

ASPEN MOUNTAIN

∞ | MASTER 2018 | DEVELOPMENT PLAN

January 2018



ASPEN MOUNTAIN

∞ | MASTER 2010 | DEVELOPMENT 2012 | PLAN



January 2018

ACCEPTED BY:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Scott Fitzwilliams", written over a horizontal line.

Scott Fitzwilliams
Forest Supervisor
White River National Forest

DATE: 3/2/2018

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
Key Plan Elements	ES-2
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. LOCATION	3
B. LAND OWNERSHIP	3
C. CURRENT RESORT OPERATIONS SUMMARY	3
1. Winter	4
2. Summer	5
D. BACKGROUND	6
1. Chronology of Development	6
E. PAST PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION	7
F. VISION AND DESIGN PHILOSOPHY	8
G. STATEMENT OF GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	8
1. Winter	8
2. Summer	9
H. ACCEPTANCE BY THE FOREST SERVICE	10
I. REVIEW BY PITKIN COUNTY AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC	10
II. DESIGN CRITERIA	11
A. DESTINATION RESORTS	11
1. Regional Destination Resorts	11
2. National and International Destination Resorts	12
3. Aspen Mountain's Resort "Niche"	12
B. BASE AREA DESIGN	14
C. MOUNTAIN DESIGN	14
1. Trail Design	14
2. Lift Design	16
3. On-Mountain Guest Services	16



D.	CAPACITY ANALYSIS AND DESIGN	16
E.	BALANCE OF FACILITIES	17
F.	MULTI-SEASON RECREATION ACTIVITIES	17
III.	SITE INVENTORY	19
A.	TOPOGRAPHY AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN	19
B.	SLOPE GRADIENTS AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN	19
C.	SOLAR ASPECT AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN	20
IV.	EXISTING FACILITIES	21
A.	SUMMARY OF THE EXISTING GUEST EXPERIENCE	21
B.	EXISTING LIFT NETWORK	22
C.	EXISTING TERRAIN NETWORK	24
1.	<i>Terrain Variety</i>	24
2.	<i>Terrain Parks</i>	24
3.	<i>Developed Alpine Trails</i>	24
D.	EXISTING CAPACITY ANALYSIS	30
1.	<i>Comfortable Carrying Capacity</i>	30
2.	<i>Density Analysis</i>	30
3.	<i>Lift and Terrain Network Efficiency</i>	32
E.	EXISTING GUEST SERVICES FACILITIES, FOOD SERVICE SEATING AND SPACE USE ANALYSIS	33
1.	<i>Guest Services</i>	33
2.	<i>Space Use Analysis</i>	33
3.	<i>Food Service Seating</i>	34
F.	EXISTING PARKING CAPACITY	36
1.	<i>Employee Parking and Transportation</i>	36
G.	EXISTING RESORT OPERATIONS	36
1.	<i>Patrol/First Aid</i>	36
2.	<i>Snowmaking Coverage</i>	36
3.	<i>Grooming</i>	37
4.	<i>Maintenance Facilities</i>	37
5.	<i>Power and Other Utilities</i>	37
6.	<i>Culinary Water and Sewer</i>	38
H.	RESORT CAPACITY BALANCE AND LIMITING FACTORS	39
I.	SUMMER OPERATIONS	40
1.	<i>Summary of the Existing Summer and Multi-Season Guest Experience</i>	40
2.	<i>Existing Summer and Multi-Season Facilities</i>	41
3.	<i>Existing Summer Zones</i>	42
4.	<i>Lifts and Restaurants</i>	48
5.	<i>Hiking</i>	48
6.	<i>Miscellaneous Activities</i>	50
7.	<i>Summer and Multi-Season Guest Service Facilities Use</i>	50
8.	<i>Existing Resort Summer Operations</i>	50

V. PREVIOUSLY-APPROVED PROJECTS, NOT YET IMPLEMENTED	51
A. LIFT 1A (SHADOW MOUNTAIN) REALIGNMENT AND REPLACEMENT	51
B. FOREST HEALTH PROJECTS	52
VI. UPGRADE PLAN	53
A. SUMMARY	53
B. UPGRADED LIFT NETWORK	55
1. <i>Shadow Mountain Lift</i>	55
2. <i>Pandora Lift</i>	55
3. <i>Gent's Ridge Lift</i>	55
4. <i>Bell Mountain Lift</i>	56
5. <i>Summit Ski Access/Tow</i>	56
C. UPGRADED TERRAIN NETWORK	57
1. <i>Planned Pandora Area</i>	57
2. <i>Additional Gladed Terrain</i>	57
3. <i>Terrain Distribution by Ability Level</i>	62
4. <i>Planned Terrain Enhancement and Grading Projects</i>	64
D. UPGRADED CAPACITY ANALYSIS	64
1. <i>Comfortable Carrying Capacity</i>	64
2. <i>Density Analysis</i>	64
3. <i>Lift and Terrain Network Efficiency</i>	66
E. UPGRADED GUEST SERVICES FACILITIES, FOOD SERVICE SEATING AND SPACE USE ANALYSIS	66
1. <i>Guest Services</i>	66
2. <i>Space Use Analysis</i>	67
3. <i>Food Service Seating</i>	68
F. UPGRADED PARKING CAPACITY	68
G. UPGRADED RESORT OPERATIONS	69
1. <i>Patrol/First Aid</i>	69
2. <i>Snowmaking Coverage</i>	69
3. <i>Grooming</i>	70
4. <i>Dedicated Uphill Routes</i>	70
5. <i>Maintenance Facilities</i>	70
6. <i>Utilities</i>	70
7. <i>Communications</i>	70
8. <i>Culinary Water and Sewer</i>	70
H. RESORT CAPACITY BALANCE AND LIMITING FACTORS	71
I. SUMMER OPERATIONS	72
1. <i>Summer and Multi-Season Offerings – Zones Concept</i>	72
2. <i>Summer and Multi-Season Activities and Facilities</i>	78
J. IMPLEMENTATION AND PHASING SCHEDULE	80



LIST OF TABLES

Table I-1. Annual Skier Visits (2007–2017)	3
Table II-1. Terrain Gradients	15
Table II-2. Skier Ability Breakdown	15
Table II-3. Skier Density per Acre	15
Table IV-1. Lift Specifications – Existing Conditions	23
Table IV-2. Terrain Specifications – Existing Conditions	26
Table IV-3. Gladed Terrain Specifications – Existing Conditions	28
Table IV-4. Terrain Distribution by Ability Level – Existing Conditions	29
Table IV-5. Comfortable Carrying Capacity – Existing Conditions	31
Table IV-6. Density Analysis – Existing Conditions	31
Table IV-7. Industry Average Space Use – Existing Conditions	35
Table IV-8. Recommended Restaurant Seating	35
Table IV-9. Snowmaking Operations – Existing Conditions	36
Table IV-10. Maintenance Facilities – Existing Conditions	38
Table IV-11. Domestic Water System – Existing Facilities	38
Table IV-12. Zone Characteristics	46
Table IV-13. Existing Summer Zones at Aspen Mountain	47
Table IV-14. Hiking Trails/Trail Distribution by Ability Level – Existing Conditions	49
Table IV-15. Hiking Trails/Ability Level Distribution – Existing Conditions	49
Table V-1. Previously-Approved Projects, Not Yet Implemented	52
Table VI-1. Lift Specifications – Upgrade Plan	56
Table VI-2. Terrain Specifications – Upgrade Plan	58
Table VI-3. Gladed Terrain Specifications – Upgrade Plan	61
Table VI-4. Terrain Distribution by Ability Level – Upgrade Plan	62
Table VI-5. Comfortable Carrying Capacity – Upgrade Plan	65
Table VI-6. Density Analysis – Upgrade Plan	65
Table VI-7. Industry Average Space Use – Upgrade Plan	67
Table VI-8. Recommended Restaurant Seating	68
Table VI- 9. Zone Characteristics	76
Table VI-10. Planned Summer Zones at Aspen Mountain	77

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart IV-1. Terrain Distribution by Ability Level – Existing Conditions	29
Chart IV-2. Resort Balance – Existing Conditions	39
Chart VI-1. Terrain Distribution by Ability Level – Upgrade Plan	63
Chart VI-2. Resort Balance – Planned Conditions	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I-1. Vicinity Map
Figure I-2. Property Boundaries
Figure III-1. Slope/Topography Analysis
Figure III-2. Aspect Analysis
Figure IV-1. Existing Conditions
Figure IV-2. Existing Snowmaking
Figure IV-3. Existing Mountain Roads
Figure IV-4. Existing Utilities
Figure IV-5. Existing Summer Use
Figure IV-6. Existing Summer Zones
Figure VI-1. Resort Upgrade Plan
Figure VI-2. Snowmaking Upgrade Plan
Figure VI-3. Planned Summer Zones

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Aspen Mountain Forest Health Proposed Treatment Map
Appendix 2. 2012 Forest Health Project Environmental Assessment
Appendix 3. Natural Resource Plan

Note: all appendices are provided in electronic format only



III. SITE INVENTORY

Chapter III provides a brief overview of some of the unique physical characteristics of the SUP area that were taken into consideration in the preparation of this MDP.

A. TOPOGRAPHY AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN

Aspen Mountain can be generally described as three separate peaks and/or ridges: Aspen Mountain (including Gentleman's Ridge), Bell Mountain, and Ruthie's Ridge. The topography at Aspen Mountain is typical of the surrounding Elk Mountains, consisting of a series of ridges and sub-ridges with steep rugged slopes. The ski terrain at Aspen Mountain lies entirely below tree line in mostly north-facing aspects, with some terrain falling to the east and west off of the northward running ridges. This is an ideal topographic scenario for a ski area, as it provides a variety of aspects as well as efficient access and circulation between the different peaks that comprise the ski area.

The topography at Aspen Mountain caters to higher ability level guests, with no sustained grades suitable for beginner or novice guests. Although this limits opportunities available for all ability levels, the topography at Aspen Mountain is highly desirable to the more experienced skier or rider that makes up the typical visitor profile of the greater Aspen area. Further, nearby ASC managed resorts (Aspen Highlands, Buttermilk,

and Snowmass) have a greater distribution of lower angle terrain suitable for all ability levels.

The highest elevations at Aspen Mountain are served by the Silver Queen Gondola, the Ajax Express, and Gent's Ridge lift. The top terminals of these lifts are located at approximately 11,100 to 11,200 feet above mean sea level (AMSL). The top terminal of the Silver Queen Gondola is at approximately 11,200 feet AMSL. The lowest elevation is at the bottom terminal of Silver Queen Gondola at approximately 7,950 feet AMSL. Thus, total vertical drop at Aspen Mountain is approximately 3,250 feet. The surrounding City of Aspen begins at the bottom terminals of the Silver Queen Gondola and Lift 1A at an average elevation of 8,000 feet AMSL.

B. SLOPE GRADIENTS AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN

As discussed in Chapter II, terrain ability level designations are based on slope gradients and terrain features associated with the varying terrain unique to each mountain. Regardless of the slope gradient for a particular trail, if it feeds into a trail that is rated higher in difficulty, its ability level must be rated accordingly. Conversely, if a trail is fed only by trails of a higher ability level than the maximum slope of the trail would dictate, it also must be rated accordingly.



Slope gradients at Aspen Mountain are depicted in Figure III-1.

- *0 to 8% (0 to 5 degrees)*: too flat for skiing and riding, but ideal for base area accommodations and other support facility development
- *8 to 25% (5 to 15 degrees)*: ideal for Beginners and Novices, and typically can support some types of development
- *25 to 45% (15 to 25 degrees)*: ideal for Intermediates, and typically too steep for development
- *45 to 70% (25 to 35 degrees)*: ideal for Advanced and Expert skiers/riders, and pose intermittent avalanche hazards
- *>70% (>35 degrees)*: too steep for all but the highest level of skiing/riding. These areas are typically allocated as Expert-only and are closely managed by the resort operator for avalanche control.

As displayed in Figure III-1, all slope gradients are present at Aspen Mountain; however, not all slope gradients are sustained and the entirety of the terrain is characterized by intermediate to expert ability level terrain. As described in the topography section, this deficiency is addressed by greater distributions of lower angle terrain at nearby ASC managed resorts (Aspen Highlands, Buttermilk, and Snowmass). The terrain at Aspen Mountain is largely characterized by steep gladed terrain separated by ridges and sub-ridges. The terrain dropping off the ridges and sub-ridges tends to be quite steep, in a few locations steeper than desired for skiing. In some cases, this presents challenges for consistent fall-line skiing, but the majority of the ski area has consistent grades. In general, intermediate trails tend to follow north facing ridge lines while advanced and expert ability level terrain drops off of ridges on steeper slopes facing east and west.

C. SOLAR ASPECT AT ASPEN MOUNTAIN

Slope aspect plays an important role in snow quality and retention. The variety of exposures on Aspen Mountain present opportunities to provide a range of slope aspects that allow guests to respond to changes in sun angle, temperature, wind direction, and shadows. The following are typical constraints in relation to the various angles of exposure:

- *North-facing*: ideal for snow retention, minimal wind scour, minimal sun exposure
- *Northeast-facing*: ideal for snow retention, minimal wind scour, minimal sun exposure
- *East-facing*: good for snow retention, some wind scour, morning sun exposure
- *Southeast-facing*: fair for snow retention, moderate wind scour, morning and early afternoon sun exposure
- *South-facing*: at lower elevations, poor for snow retention, moderate wind scour, full sun exposure
- *Southwest-facing*: poor for snow retention, high wind scour, full sun exposure
- *West-facing*: good for snow retention, high wind scour, late morning and afternoon sun exposure
- *Northwest-facing*: good for snow retention, moderate wind scour, some afternoon sun

As described in the topography section, the majority of the skiing terrain at Aspen Mountain faces north, with some eastward and westward facing aspects. This range of exposures is ideal, allowing for good snow retention while providing a variety of sun exposures and snow conditions. Aspects of Aspen Mountain are depicted in Figure III-2.

FIGURE III-1
SLOPE / TOPOGRAPHY ANALYSIS
ASPEN MOUNTAIN MASTER DEVELOPMENT PLAN



