; typically occurs on the more productive soils; can become somewhat fire resistant as a result of dense shade over thick litter, but during dry years when this type burns it burns very hot.

Dominant Species* and Canopy Position

BOGR2 Middle PLMU3 Middle PLEUR Middle

Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)

	Min		Max		
Cover		15 %	30 %		
Height	NONE		Tree Short 5-9m		
Tree Size Class Medium 9-21"D		ВН			

Upper Layer Lifeform

Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:

Grasses and forbs are dominant cover. Tree cover will be greater than 15%, but would not exceed 30%.

Fuel Model 1

Disturbances

Disturbances Modeled ✓ Fire ☐ Insects/Disease ☐ Wind/Weather/Stress ☐ Native Grazing ☐ Competition	Fire Regime Group: 2 I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity					
Other: Other	Fire Intervals (FI) Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are estimates and not precise.					
Avg: no data Min: no data Max: no data						
Sources of Fire Positing Date		Avg FI	Min FI	Max FI	Probability	Percent of All Fires
Sources of Fire Regime Data	Replacement	12			0.08333	85
✓ Literature	Mixed	70			0.01429	15
☐Local Data	Surface					
✓ Expert Estimate	All Fires	10			0.09763	

References

Brown, James K.; Smith, Jane Kapler, eds. 2000. Wildland fire in ecosystems: effects of fire on flora. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 p.

Kuchler, A. W. 1964. Manual to accompany the map of potential natural vegetation of the conterminous United States. American Geographical Society. Spec. Publ. No. 36. Lib. Congress Cat. Card Num. 64-15417. 156 p.

McPherson, G.R. 1995. The Role of Fire in the Desert Grasslands Pp.130-151 in McClaran, M. P., T.R. VanDevender (eds.), The Desert Grassland. University of Arizona Press. Tucson.

NatureServe. 2005. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 4.4. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available http://www.natureserve.org/explorer. (Accessed: May 31, 2005).

Schmidt, Kirsten M, Menakis, James P., Hardy, Colin C., Hann, Wendel J., Bunnell, David L. 2002. Development of coarse-scale spatial data for wildland fire and fuel management. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-87. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 41 p. + CD. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, December).

Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/. 2003.