# **Rapid Assessment Reference Condition Model**

The Rapid Assessment is a component of the LANDFIRE project. Reference condition models for the Rapid Assessment were created through a series of expert workshops and a peer-review process in 2004 and 2005. For more information, please visit www.landfire.gov. Please direct questions to helpdesk@landfire.gov.

#### Potential Natural Vegetation Group (PNVG) **R3MASB** Mountain Sagebrush (Cool Sage) General Information Contributors (additional contributors may be listed under "Model Evolution and Comments") **Modelers** Reviewers Joe Vinyard William L. Baker joe vinyard@co.blm.gov bakerwl@uwyo.edu Ken Holsinger ken\_holsinger@co.blm.gov Tim Christiansen christta@wsmr.army.mil Bill Baker bakerwl@uwyo.edu **General Model Sources** Rapid AssessmentModel Zones **Vegetation Type ✓** Literature Shrubland Pacific Northwest California Local Data **✓** Great Basin South Central **✓** Expert Estimate **Dominant Species\*** Great Lakes Southeast Northeast S. Appalachians **ARTR LANDFIRE Mapping Zones** Northern Plains **✓** Southwest **SYMP** 14 24 28 N-Cent.Rockies STLE4 15 25 **PURS** 27 23

## Geographic Range

Mid elevation of the Central Rockies through Montana, Mountain areas of Utah and North west New Mexico/Northeast Arazona.

## **Biophysical Site Description**

This vegetation type is found on all aspects. Pure stands are found in areas with deeper soils and less topographic relief, but it is also common on slopes with a gradual shift to a mixed mountain shrub community on steeper slopes and in drainages. Elevation ranges from 6,600 feet to 9,000 feet and precipitation from 11-20 inches. Soils are deep, well drained with a pH +- 7.0. Soil moistures are udic (not dry for as long as 90 cumulative days) and soil temperatures cryic (very cold soils of the Rocky Mountain region).

### **Vegetation Description**

Dominant shrubs include Artemisia tridentata ssp. Vaseyana, Purshia tridentata and Symphoricarpos oreophilus. Other common shrubs include Amelanchier alnifolia, Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus, Cercocarpus montanus, Tetradymia canescens and Artemisia novae. Other shrubs may be locally common. Herbaceous cover is moderate to abundant ranging from 40-85%. Common grasses include: Festuca idahoensis, Elymus elymoides, Pascopyrum smithii, Elymus trachycaulus, Hesperostipa comata, Nassella viridula, Poa fenderiana, and Poa juncifolia var ampla. Indicative forbs include Eriogonum umbellatum, Antennaria rosae, Balsomorhiza sagittata, Lupinus argenteus, Delphinium nuttallianum, Phlox multiflora, Viola nuttallii.

## **Disturbance Description**

Mountain sagebrush steppe dominated by mountain sage, western snowberry and bitterbrush with a grass and forb understory is believed to be the major pre-settlement vegetation type for the area, although the exact composition of the community before settlement is unknown. Fire is a major disturbance factor for mountain sage (Blaisdell et al 1984, Johnson 2000). Mountain big sagebrush has the fastest recovery rate of the three subspecies, may be as short as 15 yrs (see FEIS, local data from various monitoring groups - NPS,

BLM, TNC, etc). Fire size for this type is larger than other big sagebrush species because of greater fine fuel load but some unburned pockets remain. The fire return intervals reported in the literature for this sage type is 50 years or more (Welch and Criddle 2003). Assuming that recovery rates are correlated with composite fire return intervals, one could posit with some certainty that the fire return interval lies somewhere between 40-75 years. Ranges lie between 30 years near Ponderosa Pine communities and other productive sites (maintaining more early seral types) up to 100 years on north aspects and on rocky slopes (maintaining more late seral types).

## **Adjacency or Identification Concerns**

Differentiation of Mountain Big Sagebrush Steppe from Wyoming Big Sagebrush may be difficult at the ecotone due to physical similarities and hybridization zones (i.e., species concepts become blurred).

## **Scale Description**

Sources of Scale Data Literature Local Data Expert Estimate

Size of disturbance extent will be limited by the variation of topographical features, age classes of the sage over the landscape, and vegetation types, all typical of mountain terrain. Average patch size 100 to 500 acres with larger sizes during drought.

## Issues/Problems

In review Bill Baker questions existence of mixed severity component.

### **Model Evolution and Comments**

This model was adapted from the Rapid Assessment model R0SBMT (from the Northern Central Rockies region) to reflect drier climate and longer fire return intervals observed in Southwest Region.

Quality control resulted in deleting some rule violations (improper use of Time Since Disturbance) with no change to model results.

### Succession Classes\*\* Succession classes are the equivalent of "Vegetation Fuel Classes" as defined in the Interagency FRCC Guidebook (www.frcc.gov). Dominant Species\* and Class A Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform) 15% **Canopy Position** Min Max Early1 PostRep **POAM** Cover 0% 50% STLE4 Description Heiaht no data no data **PASM** Sagebrush cover ranges from 0 to Tree Size Class no data LUPIN 5%. Herbaceous cover is variable, **Upper Layer Lifeform** but is typically at least 30%. Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Herbaceous Height and cover of dominant lifeform are: Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data

Class B 30 %		Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
		ARTRV	'-	Min	 Max	
Late1 Close	u	STLE4	Cover	30 %	60 %	
<u>Description</u>		ERIOG	Height	no data	no data	
Sagebrush cover is greater than		FEID	Tree Size Class no data			
	ominant grass/forb					
species will vary across geographiarea.		Upper Layer Lifeform  Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	ifeform differs fror over of dominant l	n dominant lifeform. ifeform are:		
Class C	20%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Mid1 Open		STLE4		Min	Max	
Description		ARTRV	Cover	5 %	15 %	
		FEID	Height	no data	no data	
	over ranges from 5 to	PUTR2	Tree Size Class	no data		
15%. Predominant grass/forb species will vary across geographic area.		Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class D	35%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Open		STLE4		Min	Max	
<u>Description</u>		ARTRV	Cover	15 %	30 %	
Sagebrush co	over ranges from 15-	PUTR2	Height	no data	no data	
	ominant grass/forb	ERIOG	Tree Size Class	no data		
	vary across geographic	Upper Layer Lifeform Herbaceous Shrub Tree Fuel Model no data	Upper layer lifeform differs from dominant lifeform. Height and cover of dominant lifeform are:			
Class E	0%	Dominant Species* and Canopy Position	Structure Data (for upper layer lifeform)			
Late1 Closed	1	Janopy / Voition		Min	Max	
	•		Cover	0 %	%	
Description			-		/6	
<u>Description</u>			Height	no data	no data	
<u>Description</u>				no data		

#### Disturbances **Disturbances Modeled** Fire Regime Group: **✓** Fire I: 0-35 year frequency, low and mixed severity II: 0-35 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Insects/Disease III: 35-200 year frequency, low and mixed severity **✓** Wind/Weather/Stress IV: 35-200 year frequency, replacement severity ✓ Native Grazing V: 200+ year frequency, replacement severity Competition Fire Intervals (FI) Other: Fire interval is expressed in years for each fire severity class and for all types of Other fire combined (All Fires). Average FI is central tendency modeled. Minimum and Historical Fire Size (acres) maximum show the relative range of fire intervals, if known. Probability is the inverse of fire interval in years and is used in reference condition modeling. Avg: no data Percent of all fires is the percent of all fires in that severity class. All values are Min: no data estimates and not precise. Max: no data Percent of All Fires Avg FI Min FI Max FI Probability Sources of Fire Regime Data Replacement 0.01 100 75 Literature Mixed 300 0.00333 25 Local Data Surface **✓** Expert Estimate All Fires 75 0.01334

## References

Blaisdell, James P.; Holmgren, Ralph C. 1984. Managing Intermountain rangelands--salt-desert shrub ranges. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-163. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 52 p.

Blaisdell, James P.; Murray, Robert B.; McArthur, E. Durant. 1982. Managing Intermountain rangelands-sagebrush-grass ranges. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-134. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 41 p.

Bunting, Stephen C.; Kilgore, Bruce M.; Bushey, Charles L. 1987. Guidelines for prescribed burning sagebrush-grass rangelands in the northern Great Basin. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-231. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 33 p.

Bunting, Stephen C: Natural & Prescribed Fires in Big Sagbrush Steppe- Response of Individual Species and Implication to Burning Practices.

Harniss, Roy O.; Murray, Robert B. 1973. 30 years of vegetal change following burning of sagebrush-grass range. Journal of Range Management. 26(5): 322-325.

Hironaka, M.; Fosberg, M. A.; Winward, A. H. 1983. Sagebrush-grass habitat types of southern Idaho. Bulletin Number 35. Moscow, ID: University of Idaho, Forest, Wildlife and Range Experiment Station. 44 p.

Johnson, Kathleen A. 2000. Artemisia tridentata spp. Vaseyana. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/ [Accessed 2004, September 28].

Welch, Bruce L; Criddle, Craig. 2003. Countering Misinformation Concerning Big Sagebrush. Research Paper RMRS-RP-40. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station 28p

Wright, Henry A.; Neuenschwander, Leon F.; Britton, Carlton M. 1979. The role and use of fire in sagebrush-

grass and pinyon-juniper plant communities: A state-of-the-art review. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-58. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 48 p.