



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Final Environmental Impact Statement



Forest
Service

Ashland Travel Management

Ashland Ranger District
Custer National Forest

Rosebud and Powder River Counties of Montana

June 2009



The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

**ASHLAND RANGER DISTRICT
TRAVEL MANAGEMENT
Final Environmental Impact Statement**

Custer National Forest - Ashland Ranger District

Lead Agency: USDA Forest Service

Responsible Official: Mary C. Erickson, Acting Forest Supervisor
Custer NF, 1310 Main St.
Billings, MT 59105

For Information Contact: Doug Epperly, Project Coordinator
Custer NF, 1310 Main Street
Billings, MT 59105
(406) 657-6205 ext. 225

Abstract: The Forest Service is proposing to designate routes for public motorized use within the Ashland Ranger District of the Custer National Forest. The new travel management decision would designate system roads and trails for public motorized uses and specify the type of vehicle and season of use for each route. Motorized off-route travel would be prohibited, except where designated for access to dispersed vehicle camping. Over-snow vehicle use is not part of the decision to be made in this analysis. The three action alternatives considered in this EIS represent a broad range of public sentiment regarding road and motorized trail management, and frame the significant issues related to the decision to be made. The alternative of taking no action is also considered in this EIS. The preferred alternative is Alternative B Modified.

Comments on this FEIS. Public review and comment was solicited on the “draft” environmental impact statement (DEIS), and utilized in the preparation of this final environmental impact statement (FEIS). No further public review nor public comment is being sought on this “final” EIS.

Appeal of Decisions. Reviewers whom disagree with information presented in this FEIS may appeal any decision based upon it. Decisions based upon this FEIS are described in separate documents. It is the reviewer’s responsibility to obtain those decision documents and follow procedures described in them to appeal the decision(s).

TABLE OF CONTENT

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need, and Proposed Action

1.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM THE DRAFT TO THE FINAL EIS	1-1
1.2	BACKGROUND.....	1-1
1.2.1	AGENCY TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING	1-1
1.2.2	DISTRICT TRAVEL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND PLANNING.....	1-2
1.2.3	FOUR THREATS TO FOREST AND GRASSLAND HEALTH	1-3
1.2.4	ROADS ANALYSIS.....	1-3
1.2.5	GENERAL LOCATION AND GEOGRAPHIC SETTING	1-3
1.2.6	DOCUMENT STRUCTURE.....	1-4
1.3	PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-5
1.4	PROPOSED ACTION	1-5
1.5	SCOPE OF DECISION TO BE MADE	1-5
1.5.1	DECISIONS TO BE MADE.....	1-5
1.5.2	DECISIONS THAT WILL NOT BE MADE	1-6
1.6	LEGAL FRAMEWORK	1-6
1.6.1	AUTHORITY FOR TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING	1-6
1.6.2	EXECUTIVE ORDER 11644 AS AMENDED BY EXECUTIVE ORDER 11989	1-6
1.6.3	EXECUTIVE ORDER 13443	1-6
1.6.4	2005 MOTORIZED TRAVEL RULE	1-7
1.6.4.1	Designation Criteria	1-7
	Access Needs.....	1-8
	Accessibility	1-9
	Conflicts Among Uses of National Forest System Lands	1-9
	Safety.....	1-9
1.6.4.2	Administrative Use	1-11
1.6.4.3	Public Rights-Of-Way Access	1-12
1.6.4.4	Revised Statute (R.S.) 2477 Rights-Of-Way.....	1-12
1.6.5	MINIMUM ROAD SYSTEM (36 CFR 212.5(B)(1)).....	1-13
1.6.6	REGIONAL TRAVEL MANAGEMENT PLANNING GUIDANCE	1-13
1.6.6.1	Motorized Game Retrieval	1-13
1.6.6.2	Motorized Cross-Country Areas	1-14
1.6.7	1986 CUSTER NATIONAL FOREST LAND AND RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN.....	1-14

Chapter 2: Public Participation, Issues and Alternatives

2.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS.....	2-1
2.2	INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.3	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SUMMARY	2-1
2.3.1	PUBLIC SCOPING	2-1
2.3.2	NOTICE OF INTENT.....	2-2

TABLE OF CONTENT

2.3.3	<i>PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT FOR THE DEIS</i>	2-2
2.4	SIGNIFICANT ISSUES	2-3
2.4.1	<i>RECREATION</i>	2-3
2.4.2	<i>CULTURAL RESOURCES</i>	2-4
2.4.3	<i>WILDLIFE</i>	2-4
2.5	OTHER ISSUES	2-5
2.5.1	<i>WATER QUALITY, FISHERIES, AND AQUATICS</i>	2-5
2.5.2	<i>SOILS</i>	2-5
2.5.3	<i>VEGETATION</i>	2-5
2.5.4	<i>ECONOMICS</i>	2-6
2.5.5	<i>AIR QUALITY</i>	2-6
2.5.6	<i>HIKING AND RIDING AREAS (MANAGEMENT AREA J)</i>	2-7
2.5.7	<i>ENFORCEMENT</i>	2-7
2.5.8	<i>CLIMATE CHANGE</i>	2-8
2.6	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN DETAIL	2-8
2.6.1	<i>ALTERNATIVE A (EXISTING CONDITION)</i>	2-9
2.6.2	<i>ALTERNATIVE B</i>	2-10
2.6.3	<i>ALTERNATIVE B MODIFIED (PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE)</i>	2-11
2.6.4	<i>NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE</i>	2-11
2.6.5	<i>ELEMENTS COMMON TO ALL ALTERNATIVES</i>	2-18
2.6.5.1	<i>Administrative Exemptions</i>	2-18
2.6.5.2	<i>Administrative Sites</i>	2-18
2.6.5.3	<i>System Roads with Forest Service Maintenance Obligations</i>	2-18
2.6.5.4	<i>Roads Under Permit</i>	2-18
2.6.5.5	<i>No Legal Right-of-Way for Public Access</i>	2-18
2.6.5.6	<i>Designated Routes Required to be Part of the National Forest System</i>	2-18
2.6.5.7	<i>Dispersed Vehicle Camping Authorized Only on National Forest System Lands</i>	2-18
2.6.5.8	<i>Implementation</i>	2-19
2.7	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT DROPPED FROM DETAILED ANALYSIS	2-19
2.7.1	<i>PARTNERSHIP ALTERNATIVE</i>	2-19
2.7.2	<i>SEPARATE MOTORIZED AND NON-MOTORIZED USES</i>	2-20
2.7.3	<i>ESTABLISH TRIGGERS FOR ROUTE CLOSURES</i>	2-20
2.7.4	<i>ADMINISTRATIVE ROUTES BE DESIGNATED FOR PUBLIC MOTORIZED USE</i>	2-20
2.7.5	<i>DO NOT DESIGNATE ROAD #4797</i>	2-20
2.7.6	<i>ROUTES SHOULD NOT BE DESIGNATED ON HIGHLY ERODIBLE SOILS, RIPARIAN AREAS, WETLANDS, WET MEADOWS, AND EPHEMERAL PONDS</i>	2-21
2.7.7	<i>DO NOT ADD ROUTES OR FURTHER REDUCE MILES OF ROUTES IN MODERATE AND HIGH RISK SOILS AND THAT HAVE WATER QUALITY IMPACTS IN HIGH RISK WATERSHEDS, TO REDUCE IMPACTS IN THOSE WATERSHEDS.</i>	2-21
2.7.8	<i>DO NOT DESIGNATE DISPERSED VEHICLE CAMPING IN AREAS WITH STEEP TOPOGRAPHY AND SENSITIVE RESOURCES TO AVOID POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS</i>	2-21

TABLE OF CONTENT

2.7.9	FURTHER REDUCE MOTORIZED USE TO MINIMIZE THE POTENTIAL FOR SPREAD OF NOXIOUS WEEDS.....	2-21
2.7.10	INCREASE SECURE COVER TO 30-50% OF FORESTED AREA	2-22
2.7.11	THERE SHOULD BE A MINIMUM 30% OF THE DISTRICT IN NON-MOTORIZED SETTINGS TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE NON-MOTORIZED HUNTING OPPORTUNITIES.....	2-22
2.7.12	DO NOT CONVERT ROADS TO TRAILS, OR CONVERT NO MORE THAN 10%, OR LIMIT TRAILS TO 50 INCH OR LESS VEHICLES TO DISCOURAGE ADDITIONAL USE AND THE IMPACT IT WOULD HAVE ON EXISTING USES	2-22
2.7.13	SEASONALLY CLOSE ADMINISTRATIVE ROADS DURING BIG GAME HUNTING SEASON.....	2-22
2.7.14	THERE SHOULD BE NO NET LOSS OF MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES TO COUNTER THE CUMULATIVE LOSS OF OTHER MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES	2-22
2.7.15	PROVIDE ADDITIONAL MOTORIZED OPPORTUNITIES BY DESIGNATING ROUTES CLOSED BECAUSE THEY CANNOT ACCOMMODATE A FULL-SIZE VEHICLE FOR 50 INCH WIDE OR LESS VEHICLES.....	2-23
2.7.16	EXPAND SECURE ELK HABITAT TO MAINTAIN A VIABLE AND HEALTHY ELK POPULATION.....	2-23
2.7.17	FURTHER RESTRICT MOTORIZED USE TO PROTECT CULTURAL RESOURCES FROM IMPACTS.....	2-23
2.7.18	PROTECT WATER QUALITY LIMITED STREAMS IN NEED OF TMDL DETERMINATION AND WATERSHEDS LISTED AS HIGH RISK.....	2-23
2.7.19	DO NOT DESIGNATE ROUTES WITH INCREASED POTENTIAL TO HARM AMPHIBIANS. DO NOT DESIGNATE ROUTE THAT FOLLOW STREAMS IN HIGH AND MODERATE RISK WATERSHEDS	2-24
2.7.20	DO NOT DESIGNATE ROUTES, (1) ON GRADES GREATER THAN 15%, (2) LOCATED ABOVE TREE LINE ON HIGHLY EROSION SOILS OR POORLY LOCATED, (3) LOCATED WITHIN 300 FEET OF FISH BEARING STREAMS AND LAKES, (4) HAVE STREAM CROSSINGS WITHOUT BRIDGES, AND ONLY DESIGNATE DISPERSED VEHICLE CAMPING WHERE ON LOW TO MODERATE HAZARD RATING SOILS.....	2-24
2.7.21	DESIGNATE ROUTES BASED UPON THE AMOUNT OF MAINTENANCE FUNDING AVAILABLE.....	2-25
2.7.22	DO NOT DESIGNATE ROUTES IN OCCUPIED HABITAT FOR GREAT PLAINS TOAD, NORTHERN LEOPARD FROG, AND PLAINS SPADEFOOT.....	2-25
2.7.23	CREATE A SEASON OF USE TO REDUCE POTENTIAL IMPACTS WHEN SOILS ARE AT INCREASED RISK OF EROSION	2-25
2.7.24	SITE-SPECIFIC ROUTE ALTERNATIVES	2-25
2.8	COMPARISON OF EFFECTS.....	2-25
2.8.1	ROUTE MAINTENANCE NEEDS	2-26
2.9	MONITORING	2-28
2.9.1	IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING	2-29
2.9.2	EFFECTIVENESS MONITORING	2-29
2.9.3	MONITORING PLAN	2-30
2.10	FOREST SERVICE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE.....	2-30

Chapter 3: Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

3.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS.....	3-1
3.2	INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2.1	DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS	3-1

TABLE OF CONTENT

3.2.2	<i>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</i>	3-1
3.2.3	<i>ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE</i>	3-3
3.2.4	<i>NATIVE AMERICAN TREATY RIGHTS</i>	3-3
3.2.5	<i>UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE EFFECTS (40 CFR 1502.16)</i>	3-3
3.2.6	<i>RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT TERM USE AND LONG TERM PRODUCTIVITY (40 CFR 1502.16)</i> ..	3-3
3.2.7	<i>IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES (40 CFR 1502.16)</i>	3-4
3.2.8	<i>ENERGY REQUIREMENTS AND CONSERVATION POTENTIAL (40 CFR 1502.16)</i>	3-4
3.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES – SIGNIFICANT ISSUES	3-4
3.3.1	<i>RECREATION</i>	3-4
3.3.1.1	Affected Environment – Recreation	3-4
3.3.1.2	Environmental Consequences - Recreation	3-10
3.3.1.3	Conclusion - Recreation	3-22
3.3.2	<i>CULTURAL RESOURCES</i>	3-23
3.3.2.1	Affected Environment – Archaeological Resources and Tradition Cultural Properties	3-24
3.3.2.2	Environmental Consequences – Archaeological Resources and Tradition Cultural Properties	3-31
3.3.2.3	Conclusion – Archaeological Resources and Traditional Cultural Properties	3-39
3.3.3	<i>WILDLIFE</i>	3-39
3.3.3.1	Affected Environment – Threatened And Endangered Species Black-footed Ferret	3-45
3.3.3.2	Environmental Consequences – Threatened And Endangered Species: Black-footed Ferret	3-46
3.3.3.3	Affected Environment – Sensitive Species: Bat Species	3-47
3.3.3.4	Environmental Consequences – Sensitive Species: Bat Species	3-48
3.3.3.5	Affected Environment – Management Indicator Species: Big Game Species	3-49
3.3.3.6	Environmental Consequences – Management Indicator Species: Elk	3-51
3.3.3.7	Affected Environment – Migratory Birds	3-53
3.3.3.8	Environmental Consequences – Migratory Birds	3-55
3.3.3.9	Affected Environment – General Wildlife	3-57
3.3.3.10	Environmental Consequences – General Wildlife	3-61
3.3.3.11	Conclusion - Wildlife	3-64
3.4	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES – OTHER ISSUES	3-66
3.4.1	<i>WATER QUALITY, FISHERIES, AND AQUATICS</i>	3-66
3.4.1.1	Affected Environment – Water Quality	3-67
3.4.1.2	Environmental Consequences – Water Quality	3-77
3.4.1.3	Conclusion - Water Quality	3-83
3.4.1.4	Affected Environment – Fisheries and Aquatics	3-84
3.4.1.5	Environmental Consequences – Fisheries and Aquatic	3-93
3.4.1.6	Conclusion - Fisheries and Aquatics	3-97
3.4.2	<i>SOILS</i>	3-98
3.4.2.1	Affected Environment – Soils	3-98
3.4.2.2	Environmental Consequences - Soils	3-102
3.4.2.3	Conclusion - Soils	3-106
3.4.3	<i>VEGETATION</i>	3-107
3.4.3.1	Affected Environment – Vegetation	3-107
3.4.3.2	Environmental Consequences – Vegetation	3-110
3.4.3.3	Conclusion - Vegetation	3-112
3.4.3.4	Affected Environment – Weeds	3-113

TABLE OF CONTENT

3.4.3.5	Environmental Consequences – Weeds	3-116
3.4.3.6	Conclusion - Weeds	3-118
3.4.3.7	Affected Environment – Sensitive Plants.....	3-119
3.4.3.8	Environmental Consequences – Sensitive Plants.....	3-121
3.4.3.9	Conclusion - Sensitive Plants.....	3-123

Chapter 4: Consultation, Distribution, List of Preparers, References, and Glossary

4.1	OVERVIEW OF CHANGES FROM DRAFT TO FINAL EIS.....	4-1
4.2	CONSULTATION	4-1
4.2.1	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SUMMARY	4-1
4.2.2	CONSULTATION WITH OTHERS	4-1
4.3	DISTRIBUTION	4-2
4.4	LIST OF PREPARERS	4-2
4.5	REFERENCES.....	4-5
4.5.1	CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE AND NEED, AND PROPOSED ACTION.....	4-5
4.5.2	CHAPTER 2: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION, ISSUES AND ALTERNATIVES.....	4-5
4.5.2.1	Air Quality.....	4-5
4.5.2.2	Hiking and Riding Areas (Management Area J)	4-5
4.5.2.3	Alternatives Considered in Detail.....	4-5
4.5.3	CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-6
4.5.3.4	Recreation	4-6
4.5.3.5	Cultural Resources	4-6
4.5.3.6	Wildlife	4-7
4.5.3.7	Water Quality.....	4-11
4.5.3.8	Fisheries and Aquatics	4-12
4.5.3.9	Soils	4-14
4.5.3.10	Vegetation	4-15
4.5.3.11	Weeds.....	4-15
4.5.3.12	Sensitive Plants	4-15
4.6	GLOSSARY.....	4-17

Chapter 5: Response to Comments

5.1	PUBLIC COMMENT ANALYSIS PROCESS	5-1
5.2	RESPONSE TO COMMENTS	5-3
	CULTURAL RESOURCES.....	5-3
	ECONOMICS	5-4
	FISHERIES AND AQUATICS	5-5
	MANAGEMENT	5-7
	MISCELLANEOUS.....	5-11
	RECREATION.....	5-15
	SOILS	5-25
	VEGETATION	5-27
	WATER QUALITY	5-29
	WILDLIFE	5-34

TABLE OF CONTENT

Appendices

Appendix A: 2005 Motorized Travel Rule
Appendix B: Forest Plan Direction
Appendix C: Alternative Details by Route
Appendix D: Opportunities
Appendix E: Agency Letters
Appendix F: Catalog of Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Activities
Appendix G: Route Specific Comment Disposition
Appendix H: Biological Assessment

Index

Map Section

Alternative A
Alternative B
Alternative B Modified
No Action Alternative