

ERRATA #1

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Chapter Two, page 55. The following table has corrected values for all alternatives for the rows titled, “Water Yield from Fire” and “ Total Water Yield Increase (AF/yr)”. Other rows remain unchanged.

Table 2.31 Estimated Water Yield Increase by Alternative (Acre Feet per Year) at the Experienced Budget Level

	Alternatives					
	A	B	C	E	H	I
Harvest Volume (MBF)	17,576	6,668	19,369	2,036	1,017	14,855
Water Yield from Timber Harvest	2,048	777	2,256	237	118	1,731
Water Yield from Fire	1,638	1,638	1,638	1,638	2,238	1,638
Total Water Yield Increase (AF/yr)	3,686	2,415	3,894	1,875	2,356	3,369

Chapter Three, page 127. The following table has corrected values for all alternatives for the rows titled, “Water Yield-Fire” and “ Total Water Yield Increase (AF/yr.)”. Other rows remain unchanged.

Table 3.17 Estimated Water Yield Increase by Alternative (Average AF/yr over 5 decades)

Alternatives	A	B	C	E	H	I
Harvest Volume	17,576	6,668	19,369	2,036	1,017	14,855
Water Yield-Timber	2,048	777	2,256	237	118	1,731
Water Yield-Fire	1,638	1,638	1,638	1,638	2,238	1,638
Total Water Yield Increase (AF/yr.)	3,686	2,415	3,894	1,875	2,356	3,369

List of Figures (corrections are as follows):

Figure 3.31 ARNF Ski Areas	440
Figure 3.32 Zones of Influence of the Forests and Grassland	474

RECORD OF DECISION

Page 44, last paragraph, starting at fourth sentence and continuing on to page 45. The new wording reads as follows.

“Alternative C would increase water yield the most of any alternative, approximately 3,894 acre feet per year an increase of 0.19 percent. (This is based on the Forest’s existing water yield of approximately 2 million acre feet.) Alternative E had the least amount of increase 1,875 acre feet per year an increase of 0.09 percent. Alternative B had an increase of 2,415 acre feet per year (0.12 percent)....”