#### Siuslaw Resource Advisory Committee 2024 Title II Project Proposals Jun. 5, 2024 In-person and Virtual TEAMS Meeting

#### **Meeting Overview**

The Siuslaw National Forest Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) hosted a meeting on June 5, 2024 to vote on the use of county Title II funding for project proposals related to land management including road maintenance, habitat restoration, and trail maintenance. The meeting was held in-person and virtually though a Microsoft TEAMS platform from 9:00 a.m. to 2:47 p.m. The meeting was attended by RAC members, scheduled presenters, and Siuslaw National Forest employees. The meeting was open to the public.

The Siuslaw National Forest received 28 project proposals with a total request for \$1,558,531 (or \$1,683,214 including the 8% overhead). Three projects were withdrawn before the meeting. Today, the RAC voted to fund 21 projects and allocate \$991,109 (or \$1,070,392 including the 8% overhead) of the available \$1,166,027.

#### **Forest Service Attendees**

Becca Brooke (Designated Federal Officer), Joanie Schmidgall (RAC Coordinator), Michele Holman (District Ranger), Shawn Rivera (District Ranger) Drew Maher (Public Services Staff Officer), Eric Andersen (Partnership Coordinator), Alexa Valladolid (Public Affairs Specialist), Caleb Robitaille (Business Operations Program Specialist), Maryruth Jackson (Special Uses Assistant), Dave Butler (Administrative Officer), Trevor Robinson (Recreation Program Manager), Rob Sanders (Transportation Program Manager), Colleen Malaney (R6 SRS/FACA Coordinator), Eileen Kitayama (Willamette Partnership Coordinator), Colleen Urban (Mt. Hood Partnership Coordinator), Traci Merritt (Hebo Recreation and Lands Program Manager)

Category	Name	Attendance	Attendance Arrival	Attendance Departure
Α	Willie Davis Jr.	Virtual	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
Α	Jody Phillips (Chair)	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
Α	Nancy Bond Hemming	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
Α	Joanne Kittel	Virtual	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
В	Mike Trent	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
В	Chris Laity	Virtual	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
В	J. "Judy" Juntunen	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
В	Mary Jo Wevers	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
С	Mike Webb	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
С	Ryan Parker	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
С	Mike Kennedy	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
С	Dustin Sievers (co-Chair)	In-person	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.
С	Mohammed "Wasi" Khan	Virtual	9:00 a.m.	2:47 p.m.

#### **Resource Advisory Council Member Attendees**

# Welcome

Siuslaw RAC Coordinator Joanie Schmidgall identified herself as the facilitator for the RAC meeting. She provided a technical TEAMS introduction at 9:00 a.m. with the official meeting recording starting at 9:05 a.m. June 5, 2024.

Forest Service participants introduced themselves at 9:06 a.m. Thirteen members of the RAC committee introduced themselves at 9:08 a.m., see attendee list above.

The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) Becca Brooke welcomed the group at 9:21 a.m. and gave a brief overview of the Secure Rural Schools program and processes.

**Question**: Is there a funding deadline in which the funds must be spent? **Answer:** Project funds must be obligated by Sept. 30, 2026—as long as the Secure Rural Schools Program is not reauthorized by Congress. If the program is authorized again by Congress, the deadline is expected to shift to a later date. Obligated in this case means the money has been moved to the partner; it does not need to be spent by that date.

**Question:** What is the status of congressional reauthorization? Is that something we can lobby for?

**Answer:** The reauthorization is still held up in the legislative process. As a government agency we cannot lobby, but we can provide facts to Congress.

# RAC 2024 Business

### Recognition of the Quorum (9:34 a.m.)

A quorum was recognized at 9:34 a.m., 13 members of the RAC committee were in attendance with at least 3 people from each category, see attendance list above.

- Category A: 4 people
- Category B: 4 people
- Category C: 5 people

### Selection of RAC chairperson and co-chair (9:38 a.m.)

- Chris Laity motioned to elect Jody Philips as chair; seconded by Mike Kennedy. Motion passed.
- Jody Phillips motioned to elect Dustin Sievers as co-chair; seconded by Mike Trent. Motion passed.

### Ratification of Siuslaw RAC bylaws (9:40 a.m.)

• Mary Jo Wevers motioned to accept the bylaws as written; seconded by Mike Kennedy. Motion passed.

### Review of Forest Service Ethics Statement (9:42 a.m.)

All RAC members accepted the ethic statements as written. Discussion ensued to reveal any potential ethical concerns.

- Chris Laity will abstain from voting on project 24\_TI\_006 'Tillamook County Public Works Cedar Creek Road Improvement' due to a conflict of interest.
- Mike Kennedy will abstain from voting on project 24\_LI\_006 and 24\_TI\_003 'Tillamook Estuaries Partnership Youth Vegetation Restoration' due to a conflict of interest.
- Mike Trent is abstaining from the vote on 24\_TI\_004 'Nestucca, Neskowin, & Sand Lake Watershed Council Lower Sutton Creek Fish Passage' due to a conflict of interest.
- Judy Juntunen works with Marys Peak Alliance. This will be acknowledged during the voting process. She does not need to abstain from any vote.

#### Voting and Decision-making Process Discussion (9:45 a.m.)

A member is to make a motion to vote for a project with a specific dollar amount. That motion is to be seconded and then time for discussion should be given. After discussion, RAC Chair Jody Phillips will call for a vote to determine if three people in each category vote yes to recommend it. Motions can be amended or withdrawn. Members of the RAC do not have to discuss projects in any order. It should be noted when similar projects span multiple counties. This process is based on Robert's Rules of Order.

**Question:** For organizations that have requested funds in multiple counties, is each project an independent request?

Answer: Yes. Projects are specific to each county and should be funded individually.

#### Question: How will project presentations be given?

**Answer:** Each organization will have one time slot to summarize the effects of their projects and the differences of the projects in each county, if there are any.

• Mike Webb motioned to vote to accept the discussion; seconded by Dustin Sievers. Motion passed.

Acknowledge Overhead Rate for Project Administration (9:48 a.m.) The Siuslaw RAC has historically operated with a 7% overhead. The overhead percentage varies for other committees in the area, but the value can be up to 10%. Overhead percentage dollars can be used for a variety of costs including Forest Service staff time, RAC member travel costs, refreshments, printing, meeting logistics and fieldtrip costs.

**Question:** Is 7% a sufficient amount of overhead funding? **Answer:** The Siuslaw National Forest will accept any overhead percentage rate the RAC votes on. The agency budget has decreased overtime and inflation has increased.

**Question:** What overhead percentage do other RACs use? **Answer:** Some use 10%, others have reduced funding over the years.

**Question:** What happens to excess overhead funding? **Answer:** Any excess funding can be applied to approved RAC projects.

**Question:** Does the Siuslaw National Forest have any excess overhead funding? **Answer:** Some, but not a lot.

**Question:** Does the overhead cost include funding for Forest Service staff time and labor?

**Answer:** We would use overhead funding to support the salaries of the core group of Forest Service staff that help plan and organize the meeting.

**Question:** What would improve if more overhead funding was given? More outreach? **Answer:** We expect an increase in workload for Siuslaw National Forest staff to outreach for new committee members over the next year.

- Mike Webb motioned to set the overhead rate to 9%; motion was not seconded.
- Mike Webb motioned to set the overhead rate to 10%; seconded by Ryan Parker. No vote. Motion withdrawn.

**Question:** Can the RAC prioritize projects to be funded with excess overhead dollars, if there is excess.

Answer: Yes. Any approved project can receive additional funds.

**Question:** If there is excess overhead funding, when does that money get redistributed? **Answer:** There is not a definitive timeline at the moment. Some of the funds will be used for hours spent on preparation for this meeting. The Siuslaw National Forest can estimate the number of hours spent on RAC business for the next meeting.

Question: Will labor costs increase in the future?

**Answer:** They may. A 16% overhead rate is the standard for Forest Service staff time on Grants and Agreements for non-RAC business.

• Mike Webb motioned to set the overhead rate to 8%; seconded by Dustin Sievers. Motion passed.

BREAK (10:00-10:25 a.m.)

### Presentations (10:30 a.m.)

Project proponents were given the opportunity to present for five minutes with an additional two minutes for question and answer. Twelve presenters participated in the meeting. Below is a list of the projects presented in the order discussed.

Three projects were withdrawn before the meeting: 24\_BE\_003 'Rehabitat Natives Marys Peak Noxious Weed Control', 24\_BE\_005 'City of Corvallis Public Works Riparian Alder Under-planting', and 24\_LI\_007 'Lincoln Soil and Water Conservation District Yachats River Riparian Restoration'.

# **Project Presentations**

Order	Supporting Organization	<b>Proposal(s)</b>	Proposal Name
1	U.S. Forest Service Siuslaw	24_BE_001 24_DO_001 24_LA_001 24_LI_001 24_TI_001 24_YA_001	FS Road Maintenance
2	Institute for Applied Ecology	24_BE_002	IAE Old Peak Meadow Restoration
3	Rehabitat Natives	24_LI_002	RN Noxious Weed Control
4	Trail Keepers of Oregon	24_BE_004 24_DO_003 24_LA_005 24_LI_008 24_TI_005	TKO Trail Maintenance
5	Northwest Youth Corps	24_DO_002 24_LA_004 24_LI_004	NWYC Trail Maintenance
6	Oregon Department of Forestry - Western Lane District	24_LA_002	ODF Road Brushing & Maintenance
71	Oregon Department of Forestry - Forest Grove District	24_TI_002	ODF Bell Block Road Maintenance
8	Siuslaw Watershed Council	24_LA_003	SWC Sweet Creek Trail Revitalization
9	Lincoln Soil and Water Conservation District	24_LI_003	LSWCD County Riparian Noxious Weed Control
10	MidCoast Watersheds Council	24_LI_005	MCWC Echo Mountain Fire Recovery and Fish Passage
11	Tillamook Estuaries Partnership	24_LI_006 24_TI_003	TEP Youth Vegetation Restoration
12	Nestucca, Neskowin, & Sand Lake Watershed Council	24_TI_004	NNSLWC Lower Sutton Creek Fish Passage
13	Tillamook County Public Works	24_TI_006	TCPW Cedar Creek Road Improvement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ODF – Forest Grove District was not present for the meeting, but their previous remarks about the project were verbally stated.

### Public Forum (12:05 p.m.)

Time was set aside for members of the public to comment. One member of the public submitted a written comment; copies of this comment were shared with RAC members. The email address of the submission was redacted for privacy. No additional public comments were made.

#### LUNCH (12:07-1:15 p.m.)

#### District Ranger Comment Period (1:17 p.m.)

#### **Central Coast District Ranger Michele Holman**

- Funding that supports the work of our partners Northwest Youth Corps and Trail Keepers of Oregon and similar organizations that help people and youth connect to the land are our priority projects.
- All projects that have been submitted have merit.
- We believe the ODF road projects need more coordination and alignment with the USFS (24\_LA\_002). We have done a minimum roads analysis and a few of the roads mentioned in the project were identified for decommissioning and storage. Access for ODF is important and we hope to work with them in the future.
- The project that includes riparian planting in Yachats (24\_LI\_007) includes land that we manage as a meadow and some areas are not suited for this type of vegetation. This project may need more coordination and alignment. We hope to support riparian planting projects in the future. (Note: This project was withdrawn before the meeting.)

#### Hebo District Ranger Shawn Rivera

- All projects that have been submitted have merit.
- The following priorities support projects that we wouldn't have the capacity to do without partners or additional funding.
  - 24\_LI\_003 LSWCD County Riparian Noxious Weed Control. This project will help us significantly due to the aggressive nature of the target species.
  - 24\_LI\_005 MCWC Echo Mountain Fire Recovery and Fish Passage. This project will help us prevent an invasive species from establishing on the National Forest land after the large disturbance.
  - 24\_LI\_006, 24\_TI\_003 TEP Youth Vegetation Restoration. We depend on partners for coastally adapted plugs and seed sources.
  - 24\_TI\_004 NNSLWC Lower Sutton Creek Fish Passage. This project is important to us since the headwaters of this stream is on Forest Service land. It would help us with habitat and T&E species. We are working with Fish and Wildlife on this project as well.
  - 24\_TI\_006 TCPW Cedar Creek Road Improvement. This one is important to us because we use this road to access our fire resources. We are also considering the development of housing that would use this road for access. (Note: This project will not work without full funding.)

# County Commissioners Comment Period (1:28 p.m.)

No county commissioners were present at any time during the meeting and no comments regarding RAC project proposals were made.

### Voting on Proposed Projects (1:30 p.m.)

Projects submitted for the 2024 Siuslaw RAC meeting are listed below. Twenty-eight projects were submitted during the outreach period, three were withdrawn before the meeting. Each project has a unique proposal number, title, and requested funding amount (without the 8% overhead). Determine project voting decision by the funding amount in the column titled 'Amount Received'. Projects could be fully funded, partially funded, overfunded, not funded, or withdrawn from the voting process. A table of the final funding amounts can be found in Appendix A.

# **Benton County**

Motion to fully fund projects 24\_BE\_002 and 24\_BE\_004 and use the remaining \$9,946 in Benton County to partially fund 24\_BE\_001 by Jody Philips; Mary Jo Wevers seconded. Motion passed.

Available funds:\$38,809Remaining Funds:\$0

					Overhead
Proposal		Supporting	Amount	Amount	Amount
Number	Proposal Name	Organization	Requested	Received	(8%)
24_BE_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$ 10,000	\$ 9,210	\$ 736
	IAE Old Peak Meadow	Institute for Applied			
24_BE_002	Restoration	Ecology	\$ 19,994	\$ 19,994	\$ 1,600
	RN Marys Peak Noxious			Project	Project
24_BE_003	Weed Control	Rehabitat Natives	<del>\$ 12,500</del>	Withdrawn	Withdrawn
		Trail Keepers of			
24_BE_004	TKO Trail Maintenance	Oregon	\$ 6,731	\$ 6,731	\$ 538
	CCPW Riparian Alder	City of Corvallis		Project	Project
24_BE_005	Under-planting	Public Works	<del>\$ 23,864</del>	Withdrawn	Withdrawn

# **Coos County**

Available funds:	\$40,216
Remaining funds:	\$40,216

No projects were solicited for Coos County funding. No voting occurred. Remaining Coos County funds will be available during the next Siuslaw RAC project solicitation and meeting.

# **Douglas County**

Motion to approve all projects with full funding by Dustin Sievers; Mike Webb seconded. No vote.

Motion to fully fund projects 24\_DO\_002 and 24\_DO\_003 and use \$30,000 to overfund 24\_DO\_001 by Dustin Sievers; motion was not seconded.

Motion to fully fund projects 24\_DO\_002 and 24\_DO\_003 with full funding and use the remaining \$36,136 in Douglas County to overfund 24\_DO\_001 by Chris Laity; Dustin Sievers seconded. Motion passed.

Available funds:\$81,323Remaining funds:\$0

					Overhead
Proposal		Supporting	Amount	Amount	Amount
Number	Proposal Name	Organization	Requested	Received	(8%)
24_DO_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$ 10,000	\$ 33,460	\$ 2,676
		Northwest			
24_DO_002	NWYC Trail Maintenance	Youth Corps	\$ 26,890	\$ 26,890	\$ 2,151
		Trail Keepers of			
24_DO_003	TKO Trail Maintenance	Oregon	\$ 14,950	\$ 14,950	\$ 1,196

# Lane County

Motion to fully fund 24\_LA\_001, 24\_LA\_003, 24\_LA\_004, 24\_LA\_005, and use the remaining \$53,248 in Lane County to partially fund 24\_LA\_002 by Chris Laity; Mike Webb seconded. Motion passed.

Available funds:\$262,509Remaining funds:\$0

Proposal Number	Proposal Name	Supporting Organization	Amount Requested	Amount Received	Overhead Amount (8%)
	FS Road				
24_LA_001	Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$ 50 <i>,</i> 000	\$ 50,000	4,000
	ODF Road	Oregon Department of			
	Brushing &	Forestry - Western			
24_LA_002	Maintenance	Lane District	\$ 185,005	\$ 49,304	\$ 3,944
	SWC Sweet Creek	Siuslaw Watershed			
24_LA_003	Trail Revitalization	Council	\$ 89,462	\$ 89,462	\$ 7,157
	NWYC Trail				
24_LA_004	Maintenance	Northwest Youth Corps	\$ 27,156	\$ 27,156	\$ 2,172
	TKO Trail				
24_LA_005	Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$ 27,143	\$ 27,143	\$ 2,171

# **Lincoln County**

Motion to fully fund 24\_LI\_003, 24\_LI\_004, 24\_LI\_006, 24\_LI\_008 and partially fund 24\_LI\_002 with 37,800 and 24\_LI\_005 with \$219,752 and to not fund 24\_LI\_001 by Chris Laity; Ryan Parker seconded. Motion passed. Mike Kennedy abstained from the vote.

Available funds:\$477,546Remaining funds:\$0

					Overhead
Proposal		Supporting	Amount	Amount	Amount
Number	Proposal Name	Organization	Requested	Received	(8%)
24_LI_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$ 50,000	\$ 0	\$ 0
	RN Noxious Weed				
24_LI_002	Control	Rehabitat Natives	\$ 87,500	\$ 35,000	\$ 2,800
	LSWCD County	Lincoln Soil and			
	Riparian Noxious	Water Conservation			
24_LI_003	Weed Control	District	\$ 115,544	\$ 115,544	\$ 9,244
	NWYC Trail	Northwest Youth			
24_LI_004	Maintenance	Corps	\$ 36,031	\$ 36,031	\$ 2,882
	MCWC Echo				
	Mountain Fire				
	Recovery and Fish	MidCoast			
24_LI_005	Passage	Watersheds Council	\$ 275,880	\$ 203,475	\$ 16,277
	TEP Youth Vegetation	Tillamook Estuaries			
24_LI_006	Restoration	Partnership	\$ 38,000	\$ 38,000	\$ 3,040
		Lincoln Soil and			
	LSWCD Yachats River	Water Conservation		Project	Project
24_LI_007	<b>Riparian Restoration</b>	District	<del>\$ 125,163</del>	Withdrawn	Withdrawn
	TKO Trail	Trail Keepers of			
24_LI_008	Maintenance	Oregon	\$ 14,124	\$ 14,124	\$ 1,129

# **Polk County**

No projects were solicited for Polk County funding. No voting occurred. Remaining Polk County funds will be available during the next Siuslaw RAC project solicitation and meeting.

Available funds:	\$304
Remaining funds:	\$304

# **Tillamook County**

Motion to fully fund 24\_TI\_006 with by Mike Webb. Mike Kennedy seconded. Motion passed. Chris Laity abstained from the vote.

Motion to fully fund 24\_TI\_003 and use the remaining \$21,927 in Tillamook County partially fund 24\_TI\_004 and to not fund 24\_TI\_001, 24\_TI\_002, 24\_TI\_005 by Dustin Sievers; Mike Webb seconded. Motion passed. Mike Kenndy and Mike Trent abstained from the vote.

Available funds:\$126,687Remaining funds:\$0

Proposal		Supporting	Amount	Amount	Overhead
Number	Proposal Name	Organization	Requested	Received	Amount (8%)
24_TI_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$ 25,000	\$ 0	\$0
		Oregon			
		Department of			
	ODF Bell Block Road	Forestry – Forest			
24_TI_002	Maintenance	Grove District	\$ 110,000	\$ 0	\$0
	TEP Youth Vegetation	Tillamook Estuaries			
24_TI_003	Restoration	Partnership	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,600
		Nestucca,			
		Neskowin, & Sand			
	NNSLWC Lower Sutton	Lake Watershed			
24_TI_004	Creek Fish Passage	Council	\$ 45,629	\$ 20,303	\$ 1,624
		Trail Keepers of			
24_TI_005	TKO Trail Maintenance	Oregon	\$ 9 <i>,</i> 965	\$ 0	\$0
	TCPW Cedar Creek	Tillamook County			
24_TI_006	Road Improvement	Public Works	\$ 77,000	\$ 77,000	\$ 6,160

# Yamhill County

Motion to overfund 24\_YA\_001 FS Road Maintenace at \$83,518 by Mike Kennedy; Jody Philips seconded. Motion passed.

Yamhill County has a remainder of \$55,113. Leftover funds will be available during the next Siuslaw RAC project solicitation and meeting.

Available funds:\$138,631Remaining funds:\$55,113

Proposal	Proposal Name	Supporting	Amount	Amount	Overhead
Number		Organization	Requested	Received	Amount (8%)
24_YA_001	FS Road Maintenance	<b>USFS</b> Siuslaw	\$ 25 <i>,</i> 000	\$ 77,332	\$ 6,186

#### **Voting Question and Answer**

Questions answered during the voting process.

**Question:** Can the RAC vote to underfund projects? **Answer:** Yes.

**Question:** Can the RAC vote to overfund projects? Does the proposal need amended? **Answer:** Yes, the RAC can overfund projects. The proposal does not need to be amended.

**Question:** Can the RAC vote on multiple projects at once? **Answer:** Yes.

**Question:** Does a role call need to occur for the official vote? **Answer:** We will use a role call for the voting process during this meeting. We can clarify this rule for future meetings.

**Question:** Can the RAC move money between counties? **Answer:** That could occur if it is proposed by the county commissioners. We would not move funding without county commissioner support or approval.

**Question:** If an approved project has surplus funds after it is completed, where does that money go?

**Answer:** Any leftover funding would return to the Siuslaw RAC and can be used for other approved RAC projects.

### <u>Next Steps</u> (2:40 p.m.)

**RAC Coordinator** – Joanie Schmidgall

- The RAC chairperson and DFO will sign the bylaws.
- The meeting notes will be sent to the RAC for review. If no response or comments are received by the deadline, it will be assumed there are none.
- DFO will take a second look at the recommendations and finalize them. If funds are obligated differently than recommended, the RAC will be informed.
- RAC chair and DFO will approve the final notes and sign the final list of approved projects.
- The final notes and approved project list will be posted to our Forest Service website.

### **DFO Closing Comments – Becca Brooke**

- Thank you to the RAC members for participating in this process, this committee handled the voting with a lot of grace and efficiency. Please help us recruit for our future RAC and apply for another term if you are eligible.
- There was a project that was funded in 2021 for Northwest Youth Corps that is a little short on funding. We are hoping to use about \$2,000 from previous year's funding.

Question: Will the recording and voting notes be made public?

Answer: The notes will be made public and the recording will be used for internal record keeping. A Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request can be made to access of a copy of the recording.

#### **Excess Funding**

At the end of the voting, three counties have remaining funds: Coos at \$40,216, Polk at \$304, and Yamhill at \$55,113. These funds will be available during the next Siuslaw RAC project solicitation and meeting.

#### Next Siuslaw RAC Meeting

After a new Siuslaw RAC is approved by the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture, an additional Siuslaw RAC meeting could occur as soon as 2026.

Meeting Adjourned 2:47 PM

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing minutes are accurate and complete.

7116124

Becca Brooke—Designated Federal Officer Forest Supervisor of the Siuslaw National Forest

our Coleman Tillips 7/10/2024

Jody Coleman Philips-Siuslaw RAC Chair

County	Proposal Number	Proposal Name	Supporting Organization	Amount Requested	Amount Received	Overhead Amount (8%)
	24_BE_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$10,000	\$9,210	\$736
	24_BE_002	IAE Old Peak Meadow Restoration	Institute for Applied Ecology	\$19,994	\$19,994	\$1,600
Benton	24_BE_003	RN Marys Peak Noxious Weed Control	Rehabitat Natives	<del>\$12,500</del> *	\$0	\$0
	24_BE_004	TKO Trail Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$6,731	\$6,731	\$538
	24_BE_005	CCPW Riparian Alder Under- planting	City of Corvallis Public Works	<del>\$23,86</del> 4*	\$0	\$0
se	24_DO_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$10,000	\$33,460	\$2,676
Douglas	24_DO_002	NWYC Trail Maintenance	Northwest Youth Corps	\$26,890	\$26 <i>,</i> 890	\$2,151
Do	24_DO_003	TKO Trail Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$14,950	\$14,950	\$1,196
	24_LA_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$4,000
0	 24_LA_002	ODF Road Brushing & Maintenance	Oregon Department of Forestry - Western Lane District	\$185,005	\$49,304	\$3,944
Lane	24_LA_003	SWC Sweet Creek Trail Revitalization	Siuslaw Watershed Council	\$89,462	\$89,462	\$7,157
	24_LA_004	NWYC Trail Maintenance	Northwest Youth Corps	\$27,156	\$27,156	\$2,172
	24_LA_005	TKO Trail Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$27,143	\$27,143	\$2,171
	24_LI_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$50,000	\$0	\$0
	24_LI_002	RN Noxious Weed Control	Rehabitat Natives	\$87,500	\$35,000	\$2,800
	24_LI_003	LSWCD County Riparian Noxious Weed Control	Lincoln Soil and Water Conservation District	\$115,544	\$115,544	\$9,244
_	24_LI_004	NWYC Trail Maintenance	Northwest Youth Corps	\$36,031	\$36,031	\$2,882
Lincoln	24_LI_005	MCWC Echo Mountain Fire Recovery and Fish Passage	MidCoast Watersheds Council	\$275,880	\$203,475	\$16,277
	24_LI_006	TEP Youth Vegetation Restoration	Tillamook Estuaries Partnership	\$38,000	\$38,000	\$3,040
	24_LI_007	LSWCD Yachats River Riparian Restoration	Lincoln Soil and Water Conservation District	\$ <del>125,163</del> *	\$0	\$0
	24_LI_008	TKO Trail Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$14,124	\$14,124	\$1,129
	24_TI_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$25,000	\$0	\$0
	24_TI_002	ODF Bell Block Road Maintenance	Oregon Department of Forestry – Forest Grove District	\$110,000	\$0	\$0
Tillamook	24_TI_003	TEP Youth Vegetation Restoration	Tillamook Estuaries Partnership	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$1,600
Tillar	24_TI_004	NNSLWC Lower Sutton Creek Fish Passage	Nestucca, Neskowin, & Sand Lake Watershed Council	\$45 <i>,</i> 629	\$20,303	\$1,624
	24_TI_005	TKO Trail Maintenance	Trail Keepers of Oregon	\$9,965	\$0	\$0
	24_TI_006	TCPW Cedar Creek Road Improvement	Tillamook County Public Works	\$77,000	\$77,000	\$6,160
Yamhill	24_YA_001	FS Road Maintenance	USFS Siuslaw	\$25,000	\$77,332	\$6,186
	+		Totals	\$1,558,531	\$991,109	\$79,283

# **Appendix: Project Proposals and Voting Results**

\*Projects were withdrawn before the meeting